

## **Advice, Social Learning, and the Evolution of Conventions**

As societies progress, old generations of social agents die and are replaced by new ones. This book explores what happens in this transition as the old guard instructs the new arrivals about the wisdom of their ways. Do new entrants listen and follow the advice of their elders or dismiss it? Is intergenerational advice welfare-improving or can it be destructive? Does such advice enhance the stability of social conventions or disrupt it? Using the concept of an intergenerational game and the tools of game theory and experimental economics, this study delves into the process of social learning created by intergenerational advice passed from generation to generation. This book presents a unique theoretical and empirical study of the dynamics of social conventions not offered elsewhere.

ANDREW SCHOTTER is professor of economics and Director of the Center for Experimental Social Science at New York University.

# Advice, Social Learning, and the Evolution of Conventions

ANDREW SCHOTTER

New York University



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press & Assessment  
 978-1-316-51807-6 — Advice, Social Learning and the Evolution of Conventions  
 Andrew Schotter  
 Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom  
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
 314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,  
 New Delhi – 110025, India  
 103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)  
 Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781316518076](http://www.cambridge.org/9781316518076)  
 DOI: 10.1017/9781009049092

© Andrew Schotter 2023

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press & Assessment.

First published 2023

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data*

Names: Schotter, A., author.

Title: Advice, social learning and the evolution of conventions / Andrew Schotter.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY :

Cambridge University Press, [2023] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022040466 (print) | LCCN 2022040467 (ebook) | ISBN 9781316518076 (hardback) | ISBN 9781009048880 (paperback) | ISBN 9781009049092 (epub)

Subjects: LCSH: Socialization. | Social learning. | Intergenerational communication. | Intergenerational relations. | Game theory. | Experimental economics.

Classification: LCC HM686 .S35 2023 (print) | LCC HM686 (ebook) | DDC 303.3/2–dc23/eng/20220922

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022040466> LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022040467>

ISBN 978-1-316-51807-6 Hardback  
 ISBN 978-1-009-04888-0 Paperback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press & Assessment

978-1-316-51807-6 — Advice, Social Learning and the Evolution of Conventions

Andrew Schotter

Frontmatter

[More Information](#)

---

*To Marcy and Ross for being good people*

Brief Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> xvi
List of Tables	xviii
Preface	xxiii
1 Introduction	1
PART I BACKGROUND	
2 Advice	21
3 Conventions, Social Learning, and Intergenerational Games	45
PART II COORDINATION, DISTRIBUTION, AND TRUST CONVENTIONS	
4 On the Evolution of Coordination and Inequality-Preserving Conventions – the Battle of the Sexes Revisited	85
5 Conventional Behavior and Bargaining – Advice and Behavior in Intergenerational Ultimatum Games	111
6 Trust and Trustworthiness	138
PART III THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC ADVICE AND COMMON KNOWLEDGE	
7 The Impact of Private and Public Advice in the Minimum-Effort Game	175

viii   BRIEF CONTENTS

8	Advice and Common Knowledge in the Two-Thirds Guessing Game: Does Advice Increase Strategic Sophistication?	214
PART IV   THE VALUE OF ADVICE		
9	Learning with the Advice of a Meddlesome Boss	245
10	Advice and Social Learning	267
11	The Market for Advice	294
PART V   ADVICE AND ECONOMIC MECHANISMS		
12	Chatting and Matching	321
13	School Matching and Learning under the Influence of Intergenerational Advice	360
14	Conclusions	394
	Index	408

Contents

List of Figures	<i>page</i> xvi
List of Tables	xviii
Preface	xxiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Societal Problem	4
1.2 Advice and Mechanism Design	9
1.3 Choice under the Influence of Advice	10
1.4 Outline of the Book	11
PART I BACKGROUND	
2 Advice	21
2.1 What Is Advice?	21
2.2 Types of Advice	22
2.2.1 Naive Advice	22
2.2.2 Technical Advice	23
2.2.3 Wise Advice and Nudges	24
2.2.4 Coaching	26
2.2.5 Narratives	28
2.3 Advice in This Book	30
2.4 Approaches to Advice Giving and Following	30
2.4.1 The Economics Approach	31
2.4.2 The Psychologist Approach	37
2.5 What’s Next?	41
3 Conventions, Social Learning, and Intergenerational Games	45
3.1 Supergames and Intergenerational Games	45

