

Parasitology

Parasitology publishes original papers on pure and applied parasitology, including biochemistry, molecular biology, immunology, genetics, physiology, epidemiology, ecology, chemotherapy and the control of parasitic infections, the application of new techniques, advances in the understanding of host-parasite relationships, theoretical studies and **major** systematic revisions. There is no minimum or maximum length for a paper but all manuscripts, including short ones, must be prepared in the standard format for this journal and any manuscript that is excessively long will be returned for shortening.

Manuscripts are submitted electronically to *Parasitology*, allowing authors to benefit from faster review and earlier, online publication. Authors should submit their manuscripts online to <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/par>. All enquiries should be directed to Professor Stephen Phillips by E-mail: s.phillips@bio.gla.ac.uk

Authors must follow these *Instructions for Authors* and should refer to a recent number of *Parasitology* for the correct style. Authors of **Reviews** must follow these instructions, except that Reviews may be subdivided as the author(s) see fit, with major headings in UPPER CASE and secondary headings in lower case *italics*.

The preferred word processing packages are Word or WordPerfect in either PC or Macintosh format. **Please note:** This journal does not accept Microsoft Word 2007 documents at this time. Please use Word's "Save As" option to save your document as an older (.doc) file type.

Submission of a manuscript implies that it has been approved **in its final form** by all the named authors, that it reports on unpublished work and that it has not been published or submitted for publication, in whole or in part, elsewhere. It is the responsibility of the named author to ensure that these conditions are fulfilled. Authors of articles published in the journal assign copyright to Cambridge University Press (with certain rights reserved), and a copyright assignment form must be completed on acceptance of your paper. On acceptance the named author will be asked to supply a final version of the manuscript. Once a proof has been returned only minor changes will be allowed. **Authors should be aware that large numbers of changes may lead to the paper being returned to reviewers for approval, delaying publication, in addition to incurring costs associated with making the changes.**

The manuscript should be organized as follows:

1. TITLE PAGE. The title page should contain (i) a concise and informative full title, (ii) the initials and name(s) of the authors, (iii) the full postal address(es) of the institution(s) where the work was carried out, (iv) a short informative running title and (v) the name and address, telephone, fax and E-mail numbers of the corresponding author. Footnotes containing other addresses may be included. **Nothing else should appear on the title page.**
 2. SUMMARY. This should not be more than about 150-200 words, in a structured format, and its purpose is to summarize the main aims, results and conclusions in such a way that they could be understood by any interested reader and not only experts in the subject, and could be used by an abstracting journal. References to published or unpublished work and unnecessary abbreviations should be avoided. Appended to the summary should be 3-10 relevant key words, suitable for indexing. **Nothing else should appear on the summary page.**
- The structured summary of a research article has four parts: the Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion.

Objective Place the research in the broader context of the specific subject or field of interest. An effective Introduction includes a statement of the purpose of the study and the specific questions to be answered. Limit this part to two or three sentences.

Methods Describe the design and principal methods used to answer the questions of the study. Include standards or reference results against which the study was measured. This section is typically three or four sentences long.

Results Report the key findings from the study. Ideally, report the results in the same order as provided in the Methods. Provide quantitative data as much as possible and be explicit if methods used were the same as subject standards, in order to facilitate meta-analyses. The results section is important and can be three or four sentences long.

The **Conclusion**, highlights the most significant finding and explains the connection between your work and the rest of the field. It can also include indications of the direction of future research. Limit this section to two or three sentences.

3. INTRODUCTION. This should be as short as possible, normally not more than 2-3 paragraphs, and should simply serve to introduce the reader to the purpose and significance of the work described. It should neither be a mini-review nor should it be so bald as to be uninformative. When making general statements, reference should be made to recent reviews, and specific references should be cited only if they are particularly relevant.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS. Sufficient information for the reader to be able to repeat the work must be given, but techniques described in detail in other publications need not be repeated, provided that an adequate reference is cited. Major modifications to methods should be clearly described. The numbers of experiments, replicates, etc. and any statistical tests used should be stated.

The full binomial name should be given for all organisms, except those such as mice, rats and rabbits, commonly used in laboratories and domesticated animals such as cows, dogs and cats. Generic names should be given in full when first mentioned and subsequently if any confusion is likely to arise. If reference is made to an uncommon taxon the authority for the taxon and date should be stated. Abbreviations such as *An.* (for *Anopheles*) should be avoided unless absolutely essential, for example when referring to two or more generic names beginning with the same letter. Authors should follow *International Rules for Nomenclature* and, if new names are introduced, the *International Code for Zoological Nomenclature*. All strains and sources of hosts and parasites should be stated.

Abbreviations should be used sparingly and unambiguously. SI units should be used wherever appropriate and other standard statistical, chemical, biochemical and molecular abbreviations may also be used. In case of any doubt, authors are advised to spell out the term in full, followed by the abbreviation in parentheses, when it is first used.

5. RESULTS. These should be confined to a factual account of the actual results obtained. Where necessary results should be analysed using an appropriate statistical test. Discussion and reference to other work should be left to the discussion.

(i) *Tables*. Each table, headed by a self-explanatory title, must be double spaced on a separate page and numbered consecutively. Rules, particularly vertical ones, should be avoided. Each table should be referred to consecutively as Table 1 etc in the text.

(ii) *Figures*. These may be line drawings or photographs and all should be referred to consecutively in the text as Fig. 1 etc. Component parts of figures should be labelled A, B, C etc. Captions for figures should be self-explanatory and must not contain details of results.

