

## INDEX

- ABA. *See* American Bar Association
- accountability, of administrative agencies, in reason-giving, 146
- Adams, Charles F., 10–11, 111
- administrative absolutism, 75, 88–89
- administrative agencies, reason-giving by, 144–146
- in deliberative democracies, 142
- procedural requirements for, 139–140
- public accountability of, 146
- administrative law, 8–9
- accounts of, 74–83
- information gained from, 80–83
- positive, 78–80
- traditional legal, 74–78
- administration law judges, 99
- before Administrative Procedure Act, 87
- under Administrative Procedure Act, 72–74, 77–78, 81–83
- administrative absolutism and, 75, 88–89
- administrative procedures under, 85
- fairness as goal under, 75
- goals and functions of, 77–78, 88–89
- administrative state and, 15–16, 81
- American Bar Association on, 75–76
- without courts, 73
- credibility of, 270–271
- definitions of, 72–74
- under Due Process Clause, 72–73
- fact finding as element of, 88–89
- fairness as element of, 83–86
- under Hepburn Act, 80, 87
- information problems in, 13
- as instruments of political control, 78–80
- judges for, 99, 254
- judicial review in, 73, 284–287
- in normative tradition, 72
- libertarian, 90
- logical outgrowth doctrine, 74
- National Environmental Policy Act, 79–80
- under New Deal, 75, 81–82, 88–89
- normative tradition of, 71–72
- through delegation of power to agencies, 72
- judicial review in, 72
- traditional legal accounts in, 74–78
- periodization of, 90–91
- procedural approach to, 71–72
- under Administrative Procedure Act, 85
- credible reasonableness in, 85
- fairness in, 83–86
- harmonization of, 72–85
- in positive accounts, 85
- public confidence in, promotion of, 86–91
- during Roosevelt administration, 75, 81–82, 88–89
- Root on, 75–76
- sources of, 72
- substantive evidence rule in, 87
- administrative law judges (ALJs), 99, 254
- Administrative Procedure Act, U.S. (1946), 3, 16

- administration law judges and, 99
- administrative law before, 87
- administrative law under, 72–74, 77–78, 81–83
  - administrative absolutism and, 75, 88–89
  - administrative procedures under, 85
  - fairness as goal under, 75
  - goals and functions of, 77–78, 88–89
- Nader as influence on, 89–90
- notice and participation element in, 92–93
  - in due process, 92
  - fairness as result of, 92–93
- passage of, 85
- public reasoning requirement, 96–98
- reason-giving before, 138–139
- recording requirements under, 94–96
- residual trust deficit and, 257–261
- right of appeal in, 99–100
- whole record requirement in, 87–88
- administrative state, 3. *See also* reform era; residual trust deficit
  - administrative law and, 15–16, 81
  - benefits of, 12–14
  - constitutional state and, 4–5
  - constitutional structure of, 287–292
    - non-delegation doctrine, 287–288
    - unitary executive theory and, 288–291
  - continuity of elements in, 10–12
  - credibility problems in, 14
  - credible reasoning in, 7–10
  - critiques of
    - administrative law and, 15–16
    - administrative procedures and, 15–16
    - in delegation, 15
  - delegation of procedures in, 64–67
  - equilibria in, 66–67
  - expertise in, 5–6, 12–14
  - information problems in, 13
  - interpersonal justice in, failures of, 4
  - methodological approach to, 243–244
  - motives of, 13–14
    - under non-delegation doctrine, 5–6, 287–288
    - theoretical approaches to, 4–17
- Affordable Care Act, U.S., 40
- Aldrich, John, 30
- ALJs. *See* administrative law judges
- American Bar Association (ABA), 75–76
- anti-federalism, representative democracy and, 24
  - district sizes and, 25
- Arizona State Legislature v. Arizona Independent Districting Commission*, 43–44
- authority. *See* delegated authority
- Baker, Ray Stanner, 112–113
- Bankhead, John, 118
- Base Realignment and Closing process (BRAC process), 40
- Beck, James M., 75–76
- Bentham, Jeremy, 38
- Boston Television* case, 98
- Bourdieu, Pierre, 32–33
- BRAC process. *See* Base Realignment and Closing process
- Brownlow Report, 48, 295
- bureaucrat game, in reason-giving, 154–166, 178–180, 184
  - administration of, 158–167
  - baseline conditions, 156–167
  - design of, 154–158, 160
  - feasibility standards in, 154
  - fidelity and, 179
  - preliminary results, 160–161
  - public perceptions and, 215–225
    - average effect of reasoning, 216–218, 221
    - direct reason-giving, 220–222
    - through distributional channels, 222–225
  - fairness and, 220, 224, 227
  - honesty and, 222, 225, 228
  - preliminaries, 217–219
  - procedural channels, 221
  - satisfaction and, 219, 223, 226

