

Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Behavioral and Brain Sciences (BBS) is a unique scientific communication medium, providing the service of Open Peer Commentary for reports of significant current work in psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology or cognitive science. If a manuscript is judged by BBS referees and editors to be appropriate for Commentary (see Criteria below), it is circulated electronically to a large number of commentators selected (with the aid of systematic bibliographic searches and e-mail Calls for Commentators) from the BBS Associateship and the worldwide biobehavioral science community, including individuals recommended by the author. If you are not a BBS Associate and wish to enquire about joining, please see the instructions for associate membership at <http://www.bbsonline.org/Instructions/associnst.html>

Once the Commentary stage of the process has begun, the author can no longer alter the article, but can respond formally to all commentaries accepted for publication. The target article, commentaries, and authors' responses then co-appear in BBS. Continuing Commentary and replies can appear in later issues.

Criteria for acceptance

To be eligible for publication, a paper should not only meet the standards of a journal such as *Psychological Review* or the *International Review of Neurobiology* in terms of conceptual rigor, empirical grounding, and clarity of style, but should also offer an explicit rationale for soliciting Commentary. That rationale (c.500 words) should be provided in the author's electronic covering letter with their submission, together with a list of suggested commentators (complete with e-mail addresses).

A BBS target article can be: (i) the report and discussion of empirical research that the author judges to have broader scope and implications than might be more appropriately reported in a specialty journal; (ii) an unusually significant theoretical article that formally models or systematizes a body of research; or (iii) a novel interpretation, synthesis, or critique of existing experimental or theoretical work. Occasionally, articles dealing with social or philosophical aspects of the behavioral and brain sciences will be considered.

The service of Open Peer Commentary will be primarily devoted to original unpublished manuscripts written specifically for BBS treatment. However, a recently published book whose contents meet the standards outlined above spontaneously and multiply nominated by the BBS Associateship may also be eligible for Commentary. In such a BBS Multiple Book Review, a comprehensive, article-length précis by the author is published together with the commentaries and the author's response. In special cases, Commentary will also be extended to a position paper or an already published article that deals with particularly influential or controversial research or that has itself proven to be especially important or controversial. In normal cases however, BBS submissions may not be already published (either in part or whole) or be under consideration for publication elsewhere and submission of an article is considered expressly to imply this. Multiple book reviews and previously published articles appear by invitation only. Self-nominations cannot be considered, neither can non-spontaneous (i.e. author elicited) nominations. However, the BBS Associateship and professional readership of BBS are encouraged to nominate current topics, books and authors for Commentary; e-mail bbs@bbsonline.org

In all the categories described, the decisive consideration for eligibility will be the desirability of Commentary for the submitted material. Controversiality simpliciter is not a sufficient criterion for soliciting Commentary: a paper may be controversial simply because it is wrong or weak. Nor is the mere presence of interdisciplinary aspects sufficient: general cybernetic and "organismic" disquisitions are not

appropriate for BBS. Some appropriate rationales for seeking Open Peer Commentary would be that: (1) the material bears in a significant way on some current controversial issues in behavioral and brain sciences; (2) its findings substantively contradict some well-established aspects of current research and theory; (3) it criticizes the findings, practices, or principles of an accepted or influential line of work; (4) it unifies a substantial amount of disparate research; (5) it has important cross-disciplinary ramifications; (6) it introduces an innovative methodology or formalism for broader consideration; (7) it meaningfully integrates a body of brain and behavioral data; (8) it places a hitherto dissociated area of research into an evolutionary or ecological perspective; etc. In order to assure communication with potential commentators (and readers) from other BBS specialty areas, all technical terminology must be clearly defined or simplified, and specialized concepts must be fully described. In case of doubt of appropriateness for BBS commentary, authors should e-mail bbs@bbsonline.org detailing their proposal for the submission before submitting the entire electronic paper.

A note on commentaries

The purpose of the Open Peer Commentary service is to provide a concentrated constructive interaction between author and commentators on a topic judged to be of broad significance to the biobehavioral science community. Commentators should provide substantive criticism, interpretation, and elaboration as well as any pertinent complementary or supplementary material, such as illustrations; all original data will be refereed in order to assure the archival validity of BBS commentaries. Commentaries and articles should be free of hyperbole and remarks ad hominem. Please refer to and follow exactly the BBS Instructions for Commentators at <http://www.bbsonline.org/instructions/cominst.html> before submitting a commentary.

Style and format for target articles

Target Articles must not exceed 14,000 words (and should ordinarily be considerably shorter); commentaries should not exceed 1,000 words, excluding references. Spelling, capitalization, and punctuation should be consistent within each article and commentary and should follow the style recommended in the latest edition of *A Manual of Style*, The University of Chicago Press. It is advisable to examine a recent issue of BBS as a model.

