

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page</i>	xii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>		xiii
Introduction: The layout of this study and the approach behind it		1
1 Enthymematic semiosis in Paul		5
1.1 A semiotic perspective for the study of Pauline argument. Umberto Eco's 'typology of modes of sign-production'.		5
1.2 Pauline argument and the rhetorical dimension of his discourse. Two lines of approach: the classical and the 'new'.		10
1.3 Intentionality in Pauline rhetoric. Differing estimates and the assumptions they entail regarding Pauline persuasiveness.		16
1.4 From the rhetorical to the sacred dimension. Coding levels in Paul. The role of the enthymeme.		21
1.5 Consequences of a semiological perspective. The crisis of rationality in Paul.		28
2 Varieties of enthymematic effect in Romans 1-4		33
2.1 The character of the enthymeme. Identifying enthymemes.		33
2.2 The 'power of the gospel'. The enthymematic factor in Romans 1:16-17. Reconstructing the logic of debatable meanings.		37
2.3 Those who are 'without excuse'. Those referred to in 1:20. The one addressed in 2:1. The enthymeme as innuendo.		46
2.4 The 'advantage' of the Jew in the context of universal sin. Affirmation and denial in 3:1-20. The proleptically enthymematic factor.		51

Cambridge University Press

0521018838 - Wrestling with Rationality in Paul: Romans 1-8 in a New Perspective

John D. Moores

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

<i>x</i>	<i>Contents</i>	
2.5	'Boasting' decried; the law upheld in the context of boundary-effacing faith. Romans 4 as key to 3:27–31.	60
2.6	Beyond the enthymematic. Some methodological considerations.	66
3	Ways in which enthymemes arise in Romans 5–7	68
3.1	The peace of the justified in 5:1 as logically consequential. Uncertainties of enthymematic implication.	68
3.2	The Christian's confident hope; two-sided enthymematic support for 5:5. (The uncertain role of 5:12–21.)	77
3.3	Sinning in the interests of grace. The 'knock-down' enthymeme of 6:1. The tangled basis of its confutation (6:2–11).	81
3.4	Christian eschewal of sin; prescribing what is affirmed. Enthymematic construal of logical anomaly in 6:12. Its sequel.	86
3.5	The spirituality of the law upheld in refutation of the bipartite enthymematic 'Aunt Sally' of 7:7a and 7:13a.	93
3.6	Linking the role and status of the enthymeme to how and when it arises, within the ambit of Romans 5–7.	98
4	How enthymematic argument stands in Romans 8	102
4.1	The Christian's reprieve and the two 'laws'. The case for seeing 8:1 as an inference enthymematically drawn from 8:2. Different ways of unpacking ἄρα, and their bearing on the issue.	102
4.2	Mortality, spirituality and filial inheritance. 8:9–17 and the regrounding of the argumentative dynamic through the enthymematic implications of Christian sonship.	111
4.3	From sonship and invincibility to totality of gifting. The enthymemes of 8:31–2: their finality and its re-dimensioning.	118
4.4	Security in the love of God. Paul's assurance (8:38) and its foundation in enthymeme and truism.	122
4.5	Range and limits of the implications of Romans 8 for the role of the enthymeme in Paul's discourse.	129
5	Knowing what tune Paul is playing	132
5.1	Coding and clarity in Guiraud and Prieto. Paul's own view of communication, and the problem it highlights.	132
5.2	Clarity and the enthymeme in Romans 1–8. How should the coding be characterised? Aesthetic and other approaches.	138
5.3	Coding in 'fuzzy' perspective. The possible implications for some concepts of Paul's.	145

Cambridge University Press
0521018838 - Wrestling with Rationality in Paul: Romans 1-8 in a New
Perspective
John D. Moores
Table of Contents
[More information](#)

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
5.4 Interpretation and Isotopy. The value of isotopical analysis. An application to Romans on the basis of 1:12.	153
5.5 Problems and advantages of the foregoing application. Its interaction with my general thesis.	156
Conclusions reached; their scope assessed	159
<i>Notes</i>	161
<i>Bibliography</i>	193
<i>Index of names</i>	206
<i>Index of technical terms</i>	210