- bureaucrat game, in reason-giving (cont.)
  - through substantive channels, 226–228
  - summary statistics, 216–217
- results
  - main, 161–164, 178–180
  - preliminary, 160–161
- review of, 164–166, 180
  - judicial, 162–163
  - legal concepts in, 174
  - without reasons, 173–175
- standard instructions in, 162
  - distributions of giving, 163
- summary statistics of, 160
- Caldwell, Louis, 76–77
- capacity constraints, during reform era, 103–104, 136
- capture theory, 103
- Chevron deference, judicial review and, 286
- Christoffel v. United States*, 42–43
- Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe*, 95
- Clark, John Kirkland, 76
- Clinton v. City of New York*, 43
- Cockran, William, 117
- Congress, U.S.
  - Base Realignment and Closing process, 40
  - due process by, through legislative process, 39–41
- Constitution, U.S.
  - Due Process Clause, administrative law under, 72–73
  - foreign emoluments clause, 27
  - lack of class-based institutions in, 27
  - Necessary and Proper Clause, 45–46
  - Speech and Debate Clause, 44
- constitutional state, administrative state and, 4–5
- corporation theory, 103
- corporations, during reform era, development of, 106–111
- corruption
  - of faithless representatives, 27
  - during reform era
    - economic context for, 109–111
    - newspaper reports on, 111–114
    - in representative democracies, 27
- cost-benefit reasoning game, 166–175, 182–183, 185
  - administration of, 168
  - conditions
    - baseline, 185
    - reasons, 186–187
    - review, 187–188
  - design of, 166–168
  - giving in, 170
    - distribution of, 171
  - public perceptions of, 213, 226–235
    - average effect of reasoning, 229, 231, 235
  - direct reason-giving, 231–234
  - through distribution channels, 234–235
  - fairness in, 233, 237, 240
  - honesty in, 233, 238, 241
  - perception outcomes, 230
  - preliminaries in, 229–231
  - procedural channels, 235
  - procedural effects in, 236–238
  - satisfaction in, 232, 236, 239
  - substantive channels in, 239–240
  - summary statistics, 229
- results
  - main, 168–182
  - preliminary, 168
  - review of, 171–173, 183
  - summary statistics of, 169
- credible reasonableness
  - delegated authority and, 47–48, 50
  - in procedural approach to administrative law, 85
- credible reasoning
  - in administrative state, 7–10
  - by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 2–3
- Dasgupta, Partha, 32–33
- decision making. *See* reasoned decision-making
- delegated authority, 46–58, 62–63
  - Brownlow Report, 48
  - comparative approaches to, 50–53

