

# 1 | Chasing Eurydice

## Writing on Music in the Late Mughal World

### Introduction

*You should know, dear brother . . . that in every manual craft the matter dealt with consists of naturally occurring material, and that all its products are physical forms. The exception is music, for the 'matter' it deals with consists entirely of spiritual substances, namely, the souls of those who listen to it.*

The Ikhwan us-Safa' (Brethren of Purity), c. 950–1000.<sup>1</sup>

*It is impossible to capture the essence of music in pen and ink on the surface of a page.*

Sher ʿAli Khan Lodi, 1691.<sup>2</sup>

How do we write histories of the ephemeral: of emotional and sensory experiences, of ecstatic states and aesthetic journeys, of the live performance of music and dance, of the tangible yet transient texture of the experiential moment? More to the point, how do we write such histories when those moments have long passed into silence? Can experiential moments even have histories? Surely, the momentary is the very definition of something that lies beyond history, beyond historical method. Isn't that the essence of its bittersweet pleasures: that, once over, it forever lies beyond our reach? How far can ink and paint on the surface of a page transport us into the experience of those, long dead, who once tasted those intensities, and for whom those moments were the warp and weft of their deepest personal and collective selves?<sup>3</sup> Can reflections on the emotions,

<sup>1</sup> Ikhwan us-Safa', *On Music: An Arabic Critical Edition and English Translation of Epistle 5* [c. 950–1000], ed. and tr. Owen Wright (Oxford: Oxford University Press and the Institute of Ismaili Studies, 2010), p. 76.

<sup>2</sup> Sher ʿAli Khan Lodi, *Tazkira-i Mir'āt al-Khayāl*, ed. Hamid Hasani and Bihruz Safarzadeh (Tehran: Rawzaneh, 1998), p. 141.

<sup>3</sup> Christopher A Bayly, *Empire and Information: Intelligence Gathering and Social Communication in India, 1780–1870* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), p. 55; Mana Kia, *Persianate Selves: Memories of Place and Origin Before Nationalism* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2020).

the senses and the performing arts tell us critical things about the harder-edged worlds of political, economic and social history that we could never otherwise access? What *was* the relationship between the aesthetic, the affective, the ethical, the political and the personal in South Asian history?<sup>4</sup> And what was at stake for North Indian men and women when their cherished musical worlds were turned upside down in the final century of upheaval that saw the Mughal empire give way to the British Raj?

In a series of six interlocking essays and a summative discussion, this book addresses these compelling but elusive questions through a focus on music, musicians and writing about them in late Mughal India (c. 1748–1858). The Mughals were a Central Asian Sunni Muslim dynasty who from 1526 ruled over large parts of the Indian subcontinent from the magnificent northern cities of Delhi and Agra, ostensibly until 1858. In reality, the Mughal empire began to disintegrate after the death of Emperor Aurangzeb ‘Alamgir in 1707,<sup>5</sup> which created a power vacuum that was filled initially by a series of resurgent regional powers, most successfully the Maratha Confederacy,<sup>6</sup> and ultimately by their ruthless foreign competitors the British East India Company. Through an exploration of six different types of writing on music prominent in late Mughal India, this book retells the stories of nine mostly forgotten elite musicians – five men, four women – and the courtly worlds they inhabited during the consequential final century of transition from Mughal to British rule. My time frame begins with the death in 1748 of the last Mughal emperor to retain any real geopolitical power, Muhammad Shah. It ends with the British overthrow of the last emperor Bahadur Shah Zafar in 1858, as punishment for his role as

<sup>4</sup> On emotions history in South Asia: Margrit Pernau (ed.), *South Asia History and Culture* 12.2–3 (2021), 111–355, especially Margrit Pernau, ‘Studying Emotions in South Asia’, *South Asia History and Culture* 12.2–3 (2021), 111–28; and Dipti Khera, *The Place of Many Moods: Udaipur’s Painted Lands and India’s Eighteenth Century* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2020). This opening paragraph is based on my review of Kavita Panjabi (ed.), *Poetics and Politics of Sufism and Bhakti in South Asia: Love, Loss and Liberation* (Hyderabad: Orient Blackswan, 2011), in *The Indian Economic and Social History Review* 52.1 (2015), 116–19, p. 116.

<sup>5</sup> Aurangzeb ‘Alamgir I (r. 1658–1707) should not be confused with his descendent ‘Aziz-ud-din ‘Alamgir II (r. 1754–59).

