

Index

- Abelard, P., 177
- acrasia
 and the executive nature of intention, 30–1
 and rationality-constitutive practical requirements, 134–5
- ACTION, *see* Action model of
 decision/intention rationality
- action co-ordination
 and executive function of the will, 66–71
 how action-coordinatory justifications establish the Action model of decision rationality, 228–46
- Action model of decision/intention rationality, 8–11, 144–57
 implies ACTION as a principle of decision/intention rationality, 145
 how in tension with common-sense psychology of will, 9–10, 149–57
 how the tension might be resolved through combining the Action model with REASON-APPLY, 156, 163–5
 proved, 228–46
 Teleological versions of, 166–79
 proof of a non-Teleological version implying REASON-APPLY, 247–68
- actions (*see also* first-order agency), 14–15
 bodily, 52–9
 compared to decisions, 7–8, 34–52
 intentionality of, 219–22
 practical primacy of, 184–5, 223
 purposiveness of, 14–15
 rationality of, 7–8, 47–52, 138–41, 181–3
 as subject to the will, 192–5
- Additional Motive theory of decision
 execution, 121, 125
 arguments against, 126–35
- agency (*see also* models of agency)
 characterised in terms of a distinctively practical reason, 7–8, 20–1, 47–52, 159–63
 first-order, 3–6, 56
 second-order, 3–7, 15–18, 21–5, 33–46, 56
 not found in third-order form, 205–6
 and ethical theory, 45–6
 intentionality of, 207, 215–25
 sub-intentional, 217, 219
 as means–end justifiable, 49–52, 159–62
 phenomenology of, 47–8
 psychology of, 1–32, 269–75
 and purposiveness, 7–8, 14–15, 41–4
 non-purposive agency, 49–59, 242–4
 rationality of, 7–8, 47–52, 138–41, 159–63
 special-purpose agency, 156, 164, 247–68
 when subject to the will, 195–200
 why agency alone is subject to the will, 199
 and Teleology, 166–86
- agent-continuants, *see* identity of agents
- altruism, rationality of, 174–6
- Anscombe, G. E. M., 9, 141
- Aquinas, T., 24, 29–30, 104, 177, 215–16
- autonomy (*see also* freedom)
 and Incompatibilism, 89–93
- Autonomy-within-Reason model of agency,
 see models of agency
- belief
 and intention, 19, 70–1, 222–5, 259
 and intentional agency, 219–25
 practical judgment as a form of, 37–40
- belief-dependent effect of decisions, 72–3,
 115, 117, 172–3
 and deliberation, 73–4
- Bennett, J., 160
- Bentham, J., 177
- blameworthiness, 11–13
- bodily actions, 52–9
- bounded rationality, 71
- Bradley, F. H., 69
- Bramhall, J., 25, 79, 215–16
- Bratman, M., 7, 9, 19, 68, 70, 125, 133, 135,
 141, 216–17, 244, 273