- credibility of, 53–55
- credible reasonableness and, 47–48, 50
- due process protections for, 48–49
  - credibility of, 53–55
- of inferior institutions, 47–48, 53–54
  - judicial supervision and, in partnership with, 54
- informal rule-making of, 49
- of judicial courts, inferior institutions
  - in partnership with, 54
- legislative processes and, transition to, 67–68
- public distrust of, 57
- during reform era
  - for administrative state, shifts in, 103
  - under Hepburn Act, 121–122
- self-interest as result of, 56–57
- delegation. *See also* non-delegation doctrine
  - in administrative state, 15
  - of administrative procedures, 64–67
- deliberative democracy, reason-giving and, 142–143
  - among administrative agencies, 142
  - evidence for, 147–148
- demand effects, of reason-giving, 176
- democracy. *See* deliberative democracy; parliamentary democracies; representative democracies
- Department of Commerce (DOC), 103, 115–116
- Department of Labor (DOL), 115–116
- Devos, Betsy, 97
- dictators, reason-giving by, 148–150
- difference-in-differences framework
  - study, for newspaper industry, 126–128
- direct reason-giving
  - in bureaucrat game, 220–222
  - cost-benefit analysis of, 231–234
  - regulated entities and, 204–209
- distributional choices, reason-giving as influence on distributional choices, 189–190
- regulated entities and, 209–212
- DOC. *See* Department of Commerce
- Dodd-Frank Act, U.S. (2010), 6–7
- DOL. *See* Department of Labor
- due process, 35–46
  - context for, 36
  - delegated authority and, protections for, 48–49
  - credibility of, 53–55
  - information and, 235–240
  - judicial involvement in, 41–46
    - during Lochner Era, 44–45
    - political question doctrine, 44, 46
    - reluctance of, 44–45
  - in legislative process, 37–38
    - in Congress, 39–41
    - filibuster and, 41
    - limitations of, 38–39
    - in Senate, 40
  - legitimacy of
    - in institutional procedures, 190–191
    - in legal processes, 36–37
  - procedural justice school of thought, 36–37, 41–46, 191
  - in case law, 42–44
  - Necessary and Proper Clause, 45–46
  - under Speech and Debate Clause, 44
  - rights within, 36
  - substantive functions of, 36–37
  - trust in, 190–191
- Due Process Clause, administrative law under, 72–73
- economic inequality, in representative democracies, power imbalance as result of, 26–27
- Egidy, Stefanie, 39
- EIS. *See* Environmental Impact Statements
- Ely, John Hart, 5–6, 13–14, 57
- Environmental Impact Statements (EIS), 280–281
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 256

- erosion of procedures, in residual trust
  - deficit, 255–267
  - within agencies, 257–261
  - through displacement of public agencies, 265–267
  - from overriding of public objectives with politics, 261–265
  - through privatization of government, 265–267
- expertise
  - in administrative state, 5–6, 12–14
  - under Dodd-Frank Act, 6–7
  - in political state, 5
- fairness
  - in administrative law, 83–86
  - under Administrative Procedure Act
    - as goal of, 75
    - notice and participation element of, 92–93
  - bureaucrat game and, 220, 224, 227
  - in cost-benefit analysis, of reason-giving, 233, 237, 240
  - regulated entities and
    - amount received and, 202, 208
    - procedural effects on, by amount received, 208
  - as substantive channel, 213
- faithless representatives, in representative democracies
  - corruption of, 27
  - Madison on, 24–25
  - media impact on, 30–31
  - public trust and, 24–26
  - westward expansion and, 29
- Farber, Daniel, 15, 58
- FDA. *See* Food and Drug Administration
- feasibility standards, in bureaucrat game, 154
- Federal Arbitration Act, U.S., 267
- federal case studies, during reform era, 115–129. *See also* Hepburn Act
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), 1–3
  - credible reasoning by, 2–3
  - Federal Power Act and, 1–2
  - Perry and, 1–2
  - Federal Power Act, U.S., 1–2
  - Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 103, 115–116
  - federalism. *See also* representative democracies
    - common good and, 27
    - district size, 25
    - goals and purpose of, 28–29
    - procedural laxity and, 279–280
  - The Federalist Papers* (Hamilton, Jay, and Madison), 25
  - FERC. *See* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
  - fidelity, reason-giving and, 179
  - fiduciary responsibilities, reason-giving and, 150
  - Filler, Louis, 114
  - Fiorina, Morris, 14, 57
  - Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 103, 115–116
  - foreign emoluments clause, 27
  - Foreign-Slavery -Expansion (FSE), 68–69
    - historical timeline for, 69
  - formalism, of parliamentary democracies, 8–9
  - Fowkes, James, 39
  - Frank, Jerome, 145
  - Franklin, Benjamin, 29, 137
  - Franklin v. Massachusetts*, 3
  - Freeman, Jody, 265
  - Frickey, Philip, 15, 58
  - Friendly, Henry, 39, 48–49, 138
  - FSE. *See* Foreign-Slavery -Expansion
  - FTC. *See* Federal Trade Commission
  - Fukuyama, Francis, 32–33
  - Funding Act, U.S. (1790), 110
  - Gentzkow, Matthew, 114
  - Gordon, Robert, 104–105
  - government. *See* administrative state; legislative processes; political state; reform era
  - Granger movement, 129–134
    - railroad commissions, 130–131, 133–134
    - rate-setting commissions, 133
  - Great Britain, reform era in, 101