<sup>6</sup> The powerful Maratha Confederacy (1674–1818) is only occasionally touched upon in this book, and remains a major lacuna in our understanding of Hindustani music history. I would encourage historians working in relevant languages and Modi script to take up this challenge; there are certainly sources, for example in the Bibliothèque Nationale de France. For general histories, see the work of Prachi Deshpande, Stewart Gordon, A R Kulkarni and Rosalind O’Hanlon; for music, Justin Scarimbolo, ‘Brahmans Beyond Nationalism, Muslims Beyond Dominance: A Hidden History of North Indian Classical Music’s Hinduization’, Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of California, Santa Barbara (2014).

figurehead of the cataclysmic 1857 Indian Uprising, the *Ghadar*.<sup>7</sup> For those more familiar with colonial perspectives, this was the century of the East India Company's conquest of India, from the Battle of Palashi (Plassey) in 1757 to the imposition of British Crown rule in 1858 after the Company nearly lost control of its Indian possessions entirely.<sup>8</sup>

The geographical heartlands of this book are the vast alluvial plains and rocky hills of northern India, known as Hindustan,<sup>9</sup> that stretch out beneath the Himalayan foothills for over 1,200 miles watered by the rich Yamuna and Ganges river systems, though the Deccan Plateau to the south also comes into frame from time to time (see Map, p. xxi). Because of the violent collapse of the Mughal centre (c. 1739–61), which Indian writers of the time called 'the scattering' (Chapter 3),<sup>10</sup> this book's time frame was one of unprecedented migration across India for Mughal service personnel of all kinds, including many of the court's greatest performing artists (alongside numerous others who claimed to be). Successive chapters follow elite musicians chronologically from the Mughal imperial capital, Delhi, with its grand new city and fortress of Shahjahanabad completed in 1648,<sup>11</sup> to major alternative centres of cultural patronage in Lucknow, Hyderabad, Jaipur and among the British (1748–1842). We then return to Delhi and Lucknow for final chapters on the late flowering and sudden death of the Mughal imperium (1803–58), after the East India Company finally took Delhi from Maratha control in 1803.

The transition from Mughal to British rule has been of critical interest to historians of South Asia for the past forty years.<sup>12</sup> The dominant historiographical debate concerns the nature and extent of colonialism's impact on

<sup>7</sup> Meaning 'rebellion, disturbance'; alternatively the First War of Independence, the Sepoy/Indian Mutiny, or simply 1857.

<sup>8</sup> See Jon Wilson, *India Conquered: Britain's Raj and the Chaos of Empire* (London: Simon and Schuster, 2016); Shashi Tharoor, *Inglorious Empire: What the British Did to India* (London: Hurst, 2017); and most comprehensively the four volumes of William Dalrymple's *Company Quartet* (London: Bloomsbury, 2021).

<sup>9</sup> Manan Ahmed Asif, *The Loss of Hindustan: The Invention of India* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2020).

<sup>10</sup> For example, Zia-ud-din, *Hayy al-Arwāh*, John Rylands Library, Manchester, Persian 346 (c. 1785–88), f. 43r.

<sup>11</sup> Shahjahanabad is now called 'Old Delhi', but then it was known as the 'new city', *shahr-i no*, as opposed to the older cities of Sultanate Delhi surrounding it, known as the 'old city', *shahr-i kohna*.

<sup>12</sup> Going back to Bernard S Cohn's work on eighteenth-century Benares, with early landmarks Christopher A Bayly, *Rulers, Townsmen and Bazaars: North Indian Society in the Age of British Expansion, 1770–1870* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1983); and Muzaffar Alam, *The Crisis of Empire in Mughal North India: Awadh and the Punjab, 1707–48* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1986).

the knowledge systems of the colonised, from the very fundamentals of South Asian civilisation such as caste, religion and law to language politics and artistic production. Until the mid-2000s, opposing arguments contended that British authorities either made use of pre-existing Indian knowledge systems, gradually transforming them as they gained power and territory, or, alternatively, ‘invented’ them largely ex nihilo in the Orientalist exercise of power-knowledge over those they ruled.<sup>13</sup> Jon Wilson has noted that proponents of both sides were in fact largely arguing past each other: those perceiving continuity and incremental change were mostly eighteenth-century historians, while those insisting on dramatic rupture and invention of tradition generally did so from the perspective of late colonialism.<sup>14</sup> But the central flaw in the whole debate was articulated by Sheldon Pollock in 2004. He noted that the argument was largely raging in the absence of sufficient, sometimes any, knowledge of those pre-existing Indian systems: very few of the main contenders were working from the early modern Indian sources that embody such knowledge, other than those translated into English during the colonial era.<sup>15</sup> This was not due to a lack of pre- or paracolonial Indian sources, either.<sup>16</sup> ‘South Asia’, Pollock wrote, ‘boasts a literary record far denser, in terms of sheer number of texts and centuries of unbroken multilingual literacy, than all of Greek and Latin and medieval European culture combined’.<sup>17</sup>

