

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

- AAT (Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Australia))
 ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981))
 ACHPR Protocol (Protocol on the Establishment of an African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (1998))
 ACHR (American Convention on Human Rights (1969))
 AComHPR (African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights)
 ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)
 ACWGIP (African Commission Working Group of Experts on Indigenous Populations/Communities)
 ADRDM (American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man (1948))
 AP II (Geneva Conventions (1949), Second Additional Protocol (non-international armed conflicts) (1977))
 AU (African Union)
 BIT (bilateral investment treaty)
 CAICA (Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (1980))
 CAT (UN Committee against Torture)
 CED (UN Committee/Convention on the Protection of All People from Enforced Disappearance (2006))
 CEMIRIDE (Centre for Minority Rights Development)
 CESCR (UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights)
 CFR (European Charter of Fundamental Rights (2000))
 CIL (customary international law)
 CJA (Criminal Justice Act)
 CJEU (Court of Justice of the European Union/CJEU Statute)
 COALICO (Coalición contra la vinculación de niños, niñas y jóvenes al conflicto armado en Colombia)
 CoE (Council of Europe/Council of Europe Statute)
 CRC (Child Rights Convention (1989))
 DPP (Director of Public Prosecutions)
 ECHR (European Convention on Human Rights (1950))
 ECtHR (European Court of Human Rights)
 FCO (Foreign and Commonwealth Office)
 FPA (Fisheries Partnership Agreement (EC–Morocco) (2006))
 GC (Geneva Convention(s) (1949))
 HR (Hague Regulations)
 HRC (UN Human Rights Committee)
 HRC GC (UN Human Rights Committee General Comment)
 IAA (International Arbitration Act)
 IAComHR (Inter-American Commission on Human Rights)
 IACtHR (Inter-American Court of Human Rights)
 ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
 ICESCR (International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966))
 ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
 ICRC Rules (*Customary International Humanitarian Law: Rules* (2005))

IHAT (Iraq Historic Allegations Team)
 IHL (international humanitarian law)
 IHRL (international human rights law)
 ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility)
 IOPIA (International Organisations (Privileges and Immunities) Act 1963 (Cth))
 MRGI (Minority Rights Group International)
 MTF rule (“moving treaty frontier” rule (VCSST 15))
 NIAC (non-international armed conflict)
 NV (*Note Verbale*)
 OPDP (Ogiek People’s Development Programme)
 PRC (People’s Republic of China)
 ROC (Rules of Court)
 ROP (Rules of Procedure)
 SAR (Special Administrative Region of PRC)
 TEU (Lisbon Treaty on the European Union (2007))
 TFEU (Lisbon Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (2007))
 UNCAT (UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984))
 UNDRIP (UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNGA resolution 61/295) (2007))
 UNESCO (UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization/UNESCO Statute)
 UNGA (UN General Assembly)
 UNOPS (UN Office for Project Services)
 UNPIC (UN Privileges and Immunities Convention (1946))
 UNSG (UN Secretary-General)
 VCCR (Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (1963))
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))
 VCSST (Vienna Convention on Succession of States in Respect of Treaties (1978))

ACHPR (1981)

interpretation

“in accordance with the principles of the Charter and any other relevant human rights instruments” (ACHPR 60, ACHPR 61 and ACHPR Protocol 7) 34-5, 38

HRC GC 25 as UN instrument from which ACtHPR can draw inspiration (ACHPR 60), IHL 190-2

“people”, applicability to groups within the State/constitutive elements 58-9, 61

obligation to recognize Charter rights and adopt implementing legislation/measures (ACHPR 1)

Legal Resources Foundation 62

Mvikila 62

Ogiek case 62-3

Sir Dawda K. Jawara 62

Sudan Human Rights Organisation and COHRE 62

restrictions “subject to law and order”/“claw-back” clauses (including duties of the individual (ACHPR 27-9)), jurisprudence

Konaté 39

Ogiek case 39-40, 42-4, 50, 54-6

restrictions “subject to law and order”/“claw-back” clauses (including duties of the individual (ACHPR 27-9)) (justified differential treatment)

environmental protection 39-40, 44, 54-5

legitimate purpose/due regard to the rights of others, collective security, morality and common interest (ACHPR 27(2)) 55
 proportional to the legitimate aim requirement 39–40, 42–4
 religious freedom (ACHPR 8) 50

