

How did the Romantic era hear the music of Mozart, Beethoven, Schubert, or Berlioz? What did it make of such pieces as the Eroica, the Fantastic Symphony, or the eerie song Der Doppelgänger? From many different vantage points – some philosophical, some critical, some speculative, some analytic – this volume addresses these fascinating questions.

Twelve authors probe the mind of the Romantic era in its thinking about music. They provide a searching examination of writings by music theorists, critics, aestheticians, philosophers, and commentators from the period 1800–1875. In doing so, they wield new critical tools as well as old, casting fresh light, for example, on familiar problems of musical form by inspecting eighteenth-century rhetoric and nineteenth-century gendered discourse, exploring Schubertian modulation and Wagnerian motive with the insights of cognitive science, reinterpreting the pianistic finger exercise by way of Michel Foucault and *Frankenstein*, and so on. The impact of Hegel and Schelling on music theory occupies an important place, as does that of Schleiermacher's hermeneutics on analysis and criticism. Theorists examined include Antoine Reicha, Gottfried Weber, F.-J. Fétis, and A. B. Marx; critics and commentators include E. T. A. Hoffmann, Robert Schumann, Franz Brendel, and Hans von Wolzogen.

The brilliant group of young historians of theory represented in this volume provides an array of approaches, from detailed music analysis, through close reading of texts, through critical discourse, to philosophical inquiry.



Music theory in the age of Romanticism



Music theory in the age of Romanticism

edited by

IAN BENT





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521551021

© Cambridge University Press 1996

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 1996
This digitally printed first paperback version 2005

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Music theory in the age of Romanticism / edited by Ian Bent.
p. cm.

Contents: The mechanics of sensation and the construction of the Romantic musical experience / Leslie David Blasius – F. W. J. Schelling's *Philosophie der Kunst*: an emergent semiology of music / Ian Biddle – Fétis and emerging tonal consciousness / Thomas Christensen – Romantic music under siege in 1848 / Sanna Pederson – Second immediacies in the *Eroica* / Brian Hyer – Plato—Beethoven: a hermeneutics for nineteenth-century music? / Ian Bent – Intersubjectivity and analysis: Schumann's essay on the *Fantastic Symphony* / Fred Everett Maus – The concept of *développement* in the early nineteenth century / Peter A. Hoyt – A. B. Marx and the gendering of sonata form / Scott Burnham – ... wie ein rother Faden: on the origins of "Leitmotif" as critical construct and musical practice / Thomas Grey – Musical invariance as a cognitive structure: "Multiple Meaning" in the early nineteenth century / Janna K. Saslaw and James P. Walsh.

ISBN 0 521 55102 1 (hardback)

1. Music – Theory – 19th century. 2. Musical criticism – 19th century.

3. Romanticism in music. I. Bent, Ian.

MT6.M96208 1996

781'.09'034-dc20 95-38562 CIP MN

ISBN-13 978-0-521-55102-1 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-55102-1 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-02008-4 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-02008-5 paperback



Contents

List of contributors		page ix
Pre	eface ian bent	xi
Ac	knowledgments	xv
Pa	$rt\ I$ Cultural and philosophical frameworks	1
1	The mechanics of sensation and the construction of the	
	Romantic musical experience LESLIE DAVID BLASIUS	3
2	F. W. J. Schelling's <i>Philosophie der Kunst</i> : an emergent semiology of music IAN BIDDLE	25
3	Fétis and emerging tonal consciousness THOMAS CHRISTENSEN	37
4	Romantic music under siege in 1848 SANNA PEDERSON	57
Pa	ert II Hermeneutics, analysis, criticism	75
5	Second immediacies in the Emica BRIAN HYER	77
6	Plato-Beethoven: a hermeneutics for nineteenth-century music? IAN BENT	105
7	Intersubjectivity and analysis: Schumann's essay on the Fantastic Symphony FRED EVERETT MAUS	125

vii



Contents

Part	t III Rhetoric, metaphor, musical perception	139
8	The concept of <i>développement</i> in the early nineteenth century PETER A. HOYT	141
9	A. B. Marx and the gendering of sonata form SCOTT BURNHAM	163
10	wie ein rother Faden: on the origins of "leitmotif" as critical construct and musical practice THOMAS GREY	187
11	Musical invariance as a cognitive structure: "Multiple Meaning" in the early nineteenth century JANNA K. SASLAW and	
	JAMES P. WALSH	211
Inde	e x	233



Contributors

IAN BENT, Anne Parsons Bender Professor of Music at Columbia University, is author of Analysis (1987), and Music Analysis in the Nineteenth Century (1994).

