

## CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxii
<i>Table of Statutes, Judicial Documents and International Instruments</i>	xxviii
<b>1 The Development of the Party Autonomy Principle in China</b> 1	
1.1 Before the Adoption of the Reform and Opening-Up Policy in 1978	5
1.2 The Partial Acceptance of Party Autonomy before the 1999 Contract Law	6
1.3 After the Enactment of Contract Law and before the 2007 Provisions	9
1.3.1 The Complete Acceptance of Party Autonomy in Chinese Private International Law	9
1.3.2 Issues Outstanding after the Enactment of Contract Law	10
A Change of the Applicable Law	10
B Statutory Restrictions on Party Autonomy	12
1.4 After the 2007 Provisions and before the 2010 Conflicts Statute	14
1.4.1 The 2007 Provisions	14
A The Parties' Choice Should Be Express	14
B Restrictions on Parties' Autonomy	15
C The Ascertainment of Foreign Law	16
1.4.2 The SPC's Interpretations Relating to Mandatory Rules	17
1.5 The 2010 Conflicts Statute	18
1.6 Overview of the Book	20
1.6.1 The Structure of the Book	20
1.6.2 Methodology	22
<b>2 The Background to the Development of Party Autonomy</b> 26	
2.1 The Historical Development of the Party Autonomy Principle	26
2.2 The Justifications of Party Autonomy	29

2.3	The Source of Parties' Autonomy	30
2.3.1	The Law of the Forum Provides the Entry Point	30
2.3.2	International Law as a Source and the Idea of 'Individual Sovereignty'	32
2.3.3	Implications of the International Approach	36
2.3.4	Summary	39
2.4	The Nature of Parties' Choice of Law	40
2.4.1	Choice of Law Agreement as a 'Promise'	41
2.4.2	Choice of Law Agreement as a 'Contract'	43
2.4.3	Summary	45
2.5	The Relevance of International Debate to the Development of Party Autonomy in China	46
2.5.1	The Evolution of Freedom of Contract in China	46
2.5.2	The Nature of Private International Law: A Chinese Perspective	48
<b>3</b>	<b>The Existence and Validity of Parties' Choice of Law</b>	<b>50</b>
3.1	Identification of the Existence of Parties' Consent on Choice of Law	51
3.1.1	Inconsistent Approaches in Determining Parties' Consent in Bills of Lading	51
3.1.2	Burden of Proof in a Bill of Lading Taken as a Contract	54
3.1.3	Special Issues in a Bill of Lading Taken as a Standard Contract	55
3.1.4	Trade Usage and the Formation of Contract	58
A	Trade Usage in China's Contract Law	59
B	Trade Usage and the Formation of Contract in International Instruments	61
C	Trade Usage in the Formation of a Dispute Resolution Agreement: The Brussels Regime	63
D	Elements to Be Considered in the Determination of the Existence of Trade Usage	67
E	Summary	73
3.1.5	Contracts Where a Third Party Is Involved or Another Document Is Incorporated	75
3.1.6	Standard Contracts Involving Weaker Parties	79
3.2	Determination of the Validity of Parties' Choice of Law	81
3.2.1	Criteria Adopted by People's Courts	82
A	A Party's Unilateral Change or Choice of the Governing Law	82
B	Typographical Errors in a Choice of Law Clause	84
C	Summary	85
3.2.2	The Law Governing the Material Validity of Parties' Choice	85

## CONTENTS

ix

<b>4</b>	<b>The ‘Law’ That Can Be Chosen by Parties</b>	<b>89</b>
4.1	The Meaning of ‘Law’ in the 2010 Conflicts Statute	90
4.1.1	The ‘Law’ That Can Be Chosen by Parties in the Previous Rules	90
4.1.2	The Meaning of ‘Law’ in the 2010 Conflicts Statute	93
4.2	The Application of International Treaties and Practice in Foreign-Related Commercial Litigation	96
4.2.1	The Application of International Treaties Concluded or Acceded to by China	97
4.2.2	The Application of the CISG in China and Party Autonomy	100
4.2.3	The Status of International Practice	103
4.3	The Effect of Parties’ Reference to Rules Other Than a Legal System of a State	104
4.3.1	Inconsistent Approaches in Treating a Paramount Clause	105
4.3.2	The Nature of the Paramount Clause	108
4.3.3	The Distinction between Incorporation by Reference and Choice of Law	112
	A The Importance of the Distinction in Theory and Practice	112
	B The Requirement of Certainty of Terms in Incorporation	118
4.4	Conclusion	119
<b>5</b>	<b>Statutory Restrictions on Party Autonomy (I)</b>	<b>120</b>
5.1	The Scope of Mandatory Rules	121
5.1.1	Mandatory Rules in Chinese Domestic Contract Law	122
5.1.2	Mandatory Rules in the 2010 Conflicts Statute	123
5.1.3	Difficulties of Identifying Mandatory Rules after the 2010 Conflicts Statute	126
	A Definition of Mandatory Rules in Private International Law	126
	B Difficulties under the 2010 Conflicts Statute	127
5.1.4	The Evasion of Law Exception after the 2010 Conflicts Statute	130
5.2	The ‘Social Public Interest’ Reservation in Contractual Choice of Law	134
5.2.1	Definitions and Expressions in Different Fields	134
	A Service of Process and Taking Evidence for a Foreign Proceeding	136
	B Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	138
	C Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards	139
5.2.2	Meaning and Scope of ‘Social Public Interest’ in Contractual Choice of Law	145

