CHAPTER I

SCHOOL REFORM—GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS


The key-note to effective reform of education in this country is development. Schools and teachers must grow in power and usefulness. Hindrances to growth must be removed. More favourable conditions for growth must be established. Various parts of the national system must be vitally connected, and progress in the whole organic unity ensured. But the reform movement must be from within. Unless the organism has the inner power to take up improvement from without, development cannot take place.

Attempts to impose reforms wholly from without, will be as unwelcome as they are likely to be formal and uninspiring. A code has always been a name unmusical in Britons’ ears and
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“harsh in sound” to teachers. We do not take kindly to whole systems of law, theology, or education. Our order is rooted in apparent disorder, and this makes for strength as well as for some appearance of weakness. It gives distinctness, power, and originality even to our mistakes, and dignifies our blunders as experiments. It has produced the state

“Where freedom slowly broadens down
From precedent to precedent,”

and has made us rather empirical in all practical matters.

Of all classes in the community, teachers are the most difficult to coerce, and not the easiest to convince. Teaching tends to isolate its practitioners from the outer world. They are less used to compromise and expediency than the merchant or the politician. Within their class-rooms their word is law. So it is sometimes rather bluntly stated that they are narrow-minded, and stiff-necked; that they are Ishmaels and unable to see matters fairly from the standpoint of national or local authority.

These things are partly true. But it would be truer to state that the teacher as a craftsman is apt to think lightly of mere theory divorced from practice, and does not readily tolerate suggestions from those who have not had the responsibility of actual school work. Also it is only right to say that he has been very considerably irritated by
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unsympathetic officials. He has in many cases been made to feel bitterly that he is the underdog. His professional attitude is therefore somewhat aggressive. But it is not true to say that he is fighting for the sake of mere obstinacy, or for his own personal gain. He fights too fiercely to be a mere partisan. He is in reality taking a stand for what he considers to be his professional freedom, the right of the creative artist to do his work in his own way. It may be taken that when he attacks inspectors or other officials, he has always some real or fancied hindrance to the progress of his art as a predisposing cause to a state of war. He is in most cases perfectly willing to discuss plans and methods with other brother craftsmen. An argument with an inspector too often takes the form of a defence against attack real or implied, and an official suggestion appears not unseldom to have an element of reproof in it.

This difficulty exists, and it would be worse than foolish to pretend that it does not, as it is a fundamental difficulty. Some wise modus vivendi has to be found between authority and individual responsibility. Where it has already been found, the way to reform is open and progress is now being made. The deadest places educationally are those where the hand of authority is heaviest, where schemes are most complete but enthusiasm is absent. “It is the letter that killeth, the spirit that maketh alive.” In many instances progress
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has been made in spite of officials, instead of by their co-operation or suggestion.

This is because the right type of education official is difficult to get. He must be of broad culture and wide sympathy, with the gift of organisation, yet with such experience of actual teaching-technique and such an intimate knowledge of its problems that what he has to say is sincere and convincing. He must deal with statistics; but yet not be ruled by them. Uniformity is in the writer's opinion an admirable touchstone. The official who oftenest uses this word and most seriously advocates the idea it connotes may be set down as third-rate. Procrustes was the first to practise the maiming art of producing uniformity. A statesmanlike official will find his highest work in stimulating and developing individuality. The system he administers will be at once highly complex in its details, yet simple and inspiring in its aims. It was a bad day for Rome, thought Cassius, when there was in it “but one only man.” It is a bad thing for a city or for a school when there is not such a wise administration that the work of government is distributed, and an ideal of co-operation is not being carried out.

Such education officials, then, as are required must be men of rare gift. They should be well paid. It is a hope of the teaching profession, at present but imperfectly realised, that these officials may be produced and trained from within; that
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they may graduate in the class-room before they legislate in the bureau. They will not be ready for such high office at a very early age, any more than judges or bishops are. And the teaching profession will never be as attractive as it might be, so long as the best offices in connection with it are held by those with least practical experience of its work. At present, the field-marshal’s baton is not to be found in every common soldier’s knapsack.

At the outset, too, the writer must protest at the unnecessary multiplication of junior officials, clerks and others, whose work is strictly speaking unproductive from an educational point of view. Much valuable teaching-time all over the country is at present being wasted by teachers who are preparing statistics, which again are to waste money represented by the time of the officials who digest and present them. There is far too much “red-tape” both in local and in Government administrative departments. There must be machinery, but it is only good in relation to the work for which it is called into being. Offices and clerks exist merely for the convenience of the schools where the real work of education is being done. Any money spent unnecessarily in the administrative departments is really taken from the executive departments where it is badly needed. An undue importance is attached in some places to forms, regulations, and instructions. It would sometimes
be an advantage to compare the office arrangements of a local educational authority with those of a world-wide engineering firm. In the latter instance, every detail of office expenditure and energy is reduced to the point at which it can be proved to serve best the firm’s main purpose, viz., that of engineering. Anything beyond this would merely impede the work, harass the actual constructors, and add to the work’s cost.