IAN BIDDLE, Lecturer in Music at the University of Newcastle, received his Ph.D. from that university for a dissertation entitled "Autonomy, Ontology and the Ideal: Music Theory and Philosophical Aesthetics in Early Nineteenth-century German Thought" (1995), under the direction of David Clarke. He is currently working on a monograph on Léoš Janáček.

LESLIE DAVID BLASIUS received his Ph.D. in theory and composition from Princeton University in 1994, under the direction of Scott Burnham and Peter Westergaard. He is author of *Schenker's Argument* (1996), which examines the epistemological basis of Schenker's theory, and is currently working on the theoretical writings of Godfrey Winham.

SCOTT BURNHAM, Associate Professor of Music at Princeton University, received his Ph.D. from Brandeis University for a dissertation entitled "Aesthetics, Theory and History in the Works of Adolph Bernhard Marx" (1988), under the direction of Allan Keiler. He is author of *Beethoven Hero* (1995), a study of the values and reception of Beethoven's heroic style, and of *Music and Spirit: Selected Writings of A. B. Marx* (forthcoming). His interests include analysis, criticism, and the history of tonal theory.

THOMAS CHRISTENSEN, Associate Professor of Music at the University of Iowa, received his Ph.D. in music theory from Yale University for a dissertation entitled "Science and Music Theory in the Enlightenment: d'Alembert's Critique of Rameau" (1985), under the direction of David Lewin. He is author of Rameau and Musical Thought in the Enlightenment (1993), and of articles on the history of theory between the sixteenth and nineteenth centuries, and other topics.



Contributors

THOMAS GREY, Assistant Professor of Music at Stanford University, received his Ph.D. in music from the University of California, Berkeley, for a dissertation entitled "Richard Wagner and the Aesthetics of Musical Form in the Mid-Nineteenth Century (1840–1860)" (1988), under the direction of Anthony Newcomb and Joseph Kerman. He is the author of Wagner's Musical Prose: Texts and Contexts (1995) and other writings on Wagner, nineteenth-century opera, aesthetics, and criticism.

PETER A. HOYT, Assistant Professor of Music at Wesleyan University, received his Ph.D. in music theory from the University of Pennsylvania for a dissertation entitled "The 'False Recapitulation' and the Conventions of Sonata Form" (1995), under the direction of Eugene K. Wolf. His research examines eighteenth- and nineteenth-century accounts of musical form in light of concurrent intellectual influences, such as rhetoric and neoclassical dramatic criticism.

BRIAN HYER, Assistant Professor of Music at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, received his Ph.D. in music theory from Yale University for a dissertation entitled "Tonal Intuitions in 'Tristan und Isolde'" under the direction of David Lewin. He has published articles on a range of subjects; his interests lie in historical theories, discourse and rhetoric, aesthetics, and criticism.

FRED EVERETT MAUS, Assistant Professor at the University of Virginia, Charlottesburg, received his Ph.D. from Princeton University for a dissertation entitled "Humanism and Musical Experience" (1990), under the direction of Peter Westergaard, Carolyn Abbate, and Richard Rorty. He has written on narrative and dramatic aspects of instrumental music, recent American music, and gender studies.

SANNA PEDERSON received her Ph.D. in musicology from the University of Pennsylvania with a dissertation entitled "Enlightened and Romantic German Music Criticism, 1800–1850" (1995), under the direction of Jeffrey Kallberg. Her research interests include the history of music criticism and German nationalism.

JANNA K. SASLAW, Assistant Professor at the University of North Texas, received her Ph.D. in music history/theory from Columbia University in 1992 with a dissertation entitled "Gottfried Weber and the Concept of 'Mehrdeutigkeit'," under the direction of Ian Bent. She has published articles on this and related issues.

