

Scientific Essentialism

Scientific Essentialism defends the view that the fundamental laws of nature depend on the essential properties of the things on which they are said to operate and are therefore not independent of them. These laws are not imposed on the world by God, the forces of nature, or anything else, but rather are immanent in the world.

Brian Ellis argues that ours is a dynamic world consisting of more or less transient objects that are constantly interacting with each other and whose identities depend on their roles in these processes. Natural objects must behave as they do because to do otherwise would be contrary to their natures. The laws of nature are therefore metaphysically necessary, and consequently there are necessary connections between events.

In an innovative contribution to contemporary metaphysics, Ellis calls for the rejection of the theory of Humean Supervenience and implementation of a new kind of realism in philosophical analysis.

This book will interest professionals and students of philosophy and the philosophy of science.

Brian Ellis is Professor Emeritus of Philosophy at La Trobe University, Victoria, Australia, and Professorial Fellow in the Department of History and Philosophy of Science at the University of Melbourne. His books include Basic Concepts of Measurement (Cambridge University Press, 1966), Rational Belief Systems, and Truth and Objectivity.



CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

General editor ERNEST SOSA (Brown University)

Advisory editors:

JONATHAN DANCY (University of Reading)

JOHN HALDANE (University of St. Andrews)

GILBERT HARMAN (Princeton University)

FRANK JACKSON (Australian National University)

WILLIAM G. LYCAN (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill)

SYDNEY SHOEMAKER (Cornell University)

JUDITH J. THOMSON (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

RECENT TITLES:

LYNNE RUDDER BAKER Explaining Attitudes
ROBERT A. WILSON Cartesian Psychology and Physical Minds
BARRY MAUND Colours

BARRY MAUND Colours MICHAEL DEVITT Coming to Our Senses MICHAEL ZIMMERMAN The Concept of Moral Obligation MICHAEL STOCKER with ELIZABETH HEGEMAN Valuing Emotions SYDNEY SHOEMAKER The First-Person Perspective and Other Essays NORTON NELKIN Consciousness and the Origins of Thought MARK LANCE and JOHN O'LEARY HAWTHORNE The Grammar of Meaning D. M. ARMSTRONG A World of States of Affairs PIERRE JACOB What Minds Can Do ANDRE GALLOIS The World Without the Mind Within FRED FELDMAN Utilitarianism, Hedonism, and Desert LAURENCE BONJOUR In Defense of Pure Reason DAVID LEWIS Papers in Philosophical Logic WAYNE DAVIS Implicature DAVID COCKBURN Other Times DAVID LEWIS Papers on Metaphysics and Epistemology RAYMOND MARTIN Self-Concern ANNETTE BARNES Seeing Through Self-Deception MICHAEL BRATMAN Faces of Intention

RAYMOND MARTIN Self-Concern

ANNETTE BARNES Seeing Through Self-Deception

MICHAEL BRATMAN Faces of Intention

AMIE THOMASSON Fiction and Metaphysics

DAVID LEWIS Papers on Ethics and Social Philosophy

FRED DRETSKE Perception, Knowledge and Belief

LYNNE RUDDER BAKER Persons and Bodies

JOHN GRECO Putting Skeptics in Their Place

DERK PEREBOOM Living Without Free Will



Scientific Essentialism

BRIAN ELLIS

La Trobe University and University of Melbourne





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521800945

© Brian Ellis 2001

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2001
This digitally printed version 2007

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Ellis, B. D. (Brian David), 1929—
Scientific essentialism / Brian Ellis.
p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in philosophy)
ISBN 0-521-80094-3

1. Essence (Philosophy) 2. Science – Philosophy. I. Title. II. Series. B105.E65 E45 2001 00-063094

ISBN 978-0-521-80094-5 hardback

ISBN 978-0-521-80094-5 hardback ISBN 978-0-521-03774-7 paperback



To Scamp

Who kept vigil on the floor behind my chair
To guard me from whatever threats there might be
From hostile forces
And defenders of Humean Supervenience