ACHR (1969)

compliance/implementation obligation (ACHR 1(1))
 responsibility for ensuring
 IACoMHR/IACtHR (ACHR 33) 239
 State 237–8
 compliance/implementation obligation (ACHR 1(1)), jurisprudence (including subsidiarity principle): *see also* judicial protection/effective remedy (ACHR 25)

Acevedo Jaramillo 237–9
Almonacid Arellano 237–8
Attributes of the IACoMHR (ACHR 41 and ACHR 44) 239
Barbani Duarte 240–1
Cabrera Garcia and Montiel Flores 237–8
Cepeda Vargas 238–9
El Mozote Massacres 261–2
Five Pensioners 240–1
Fleury 240–1
Fornerón 240–1
Gelman 237–9
Gomes Lund 237–8
González (“Cotton Field”) 240–1, 261–2
Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku 240–1
La Cantuta 238–9
Mapiripán Massacre 238–41
Meaning of “Laws” in ACHR 30 261–2
Perozo 240–1
Pueblo Bello Massacre 261–2
Santo Domingo 237–41, 260–4
Street Children 261–2
Torres Millacura 240–1
Vargas Areco 261–2
Velásquez Rodríguez 261–2
Vélez Loor 261–2
Viviana Gallardo 239
Yvon Neptune 240–1
 “respect” 262

ACHR (1969), interpretation

IHL/IHRL as aid (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 260–1
 customary IHL/ICRC Rules 260–1, 297
 GC (common Article 3)/GC AP II 190–2, 260–1, 297
 jurisprudence (principles of interpretation)
El Mozote Massacres 260–1
Ituango Massacres 260–1
Mapiripán Massacre 260–1
Santo Domingo Massacre 260–1

AComHPR (African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights)

admissibility: *see also* admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements); exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))
 ACtHPR requirements distinguished 27

AComHPR (African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights) (cont.)

Rules of Procedure (2010), 118(3) (Commission's right to submit a Communication to the ACtHPR) 21-2

standing (ACtHPR): *see* ACtHPR, AComHPR applications (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)(a))

ACtHPR

AComHPR applications (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)(a)) 7, 10-12, 21-2

amicable settlement procedure (ACHPR Protocol 9/ROC 57) 16

individual applications (ACHPR Protocol 5(3)/34(6))

applicability to AComHPR applications

AComHPR v. Libya 23

Ogiek case 23

jurisdiction/admissibility: *see also* admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements)

admissibility and jurisdiction distinguished 22

disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (ACHPR Protocol 3(1)) 10

ongoing proceedings before the Commission, exclusionary effect, Commission's decision to terminate proceedings, effect 25-6

ratione loci (act on territory of Member State) 25

ratione materiae (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (Protocol 3(1)))

Abubakari 21

identity of treatment of all ACHPR Protocol 5(1) applications 21-2

Ogiek case 10, 20-2

Thomas 21

ratione personae (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)), *Ogiek* case 22-3

ratione temporis (date of entry into force of African Charter/Protocol/ICCPR)

continuing wrong 24-5

Ogiek case 23-5

Zongo 24

ACtHPR Rules of Court by rule

29(3)(c) (request to intervene) 13, 15

30 (costs) 64

34(1) (filing of Application) 8-9

35(2)(a) (transmission of application to State party) 8-9

35(3) (notification of application to chair of AU Commission) 9

37 (time-limit for reply to application) 8-9

39 (preliminary examination of competence) 20

39(1) (preliminary examination of competence: Court's right to request information/documentation) 20

40 (conditions for admissibility), AComHPR admissibility rules distinguished 26-7

40(1) (disclosure of identity of applicant) 28-9

applicability to AComHPR applications 29

40(5) (exhaustion of local remedies) 29-32

45(1) (measures for taking evidence: expert witness) 16

51 (provisional measures) 9, 11

57 (amicable settlement) 16

63 (ruling on request for reparation) 64

admissibility (ACHPR 56 requirements)

AComHPR rules distinguished 27

exhaustion of local remedies: *see* exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))

identity of applicant requirement (ROC 40(1)), applicability to AComHPR applications 29

jurisprudence (general)