- Hamilton, Alexander, 25  
 Hardin, Russell, 32–33, 251  
 Harrington, James, 26  
*Heckler v. Chaney*, 277, 279–280  
 Hepburn, William, 120  
 Hepburn Act, U.S. (1906), 80, 87  
   during reform era, for administrative state, 116–122  
   delegation of authority under, 121–122  
   Interstate Commerce Commission and, 116–121  
   judicial review under, 120  
   railroad industry under, 117–118  
 Higher Education Act, U.S. (1965), 97  
 honesty  
   bureaucrat game and, 222, 225, 228  
   in cost-benefit analysis, of reason-giving, 233, 238, 241  
   regulated entities and  
     amount received and, 203, 209  
     procedural effects and, 209  
     as substantive channel, 214  
 Hudson, James, 109–110  
 Hughes, Charles E., 10–11  
 ICC. *See* Interstate Commerce Commission  
*ICC v. Louisville and Nashville Railroad*, 119  
 information  
   through administrative law accounts, 80–83  
   due process and, 235–240  
   legitimacy and, of institutional procedures, 192–193  
   trust and, of institutional procedures, 192–193  
 information problems, 13  
*INS v. Chadha*, 43  
 interpersonal justice, in administrative state, failures of, 4  
 Interstate Commerce Act, U.S. (1887), 118  
 Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC), 80, 87, 116–121  
   *ICC v. Louisville and Nashville Railroad*, 119  
   Jaffe, Louis L., 88–89  
   Jay, John, 25  
   judges, reason-giving by, 145  
   judicial review  
   in administrative law, 73, 284–287  
   in normative tradition, 72  
   in bureaucrat game, 162–163  
   Chevron deference and, 286  
   under Hepburn Act, 120  
   of reason-giving, 141, 175, 177  
   Skidmore deference, 286  
   judicial state  
   due process and, role in, 41–46  
   during Lochner Era, 44–45  
   political question doctrine, 44, 46  
   reluctance of, 44–45  
   inferior institutions and, in partnership with, 54  
   justice. *See* interpersonal justice; procedural justice school of thought  
 Kolko, Gabriel, 135–136  
 Landis, James L., 5  
 law, development of. *See also* administrative law  
   expertise as constraint on, 7–8  
 legislative processes  
   delegated authority as transition from, 67–68  
   due process as part of, 37–38  
   in Congress, 39–41  
   filibuster and, 41  
   limitations of, 38–39  
   in Senate, 40  
 Madisonian model for, 59–61  
   equilibria in, 61  
   with non-delegation doctrine, 61–64  
   equilibria in, 62–63  
   patterns in, 68–70  
 legitimacy. *See also* cost-benefit reasoning game; public perceptions; regulated entities  
   definition of, 196  
   of due process