<b>6</b>	<b>Statutory Restrictions on Party Autonomy (II)</b>	<b>150</b>
6.1	The Interrelationship between ‘Social Public Interest’ and Mandatory Rules	150
6.1.1	Foreign Investment Regulation	151
6.1.2	Foreign Exchange Regulation	157
6.1.3	Public Policy and Mandatory Rules in the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards	160
6.2	The Identification of Mandatory Rules and the Use of the ‘Social Public Interest’ Reservation in Contractual Choice of Law	162
6.2.1	State Policy and Its Representation in Legal Sources	162
6.2.2	Identification of Mandatory Rules and Statutory Interpretation	165
6.2.3	Article 10 of the SPC’s Interpretation of the 2010 Conflicts Statute (1)	171
6.2.4	The Application of the Social Public Interest Reservation in Contractual Choice of Law	175
<b>7</b>	<b>Ascertainment of the Foreign Law Chosen by Parties</b>	<b>176</b>
7.1	Development of the Rules on Ascertainment of Foreign Law: Outstanding Issues	177
7.1.1	Article 193 of the 1988 Opinions	177
7.1.2	Article 9 of the 2007 Provisions	181
	A Ambiguity Concerning the Introduction of Foreign Law into Court	182
	B The Responsibility to Ascertain the Selected Foreign Law	185
	C The Evaluation of the Information on Foreign Law	187
7.2	Ascertainment of Foreign Law in the 2010 Conflicts Statute	187
7.2.1	Article 10 of the 2010 Conflicts Statute	187
7.2.2	The SPC’s Interpretation of the 2010 Conflicts Statute	190
	A A Further Ambiguity Concerning the Procedural Treatment of Foreign Law and Determination of Parties’ Intention	190
	B Parties’ Responsibility to Assist a Court in the Ascertainment of Foreign Law	193
	C Assessment of the Information on Foreign Law	195
7.3	Summary	196
7.4	Introducing the Selected Foreign Law into Court	196
7.4.1	The Fact/Law Distinction	197
7.4.2	The Nature of Choice of Law Rules in China	198
7.4.3	The Role and Power of a Court in International Civil Litigation	199
7.5	Establishing the Content of the Selected Foreign Law	203
7.5.1	The Roles of Parties and Courts in Ascertaining the Selected Foreign Law	203

## CONTENTS

xi

7.5.2	Assessment of the Information on Foreign Law	204
	A The Inconsistent Criteria Adopted by People's Courts	204
	B A More Authentic Approach Is Needed for the Assessment	207
7.5.3	Effects of the Failure to Ascertain the Selected Foreign Law	213
7.6	Conclusion	215
<b>8</b>	<b>Contractual Choice of Law under the 'One Country, Two Systems' Regime</b>	<b>217</b>
8.1	One Country, Two Systems and Four Law Districts	219
8.1.1	The High Degree of Autonomy of Hong Kong and Macao	219
8.1.2	The Taiwan Region	228
8.1.3	The Relevant Provisions in Judicial Documents Concerning the Application of Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan Laws	230
	A Characteristics of Conflict of Laws among the Four Law Districts	230
	B Provisions Concerning Hong Kong- and Macao-Related Cases	233
	C Provisions Concerning Taiwan-Related Cases	235
8.2	The Scope of the 'Social Public Interest' Reservation under the 'One Country, Two Systems' Regime	237
8.2.1	Applicability of the Reservation in Hong Kong-, Macao- and Taiwan-Related Cases	237
8.2.2	Analysis of 'Social Public Interest': Taking Gambling Debt as an Example	240
	A Application of the Social Public Interest Reservation in Gambling Debts	240
	B The Public Policy in Mainland China in Relation to Gambling	244
	C Social Public Interest, the Connection of the Case to the Forum and the Control of Gambling in the Mainland	247
	D The 'One Country, Two Systems' Principle and Social Public Interest	250
	E Summary	251
8.2.3	Dimensions of the Reservation under the 'One Country, Two Systems' Regime	252
8.3	The Ascertainment of Hong Kong, Macao and Taiwan Laws	254

<b>9</b>	<b>The Party Autonomy Principle in the Context of the Chinese Legal System</b>	<b>259</b>
9.1	The Indeterminacy of Chinese Legal Rules	259
9.2	Judicial Quality and Institutional Constraints on Chinese Judges	262
9.2.1	The Centralized Jurisdiction System	262
9.2.2	Institutional Constraints on Judges	265
9.3	Institutional Constraints and Foreign-Related Commercial Trial in China	270
9.4	Concluding Remarks	273
	<i>Bibliography</i>	276
	<i>Index</i>	328