JAMES P. WALSH has taught music theory at Columbia University and at the University of North Texas. He received his D.M.A. in composition from Columbia University, under the direction of Mario Davidovsky, and is currently Vice-President of The Guild of Composers. His publications treat issues of perception, motivation, and attention in music.



Preface

IAN BENT

At first sight, the title of this volume contains a paradox. Theory (shorn of its qualifier) seems an unlikely bedfellow to Romanticism: we associate it with well-regulated cultural phenomena – theory and an ordered society exist in a symbiotic relationship. Hence we link theoretic activity with periods such as classical antiquity, the Enlightenment, and the modern era. The Romantic era, on the other hand, strikes us as an age of letting-go, of casting off shackles, of non-regulation.

How much more so, then, the theory of *music* – that least rational of all the arts? We tend to associate music-theoretic activity with the later Middle Ages and Renaissance, the late Baroque and Classical era, and the modern period. Again, the nineteenth century strikes as counter-theoretical. Our late twentieth-century sense of the history of theory has tended to trace a lineage of theorists from Mattheson, Heinichen, and Rameau in the late Baroque, through Marpurg and Koch, to Kirnberger and Albrechtsberger at the end of the Classical period, and another from Riemann, Schoenberg, and Schenker in the early twentieth century, through Hindemith and Messiaen, to Boulez, Babbitt, Boretz, Forte, and Lewin post World War II.

Between these two lineages there appears a cleft. It is as if a major fault-line existed between Albrechtsberger and Riemann, a mighty rift separating Classical theory from modern theory, a rift the contents of which have been displaced sideways or lost in oblivion. That which has been displaced no longer belongs to its own century; it has been annexed (and I have been as guilty as any of doing so!) either to the preceding or to the ensuing period. The formal theories of Reicha, Czerny, and Marx have been attached to the eighteenth century, as a kind of delayed-action audit of Classical form, especially sonata form, while the Sechter writings have been appended to the fundamental-bass theories of Rameau and Kirnberger, as have the Bellermann writings to the contrapuntal theory of Fux. On the other hand, the rhythmic theories of Momigny, Reicha, Marx, and Lussy



Preface

have been construed as adumbrating Riemann's phrase-structure theory, the harmonic theories of Hauptmann and Von Oettingen as forerunners of Riemann's dualism, the melodic theories of Reicha and Marx as foreshadowing Schoenberg's motivic organicism, the modulation theories of Vogler and Weber as anticipating those of Schoenberg, and the reductionism of Vogler and Sechter as harbingers of Schenker's prolongation. Meanwhile, other theoretical ideas – perhaps in some cases because they resisted annexation—were lost sight of: until very recently we thought of Fétis only as a bibliographer and historian, Catel's and Lobe's extraordinarily interesting ideas remain neglected, while the work of others such as Cherubini, Dehn, Jadassohn, Prout, and E. F. Richter (not to mention Marx) is still spurned as passé.

What is needed is to look at these writers in their own terms, to see them within the culture of their age, and thus to reappropriate them to the time of which they have been robbed by twentieth-century perspective. The process has in fact been underway for some years. A picture of an autonomous nineteenth-century theory is slowly emerging, like a photographic print in the developing bath. The lead given by Robert Wason and Renate Groth in their studies of Viennese harmonic theory and French theory, and by Carl Dahlhaus, Martin Vogel, Peter Rummenhöller and others, has impelled a new appreciation of several things: that in such men as Georg Vogler, Gottfried Weber, Anton Reicha, François-Joseph Fétis, Adolph Bernhard Marx, Simon Sechter, and Moritz Hauptmann we have major theorists grappling with substantive issues, and in arguably lesser figures such as Carl Czerny, Johann Christian Lobe, Carl Friedrich Weitzmann, and Arthur von Oettingen we have no mere hack writers but men of serious theoretical intent; that nineteenth-century theory as a whole was working through the crucial issues of its day, the issues that occupied also philosophers and aestheticians, acousticians and psychologists, as well as musical practitioners and educationalists; that nineteenth-century theory had a profile all its own, which is not to be forced in procrustean fashion into the configurations of other centuries, but must be appreciated in its own right; hence that to exclude such writers as E. T. A. Hoffmann, Alexander Ulibishev, Wilhelm von Lenz, and Hermann Kretzschmar would be to distort that profile.