- legitimacy (cont.)  
   in institutional procedures, 190–191  
   in legal processes, 36–37  
   of institutional procedures, 190–194  
   through belief in legality, 191  
   due process and, 190–191  
   history of, 190–191  
   information perspective, 192–193  
   substantive outcomes, 193–194  
 libertarian administrative law, 90  
 lobbying and lobbyists  
   during reform era, 108–109  
   residual trust deficit and, 249  
 logical outgrowth doctrine, 74  
   procedural exuberance and, 284  
 Long, Norton, 51  
 Lycian League, 22–23
- Madison, James, 4–5, 25  
   legislative model for, 59–61  
   equilibria in, 61  
   on representative democracy, 21–22  
   factions in, 27  
   faithless representatives in, 24–25
- Mann, James, 118–121  
 Mashaw, Jerry, 15  
*McClure's Magazine*, 112–114, 124  
 McCormick, Richard, 111–112  
 McCubbins, Mat, 78  
 media. *See also* newspaper industry  
   in representative democracies  
     development of, 30–32  
     faithless representatives impacted  
       by, 30–31  
     public trust in, 30–32  
*Mind* (Wilbur), 71  
*Motor Vehicles Manufactures*  
   *Association v. State Farm*,  
   283–284  
 muckraking journalism, 122–128  
*Myers v. United States*, 291
- Nader, Ralph, 89–90  
 National Environmental Policy Act,  
   U.S. (1970), 79–80  
 Necessary and Proper Clause,  
   45–46
- New Deal, administrative law under,  
   75, 81–82, 88–89  
 newspaper industry, during reform era,  
   111–114  
   circulation rates for, 113, 125, 128  
   difference-in-differences framework  
     study, 126–128  
   dissemination of public corruption  
     by, 111–114  
   *McClure's Magazine*, 112–114, 124  
   muckraking journalism, 122–128  
*Nixon v. United States*, 42–43  
 Noll, Roger, 78  
 non-delegation doctrine  
   administrative state and, 5–6,  
   287–288  
   legislation processes with, 61–64  
   equilibria in, 62–63
- Obama, Barack, 1  
 Occupational Safety and Health  
   Administration (OSHA), 256
- Packers and Stockyards Act, U.S.  
   (1921), 84  
 parliamentary democracies  
   critiques of, 8  
   empty formalism of, 8–9  
 Perry, Rick, 1–2  
   Federal Energy Regulatory  
     Commission and, 1–2  
 political question doctrine, 44, 46  
 political self-interest, from delegated  
   authority, 56–57  
 political state, 3  
   expertise in, 5  
   theoretical approaches to, 4–17  
 populist movement, during reform era,  
   129–134  
*Portland Cement* case, 94–96  
 positive accounts, of administrative law,  
   78–80  
 Pound, Roscoe, 76–77  
*Powell v. McCormack*, 42–43  
 power, in representative democracies,  
   economic equality as  
   influence on, 26–27  
 presidency, reasoning state and, 3