X CONTENTS

3.2	Norms and Conventions	51
3.3	Forward Induction and Stable Equilibrium Conventions	54
3.3.1	Stable Conventions in the Battle of the Sexes Games	57
3.3.2	Stable Conventions in the Lab	59
3.3.3	Punishments	63
3.4	A Note on Social Learning	63
3.5	Other Generational Games	65
3.5.1	Dynastic Games	66
3.5.2	Overlapping Generations	70
3.6	Conclusion	71
3.7	Appendix: Intergenerational Games	72
PART II COORDINATION, DISTRIBUTION, AND TRUST CONVENTIONS		
4	On the Evolution of Coordination and Inequality-Preserving Conventions – the Battle of the Sexes Revisited	85
4.1	The Game	86
4.2	Results	87
4.3	The Evolution of Conventions in the Baseline Experiment: Punctuated Equilibria	89
4.4	The Advice Puzzle: Social and Belief Learning in Treatments I and II	97
4.5	Other-Regarding Preferences	100
4.6	Conclusion	103
4.7	Appendix: Regime Estimation	104
5	Conventional Behavior and Bargaining – Advice and Behavior in Intergenerational Ultimatum Games	111
5.1	Bargaining Conventions	113
5.2	Bargaining Outcomes with Advice	119
5.3	Conventionality	122



5.4	The Impact of Advice	127
5.4.1	What Motivates Our Advisors	128
5.4.2	Unfulfilled Expectations Cause Rejections	133
5.5	Conclusion	136
6	Trust and Trustworthiness	138
6.1	What Is Trust?	138
6.1.1	The Trust Game	140
6.1.2	Trust and Trustworthiness	141
6.2	The Experiment: Design and Procedures	145
6.2.1	General Features	145
6.3	Trust and Sender Behavior	146
6.3.1	Offers and Advice: Does Advice Increase or Decrease Trust?	146
6.3.2	Advice Sent and Followed	148
6.3.3	Does Advice Increase Sender Payoffs?	149
6.4	Trustworthiness and Receiver Behavior	152
6.4.1	Return Behavior	152
6.4.2	Does Advice Make Receivers More or Less Trustworthy?	156
6.5	Reciprocity	159
6.5.1	Reciprocity and Fairness	162
6.6	Do Increases in Trust Lead to Trustworthiness or Does Trustworthiness Call Forth Increased Trust?	164
6.7	Conclusion	166
PART III THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC ADVICE AND COMMON KNOWLEDGE		
7	The Impact of Private and Public Advice in the Minimum-Effort Game	175
7.1	Don't Do What We Did!	175
7.1.1	Private, Public, and Common-Knowledge Advice	177
7.1.2	The Minimum-Effort Game	178

xii CONTENTS

7.2	Experimental Design	181
7.3	Can We Talk Ourselves to Efficiency? Results	188
7.3.1	Block I Treatments – Minimum Choices	188
7.3.2	Beliefs in Block I	194
7.3.3	Block II Games – Minimum Choices and Advice Quality	198
7.3.4	Path Dependence	201
7.4	Beliefs and Common <i>p</i> -beliefs	205
7.5	Conclusion	208
7.6	Appendix: Estimates from Ordered Probit Model	209
8	Advice and Common Knowledge in the Two-Thirds Guessing Game: Does Advice Increase Strategic Sophistication?	214
8.1	The Two-Thirds Guessing Game	217
8.2	Experimental Design and Procedures	220
8.2.1	Hypotheses	222
8.3	Results	224
8.3.1	Private Advice	224
8.3.2	Public Advice	226
8.4	Cognitive Hierarchies	232
8.5	Conclusion	237
PART IV THE VALUE OF ADVICE		
9	Learning with the Advice of a Meddlesome Boss	245
9.1	Decision Problem	248
9.2	Experimental Procedures	249
9.2.1	The Optimal Action	252
9.3	Results	253
9.3.1	Surprise-Quiz Behavior	253
9.3.2	Advice Giving and Following	256
9.3.3	Advice Following	257
9.3.4	Advice Giving	260
9.4	Conclusion	264