*Music and Musicians in Late Mughal India* thus stands on Pollock’s foundational proposition, that while

the impact of colonialism on culture and power has been the dominant arena of inquiry in the past two decades . . . colonial studies has often been skating on the thinnest ice, given how much it depends on a knowledge of the precolonial realities

<sup>13</sup> For example, Bayly, *Empire*; vs. Bernard S Cohn, *An Anthropologist Among the Historians* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1987); Norbert Peabody, ‘Cents, Sense, Census: Human Inventories in Late Precolonial and Early Colonial India’, *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 43.4 (2001), 819–50; vs. Nicholas B Dirks, ‘The ‘Invention’ of Caste’, in *Castes of Mind: Colonialism and the Making of Modern India* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2002). See overviews in Rosalind O’Hanlon and David Washbrook, ‘Histories in Transition: Approaches to the Study of Colonialism and Culture in India’, *History Workshop Journal* 32.1 (1991), 110–27; Jon Wilson, ‘Early Colonial India Beyond Empire’, *The Historical Journal* 50.4 (2007), 951–70; and Ricardo Roque and Kim A Wagner, ‘Introduction: Engaging Colonial Knowledge’, in Roque and Wagner (eds.), *Engaging Colonial Knowledge: Reading European Archives in World History* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012), pp. 1–32.

<sup>14</sup> Wilson, ‘Early’.

<sup>15</sup> Sheldon Pollock, ‘Forms of Knowledge in Early Modern South Asia: Introduction’, *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East* 24.2 (2004), 19–21. Crucial exceptions included Peabody, ‘Cents’.

<sup>16</sup> On the paracolonial, see p. 16 below. <sup>17</sup> Pollock, ‘Forms’, p. 19.

that colonialism encountered, and how little such knowledge we actually possess . . . *we cannot know how colonialism changed South Asia if we do not know what was there to be changed.*<sup>18</sup>

In the two decades since, several scholars have enthusiastically taken up Pollock's challenge to examine 'what was there to be changed', notably in the fields of Mughal, Rajput and sectarian literary and cultural history during the long eighteenth century. By foregrounding South Asian visual and especially textual sources in Persian, Hindavi and other early modern languages that had mostly remained unstudied in modern times, this rich new scholarship has delivered groundbreaking insights into the wider social, economic and political dynamics of pre- and paracolonial North India.<sup>19</sup> Fewer scholars prioritising Mughal sources and perspectives, however, have moved past the 1750s,<sup>20</sup> or specifically engaged the thorny historiographical questions of how and why Pollock's 'precolonial realities' changed as a result of the Mughal–British transition (c. 1748–1858).<sup>21</sup>

Crucially, then, this book addresses both the 'what' and the 'how' parts of Pollock's challenge. Firstly, it extends the new scholarship on pre-existing Indian knowledge systems for the first time to the field of music (including dance<sup>22</sup>) through an extensive evaluation of Persian and to a lesser extent

<sup>18</sup> *ibid*; my emphasis.

<sup>19</sup> For example, works listed in the bibliography by Molly Emma Aitken, Allison Busch, Chanchal B Dadlani, William Dalrymple, Arthur Dudley, Walter Hakala, Radha Kapuria, Prashant Keshavmurthy, Dipti Khera, Mana Kia, David Lunn, Saif Mahmood, Anne Murphy, Naveena Naqvi, Heidi Pauwels, Stefano Pelló, Holly M Schaffer, Kevin L Schwartz, Yuthika Sharma, Nathan Tabor, Madhu Trivedi and Richard David Williams. Rather fewer new works of political history have been attempted, a stand-out being Abhishek Kaicker's *The King and the People: Sovereignty and Popular Politics in Mughal Delhi* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2020). The tremendous scholarly production of Rosalind O'Hanlon, Francesca Orsini and Margrit Pernau remains essential reading.