- privatization of government, 265–267
- procedural approach, to administrative law, 71–72
  - under Administrative Procedure Act, 85
  - credible reasonableness in, 85
  - fairness in, 83–86
  - harmonization of, 72–85
  - in positive accounts, 85
- procedural exuberance, 280–284
  - Environmental Impact Statements, 280–281
  - logical outgrowth doctrine, 284
  - Motor Vehicles Manufacturers Association v. State Farm*, 283–284
  - Regulatory Accountability Act and, 281–282
- procedural justice school of thought
  - in case law, 42–44
  - Christoffel v. United States*, 42–43
  - Clinton v. City of New York*, 43
  - due process in, 36–37, 41–46, 191
    - in case law, 42–44
    - Necessary and Proper Clause, 45–46
    - under Speech and Debate Clause, 44
  - INS v. Chadha*, 43
  - Necessary and Proper Clause, 45–46
  - Nixon v. United States*, 42–43
  - Powell v. McCormack*, 42–43
  - under Speech and Debate Clause, 44
  - United States v. Smith*, 42–43
  - Yellin v. United States*, 42–43
- procedural laxity, 271–280
  - through agency inaction, 277–280
  - cost-benefit analysis of, 275–276
  - federalism approach to, 279–280
  - guidance practices, 271–275
    - reformation of, 273
- procedures, institutional
  - legitimacy of, 190–194
    - through belief in legality, 191
    - due process and, 190–191
    - history of, 190–191
    - information perspective, 192–193
    - substantive outcomes, 193–194
  - public perceptions of, direct effect on, 191
  - trust in, 190–194
    - through belief in legality, 191
    - due process and, 190–191
    - history of, 190–191
    - information perspective, 192–193
    - substantive outcomes, 193–194
- progressive movement, during reform era, 120, 129–134
  - populist movement and, 129–134
- public interest, during reform era, 101, 103
- Public Laws, on railroad industry, 117–118
- public perceptions, of third parties, reason-giving and, 212–235. *See also* bureaucrat game; cost-benefit reasoning game; fairness; honesty; satisfaction
- public trust. *See also* due process; fairness; residual trust deficit
  - in administrative law, promotion of, 86–91
  - decline in, as residual trust deficit, 244
  - in delegated authority, 57
  - in re-elections, voter preferences, 33–35
- representative democracies and, 21–35
  - corruption in, 27
  - faithless representatives in, 24–26
  - media in, 30–32
- residual trust deficit and, 250–255
- sources of distrust, 250–255
  - economic factors, 250–251
  - race issues, 251
  - welfare state issues, 251–254
- Putnam, Robert, 32–33
- Quincy, Josiah, 252–253
- railroad industry, during reform era
  - economic context for, 105–109
  - under Hepburn Act, 117–118
  - Public Laws on, 117–118
  - railroad commissions, 130–131, 133–134



- realism, reason-giving and, 143–146, 175–177  
   evidence in, for realist skeptics, 151  
 reasonableness. *See* credible reasonableness  
 reasoned decision-making, 137  
 reason-giving, 138–166. *See also*  
   bureaucrat game; cost-benefit reasoning game; public perceptions; regulated entities  
   academic literature on, 141–142  
   by administrative agencies, 144–146  
     procedural requirements for, 139–140  
     public accountability of, 146  
   benefits of, 139–143, 189–190  
   in deliberative democracies, 142–143  
     among administrative agencies, 142  
   evidence for reason-giving in, 147–148  
   demand effects of, 176  
   by dictators, 148–150  
   distributional choices influenced by, 189–190  
   evidence for, 146–153  
     in deliberative democracies, 147–148  
     from evolutionary psychology, 152–153  
     for realist skeptics, 151  
     ultimatum game, 147–148  
   expectations of, 177  
   fidelity and, 179  
   fiduciary responsibilities and, 150  
   by judges, 145  
   long-term implications for, 175–177  
   perceptions influenced by, 189–190  
   political influence and, 144  
   procedural requirements for, 138  
     for administrative agencies, 139–140  
     before Administrative Procedure Act, 138–139  
   realist view of, 143–146, 175–177  
   for reputational status, 140  
   review of  
     for actions, 140–141  
     judicial, 141, 175, 177  
   skepticism of, 143–146  
   statutory standards for, 138–139  
   values of, 139–143  
 reasoning constraints. *See* reason-giving  
 reasoning state  
   presidency and, 3  
   reform era and, 122–128, 135  
   theoretical approaches to, 4–17  
 reform era, for administrative state  
   administrative bodies during, development of, 103  
   capacity constraints as factor during, 103–104, 136  
   capture theory and, 103  
   convergence of factors during, 104–114  
     economic complexity, 104–106  
     newspaper industry and, expansion of, 111–114  
     policy complexity, 104–106  
   corporation theory and, 103  
   corruption during  
     economic context for, 109–111  
     newspaper reports on, 111–114  
   delegation of authority during, shifts in, 103  
     under Hepburn Act, 121–122  
   economic context during, 102  
     complexity of growing economies, 104–106  
   corporations and, development of, 106–111  
   corruption in, 109–111  
   public sector relationship with industry, 105–109  
     for railroad industry, 105–109  
   federal case studies, 115–129  
   Granger movement, 129–134  
     railroad commissions, 130–131, 133–134  
     rate-setting commissions, 133  
   in Great Britain, 101  
   Hepburn Act, 116–122  
     delegation of authority under, 121–122