Web-Ready Draft:

BBS no longer deals with paper files. Submissions can only be accepted for refereeing as web-ready html files (not encoded – only ASCII HTML code). Figures must be supplied as separate gif, jpeg or png files, again not encoded and should appear inline, in the text of the webpage your html code produces, not separately at the end of the paper nor linked to as separate images. Although we can be notified by snail-mail of your submission, we will ask for an electronic version of all materials before we can begin the refereeing process. This can be archived at your website (in which case e-mail its URL to BBS, we will copy it to our own site), e-mailed as an html file and gif/jpg attachments to bbs@bbsonline.org or deposited directly into the User Login submission buffer of BBSprints. BBS temporarily archives the manuscript submitted for refereeing to a (nonpublic) Web Site accessible to the selected referees only. This is to accelerate and facilitate the refereeing process; after refereeing is completed, your manuscript will be removed; once accepted, the final draft will then be archived publicly for potential commentators in the public BBSprints Archives.

Submission Format

In addition, please make sure your html target article file has ALL of the following in this order: Four Separate Word Counts (for the abstract, main text, references, and entire text – total + addresses etc.), an

Indexable Title, Full Name(s), Institutional Address(es), E-mail Address(es) and Homepage URL(s) for all authors (where available), Short Abstract (100 words), Long Abstract (250 words), 5-10 Keywords (in alphabetical order), approx. 12,000 word Main Text (with paragraphs separated by full blank lines, not tab indents), and Alphabetical Reference List.

Target article authors must also provide numbered headings and subheadings to facilitate cross-reference by commentators. Tables and figures (i.e., photographs, graphs, charts, or other artwork) should be numbered consecutively. Every table should have a title; every figure, a caption. At least one reference in the text must indicate the appropriate location.

Endnotes and appendices should be grouped together at the end of the paper and should ideally be locally linked to in the text to facilitate the reader (and of course the referee's task). Acknowledgements should be placed at the end of the paper.

The short abstract will appear by way of an advertisement, one issue in advance of the publication issue. The long abstract will be circulated to referees and then potential commentators should the paper be accepted, and will appear with the printed article. BBS's rigorous timetable constraints (requiring the coordination of target articles, commentaries and author's responses within the publishing queue) make it extremely difficult for us to process follow-up drafts of your submission. Please make sure that the paper you submit is the carefully checked final draft to which you wish the referees to address.

Please also ensure that your submission has been proof-read by a native English speaker before submission. This, of course, greatly improves its chances at the refereeing stage.

References

Bibliographic citations in the text must include the author's last name and the date of publication and may include page references. Complete bibliographic information for each citation should be included in the list of references. Please also include and link to the WWW URL for any paper for which it exists. Examples of correct styles are: Brown (1973); (Brown 1973); Brown 1973; 1978); (Brown 1973; Jones 1976); (Brown & Jones 1978); (Brown et al. 1978). References should be in alphabetical order in the style of the following examples. Do not abbreviate journal titles:

Freeman, W.J. (1958) Distribution in time and space of prepyriform electrical activity. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 2: 644-66. <http://cogprints.soton.ac.uk/abs/neuro/199806009>

Dennet, D.C. (1991) Two contrasts: Folk craft versus folk science and belief versus opinion. In: *The future of folk psychology: Intentionality and cognitive science*, ed. J.D. Greenwood, Cambridge University Press. <http://cogprints.soton.ac.uk/abs/phil/199804005>

Bateson, P.P.G. & Hinde, R.A., eds. (1978) *Growing points in ethology*, Cambridge University Press.

Preparation of final accepted draft

As with the refereeing stage, hard copies are no longer dealt with; once again an html file (with an optional additional pdf version) must be supplied. However, for printing purposes it may be necessary to also submit your figures as tif or eps files; printing requires resolutions of at least 1100dpi. Your paper will be added to the fully searchable BBPrints Open Archive at this stage to afford the greatest possible audience of potential commentators.

Editing

The publishers reserve the right to edit and proof all articles and commentaries accepted for publication. Authors of target articles will be given the opportunity to review the copy-edited manuscript and page

proofs. Commentators will be asked to review copy-editing only when changes have been substantial; commentators will not see proofs. Both authors and commentators should notify the editorial office of all corrections within 48 hours or approval will be assumed.

BBSprints Open Archive Submission

(This requires registration as a BBSprints User – click Register on the www.bbsonline.org front page and follow the simple instructions). Logon to BBSprints through the User Login link on the front page. In your Workspace, click the “NEW” button, choose the category “Submitted Target Article” and the program will guide you through submission. Note that it is always a good idea to e-mail bbs@bbsonline.org with confirmation that you have submitted the paper. Full instructions for electronic submission through BBSprints can be found at the BBSprints On-line Help page, at <http://www.bbsonline.org/help/>

Authors of target articles receive 50 offprints of the entire treatment, and can purchase additional copies. Commentators will also be given an opportunity to purchase offprints of the entire treatment.