10	Advice and Social Learning	267
10.1	Experimental Design	268
10.2	Theory and Predictions	270
10.2.1	Preliminaries	271
10.2.2	Action-Only	271
10.2.3	Advice-Only	275
10.2.4	Action-Plus-Advice	276
10.3	Do Actions Speak Louder than Words? Experimental Results	277
10.3.1	Question 1	277
10.3.2	Observed and Predicted Cutoffs	279
10.3.3	Question 2	283
10.4	Question 3	287
10.5	Conclusion	287
10.6	Appendix: Proof of Uniqueness and Regressions	288
10.6.1	Proof: No Other Equilibria Exist	288
10.6.2	Regression Analysis of Cutoffs: Advice-Only and Action-Only	289
10.6.3	Regression Analysis: Action-Plus-Advice Treatment	290
11	The Market for Advice	294
11.1	Experimental Design	297
11.1.1	The Investment Game	297
11.1.2	Creating Experts or Advisors	298
11.2	Creating Clients or Advisees: The Belief–Price Elicitation Game	300
11.3	Results	301
11.3.1	The Market for Advice	302
11.4	Belief Biases	308
11.4.1	Optimal Updating of Beliefs	308
11.5	Conclusion	314

## xiv CONTENTS

## PART V ADVICE AND ECONOMIC MECHANISMS

12	Chatting and Matching	321
12.1	The School Choice Matching Problem	323
12.1.1	Boston Mechanism	324
12.1.2	Gale–Shapley Deferred Acceptance Mechanism	325
12.2	Experimental Design	326
12.2.1	The Matching Problem	326
12.2.2	Networks	329
12.2.3	Treatments	331
12.3	Results	331
12.3.1	Strategy Classification	332
12.3.2	Phase 1: A Quick Summary of Results	333
12.3.3	Impact of Chat: Differences between Phase 2 and Phase 1	336
12.3.4	Welfare Changes	344
12.3.5	The Content of Chat	348
12.4	Conclusion	355
12.5	Appendix: Stated Preferences and Welfare	357
13	School Matching and Learning under the Influence of Intergenerational Advice	360
13.1	Experimental Design	365
13.1.1	Treatments	366
13.2	Results	368
13.2.1	Truth-Telling and Efficiency	368
13.2.2	Volatility	372
13.2.3	Explaining Our Results: Social versus Experiential Learning	374
13.3	Structural Estimation and Simulation	376
13.4	Advice Giving and Receiving	383
13.4.1	Advice Giving	384

CONTENTS xv

13.4.2 Advice Following	386
13.4.3 Verbal Advice	386
13.5 Conclusion	390
14 Conclusions	394
Index	408

Figures

3.1	Intergenerational games	<i>page</i> 48
3.2	Path of play of the battle of the sexes game experiment	60
II.1	Experimental design	83
4.1	Outcomes of battle of the sexes games	89
4.2	Estimated probability of equilibrium states	107
5.1	Acceptance behavior – probability of acceptance	116
5.2	Offer behavior – amount sent	118
5.3	Sender beliefs – probability of acceptance	118
5.4	Receiver beliefs – amount sent	119
5.5	Offers made for various treatments	121
5.6	Sender advice – advised amount to send	123
5.7	Receiver advice – advised minimal acceptable offer	124
5.8	Deviation of amount sent from advice	127
6.1	Histograms of amount sent by treatment	147
6.2	Amount sent versus amount advised to send	149
6.3	Sender earnings by treatment	151
6.4	Amount returned versus amount sent	154
6.5	Fitted versus actual amounts returned versus sent	156
7.1	Behavior of minimum across Block I treatments	191
7.2	Comparison of beliefs across treatments in Block I	195
7.3	Behavior of the minimum across Block II games with good advice	199
7.4	Behavior of the minimum across Block II games with very good advice	199
7.5	Probability of observing a particular minimum value in Block II games with good advice using the “hypothetical” dataset	203
7.6	Comparison of beliefs across Block II games with good advice	207

7.7	Comparison of beliefs across Block II games with very good advice	207
8.1	First-round choice – private advice versus control	225
8.2	Advisor and advisee choices – private advice	226
8.3	First-round choices – public advice versus control	228
8.4	Private advice versus guesses	229
8.5	First-round advice – public versus private	230
8.6	Estimated distribution of “thinking steps” per treatment	234
10.1	Experimental design and procedures	270
10.2	Process of cutoffs and imitation sets	274
10.3	The distribution of contrary subjects	280
10.4	Mean cutoffs: all concurring decisions	281
10.5	Mean cutoffs: weakly concurring decisions	282
10.6	Unconditional mean cutoffs	283
10.7	Distribution of contrary subjects: action-only, advice-only, and action-plus-advice treatments	286
10.8	Mean cutoffs for all decisions	286
12.1	Networks	330
12.2	Phase 1 submitted preference rankings	335
12.3	Content of chat messages	352
12.4	Messages condition on strategies used in phase 1	354
13.1	Truth-telling in Gale–Shapley and Boston mechanisms	370
13.2	Efficiency in Gale–Shapley and Boston mechanisms	372
13.3	Volatility in Gale–Shapley and Boston mechanisms	373
14.1	Amount sent and advised to be sent: advice and history treatment	395