- Interstate Commerce Commission
  - and, 116–121
  - judicial review under, 120
  - railroad industry under, 117–118
- in international contexts, 101
- Interstate Commerce Act, 118
- newspaper industry during, 111–114
  - circulation rates for, 113, 125, 128
  - difference-in-differences
    - framework study, 126–128
  - dissemination of public corruption
    - by, 111–114
  - McClure's Magazine*, 112–114, 124
  - muckraking journalism, 122–128
- perspectives on, 101–104
- policy context during
  - complexity of governing policies, 104–106
  - lobbying and lobbyists, 108–109
- progressive movement, 120, 129–134
  - populist movement and, 129–134
- public interest approach in, 101, 103
- railroad industry in
  - economic context for, 105–109
  - under Hepburn Act, 117–118
  - Public Laws on, 117–118
  - railroad commissions, 130–131, 133–134
- reasoning state and, 122–128, 135
- social context during, 102
- state case studies, 129–134
- Steamboat Safety Act, 115–116
- regulated entities, reason-giving and, 194–212, 242
  - amount received
    - fairness and, 202, 208
    - honesty and, 203, 209
    - satisfaction and, 201, 207
- average effect
  - procedural channels, 205
  - of reasoning, 198–200
- direct reason-giving, 204–209
- through distributional choices, 209–212
- fairness and
  - amount received and, 202, 208
  - procedural effects on, by amount received, 208
    - as substantive channel, 213
- honesty and
  - amount received and, 203, 209
  - procedural effects and, 209
    - as substantive channel, 214
- methodological approach to, 195–198
- perception outcomes, 198
- preliminaries, 200–204
- procedural effects, by amount received
  - on fairness, 208
  - on honesty, 209
  - on satisfaction, 207
- satisfaction for
  - amount received and, 201, 207
  - procedural effects, 207
    - as substantive channel, 211–212
  - summary statistics, 196
- Regulatory Accountability Act, U.S. (2017), 255–256, 270–271
  - procedural exuberance and, 281–282
- representative democracies
  - anti-federalism and, 24
    - on district size, 25
  - economic equality in, power imbalances as result of, 26–27
- excluded populations in, from voting, 23, 26
- factions in, 27
  - Madison on, 27
- faithless representatives in
  - corruption of, 27
  - Madison on, 24–25
  - media impact on, 30–31
  - public trust and, 24–26
  - westward expansion and, 29
- historical development of, 22–23
- Lycian League and, 22–23
- Madison on, 21–22
  - on factions, 27
  - on faithless representatives, 24–25
- media in
  - development of, 30–32
  - faithless representatives impacted by, 30–31
  - public trust in, 30–32