<sup>20</sup> But see Yuthika Sharma, 'Art in Between Empires: Visual Culture and Artistic Knowledge in Late Mughal Delhi, 1748–1857', Unpublished PhD Dissertation, Columbia University (2013); Richard David Williams, 'Hindustani Music Between Awadh and Bengal, c. 1758–1905', Unpublished PhD Dissertation, King's College London (2015) and *The Scattered Court: Hindustani Music in Colonial Bengal* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2023); Naveena Naqvi, 'Writing the Inter-Imperial World in Afghan North India ca. 1774–1857', Unpublished PhD Dissertation, UCLA (2018); and Arthur Dudley, *India in the Persian World of Letters: Khān-i Arzū among the Eighteenth-Century Philologists* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2022). Remarkably, there is still no modern history of the pivotal reign of Emperor Shah ʿAlam II (r. 1759–1806), though see William Dalrymple, *The Anarchy: The Relentless Rise of the East India Company* (London: Bloomsbury, 2019).

<sup>21</sup> But see the work of Margrit Pernau, Yunus Jaffery and Kumkum Chatterjee; also Robert Travers, *Empires of Complaints: Mughal Law and the Making of British India, 1765–1793* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022).

<sup>22</sup> The Sanskrit and Hindavi word *saṅgīta* holistically incorporates music, dance and drama.

Brajbhasha and Urdu writings on Hindustani music and its reception between 1593 and 1869, most of which have not been examined before.<sup>23</sup> Secondly, by narrowing the focus to the decades of the Mughal–British political transition (1748–1858), and by placing Indian writings from this critical period into sustained dialogue with East India Company and other English-language texts, I am able to demonstrate how and why late Mughal fields of music and dance changed through this critical century of British colonisation, including via select European patronage of Indian performing arts.

*Music and Musicians* shows that the transitional world of late Mughal and early colonial India does look different when we prioritise the perspectives (plural) of Indian sources and triangulate them against European ones. And as we shall see, the many traces that remain on paper of the ephemeral arts of music and dance, their theory, practice and appreciation, do indeed tell us things we would not otherwise know about how very different types of people related to the arts and to each other in intense, intimate moments of both relief and tension; how those relationships and moments were experienced and understood; and what all this meant for politics, economics, society and culture in North India at this pivotal time.

The chapters in this book are thus of substantial relevance to all historians of the transition to British rule in South Asia, not simply those interested in the arts. But this time frame is also crucial to Indian music history because, as this book demonstrates, this was simultaneously the century during which the major pre-existing knowledge system known today as ‘North Indian classical’ or Hindustani music became fully established in its modern form. It is the discrete, socially elite field of Hindustani music, its performers and its audiences that is the specific focus of *Music and Musicians*, and thus a brief introduction to what this musical field then encompassed is essential.

### The Field of Hindustani Music c. 1700

The Persian word Hindustani means ‘of or from the geographical region of Hindustan’. It is most commonly used to denote the dominant colloquial language of late Mughal India that was divided into what we now call Hindi and Urdu in the later colonial period.<sup>24</sup> These days, literary historians tend

<sup>23</sup> Though see Williams, *Scattered Court*, which uses the same European Research Council-funded archive as this book.

<sup>24</sup> Introduction, Francesca Orsini (ed.), *Before the Divide: Hindi and Urdu Literary Culture* (Hyderabad: Orient Blackswan, 2010).

to use the umbrella term Hindavi for the related early modern dialects of Hindustan that include the two courtly ancestors of Hindi and Urdu, Brajbhasha and *rekhta*, in which many (but not all) song genres of Hindustani music were composed.<sup>25</sup> But the referents of ‘Hindustani music’ are more particular than simply geographical or linguistic, not least because this distinct musical system was patronised in courtly centres well beyond the borders of Hindustan proper, from Gujarat and Punjab in the west to Nepal in the north, Bengal in the east and as far south as Hyderabad, Arcot and Maratha Tanjore.<sup>26</sup>

