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*Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* is an exciting online journal featuring authoritative and timely reviews of the latest developments in this fast-growing field. Coverage includes gene therapy, immunotherapeutics, drug design, vaccines, genetic testing, pathogenesis, microbiology, epidemiology, genomics, diagnostics and innovative techniques.

A new emphasis on translational aspects of molecular medicine, including those related to the application of discoveries in the molecular basis of disease to clinical practice and experimental medicine will be viewed as important for development of the field and the journal.

The reviews are written by experts, peer-reviewed and carefully edited, and include informative illustrations. The online platform of the journal provides a range of functionalities for readers and authors, and is regularly updated.

*Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* will be of interest to biomedical researchers, clinicians and students, as well as researchers in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries.

Reviews may be invited by the Editor, but may also be submitted.

All manuscripts must be submitted online at:

http://www.editorialmanager.com/ermm/

Submission of a paper will be taken to imply that it is unpublished and it is not being considered for publication elsewhere. Authors of articles published in the journal assign copyright to Cambridge University Press (with certain rights reserved) and you will receive a copyright assignment form for signature on acceptance of your paper.

Please read the terms and conditions attached to the copyright form for information on which version of your paper you may post on websites and repositories. The copyright forms can be viewed here.

Please direct any queries to the Editorial Office at: ermm@cambridge.org

**Preparation of manuscripts**

The length of the article will depend on the scope of the subject area and its topicality, and both broad-ranging reviews and shorter, more-focused overviews are published in the journal. However, the article should not exceed 6,000 words for the main text, and 150 references. In addition, please limit the number of figures and tables to six in total.

Reviews should aim to provide both an interesting introduction to the area for a wide readership and an authoritative, insightful and up-to-date summary for researchers in the field. Write in a clear and accessible but scholarly style, using informative figures to aid understanding and tables to collate findings.

**First page(s)**

*Title*

The title should be short but informative, and accurately reflect the article content.
Authors and contact details
Please limit the number of co-authors to five, and ensure that you have their agreement to be included. List a brief affiliation for each author (assigned with superscript numbers) below the author names; in addition, indicate the corresponding author with an asterisk and in this case provide a full postal address and email. Please note that the submission will appear only in the folder of the person designated as corresponding author. Other authors will be unable to view/approve or follow its progress online.

Abstract
The abstract should introduce and describe the main points of the article in under 200 words. References should not be included. Emphasise the clinical implications of the subject area.

Keywords
Please supply 5-10 keywords for searching purposes.

Main text

Introduction
Ensure sufficient background is included at the start of the review, so that it is accessible to a wide readership; the target audience includes researchers and clinicians from various disciplines, and undergraduate and postgraduate students of biomedical sciences and their educators. Technical terms and concepts specific to your subject area should be briefly defined or explained. Depending on the topic, a brief historical perspective and indication of current issues and aims in the field might be helpful.

Body of article
At this stage of the article, provide depth and insight for specialists in the field. Aim to provide comprehensive coverage within the scope of the subject area, and avoid dwelling on your own research. Write in a balanced style and reflect the consensus, but also comment on popular divergent views and provide evaluation and critical appraisal where appropriate. Include the field’s most important and recent developments, and speculate on further ones, but do not discuss unpublished results.

Guide readers through your discussion with informative subheadings (three levels of subheadings can be used). Avoid writing long lists of facts; instead, use tables to summarise findings, and provide explanation and comment in the main text. In addition, refer to figures to further explain or to summarise findings.

Clinical implications/applications
These should form an important part of your review. If not discussed throughout the article, a separate section should be included. Major clinical trials, both completed and ongoing, could be briefly described here.

Research in progress and outstanding research questions
This is likely to be one of the most interesting sections of the article. Comment on the most important unanswered questions in the field of research, or the ethical/clinical issues associated with them. How can they be addressed, and what are the existing and/or likely future barriers to doing so? Discuss the most interesting and innovative approaches being used in current research.
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Ethical standards
Where research involves human and/or animal experimentation, the following statements should be included (as applicable): “The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.” and “The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional guides on the care and use of laboratory animals.”

References
Please be prudent; list only the most significant primary papers, review articles and/or books. Please try to keep the number of references to fewer than 150. References should be organised by reference management software, ideally Endnote, to allow easy adjustment if the order needs changing during the editorial process. We can supply you with an Endnote output style for automatic formatting into the correct journal style before submission of your article or after pre-acceptance review.

In the main text, references should be cited using '(Ref. 1)', '(Refs 2, 3)' in order of citation, before the sentence punctuation and not as superscripts.

In the bibliography, references should be listed in the order in which they are cited in the text. Please check that no reference has been duplicated in the list.

For journal articles, please list: (1) a maximum of three author names (where there are four or more, use the first author’s name only, followed by et al.), (2) the year, (3) the full title of the article, (4) the journal title in full, (5) the volume number and (6) the entire first and last page numbers.

Articles that are ‘in press’ can be listed in the bibliography, but note that submitted papers that have not yet been accepted for publication should not be included. Please also avoid citing meeting abstracts and posters.

For books, please provide accurate information on author and/or editor names, year, chapter title, book or publication title, publisher and its city and country, and relevant page numbers.