- representative democracies (cont.)
  - particularistic administrations and, 292–295
  - power imbalances in, from economic equality, 26–27
  - public trust and, 21–35
    - corruption of, 27
    - faithless representatives and, 24–26
    - in media, 30–32
  - Sherman on, 21–22
  - special interest groups in, 28
  - tyranny in, 27–28
  - voting in, exclusions from, 23, 26
- residual trust deficit, 244–267
  - Administrative Procedure Act and, 257–261
  - analysis of, 267–269
  - counterfactuals in, 246–250
    - for lobbyists, 249
    - for special interest groups, 249
  - decline in public sector trust, 244
  - procedural erosion, 255–267
    - within agencies, 257–261
    - through displacement of public agencies, 265–267
    - from overriding public objectives with politics, 261–265
    - through privatization of government, 265–267
  - Regulatory Accountability Act, 255–256
  - sources of distrust, 250–255
    - economic factors, 250–251
    - race issues, 251
    - welfare state issues, 251–254
- Roosevelt, Franklin D.
  - administrative law under, 75, 81–82, 88–89
  - Brownlow Report and, 48, 295
  - New Deal policies, administrative law under, 75, 81–82, 88–89
- Root, Elihu, 75–76
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan, 39
- Sanders, Elizabeth, 135–136
- satisfaction
  - bureaucrat game and, 219, 223, 226
  - in cost-benefit analysis, of reason-giving, 232, 236, 239
- regulated entities and
  - amount received and, 201, 207
  - procedural effects, 207
  - as substantive channel, 211–212
- Schmitt, Carl, 8–9
- Schoenbrod, David, 14, 57
- Securities Exchange Act, U.S. (1934), 266
- Sherman, Roger, 21–22
- Sitaraman, Ganesh, 26
- skepticism, towards reason-giving, 143–146
- Skidmore deference, judicial review and, 286
- Smith, Adam, 107
- Social Security Act, U.S., 252
- Speech and Debate Clause, 44
- state architecture, reformation of, 46–58
  - comparative approaches to, 50–53
  - public distrust of, 57
  - political self-interest and, 56–57
- state case studies, during reform era, 129–134. *See also* Granger movement
- Steamboat Safety Act, U.S., 115–116
- Stewart, Richard, 50–51
- substantive evidence rule, in administrative law, 87
- television. *See* media
- third parties. *See* public perceptions
- Trump, Donald, 1
- trust, 32–33. *See also* cost-benefit reasoning game; public perceptions; public trust; regulated entities; residual trust deficit
  - aligned incentives and, 33
  - definitions of, 32–33
  - as generalized, 32–33
  - information and, 33
  - in institutional procedures, 190–194
    - through belief in legality, 191
    - due process and, 190–191
    - history of, 190–191

## INDEX

307

- information perspective, 192–193
- substantive outcomes, 193–194
- ultimatum game, 147–148
- unitary executive theory, 288–290
  - Myers v. United States*, 291
- United States (U.S.). *See also*
  - Administrative Procedure Act; representative democracies
- Affordable Care Act, 40
- Congress
  - Base Realignment and Closing process, 40
  - due process by, through legislative process, 39–41
- Constitution
  - Due Process Clause, administrative law under, 72–73
  - foreign emoluments clause, 27
  - lack of class-based institutions in, 27
  - Necessary and Proper Clause, 45–46
  - Speech and Debate Clause, 44
- Department of Agriculture, 103
- Department of Commerce, 103, 115–116
- Department of Labor, 115–116
- Dodd-Frank Act, 6–7
- Environmental Protection Agency, 256
- Federal Arbitration Act, 267
- Federal Power Act, 1–2
- Federal Trade Commission, 103, 115–116
- Food and Drug Administration, 103, 115–116
- Funding Act, 110
- Hepburn Act, 80, 87
- Higher Education Act, 97
- Interstate Commerce Act, 118
- National Environmental Policy Act, 79–80
- Occupational Health and Safety Administration, 256
- Packers and Stockyards Act, 84
- Regulatory Accountability Act, 255–256, 270–271
  - procedural exuberance and, 281–282
- Securities Exchange Act, 266
- Social Security Act, 252
- Steamboat Safety Act, 115–116
- United States v. Smith*, 42–43
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), 103
- Vermeule, Adrian, 15, 58
- voter preferences, public trust and, in elections, 33–35
- voting rights, in representative democracies, excluded populations, 23, 26
- Wallace, Henry, 84
- Webster, Daniel, 76
- weighting schemes, in cost-benefit reasoning game, 172
- Weingast, Barry, 78
- welfare state
  - Social Security Act, 252
  - as source of distrust, 251–254
- Wilbur, Richard, 71
- Wilson, James, 22
- Wilson, Woodrow, 11–12
- Yellin v. United States*, 42–43