The term ‘Hindustani music’ to describe a circumscribed field of music-technical features, theoretical and aesthetic discourse, song and instrumental repertoires, performing communities and performance and listening practices was established at the Mughal imperial court before the mid-seventeenth century. The earliest uses I have found of the term are in the *Pādishāhnāma* (c. 1636–48), the official chronicle of Emperor Shah Jahan’s reign (r. 1628–58), to segregate a set of Indian song genres, key music-technical features and specialist performers from the Persian and Central Asian musical systems also patronised by the Mughals.<sup>27</sup> In 1663/4, the Mughal theorist Qazi Hasan further narrowed down the field to northern India specifically, distinguishing the *rāga*-based system of ‘the province of Hindustan’ – the subject of his treatise – from the *rāga*-based systems then current in the southern ‘provinces of the Deccan, Telangana and Karnataka’.<sup>28</sup> But Hindustani music as a recognised, delimited field long predated its labelling: by 1593 the key Mughal ideologue Abu’l Fazl had

<sup>25</sup> See contributions to Sheldon Pollock (ed.), *Literary Culture in History: Reconstructions from South Asia* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003). On song lyrics, see Françoise ‘Nalini’ Delvoye, ‘Collections of Lyrics in Hindustani Music: The Case of Dhrupad’, in Joep Bor, Françoise ‘Nalini’ Delvoye, Jane Harvey and Emmie te Nijenhuis (eds.), *Hindustani Music: Thirteenth to Twentieth Centuries* (New Delhi: Manohar, 2010), 141–58; and Katherine Butler Schofield, ‘“Words Without Songs”: The Social History of Hindustani Song Collections in India’s Muslim Courts c.1770–1830’, in Rachel Harris and Martin Stokes (eds.), *Theory and Practice in the Music of the Islamic World: Essays in Honour of Owen Wright* (London: Routledge, 2017), 171–96.

<sup>26</sup> Chapter 5; Davesh Soneji, *Unfinished Gestures: Devadāsīs, Memory, and Modernity in South India* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2012), pp. 37–54.

<sup>27</sup> Both *naghma-i hindūstān* and *naghma-i hindūstāni* are used; Abd al-Hamid Lahawri, *The Badshah Nama*, ed. Kabir al-din Ahmad and Abd al-Rahim, *Bibliotheca Indica Series* (Calcutta: College Press, 1867–8), vol. i, p. 152; vol. ii, pp. 5–7; Abu’l Fazl, *The Ain i Akbari*, vol. i, tr. H. Blochmann, *Bibliotheca Indica Series* (Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press and Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1873), p. 612.

<sup>28</sup> Qazi Hasan, *Miftāh al-Surod*, Victoria and Albert Museum, IS-61:1–197 (Indur (Nizamabad), 1691; orig. 1663/4), p. 6; Katherine Butler Schofield, ‘Music, Art and Power in ‘Adil Shahi Bijapur, c. 1570–1630’, in Kavita Singh (ed.), *Scent Upon a Southern Breeze: The Synaesthetic Arts of the Deccan* (Mumbai: Marg, 2018), 68–87.



already mapped what was clearly the same field as it was practised at the North Indian court of Emperor Akbar I (r. 1556–1605) – but instead called it by the proper Sanskrit term for *rāga*-based music and its connected arts, *saṅgīta*.<sup>29</sup>

As the term *rāga*-based indicates,<sup>30</sup> the core defining feature of Hindustani music, then as now, is the primacy placed on *rāga* as its fundamental melodic framework. *Rāga* refers to the unique South Asian system of highly aestheticised melodic modes that have been theoretically systematised in written treatises and performance practice for more than a millennium.<sup>31</sup> The Hindustani and Karnatak (South Indian) *rāga* systems began to diverge in their aesthetic conception around 1550.<sup>32</sup> For those unfamiliar with South Asian music, a *rāga* is not the same kind of entity as a European scale or mode, nor is it a fixed melody. In the Hindustani system, as David Lunn and I have explained, each *rāga* exists ‘in both a sonic form, and an iconic form. . . . In their sonic form, *ragas* are melodic formulae – ascending and descending note patterns with special additional rules – that act as blueprints for composition [and improvisation], and produce a unique character or *soundmark* for each *raga*. The soundmark produced by specific melodic gestures in each *raga* is associated with a distinct emotional flavour . . . and with a particular time of day or season of the year. Sung correctly, every *raga* is supposed to have a specific effect on the listener’s physical or psychological well-being or on the wider natural world. . . . In the *ragas*’ iconic forms, these associations are assembled into painted icons and poetic imagery. Since the fourteenth century, Indian poets and musicologists have described the *ragas* as beautiful heroines, brave heroes, sages, *joginis* and gods. . . . And since the sixteenth century, the *ragas* have been painted in suites of six male *ragas*, each with five wives called *raginis* and known as a “garland of *ragas*” – the *ragamala*’

<sup>29</sup> Abu’l Fazl, ‘Sangita’, ‘On the Classes of Singers’, and ‘The Akhārā’, *Ain-i-Ākbarī*, vol. iii, tr. Col. HS Jarrett, rev. Jadunath Sarkar (Calcutta: Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1948), pp. 254–73; *Ain i Akbarī*, ed. H Blochmann, *Bibliotheca India Series* (Calcutta: Baptist Mission Press, 1869), vol. ii, pp. 136–44.