Contents

Preface			page xiii		
	Introd	luction	1		
Part One Concepts					
1	Conc	epts of Scientific Essentialism	17		
	1.1	Real Properties and Relations	17		
	1.2	Natural Kinds and Essences	19		
	1.3	Species, Instances, and Tropes	23		
	1.4	Intrinsic Properties and Structures	26		
	1.5	Intrinsic Properties and Real Essences	31		
	1.6	Two Brands of Necessity	32		
	1.7	Necessity and A Priori Knowledge	38		
	1.8	Natural Necessity	43		
	1.9	The Humean Metaphysic	44		
	1.10	Empiricist and Essentialist Perspectives on Reality	47		
	1.11	Causal Powers and Causal Processes	49		
	1.12	Causal Powers and Causal Laws	52		
		Notes	55		
Pa	rt Two	Ontology			
2	Natural Kinds		61		
	2.1	The Aims of Ontology	61		
	2.2	Ontological Dependence	63		
	2.3	The Natural Kinds Structure of the World	67		
	2.4	Accidental, Incidental, and Essential Properties	76		
	2.5	Spectral Kinds	79		
	2.6	The Theory of Ontological Reduction	82		
	2.7	Real Properties and Property Identity	84		



	2.8	Properties and Predicates	89
	2.9	So What Are Natural Kinds?	97
	2.10	The Logic of Natural Kinds	100
		Notes	101
3	Powers and Dispositions		106
	3.1	Introduction	106
	3.2	The Dead World of Mechanism	107
	3.3	Forces as External to Objects	109
	3.4	The Dubious Status of Dispositions	111
	3.5	Categorical Realism	112
	3.6	Dispositions and Categorical Realism	118
	3.7	Mellor's Dispositional Foundationalism	121
	3.8	An Analysis of Dispositions	123
	3.9	Dispositional Essentialism	127
	3.10	Dispositional Properties and Causal Laws	129
	3.11	Meinongianism	132
	3.12	Categorical Properties and Relations	135
		Notes	138
Pa	rt Thre	ee Scientific Explanation	
4	Realism and Essentialism in Science		145
	4.1	Essentialist Realism	145
	4.2	Scientific Realism	146
	4.3	Critique of the Standard Argument for Realism	150
	4.4	Realism and the Humean Supervenience Thesis	154
	4.5	Causal Process Realism	157
	4.6	Essentialism in Chemistry	161
	4.7	Essentialism in Physics	165
	4.8	Essentialism in Biology	167
	4.9	Essentialism in Psychology	170
	4.10	Constructing Essentialist Explanations	173
		Notes	175
5	Essentialism in the Social Sciences		177
	5.1	Scientific Essentialism and Social Theory	177
	5.2	Historical Explanations	179
	5.3	The Structure of Realist Explanations in Science	182
	5.4	Economic Essentialism	184
	5.5	Economic Models	186
	5.6	Neo-Classical A Priorism	187
	5.7	Theoretical Ideals and Explanatory Frameworks	189
	5.8	Theoretical Models in Physics and Economics	190



	5.9	Methodology	194
	5.10	Economic Empiricism	197
		Notes	198
Pa	rt Foui	Laws of Nature	
6	Theor	ries of Laws of Nature	203
	6.1	Introduction	203
	6.2	Classification of the Laws of Nature	204
	6.3	The Causal Laws	206
	6.4	Desiderata for a Theory of Laws	207
	6.5	Three Problems About Laws of Nature	210
	6.6	The Humean Regularity Theory of Laws	211
	6.7	Conventionalist Theories of Laws	213
	6.8	Natural Necessitation Theories	215
	6.9	Essentialist Theories of Laws	217
	6.10	Solving the Three Main Problems	219
	6.11	The Abstract Character of Theoretical Laws	222
	6.12	The Role of Idealization in Physical Theory	224
	6.13	The Demarcation Problem	225
		Notes	226
7	Natural Necessity		229
	7.1	The Problem of Natural Necessity	229
	7.2	Hume's Concept of Natural Necessity	229
	7.3	Imagined Possibility	231
	7.4	Real and Logical Possibility	234
	7.5	Individual Essences and Kind Essences	237
	7.6	"Possible Worlds" Theories of Natural Necessity	241
	7.7	Humean and Essentialist Perspectives on Reality	245
	7.8	Grounding Natural Necessities in the World	248
	7.9	The World as One of a Kind	249
	7.10	Alien Worlds and Alien Kinds of Things	253
	7.11	Is Metaphysical Necessity Too Strong?	255
	7.12	Four Principles of Natural Necessity	256
	,,,_	Notes	257
D.	ırt Five	The New Essentialism	
			261
8		Essentialist Program Essentialist and Human Matanhyrica	
	8.1	Essentialist and Humean Metaphysics	261
	8.2	The Ultimate Sources of Power in the World	263
	8.3	Forces, Causal Relations, and Causal Powers	265
	8.4	The Program of Logical Analysis	268