Tables

3.1	Battle of the sexes	<i>page 57</i>
3.2	Example dynastic stage game	68
4.1	Battle of the sexes game	87
4.2	Advice offered conditional on state	92
4.3	Advice adherence conditional on last period's state	94
4.4	Following advice when advice and best response differ	95
4.5	Following advice when advice equals best response	96
4.6	Structural break estimates	106
4.7	Coefficient estimates for test for structural change	108
5.1	Offers by senders	120
5.2	Best-fitting step functions for action, belief, and advice distributions	125
5.3	Advice coding	131
5.4	Rejection and acceptance behavior	134
6.1	Tests for differences in the distribution of the amount sent	147
6.2	Regression of amount sent on advice dummy	148
6.3	Tests for differences in sender payoffs	150
6.4	Tests for differences in standard deviation of sender payoff	152
6.5	Average amount returned	153
6.6	Relationship between amount returned (AR) and amount sent (AS)	155
6.7	Trustworthiness (send–return)	157
6.8	Relationship between amount advised to return (ADR) and amount sent (AS)	158
6.9	Relationship between amount returned and difference in amount sent (AS) and expected amount sent (EAS)	160



6.10	Relationship between amount sent and expected return (ER)	162
6.11	Relationship between trust and trustworthiness: Does increased trustworthiness engender increased trust?	165
6.12	Relationship between trustworthiness and trust: Does increased trust engender increased probability of trustworthiness?	165
7.1	Payoff table in Van Huyck et al.'s (1990) minimum-effort game	180
7.2	The exact advice given to the subjects in Block II	186
7.3	Experimental design: Block I	188
7.4	Experimental design: Block II	189
7.5	Observed minimum choices in Block I by round	190
7.6	Group minima (Van Huyck et al., 1990)	191
7.7	Estimated probability of choosing a particular number: Block I treatments (at treatment averages of other variables)	193
7.8	Estimated probability of choosing a particular number: Block I treatments (at full-sample averages of other variables)	193
7.9	Chi-square test on belief distributions for Block I treatments	197
7.10	Observed minimum choices in Block II games	200
7.11	Probability of observing a first-round minimum by treatment: Block II	203
7.12	Estimates from the ordered probit procedure	211
8.1	Experimental design	222
8.2	Private advice and control	224
8.3	Public advice and control	227
8.4	Private and public advice	230
8.5	Advice following	231
8.6	The Camerer, Ho, and Chong (CHC) model	234
8.7	Previous beauty contest results	235
8.8	The Potamites and Schotter modification of CHC	237

XX LIST OF TABLES

9.1	Experimental design	251
9.2	Surprise-quiz choices: costly advice treatment	254
9.3	Surprise-quiz choices: no-advice-cost treatment	254
9.4	Surprise-quiz choices: Merlo and Schotter (1999)	255
9.5	Advice-following regression results: costly advice treatment	258
9.6	Advice-following regression results: no-advice-cost treatment	259
9.7	Advice following: costly advice treatment	261
9.8	Advice following: no-advice-cost treatment	262
9.9	Advice giving: costly advice treatment	263
9.10	Advice giving: no-advice-cost-treatment	264
10.1	Concurring, contrary, and neutral decisions in the action-only and advice-only treatments	278
10.2	Concurring, contrary, and neutral decisions in the action-plus-advice treatment	285
10.3	The likelihood of correct actions by treatment	287
10.4	The impact of advice: action-only and advice-only	290
10.5	The impact of advice: action-only and action-plus-advice	291
10.6	The impact of advice: advice-only and action-plus-advice	291
11.1	The payoff matrix	297
11.2	Client bids for data, beliefs, and advice by major: belief-price elicitation game	303
11.3	Determination of bid price: belief-price elicitation game	305
11.4	Performance of advisors by major in expert games	308
11.5	Values of $\Psi_0$ in the belief-price elicitation game	311
11.6	Mean bids by type – conservative, Bayesian, and representative: belief-price elicitation game	312
11.7	The impact of advice on change in beliefs: belief-price elicitation game ( $N = 73, R^2 = 0.54$ )	313
12.1	Preferences	327
12.2	Experimental design	331
12.3	Fraction of strategy changes	337
12.4	Strategy change, chat, and network type	340