- Broome, J., 139
 Butler, J., 177
- Campbell, J., 128
- Close Analogy assumption (about freedom of will in relation to freedom of action)
 stated, 189
 and Will Subjection theory of freedom, 189–90
 refuted, 208–12
- commands
 as presupposing the means–end justifiability of what is commanded, 154–5, 160
 to take particular decisions, 154–5, 265–6
 to form particular pro attitudes, 160, 273
 to perform actions, 155
 to try, 155, 265–6
- common-sense psychology
 as a normative theory, 28–9
 and Enlightenment psychology, 28–9
 of agency, 14–18, 28–9
 of the will, 1–2, 18–21, 29–32, 117–21
 tension in its conception of the will, 1–2, 9–11, 137–65
- Compatibilism, 76–8
 and the Psychologising conception of freedom, 88–93
- conation experiment, 58, 261–3
- conationist theory of agency, 3
- conations, 52–9
 as first-order agency, 56
 compared to decisions, 55–9, 244, 261–7
 as possible objects of command, 155, 265–6
 as subject to the will, 58–9
 as motivation-independent in their effects, 56–7, 264–5
 motivation of, 52–9, 143–4, 244, 263
 rationality of, 52–9, 261–7
 as not governed by any analogue of REASON-APPLY, 265–7
- Consequence argument, 91–2
- Consequentialism, *see* Teleology
- control, *see* freedom
- Dan's decision
 as establishing the Action model of decision/intention rationality, 234–44
- Davidson, D., 9, 23, 27, 29, 43–4, 47, 51–2, 54, 141, 217–18, 245, 273
- decision-commands, 154–5, 265–6
- decision execution
 Additional Motive theory of, 125–35
 Motivation-Perpetuation theory of, 121–5, 259–60
- decision drug taking
 as first-order agency analogue of decision taking, 95–9, 148–57, 266
 as motivation-influencing, 96–7, 266
 as neither freedom- nor rationality-preserving, 97–9, 148–57
 as not special-purpose agency, 147–8, 257–8
 as governed by no analogue of REASON-APPLY, 148–57, 266
- decision prizes, 147–59
- decision theory, *see* probabilistic decision theory
- decisions (*see also* intentions)
 as intention formations, 1, 20–1
 as second-order agency, 3–4, 15–18
 as special-purpose agency, 156, 164, 247–68
 as end-specific agency, 252–60
 as essentially end-specific agency, 256–60
 as essentially intentional agency, 217–19, 222–5
 as executive in function, 1–2, 29–32, 66–71, 112–21, 135–6, 146, 149–59, 225–7
 as reason-applying in effects, 112–21, 135–6, 146, 149–59, 225–7
 as belief-dependent in effects, 72–3, 115, 117, 172–3
 as motivation-perpetuating in effects, 6–7, 112–15, 116–25, 150, 153–4, 243, 258–60
 as rationality-preserving in effects, 6–7, 93–5, 116–21, 149–54, 196–7
 as governed by REASON-APPLY, 10–11, 152–9, 165, 185–6, 196–7, 247–68
 as determinants of action, 72–6
 as not subject to the will, 8, 40–1, 44–5, 195–206
 as raising the stake on performance of the action decided upon, 74–5
 as adding to our justification for acting as decided, 74–5, 129–33, 238–41
 the impossibility of deterrent decisions, 171–6
 motivation of, 7–8, 35–7, 41–4, 144–5
 phenomenology of, 47–8
 rationality of, 8–11, 47–63, 137–86, 228–75
 without prior deliberation, 204–5
 their effect on deliberation, 73–4

- decisions (*cont.*)
 tensions in common-sense psychological
 conception of, 1–2, 9–11, 137–65
 compared to actions, 7–8, 34–52
 compared to conations, 55–9, 244, 261–7
- decisions to decide
 are decisions to act in a decision-
 affecting way, 192–206
 as decisions to take particular decisions,
 192–200
 as decisions to make up one's mind,
 200–6
 as decisions to deliberate, 200–6
- deliberate, *see* intentional
- deliberation
 as first-order agency, 203
 as wondering what to do, 73
 as reviewing a decision, 73–4
- deliberative conception of the will, 22–3,
 29–32
 and the Psychologising conception of
 freedom, 103–5
 refuted, 245
- Dennett, D., 26, 47–8, 218
- Dependence (of freedom of action on
 freedom of will)
 introduced, 2–7, 64–6
 whether explained by Incompatibilism,
 76–88
 as explained by the Psychologising
 conception of freedom, 101–21
- Depsyologising conception of freedom, 80
 refuted, 101–21
- desirability
 and Teleology, 139, 166–8
 of decision execution, 129–33
- desires
 and action-motivation, 14–15
 and the motivation of end-specific action,
 248–53
 second-order, 34–41
 and intentions, 25–6, 34–41, 245, 273–4
 and practical judgments, 37–40
 as pro attitudes, 59–61
 as not subject to the will, 40–1, 195–206
- Elster, J., 5, 109
- end-derived practical requirements, 134–5
- END-SPEC, *see* end-specific agency
- end-specific agency
 defined, 248
 governed by END-SPEC, 251–2
 end-specific agency as special-purpose
 agency, 248–52
 decisions as end-specific agency, 252–6
- END-SPEC used to derive REASON-APPLY,
 253
 will agency as essentially end-specific,
 256–60
- Enlightenment psychology, 26
- ethical theory
 and freedom, 11–13
 and second-order agency, 45–6
- executive conception of the will, 23–4, 29–32
 and Psychologising conception of
 freedom, 106–21
 proved, 245
- executive function of the will, 1–2, 29–32,
 66–71, 112–21, 135–6, 146, 149–59,
 225–7
 as fulfilled through the reason-applying
 effect of decisions, 111–21
 implies REASON-APPLY, 154
- first-order agency (*see also* agency), 3–6, 56
 as comprising actions and conations, 56
 as source of future action control, 5–6,
 108–11
 motivation of, 14–15, 52–4
 rationality of, 49–55
- Frankfurt, H., 12, 27, 34–41, 106, 146, 166,
 189–90, 212
- freedom (*see also* Dependence, Survival,
 Compatibilism and
 Incompatibilism)
 as the freedom to do otherwise/control, 1,
 15, 83–4
 as presupposing possibility of deliberate
 agency, 16–17, 207, 216
 as involving a capacity for rational self-
 determination, 93–5, 101–3
 and Close Analogy assumption, 189
 and determinism, 11–13, 76–93
 and moral responsibility, 11–13
 and practical rationality, 23–5, 93–5, 101–3
 possible multiplicity of concepts of, 86–8
 Psychologising conception of, 2–7, 79–80,
 93–5, 101–36
 Will Subjection theory of, 189–90
- future action control
 as essential to freedom, 106–8
 as presupposing present agency, 108
 through first-order agency, 108–11
 through second-order agency, 111–21
- future actions
 defined, 66–7
- Gauthier, D., 68, 144, 165, 169–76, 179–85,
 209, 234
- Gauthier-plans, 68, 179–85