Most of the difficulties between teachers and officials have come about by the establishment of the bureau. The bureau afterwards increases its staff, adds to its responsibility, and leaves the schools less and less power of personal initiative and judgment as clerks “accumulate” and teachers “decay.”

Many authorities, on the grounds of controlling expenditure, control all the details connected with expenditure. They do not say, for example, that the school shall only spend so much on books or stationery, but they control, piece by piece, book by book, almost exercise book by exercise book, the school’s requisitions. Fixed Requisition Lists of books and apparatus, to be used as a basis for contracts, in time tend to rob the teachers of all share in the choice of text books or other professional equipment. Interest in these matters is therefore deadened and an atrophy sets in. The net result is another gain to the bureau in power and influence, and another loss to educational zeal.
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Attention is drawn at the beginning to this matter of administration, for unless there is established a proper co-operation between the teacher and the administrator, additional grants-in-aid by the Government will be used to increase the cost of the bureau. Could not the Government measure do something to safeguard the rights and professional powers of the Head Master? In any case, would not the Government attempt to decentralise control, to the extent of insisting that all governing bodies or sets of managers should have a real voice in the management of the school they are connected with? At present the power of many such bodies is illusionary, and Managers a title given to those with but little power of management.

Let us turn from the officials to the schools. Do our present arrangements make it possible to utilise to the full the reform influences on his fellows of the inspiring and suggestive teacher? Education is so much the result of influence and suggestion, that it is surely of the utmost importance to secure the maximum transmission of the best influences.

No one can honestly say that at present any serious steps are taken to secure this. Students of the various training colleges preparing for the Government certificate, or a University teaching diploma, have a privilege granted to them at a stage of their training when they are not fully qualified to use it, which is denied to their
colleagues of more experience who are working in the schools. Special demonstration lessons are arranged at certain schools for the students’ benefit; but very seldom are any pedagogic demonstrations available for other teachers. Occasionally in connection with some special subject, e.g. moral instruction or Bible teaching, a demonstration to a class forms part of the course. Only occasionally does an acknowledged authority on a particular subject in a University give any public lectures to teachers showing how modern views might modify the course of instruction usually given in the schools. With the great majority of teachers, their professional training really ends when their teaching work begins. Compare in this matter the young teacher with the young barrister. Once the latter is called to the Bar, his training consists, in part it is true, in getting up actual briefs and in making an increasingly successful use of his opportunities of actual pleading. But apart from these chances, often rare to begin with, his main professional work is to listen to his seniors. From them he learns, day by day, in their open practice in the courts. His forensic ideals are formed, his attitude towards his work made, by an inspiration from the leaders of his time, and he remains in the practising school all his life, even when as judge he presides there and regrets that the Bar is not so forceful and helpful as it used to be. Who benefits at present from the most brilliant lessons given in
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any of our class-rooms? The pupils of course: but there is not any general handing-on of successful procedure except through the training college facilities.

There ought to be more interpenetration of educational influence. Teachers ought to be allowed, nay compelled, to visit the schools of our continental neighbours, Germany, Sweden, Switzerland and France. There is an additional and special reason why this plan should be encouraged in the case of teachers of foreign languages. Indeed something in this direction is done. But it ought to be done more widely and on general principles of observation and enquiry, even more than for specific hints on language teaching. The Government might make grants in aid of this interchange of educational experience. Just now most of the more highly specialised and technical development of teachers is gained at their own expense, out of their own meagre salaries.

At present, interchange of experience is not common even within the limits of a single town, although an epidemic of measles has been known to send wandering bands of teachers to put in a little time at other establishments, during the closing of their own.

Of course, at the base of this suggestion for the creation of further opportunities for teachers of seeing high grade professional work, is the belief that such opportunities will be welcomed by the
keen people. There are many indications of a great national interest in experiment and research, especially if the latter is associated with adventure. Experimental Psychology has given a new set of data for teachers to consider.

But the fullest advantages of an alliance between the psychologist and the teacher have been scarcely realised. Yet it is probable that psychology teachers must go, in the future, for a knowledge of what it is possible for education to do for their pupils, and even, to a considerable extent, for some indication of the means by which it can best be done.

“How far may education utilise instinct?” “Is there such a thing as ‘general training’?” “To what extent is education affected by emotion?” These are some of the problems common to both teachers and psychologists, and in which both are mutually interested. Educative theory and procedure must in the future be, and has already in some places been, profoundly influenced by such work as that of Mosso on Fatigue, Binet on Suggestion, Ebbinghaus and Meumann on Memory, and Thorndike on Formal Training.

To link up everyday educational procedure with a rapidly advancing experimental science would be a great gain, and the Government may do worse than support Chairs of Experimental Psychology in the Universities, making it obligatory for the new professors to give lectures at times, at which