<sup>30</sup> On using *rāga*-based instead of ‘classical’, see Davesh Soneji, ‘Exploring Complex Histories of Islamic Musical Production in Colonial South India’, unpublished lecture, British Library, 19/04/21.

<sup>31</sup> On the development of *rāga* c. 800–1300 CE, see D Richard Widdess, *The Ragas of Early Indian Music* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1995).

<sup>32</sup> Matt Rahaim, Srinivas Reddy and Lars Christensen, ‘Authority, Critique, and Revision in the Sanskrit Tradition: Rereading the *Svara-mela-kalānidhi*’, *Asian Music* 46.1 (2015), 39–77; Lakshmi Subramanian, ‘The Reinvention of a Tradition: Nationalism, Carnatic Music and the Madras Music Academy, 1900–1957’, *Indian Economic and Social History Review* 36.2 (1999), 131–63, pp. 134–6.



(e.g. Figures 2.2, 5.4).<sup>33</sup> By the late seventeenth century, music theorists had reached a consensus on the pre-eminence of one principal *rāgamālā* system (*mat*) in Hindustani music, the Hanuman *mat* (Table 7.1).

In other words, before the Mughals even arrived in India, the *rāgas* were already richly aestheticised objects of erudite connoisseurship associated with India's courtly arts and literature. The primacy of *rāga* to Hindustani music thus further marked this field out, explicitly, as *élite* – as the exclusive provenance of the courtly and literate social classes who together ran the institutions of government and civil society in late medieval and early modern North India. Indeed, as it metamorphosed over previous centuries, the whole field of *rāga*-based music had been repeatedly subject to deliberate processes of canonisation, standardisation and systematisation in writing – what I have called ‘classicisation’ processes<sup>34</sup> – most recently in the fifteenth century under the Rajput rulers of Mewar and Gwalior and the sultans of Jaunpur and Delhi.<sup>35</sup> But it was under the Mughals, between the reigns of Akbar I (r. 1556–1605) and Akbar II (r. 1806–37), that the full constellation of *élite* discourse, practices, performers and modes of listening that became known as ‘classical’ in the twentieth century was consolidated and codified.<sup>36</sup>

<sup>33</sup> David Lunn and Katherine Butler Schofield, ‘Desire, Devotion, and the Music of the Monsoon at the Court of Emperor Shah ‘Alam II’, in Imke Rajamani, Margrit Pernau and Katherine Butler Schofield (eds.), *Monsoon Feelings: A History of Emotions in the Rain* (New Delhi: Niyogi, 2018), 220–54, pp. 229–30. See also Joep Bor, *The Raga Guide: A Survey of 74 Hindustani Ragas* (Monmouth: Nimbus, 1999).

<sup>34</sup> Katherine Butler Schofield, ‘Reviving the Golden Age Again: “Classicization,” Hindustani Music, and the Mughals’, *Ethnomusicology* 54.3 (2010), 484–517.

<sup>35</sup> Major monuments were the *Saṅgītarāja* (Sanskrit), the *Saṅgītashiromaṇi* (Sanskrit), the *Mānakutūhala* (Hindavi), the *Mrgāvātī* (Awadhi) and the *Lahjāt-i Sikandar-shāhī* (Persian); Emmie te Nijenhuis, *Musicological Literature, A History of Indian Literature Series*, vol. vi (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1977), pp. 16–8; Shaikh Qutban Suhrawardi [1503], *Mrgāvātī*, tr. Aditya Behl as *The Magic Doe*, ed. Wendy Doniger (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012); Allyn Miner, ‘Raga in the Early Sixteenth Century’, in Francesca Orsini and Katherine Butler Schofield (eds.), *Tellings and Texts: Music, Literature, and Performance in North India* (Cambridge: Open Book, 2015), 385–406; Sama ‘Umar ibn Yahya Kabuli [c. 1500], *Lehjāt-e-Sikāndershāhī* [sic], ed. Shahab Sarmadee (New Delhi: Indian Council of Historical Research, 1999); also William Rees Hofmann, ‘Singing Sufis in Text: Music, and Sufi Poetics ca. 1250–1600’, Unpublished PhD Dissertation, SOAS, University of London (2022).