Further reading, resources and contacts
Please compile a list of useful resources at the end of your article, together with a brief comment explaining content. Such resources might include relevant publications not listed in the main reference list, and electronic resources such as databases or disease pages in OMIM, and homepages of associations, professional societies or patient support organisations. Ensure URLs are up to date.

Tables
Tables should have a simple, single-sentence title. If possible, restrict the number of columns to five. Use lowercase letters to refer to footnotes, and list these alphabetically below the table. Abbreviations used should be defined in a list below the table, in alphabetical order.

References cited in the table should be included in the reference list. Include tables at the end of the same Word document as the main text, not as separate files. Cite tables in order in the text as ‘Table 1’ and so on.
Figures
Illustrations can contribute significantly to scientific understanding and communication and thus are a key feature of our reviews. Please consider carefully which aspects of your review would benefit most from supporting figures, and include informative diagrams or photographic images with your article. After acceptance of your review, figures will usually be redrawn or restyled for consistency and quality, but at least basic figures need to be supplied with the original submission for peer review.

Figure legends
Use a simple, single-sentence title, and a self-standing figure legend that explains the figure in detail and defines all abbreviations used (even if already given in the main text). Use ‘a’, ‘b’, ‘c’ etc. to refer to different parts of the figure.

Graphics
For schematic figures, use simple, accurate graphics with short, informative labels and/or a key. If possible, use a good computer-drawing package such as Adobe Illustrator, Microsoft PowerPoint or ChemDraw to prepare your figures. Save all figures in the original drawing package format (with ‘layers’ if appropriate). For ChemDraw images, please supply the original ChemDraw files and also versions saved as .eps files; for drawing packages other than Illustrator and PowerPoint, please also supply in an alternative format such as .pdf, .tiff, .eps or .jpeg.

For photographic images, please use colour rather than black and white where possible and include a scale bar, indicating in the legend what distance the scale bar represents. Scan images at 300 dpi in RGB, to a height of 10-15 cm, convert the file to CMYK and also supply in an alternative format such as .pdf, .tiff, .eps or .jpg. For photographic images with embedded labels, please provide an unlabelled version as well. For very large figure files, higher-resolution versions can be sent by CD after acceptance if necessary; however, files that can be emailed are required for peer review.

Permissions
We prefer all figures in the reviews to be original; please try to submit only figures that have not been published before. However, figures should not constitute ‘primary data’. For new photographic images showing examples of standard morphology or previously reported findings, original publications should be referred to in the legend.

If you need to include textual or illustrative material not in your copyright and not covered by fair use or fair dealing, permission must be obtained from the relevant publisher for the nonexclusive right to reproduce the material in all forms and media, including electronic publication. This can be done after acceptance of your article, and then correspondence showing permission has been granted should be forwarded to the editorial office with the revised article.

Clinical photos revealing patient identity should be avoided; in cases where this is not possible, patient consent must be obtained.

Journal style
UK English is the standard for spellings. Note, however, that UK and US spellings are used in the reference list (i.e., titles as listed in PubMed).

Abbreviations should be defined in full at first mention in the text.

For human gene names, use style given by the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee; for protein names, use this style unitalicised if possible, or give this in brackets after the first mention of a protein if an alternative style is established in the field. For gene nomenclature in other species, please refer to appropriate organism-specific databases, such as Mouse Genome Informatics.

For GPCRs and ion channels use style in International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology databases.
For diseases, use UK spelling of names in Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; for drug names use style in the British National Formulary; for viruses refer to the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of viruses.
Honorarium (For INVITED Reviews ONLY)

As a token of our gratitude for your time and effort in writing and revising your article, we offer an honorarium to one author of an invited article. The honorarium form sent at the acceptance stage gives you two options: (1) to choose books or journals published by Cambridge University Press to a value of £140; or (2) to choose books or journals to a value of £75 and, in addition, to receive a free one-year subscription to ERMM (worth £86).

Proofs

Proofs will be sent to the author for checking. Typographical or factual errors only may be changed at proof stage. The publisher reserves the right to charge authors for correction of non-typographical errors.

Complimentary PDF

A PDF offprint of each article will be supplied free to each first named author.

Open Access

Under the conditions detailed on the journal’s standard transfer of copyright form, when an article is accepted, its authors are free to post their version of the accepted manuscript on a website or repository. As such, the Journal is compliant with the ‘Open Access’ mandates of the vast majority of academic institutions and funding sources.

Authors also have the option to publish their paper under a fully ‘Open Access’ agreement, upon the payment of a one-off ‘Article Processing Charge’ of £1,695/$2,700. In this case, the final published ‘Version of Record’ shall be made freely available to all, in perpetuity, and will be published under a creative commons licence, enabling its free re-use and redistribution for non-commercial means.

The corresponding author will be able to choose between standard publication and publication under the ‘Open Access’ agreement once their paper has been accepted.

Author Language Services

Cambridge recommends that authors have their manuscripts checked by an English language native speaker before submission; this will ensure that submissions are judged at peer review exclusively on academic merit. We list a number of third-party services specialising in language editing and / or translation, and suggest that authors contact as appropriate. Use of any of these services is voluntary, and at the author's own expense.
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