Line drawings should not be larger than **twice** the final size and in no circumstances should exceed 170 x 250 mm. Line drawings should be as simple as possible, lines should be bold enough to

stand reduction to about 0.25-0.35 mm. Preferred symbols are open and filled circles, squares and triangles, and these should be used consistently. Lettering should be kept to a minimum and should be self-explanatory and unambiguous and of sufficiently high quality and size to be clearly visible after reduction to final size.

Photographs should be the same size as they will appear in the journal and should be selected to fit neatly into one column (80 mm) or two columns (166 mm). Photographs should be labelled and numbered as for line drawings. For microscopical preparations, scale bars with appropriate units (e.g. 50µm) must be provided; statements of magnification are not acceptable.

Colour figures may be accepted provided that they are of a very high quality and scientifically necessary. The final decision for use of colour will be at the discretion of the editors.

6. DISCUSSION. The results should neither be repeated in detail nor should new information be introduced. Speculation is encouraged but should not go beyond reasonable and testable hypotheses. The discussion should not attempt to be a mini-review.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. You may acknowledge individuals or organisations that provided advice, support (non-financial). Formal financial support and funding should be listed in the following section.

8. FINANCIAL SUPPORT. Please provide details of the sources of financial support for all authors, including grant numbers. For example, “ This work was supported by the Medical research Council (grant number XXXXXXX)”. Multiple grant numbers should be separated by a comma and space, and where research was funded by more than one agency the different agencies should be separated by a semi-colon, with “and before the final funder. Grants held by different authors should be identified as belonging to individual authors by the authors’ initials. For example, “This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (A.B., grant numbers XXXX, YYYY), (C.D., grant number ZZZZ); the Natural Environment Research Council (E.F., grant number FFFF); and the National Institutes of Health (A.B., grant number GGGG), (E.F., grant number HHHH). Where no specific funding has been provided for research, please provide the following statement “This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.”

9. REFERENCES. It is **essential** that the appropriate reference format for *Parasitology* is adhered to precisely.

(i) *References in the text.*

References should be kept to an essential minimum. Only references to published work or work actually “in Press” are permitted and under no circumstances should references to unpublished work, work in preparation or un-refereed abstracts be included (such data should be cited as *personal communications* or *unpublished observations* in the text).

References should be ordered chronologically in the text as follows,

Brown, A. (1995).

Brown, A. and Green, B. (1991).

For papers with more than two authors *et al.* should be used.

Brown, A. *et al.* (1994).

Brown, A. *et al.* (1992a).

Brown, A. *et al.* (1992b).

When authors are not directly referred to the reference should be in parentheses as follows:

All currently known COI sequences of *G. salaris* from rainbow trout (Hansen *et al.* 2003; Meinilä *et al.* 2002, 2004) are haplotype F.

(ii) *List of References.*

References, **which must be double spaced and listed alphabetically**, should begin on a separate page following the Discussion and Acknowledgements. The accuracy and appropriateness of the references are solely the responsibility of the author and are not checked in the editorial office.

The format required by this journal is given below and, if in any doubt, authors should refer to a recent copy of the journal. Please note that the names of **all** authors should be given in **bold** font and that the journal name should be *italicized* and given in **full**, not abbreviated. Where known, the article Digital Object Identifier (DOI) should be included, at the end of the entry (see example below).

Journal references

Higgs, S., Snow, K. and Gould, E. A. (2003). The potential for West Nile virus to establish outside of its natural range: a consideration of potential mosquito vectors in the United Kingdom. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **98**, 82-87. doi: 10.1016/S0035-9203(03)00004-X.

Books

Smyth, J. D. (1994). *Introduction to Animal Parasitology*, 3rd Edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Chapters in books

Grenfell, B. T., Dietz, K. and Roberts, M. G. (1995). Modelling the immuno-epidemiology of macroparasites in naturally-fluctuating host populations. In *Ecology of Infectious Diseases in Natural Populations* (ed. Grenfell, B. T. and Dobson, A. P.), pp. 362-383. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

WHO publications

World Health Organization (1995). *Onchocerciasis and its Control*. WHO Technical Report Series No. 852. World Health Organization, Geneva.

When referencing Parasitology Supplements

Jenkins, D. J. and MacPherson, C. N. L. (2003). Transmission ecology of *Echinococcus* in wild-life in Australia and Africa. *Parasitology* **127** (Suppl.) S63-S72. doi: 10.1017/S0031182003003871.

On Acceptance

On acceptance to the journal the final version of the manuscript containing the following should be submitted.

Title Page, Summary, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, Acknowledgements, References, Tables, Captions to Figures

In particular, each table should occupy a separate page.

Please ensure that your figures are submitted separately **at final publication size** (one column, 80mm) or two-column (166 mm) and are in the recommended file formats. Following these guidelines will result in high quality images being reproduced in both the print and the online versions of the journal. Please do not submit the final versions of figures in Powerpoint (.ppt) format.

Line artwork

Format: tif or eps

Colour mode: black and white (also known as 1-bit)

Resolution: 1200 dpi

Combination artwork (line/tone)

Format: tif or eps

Colour mode: greyscale (also known as 8-bit)

Resolution: 800 dpi

Black and white halftone artwork

Format: tif

Colour mode: greyscale (also known as 8-bit)

Resolution: 300 dpi

Colour halftone artwork

Format: tif

Colour mode: CMYK colour

Resolution: 300 dpi

Proofs

Page proofs will be forwarded as PDF files by E-mail to the corresponding author. These will already have been read for printer's errors but it is the responsibility of the author to ensure that no errors remain.

Only essential corrections should be made and authors will be charged for excessive alterations at the proof stage. If corrections are deemed to be substantial **the paper will be rejected** and the author asked to resubmit their work for peer review.

(Revised 27/02/09)