LIST OF TABLES    xxi

12.5	Strategy change, chat, and priority rights	341
12.6	Chatting and payoff differences	346
12.7	Payoff difference, chat, mechanism, and priority rights	348
12.8	Chat records: message type	350
12.9	Stated preference (%) in all three mechanisms: Phase 1	357
12.10	Outcome distributions in all three mechanisms: phase 1 (%)	358
13.1	Student preferences	366
13.2	Experimental design	368
13.3	Structural estimation	381
13.4	Impact of own advice	382
13.5	Fraction of subjects for whom advice = action	384
13.6	When a subject suggests a different strategy than what she did	385
13.7	When a subject follows advice	387
13.8	Type 1: strategy, suggested advice, and verbal advice	388

## Preface

I have always admired scholars with research agendas. I am frequently in awe of scientists running labs whose web pages spell out their research agenda and describe what research they have done in the past and what they will be doing in the foreseeable future. It all seems so logical and well thought out.

I have been too insecure as a scholar to put all my scholarly eggs in one agenda basket and hence I never thought of myself as a person with an agenda. I wrote across many different topics. However, one day I looked back at a set of papers I wrote over the years and, lo and behold, I did have an agenda, I just did not realize it.

This agenda started when, not heeding the advice of the profession that young economists don't write books, I published *The Economic Theory of Social Institutions* (Cambridge University Press, 1981). In an article-driven profession, writing a book before one has tenure is a risky (suicidal?) endeavor, and I was told just that.

That book is a book about the emergence of economic institutions defined as conventions of behavior developed to solve a set of societal problems (more about this in the Introduction in Chapter 1). In some sense one might consider this book a sequel in that it asks not how conventions emerge but rather how they evolve over time and get transmogrified or transformed by a process of social learning via intergenerational advice. In between these two books is a set of about twelve papers investigating this theme and its consequences. So in retrospect this is the agenda I have engaged in, but I assure you that it was not a conscious choice. It just happened and was camouflaged by a set of other papers on topics that were very different.

I was fortunate in this endeavor in having a set of co-authors who took parts of this journey with me, starting with my papers

## XXIV PREFACE

with Barry Sopher. Barry was foolish enough to think that our intergenerational setup was potentially interesting and we wrote a number of papers together. Then came collaborations with Ananish Chaudhuri, Raghuram Iyengar, Tingting Ding, Shachar Kariv, Yaw Nyarko, Bogachan Çelen, Elizabeth Potamites, and Andrew McClellan, many of whom incorporated the intergenerational-game approach into topics of their interest. These co-authors all made this work enjoyable and productive. I am in their debt.

I'd also like to thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) for a number of grants that allowed me to conduct this research. In this connection, I especially want to thank Catherine Eckel, who helped me get my first NSF grant on this topic (with Barry Sopher) in 1997 when she was serving as Program Director. Without those funds I suspect I never would have started on this path. I was also lucky to receive two later grants from the NSF to pursue this research further. In addition, I owe a great deal to the support of the Center for Experimental Social Science (CESS) at New York University (NYU) which has provided logistical support for a lot of this research and to Anwar Ruff for his programming assistance and support. Let me also thank Phillip Good, who shepherded this book through the editorial process at Cambridge University Press and always offered good and supportive advice, and Geoff Amor, who painstakingly copy-edited the entire manuscript and rid it of many of the inconsistencies I had introduced into it over the months of writing.

Finally, in addition to my co-authors, I owe a great debt to three talented graduate students at NYU, Alexander (Sasha) Dorofeev, Mauricio Almeida Couri Ribeiro, and Sam Kapon, who painstakingly read every chapter of the book and gave me comments and advice. It's rare that writing a book makes one feel younger, but my experience with Sasha, Mauricio, and Sam did just that, because when I read their comments on my chapters and discussed those with them, I was immediately transported back to my graduate student days getting feedback from experienced scholars. Their

comments were mature way beyond their years and picked out many of the faults in my earlier drafts. It was a role reversal that was both embarrassing and refreshing. I enjoyed it but was glad to have a number of years of accomplishments under my belt in order to absorb their pointed criticisms. Finally, let me thank Pujita Sunder, who carefully read many chapters and whose eye caught and corrected many stylistic mistakes, my colleague Guillaume Fréchette, who commented on some of the chapters and whose wise counsel I seek for whatever I attempt to do, and Georg Weizsäcker, who was willing to spend some of his sabbatical leave at NYU looking over some of what I have written here.