## CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-00630-9 - Cicero: De Natvra Deorvm Liber I Edited by Andrew R. Dyck Frontmatter More information

#### CAMBRIDGE GREEK AND LATIN CLASSICS

GENERAL EDITORS

P. E. EASTERLING Regius Professor Emeritus of Greek, University of Cambridge

PHILIP HARDIE Corpus Christi Professor of Latin, University of Oxford

RICHARD HUNTER Regius Professor of Greek, University of Cambridge

E. J. KENNEY Kennedy Professor Emeritus of Latin, University of Cambridge

# cicero DE NATVRA DEORVM

# LIBER I

#### EDITED BY

ANDREW R. DYCK

Professor of Classics University of California, Los Angeles



© in this web service Cambridge University Press

www.cambridge.org

## CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-00630-9 - Cicero: De Natvra Deorvm Liber I Edited by Andrew R. Dyck Frontmatter More information

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

> > Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521006309

© Cambridge University Press 2003

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2003

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-80360-1 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-00630-9 Paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2009

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Information regarding prices, travel timetables and other factual information given in this work are correct at the time of first printing but Cambridge University Press does not guarantee the accuracy of such information thereafter.

## CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-00630-9 - Cicero: De Natvra Deorvm Liber I Edited by Andrew R. Dyck Frontmatter More information

#### SEMINARIO PHILOLOGICO TVBINGENSI

## CONTENTS

Preface	<i>page</i> ix
Introduction	I
1 Epicurean theology	I
2 Composition: date, change of plan	2
3 The scene, characters, and fictive date	5
4 The sources	7
5 Language and style	II
6 Influence through the centuries	14
7 The text	17
M. TVLLI CICERONIS DE NATVRA DEORVM	
LIBER I	23
Commentary	54
Appendix: Prose rhythm	204
Abbreviations and References	206
Indexes	221
1 Latin Words	221
2 Greek Words	227
3 General Index	228

#### PREFACE

It may seem audacious, in light of A. S. Pease's monumental commented edition of *De natura deorum*, for another English work to be offered to the public dealing with a portion of that text. Pease's diligence in collecting relevant literature, primary and secondary, make his volumes an unrivalled treasure-house of information. However, it must be said that students reading ND. for the first time often find that Pease's work fails to provide accessible answers to the puzzles that perplex them. In not a few cases Pease declines to adjudicate on controversial points, contenting himself with his massive citations; but students tend to lose their way in these thickets and return from their expedition disappointed. Furthermore scholarship on N.D. has been going forward since the mid-50s, especially on philosophical points, where, even by standards of his day, Pease was less than surefooted. On the text, too, progress has been made, in particular P. L. Schmidt's reassessment of the Leiden corpus; hence Pease's stemma (a modification of Mayor's) is superseded, the major problem being the insufficient weight he gives to codex B (see the Introduction  $\S_7$ ). Though capable of emendation (cf. on §28), Pease produced a text that is conservative sometimes to the point of unintelligibility (e.g. §§1, 30); he tended doggedly to defend the transmitted text and not consider whether scribes might have fallen into errors that obliterated the required philosophical sense (cf. on §§28 and 89). Moreover, in technique Pease's commentary was conservative even by the standards of its times. The commentator is well placed to provide readers with guidance about the architecture of the text; and the method of explicating the train of thought of an entire section of text before descending to the individual words and phrases was pioneered in Wilamowitz's Herakles (1895), Kaibel's Elektra (1896), and Norden's Aeneid VI (1st edn 1903). Pease, however, still clung to the older method of dealing only with the word or phrase. Immersed in a mass of details, the reader of Pease's commentary may well have difficulty seeing how the argument develops. For

х

#### PREFACE

these reasons English readers might find it useful to have a different type of commentary on ND., not to replace but to supplement Pease. This volume supplies one for Book I, which is a good vehicle for introducing students to Cicero the writer on philosophy since it exemplifies *in nuce* his technique of *in utranque partem disputare*. It also has considerable interest since it includes a summary of the theological views of many Greek philosophers and the one connected exposition of Epicurean theology surviving from antiquity. It was a Book that Cicero himself seems to have enjoyed writing (see below p. 2 n. 8) and to which he devoted his considerable skills of characterization and rhetorical argument.

I owe thanks to various persons and institutions who have helped in the preparation or improvement of this work. David Blank, Harry Hine, David Konstan, and Dirk Obbink have placed me deep in their debt by providing invaluable comments on an earlier draft; the last named has also very kindly placed at my disposal his manuscript text and translation of Philodemus De pietate II; quotations of this material are thus based upon his edition. The series editors, Philip Hardie and E. J. Kenney, have also provided invaluable help; this book has enormously benefited from the latter's unrivalled knowledge of Latinity and of the series' target audience: his detailed comments have improved every page. I also thank my wife Janis for her loving understanding and support during the gestation period. The Academic Senate of the University of California, Los Angeles, provided me with a research assistant and other material assistance for several years to help with the preparation of the manuscript. Cambridge University Press and its staff, especially Commissioning Editor Dr. Michael Sharp, have shown exceptional patience and care in handling the publication. Finally, the dedication expresses my thanks to the members of the Philological Seminar of the University of Tübingen, whose gracious hospitality and lively interest in my seminar on N.D. I during the Summer Semester of 1999 will always remain a fond memory.