- Ginet, C., 92
 goodness
 and end-desirability, 138–40
 and Teleology, 166–9
- Harman, G., 68, 70
- Hobbes, T., 2, 7–8, 25–9, 32, 33–45, 64–6, 79, 102, 166, 187–91, 212–17, 266, 273
- Hobbesian model of agency, 33–45, 212–17
- Hobbesian psychology, 25–9, 33–45
- Hobbesian theory of freedom, 64–6, 166
- holism of practical reason, 66–71
- Hornsby, J., 52, 57
- identity of agents
 and practical rationality, 66–71
 and the will, 66–121
 and self-control, 106–21
- Incompatibilism, 76–93
 autonomy-centred/Weak, 88–93
 time-centred/Strong, 82–8
 and Dependence, 76–80
 and Survival, 81–93
 and the Psychologising conception of freedom, 88–100
- intentionality of agency, 207, 215–22
 its relation to intention, 215–17
 and the Single Phenomenon View, 216–17
 of actions, 219–22
 of decisions and intentions, 222–5
 as condition of exercising freedom, 16–17, 207, 216
 and rationality, 215–22
- intentions (*see also* decisions)
 characterised, 19–21, 117–21, 220
 inertia of, 19, 125, 259
 relation to agency, 18–21
 inherently active, 20–1, 228–46
 not assumed to be beliefs about how one will act, 19
 effect on belief, 19, 70, 222–5, 259
 not desires, 245
 not practical judgments, 245
 as pro attitudelike, 273–4
 in animals, 21–5
 when formed through decisions, 20–1
 as not subject to the will, 8, 40–1, 44–5, 195–206
- intrinsic desirability of decision
 execution
 presupposed by the Additional Motive theory, 121
 arguments against, 126–35
- Inwagen, P. van, 92
- J-IDENTITY
 stated as principle of decision/intention justification, 142
 and Pro Attitude model of decision/intention rationality, 141–4
 counterexamples to, 231–41
 general falsehood of, 238–44
 judgment, *see* practical judgment
 justifications
 as opposed to reasons, 47–52, 138–41
 practical and non-practical, 47–52
 for actions, 49–50
 for conations, 52–5, 264–7
 for decisions/intentions, 47–63, 137–86, 228–75
 for desires and practical judgments, 59–61
- JUSTIFY
 stated as principle of action justification, 139
 denied by Gauthier, 181–3
- Kane, R., 12, 78–9, 83–4, 91
- Kant, I., 4–5, 82, 94, 102–5, 177, 270
- Kavka, G., 9, 144, 147, 158, 165, 169, 176–9, 267
- legislative conception of the will, 94, 102–5
- Lewis, D., 144, 169, 176–9, 267
- Locke, J., 55–6, 221, 261, 267
- Martin, M., 262
- means–end justifiability, 8, 49–55
 how implies agency, 49–50
 implied by purposiveness, 144
 implies possibility of purposiveness, 50, 143
 consistent with non-purposiveness, 52–5, 143–4
 of what is commanded presupposed by commands, 154–5, 160
 implied by subjection to the will, 198
- Means–End model of agency, *see* models of agency
- Mele, A., 20, 262
- Mintoff, J., 133, 151
- models of agency:
 motivation-based
 Davidsonian model, 41–4
 Hobbesian model, 212–15
 rationality-based
 Means–End model, 49–52
 Autonomy-within-Reason model, 162
 Plural-Justification model, 162–3