8.5	Actual and Possible Worlds	272
8.6	Realistic Semantics for Natural Modalities	274
8.7	An Essentialist Theory of Conditionals	278
8.8	Realistic Analysis and the Theory of Conditionals	279
8.9	Essentialism and the Problem of Induction	283
8.10	Necessary Connections Between Events	286
8.11	The New Case for Epistemic Conservatism	288
8.12	The Program of Realistic Analysis	291
	Notes	292
Bibliograp	hy	295
Index		303



Preface

This book had its origin in a series of discussions I had with John Bigelow and Caroline Lierse, beginning in 1989, on the idea that the world is an instance of a natural kind and that the laws of nature are of its essence. This is not a new idea. Rom Harré and E.H. Madden (1975) had expressed a similar view in their excellent book, *Causal Powers*. But we thought that the idea was worth a more thorough investigation than it had had up to that time, and the three of us wrote a joint paper on this topic (Bigelow, Ellis, and Lierse, 1992).

At the time, I was thinking about the problem of objective knowledge in the particular form in which it arose out of the work that I was then doing on Truth and Objectivity. If the world has no intrinsic structure, I thought, then, in principle, any single way of conceptualizing the world might be as good as any other. There would be an objective world, and perhaps from a human perspective, a best description of it. But such a description might not also be the best from the point of view of an alien being, whose epistemic values might well be different from ours. However, if the world had a natural kind structure, I reasoned, then we should be able to classify things in the world objectively in two quite different ways - vertically, in terms of the distinct objects that are the members of the natural kinds, and horizontally - in terms of the kinds to which they belong, and hence the properties they must have by virtue of their memberships of these kinds. In that case, there would be a set of objective facts about the world - facts that would exist independently of how we, or any other being, might think or reason about the world. The hypothesis of a natural-kind structure of reality thus promised to yield a solution to the objective knowledge problem, for objective knowledge could then be defined with reference to this structure.



For three years, from 1990, Caroline Lierse worked with me as a research assistant, and then in 1993 as a research associate. In this period, we wrote a series of papers on a range of topics, including dispositional properties, laws of nature, and the ontology of natural kinds. Joint papers were read at national conferences of the Australiasian Association of Philosophy and Social Studies of Science in 1992 and 1993. One of these was later published as "Dispositional Essentialism." This book is heavily dependent on the work we did together in this period, and I am greatly indebted to Caroline for her contribution to it. I am particularly indebted for her work on powers and dispositions (in Chapter 3), for her arguments against Humeanism (published separately in Lierse, 1996), for contributions, too numerous to detail, to other sections of the work, and for the encouragement and support she gave me in pursuit of the project.

The book has also profited from discussions with John Bigelow, John Fox, David Armstrong, Alan Chalmers, Keith Campbell, George Molnar, George Bealer, and Erik Anderson, and with several overseas correspondents, including Evan Fales, Chris Swoyer, and Storrs McCall. I wish to thank them all for their contributions, and pay special tribute to the members of the School of Philosophy at La Trobe University and the Department of History and Philosophy of Science at the University of Melbourne, who put up with my going on and on about natural kinds, laws, and essential natures, and doing it all so very goodnaturedly.

For long periods of time, my wife, Jenny, has also had a lot to put up with, with my sitting in front of my computer (even when it was a beautiful day outside and I should have been out in the garden). I thank her for her tolerance and support, without which this project would never have been completed.