<sup>36</sup> Schofield, ‘Reviving’. For the wholesale ‘reclassification’ process Hindustani music underwent under the British dispensation, see Janaki Bakhle, *Two Men and Music: Nationalism and the Making of an Indian Classical Tradition* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2005); but see Katherine Butler Brown [Schofield], Review of *Two Men and Music*, *Journal of Asian Studies* 67.1 (2008), 335–7; also works listed in the bibliography by Daves Soneji (also with Indira Peterson), Lakshmi Subramanian, Amanda Weidman, Gerry Farrell, James Kippen and Margaret E Walker.

Thanks to the pioneering research of Shahab Sarmadee, Françoise ‘Nalini’ Delvoye, Najma Perveen Ahmad, Madhu Trivedi and Prem Lata Sharma, it is now well established that in the seventeenth century, authors associated with the Mughal court started producing a plethora of new systematic writings on the *rāga*-based music of Hindustan.<sup>37</sup> As I discuss in Chapter 2, they translated older, especially Sanskrit, treatises and oral lore into the two new Mughal languages of courtly power and literature, Brajbhasha and Persian, intermingling the old with new material to remake elite musical discourse for a culturally mixed courtly regime that actively delighted in difference. From the sixteenth century onwards both the Mughals and their courtly Hindu counterparts the Rajputs prized a virtuosic aesthetic of borrowing and reuse from the Indic to the Persianate realms and vice versa. Artists and writers adopted ideas, literary topoi, visual and sonic symbols and complex imagery from one realm, and repurposed them across religions, languages, media and genres. This led over time to multiple depths and tangents of meaning speaking simultaneously in any one work of art or literature.<sup>38</sup> The new wave of seventeenth-century Mughal writings on music were steeped in this aesthetic. Their authors translated, mixed and remade written musical discourse afresh in order to ‘reclassicise’ Hindustani music for the ascendant Mughal dispensation with its cognate central ideology of *sulh-i kull*, ‘universal civility’, in which the emperor’s role was to unify India’s considerable religious, social and cultural diversity under his unitary harmonious benevolence.<sup>39</sup> But in making *rāga*-based music *theirs* by writing knowledgeably about it, Mughal courtiers also marked themselves out as true members of India’s elite classes, firmly set apart from the uneducated masses who

<sup>37</sup> Françoise ‘Nalini’ Delvoye, ‘Indo-Persian Literature on Art-Music: Some Historical and Technical Aspects’, in Delvoye (ed.), *Confluence of Cultures* (New Delhi: Manohar, 1994), 93–130; Najma Perveen Ahmad, *Hindustani Music: A Study of Its Development in Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries* (New Delhi: Manohar, 1984); Madhu Trivedi, *The Emergence of the Hindustani Tradition: Music, Dance and Drama in North India, 13th to 19th Centuries* (Gurgaon: Three Essays Collective, 2012); Saif Khan Faqirullah, *Tarjuma-i-Mānakutūhala and Risāla-i-Rāg Darpan*, ed. and tr. Shahab Sarmadee (New Delhi: Indira Gandhi National Centre for the Performing Arts and Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, 1996 [orig. 1665/6]); Nayak Bakhshu, *Sahasarasa: Nāyaka Bakhshu ke Dhrupadom kā Saṅgraha*, ed. and tr. Prem Lata Sharma (New Delhi: Sangit Natak Akademi, 1972); see also my articles and Richard David Williams’ work on the pre-1748 period.

<sup>38</sup> Molly Emma Aitken, ‘Repetition and Response: The Case of Layla and Majnun’, *The Intelligence of Tradition in Rajput Court Painting* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2010), pp. 155–210; also Aitken, ‘Parataxis and the Practice of Reuse, from Mughal Margins to Mir Kalān Khān’, *Archives of Asian Art* 59 (2009), 81–103.

<sup>39</sup> Rajeev Kinra, ‘Revisiting the History and Historiography of Mughal Pluralism’, *ReOrient* 5.2 (2020), 137–82.