Perhaps, then, the paradox of our title is more apparent than real. The present volume certainly contends that coherent music-theoretic activity was engaged in during the Romantic era, and that this activity was serious and substantial enough to deserve our attention now. It therefore represents the new appreciation of nineteenth-century music theory just outlined. Its authors – the editor excepted – are members of a new, young, and extraordinarily intelligent generation of American and British historians of music theory. There is no conformity to their training, as the brief biographies above attest. As a generalization, one could say that all are conversant with the positivistic (as Joseph Kerman has taught us to say) methods of music theory and history. Yet they are familiar, too, with many recent intellectual currents. In particular, readers will detect the stamp of Michel



Preface

Foucault's earlier thinking overtly in several of the chapters; they will find the influence of Saussurian semiology, of Derrida and de Man, of the hermeneutics of Gadamer and Ricoeur, of narratology, of rhetorical theory, and of recent philosophical thinking; they will find some of the chapters informed by new developments in music cognition, and others by the insights of gender criticism. I might add that at least three authors bring extra perspective to their topics by virtue of themselves being composers. This is a diverse group of authors, widely read, comfortable with new thinking and old, and judicious in their use of both.

The volume is structured in three broad sections. Part I, "Cultural and philosophical frameworks," examines ways in which contemporary philosophical and intellectual ideas informed and conditioned the work of music theorists. Leslie David Blasius takes as his field of exploration an object that most writers today shun - the piano exercise, neither accepted into the canon of music theory nor regarded as having intrinsic artistic worth. His article takes a broad temporal view of Romanticism, reaching from Louis Adam in 1805 through Hummel in 1828 to Karl Tausig around 1870, "grounding" the volume as a whole by tracing the roots of nineteenth-century thought deep into the eighteenth century. The chapters by Ian Biddle, Thomas Christensen, and Sanna Pederson form a coherent unit that investigates how German idealist and metaphysical philosophy underwrote thinking about music. Biddle looks at Schelling's ontology and its relation to theories of musical rhythm, phrase, and form; Christensen at Hegel's concept of history and its impact on Fétis's portrayal of the evolution of tonality; Pederson at Hegel's ambivalent aesthetic of music and the rise of an anti-Romantic polemical backlash. As a group, these three chapters chart musical Romanticism in its most prescribed chronology as spanning a half-century from 1800 to around the revolutionary year of 1848.

Pederson's concern with criticism provides a natural link to Part II, "Hermeneutics, analysis, criticism," the three chapters of which have in common a desire to get early nineteenth-century music criticism "out from behind" twentieth-century criticism, which it superficially resembles, and see it in the light of its own contexts, to rediscover its individuality. Brian Hyer takes an anonymous review of the Eroica Symphony dating from 1807 and examines it for its awareness (exemplified by Herder) of the cognitive processes of the listener's mind and its concern (exemplified by Schleiermacher) with the composer's intentions, and develops a theory of musical significance (drawing on Lewin and instancing Gottfried Weber) that resides in the listener's subjective experience over time; Ian Bent exemplifies Friedrich Schleiermacher's hermeneutic method through the latter's introductions to the dialogues of Plato, and searches for their influence upon E. T. A. Hoffmann's review of Beethoven's Fifth Symphony; and Fred Everett Maus conducts a close reading of Schumann's review of the Berlioz Fantastic Symphony, separating out its different "voices," highlighting the oppositions of intersubjectivity and analysis, life and death, and suggesting several contexts within which this extraordinarily complex critique needs to be read.