- models of agency (*cont.*)
 how motivation-based models support,
 and rationality-based models defuse,
 scepticism about second-order
 agency, 7–8, 41–4, 47–59, 161–3,
 228–46
- moral theory, *see* ethical theory
- motivation-perpetuation
 as theory of decision execution, 114, 116,
 121–5, 259–60
 through tie-breaking, 123–4
 through desire-stabilisation, 124–5
 explains why REASON-APPLY governs will
 agency generally, 259–68, 274
 helps explain why decisions are
 rationality-preserving, 114, 120–1,
 153–4, 259–68, 269
- Non-Purposiveness argument (against
 second-order agency)
 stated, 41–4
 refuted, 245–6
- normative theory
 and common-sense psychology, 28–9
- O'Shaughnessy, B., 154–5, 158, 160–1, 265
- paradox of deterrence, 169, 177
- Parfit, D., 61
- phenomenology of decision making, 47–8
- plans
 defined, 68
 and action co-ordination, 66–71
 plans and Gauthier-plans, 184–5
 plan-executory effect of decisions, *see*
 reason-applying effect of decisions
- Plural-Justification model of agency, *see*
 models of agency
- practical judgments
 as beliefs, 37–40
 as pro attitudes, 59–61
 not second-order desires, 34–41
 not intentions, 245
 in animals, 21–5
 whether subject to the will, 40–1, 195–206
- practical primacy of action, 184–5
 helps generate scepticism about second-
 order agency, 209–10
 used to refute Regress argument against
 second-order agency, 208–12
- practical reason, as basis for theory of
 agency, 7–8, 20–1, 47–52
- Pro Attitude model of decision/intention
 rationality, 8–11, 141–4
 implies J-IDENTITY, 142
- implies R-IDENTITY, 143
 how in tension with common-sense
 psychology of will, 159–63
 how rationality-based models of agency
 might resolve the tension with
 common-sense psychology, 162–3
 refuted, 228–46
- pro attitudes
 defined, 59
 desires and practical judgments as, 59–61
 and intentions, 59, 141–4, 245, 273–4
 as not subject to the will, 40–1, 195–206
 as not subject to command, 154–5, 160,
 273
- probabilistic decision theory (PDT)
 and scepticism about second-order
 agency, 166–9
 PDT in second-order form, 168–79
- Psychologising conception of freedom, *see*
 freedom
- psychology, *see* common sense psychology
- purposiveness (*see also* actions and agency)
 implies means–end justifiability, 144
- Ramsey, F., 26, 168
- rational decisions to do A as disposing one
 to do A rationally, *see* rationality-
 preserving effect of decisions
- rational self-determination, *see* freedom
- rationality
 and freedom, 93–5, 101–36
 and second-order agency, 21–5
- rationality-constitutive practical
 requirements, 134–5
- rationality-preserving effect of decisions,
 6–7, 93–5, 116–21, 149–54, 196–7
 and the executive function of the will,
 112–21, 135–6, 146
 as explained by motivation-perpetuating
 effect of decisions, 114, 120–1, 149–54,
 269
- Rawls, J., 68
- REASON
 stated as principle of action-motivation,
 140
 denied by Gauthier, 181–3
- REASON-APPLY
 stated as a principle governing decision-
 and intention-rationality, 153
 as implied by the executive function of
 the will, 154
 as a condition of the rationality-
 preserving effect of decisions, 152–4
 how in tension with the Action model of
 decision/intention rationality, 155–7