Preface

Part III, "Rhetoric, metaphor, musical perception," contains three essays that look back to prototypes that illuminate the ideas of three theorists, and one final essay that rounds the volume out by suggesting an isomorphism between an early nineteenth-century and a mid-twentieth-century concept. Peter A. Hoyt's chapter shares with the essays of Part II the common endeavor of "getting behind" an accretion of later ideas and revealing something in its own context - in this case Reicha's presentation of large binary form and neoclassical dramatic theory. Here is one of those instances alluded to earlier in this Preface, in which nineteenthcentury theory has been plundered for antecedents to later formulations - in this case Reicha's diagrams and terminology, forced into the mold of sonata form. The clarified result offers the pristine pleasure of a painting cleaned of varnish and later brushwork. In the next chapter we encounter an authentic description of sonata form, that of A. B. Marx, whose gendered discourse of first and second subject receives a close reading from Scott Burnham, is shown to be a metaphor - a highly charged one, true - for a uniquely complex thematic relationship, and is placed in the context of dualistic notions in contemporary German thought. Thomas Grey's initial search for the source of Leitmotif turns into an intricate disentangling of terminological strands involving metaphors of the maze, the labyrinth, the thread, guiding, and leading - all with evident roots in the Ariadne-Theseus myth - and others of weaving and spinning, fabric design and color, textile, and texture. The chapter culminates with the construction of a cognitive model of motivic listening, which links it to the final chapter, by Janna K. Saslaw and James P. Walsh. Here again metaphors play a large role, this time metaphors such as container, force, and source-path-goal. Contending that "Multiple Meaning" in the theories of Georg Vogler and Gottfried Weber constituted a paradigm shift in the abstracting of pitch relations around 1800, the authors use logic and cognitive science to propose an identity between that concept and aspects of invariance in post-World-War-II music theory.

If there is any one issue with which this entire volume is occupied, it is surely the question as to how the Romantic listener in the early nineteenth century heard music—what went on in his head as the music flowed by, what she made of such pieces as the Eroica, the Fifth Symphony, the Fantastic Symphony, and Schubert's haunting song Der Doppelgänger, how the nineteenth-century ear perceived relationships of pitch, rhythm, harmony, and melody. Our brilliant young group of writers has tackled this ramified question, directly or obliquely, bringing to bear the methods of history, philosophy, and theory, illuminating it with insights from politics, gender, metaphor, rhetoric, critical theory, narratology, intersubjectivity, cognition, and other methodologies.



Acknowledgments

Six of the chapters in this volume have roots in the International Conference on Nineteenth-century Music held at Surrey University, Guildford (England), on July 14-17, 1994. Those by Ian Bent, Ian Biddle, Scott Burnham, and Fred Everett Maus are revisions of papers delivered on that occasion, whereas that by Peter A. Hoyt is an expansion of the first part of a paper entitled "Anton Reicha's Accounts of the grande coupe binaire in Light of Neo-Classical Dramatic Theory," the second part of which will be published in revised form elsewhere. These five papers made up a session entitled "Analytical Thought in the Nineteenth Century," which was chaired by Robert Pascall and took place on the morning of Sunday July 17. Meanwhile, in another hall, a session entitled "Opera Post-1850" was going on simultaneously. Thomas Grey's chapter is an offshoot of a paper delivered to that session under the title "Leading Motives and Narrative Threads: the Leitfaden Metaphor and the Critical Pre-History of the Wagnerian Leitmotiv," which will appear in the proceedings of the International Congress of the Gesellschaft für Musikforschung, "Musik als Text."

The Surrey Conference was an occasion of a very high order, and its participants will remember with pleasure its sessions, recitals and many other events. Thanks go to the Department of Music of Surrey University and the many conference workers; tribute must be paid particularly to John Rink, who exhibited not only supreme organizational skills but also fine scholarship, and masterly performance at the forte piano. Thanks go also to the journal *Music Analysis* and to the Society for Music Analysis for generously sponsoring the analytical session.

Ian Bent's paper was committed to the *Indiana Theory Review* before the present volume was conceived. It was therefore first published in a double issue of that journal, volume 16 (Spring/Fall 1995), and is reproduced here with kind permission of the Editor.

Thanks are due to all the contributing authors, especially those who wrote new articles for the occasion, for meeting a tight schedule and submitting good-



Acknowledgments

humoredly to occasional chivvying. There has been a wonderful *esprit* about the whole collaborative enterprise – a spirit not unrelated to the vitality of the conference itself. Special thanks go to Scott Burnham for wise counsel throughout the planning and editorial stages, and to Penny Souster of Cambridge University Press for suggesting the idea in the first place, and for her sustained interest in the project.