- how really consistent with the Action model, 247–68
 - why governs will agency generally, 259–60
 - explains the pro attitude-like nature of intention, 273–4
- reason-applying effect of decisions
 - as fulfilling the executive function of the will, 111–21
 - as explaining the Psychologising conception of freedom, 111–21
 - as involving belief-dependence, 115, 117
 - as involving motivation-perpetuation, 114, 116, 118
 - as involving rationality-preservation, 116, 118
 - as implying REASON-APPLY, 152–4
- reasons
 - as opposed to justifications, 47–52, 138–41
 - reasons for action, 14–15, 140
 - reasons for trying, 52–9, 143–4, 244, 263
 - reasons for desiring, 42–3
 - reasons for deciding and intending, 7–8, 35–7, 41–4, 144–5, 242–4
- Reduction argument (against second-order agency)
 - stated, 33–4
 - refuted, 244–5
- Regress argument (against second-order agency)
 - stated, 44–5, 187–91
 - makes Close Analogy Assumption, 189–91
 - assumes Will Subjection theory of freedom, 190
 - builds, but inconsistently, on executive function of will, 225–7
 - refuted, 192–227
- R-IDENTITY
 - stated as principle of decision/intention motivation, 142
 - and the Pro Attitude model of decision/intention rationality, 141–4
 - counterexample to, 242–3
 - general truth of, 243–4
- Ryle, G., 26
- scepticism about second-order agency, 7–8, 20–1, 25–9, 33–63
 - encouraged by motivation-based models of agency and defused by rationality-based models of agency, 7–8, 41–4, 47–59, 161–3, 228–46
 - and Non-Purposiveness argument, 41–4, 245–6
 - and Reduction argument, 33–4, 212–15, 244–5
 - and Regress argument, 44–5, 187–227
- Schelling, T., 61, 109
- Scholasticism, 4, 21–5, 29–30, 101–6, 215–17
 - second-order agency (*see also* agency, decisions *and* scepticism about second-order agency), 3–7, 15–18, 21–5, 33–46, 56
 - phenomenology of, 47–8
 - and rationality, 21–5
- Sidgwick, H., 84–5, 119–20, 130, 177
- Single Phenomenon View of action
 - intentionality, 216–17
- Slote, M., 92
- special-purpose agency, 156, 164, 247–68
 - as not subject to the will, 198
 - as end-specific agency, 248–52
 - governed by END-SPEC, 251
- Stoicism, 4, 29, 101–6, 273
- sub-intentional agency, 217, 219
- subjection to the will, 8, 40–1, 44–5, 187–212
 - characterised, 194
 - tied to the rationality-preserving effect of decisions, 197–8
 - whether a condition of freedom, 206–12
 - why only agency is subject to the will, 199
 - why actions and conations are subject to the will, 192–6, 261–7
 - why special-purpose actions are not, 198
 - why decisions and pro attitudes are not, 195–206
- sunkatathesis, 29, 104
- Survival (given decisions to act, of one's freedom to act otherwise)
 - stated, 81–2
 - and the Psychologising conception of freedom, 81–121
 - in tension with Incompatibilism, 81–93
 - proved and explained, 119–21
- Teleology
 - and agency rationality, 166–9
 - and decision/intention rationality, 170–85, 234
 - directly Teleological theories of decision/intention rationality, 170–9
 - indirectly Teleological theories of decision/intention rationality, 179–85, 234
 - and scepticism about second-order agency, 168
- toxin puzzle, 9, 147–59
- trying, *see* conations
- Velleman, D., 39, 128
- volitionist theory of agency, 3

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-03822-5 - The Psychology of Freedom
Thomas Pink
Index
[More information](#)

284

volitions, as spurious psychological
category, 55–9, 221, 261–7

Wiggins, D., 92

will, *see* common-sense psychology,
decisions, *and* intentions

Index

Williams, B., 27, 31–2, 45–6, 160–1, 245

Williamson, T., 117–18

Will Subjection theory of freedom, *see*
freedom