Abubakari 32

Mtikila 32

Ogiek case 25-32

Thomas 32

admissibility of evidence (arbitral and international tribunals)

critical date 440-1, 452-9

compatibility with VCLT 31(3)(a) and VCLT 31(3)(b) 440-1

post-trial/hearing evidence (*Ladd v. Marshall* criteria)

credibility of evidence 430, 452

importance of evidence to result of the case 430, 452

reasonable diligence test 452

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (ECHR obligations in respect of British forces' activities**

in Iraq): see *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (ECHR obligations in respect of British forces' activities in Iraq) (background); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1)); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issue 2: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases: applicability in all cases where there is an arguable breach of the principle that detainees will not be transferred if, at the time of transfer, there was a real risk of torture or serious mistreatment); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issue 4: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases: when does duty to investigate arise?); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issues 7-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5)); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issues 8-9: domestically enforceable legal rights under UNCAT/CIL); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1)); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 2: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases: applicability in all cases where there is an arguable breach of the principle that detainees will not be transferred if, at the time of transfer, there was a real risk of torture or serious mistreatment); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 4: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases: when does duty to investigate arise?); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issues 5-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5)); *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 10: investigative obligation in absence of substantive breach of ECHR 2/ECHR 3)

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (ECHR obligations in respect of British forces' activities in Iraq) (background)**

Ali Zaki Mousa proceedings

Ali Zaki Mousa (No 1) 527

Ali Zaki Mousa (No 2) 527

sufficiency of follow-up 527

British military involvement in Iraq

invasion period (20 March 2003-5 April 2003) 523

occupation period 523

post-occupation period (28 June 2004-2009) 523

claims

private law claims (claims for compensation) 520

public law claims (requests for investigation of alleged human rights violations) 520

of *Rahmatullah* and Ali 520-1

IHAT investigations

establishment of IHAT 526

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (ECHR obligations in respect of British forces' activities in Iraq) (background) (cont.)**

- mandate 526
- state of play 526
- issues
 - issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1) 521-2, 614
 - issues 2-4: obligation to investigate in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases (ECHR 3) 522
 - issues 5-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5) 522
 - issues 8-9: rights under UNCAT/CIL 522
 - issue 10: obligation to investigate in absence of substantive breach of ECHR 2/ECHR 3 522
 - rights of persons in custody of British forces, parties' agreement on 521
 - rights of persons not in custody of British forces 521-2
 - scope/handling of 521
- judicial review claims 525-6, 612-13
 - Forbes report (*Al-Sweady*) 526
 - Gage report (*Baha Mousa*) 525-6
- obligation to investigate allegations of torture, inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3) 525
- obligation to investigate deaths (ECHR 2) 524
 - as obligation of means 524
 - requirements
 - effective procedure 524
 - independence of investigators 524
 - next of kin involvement 524
 - promptness 524
- obligation not to send an individual to another State where there is a risk of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3) (*non-refoulement* obligation) 525

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1)) 614-51**

- "effective control" test 626-7
- "occupation" and 632-3
- espace juridique*, definition 627
- exceptional nature of extraterritorial jurisdiction 622-3
- expansion of ECHR rights, need for caution/reservation to the ECtHR 623
- High Court decision, summary of 621-2
 - Al-Skeini* (ECtHR) 617-21, 623-7
- Note*: the *Al-Skeini* cases are a constant theme throughout the *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* cases. Citations have only been included in the index if they offer an extensive description of the issues considered.
- Banković* 614-17
- Banković* after *Al-Skeini* 623-6, 641-2
- Hassan* 624-8, 634-5, 649, 675-7
- Issa* 625, 629, 639-43
- Jaloud* 624-5, 629, 636, 639-40
- Pad* 640
- Smith (Catherine)* 622-5
- Smith (Susan)* 534-5, 536, 542-3, 554, 622-6, 628, 635-6
- State agent authority and control 627-51
 - diplomatic/consular activity 630

- dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution 616, 618-19, 624, 626, 637, 650-1
- exercise of physical control and authority 636-43
 - flexibility of concept 642
 - GC III:12/GC IV:45, relevance 650-1
 - public powers exception 627-35
 - invasion period 634-5
 - occupation period 633-4
 - post-occupation period 635-6
 - review of events in Iraq 630-3
 - sufficiency of any act of force to engage ECHR/IHL obligations 636-43
- State agent authority and control, Court’s conclusion on the applicability of principle to test cases
 - PIL 3/PIL 7 646
 - PIL 6 644
 - PIL 45 647
 - PIL 73 645-6
 - PIL 82 644-5
 - PIL 129 645
 - PIL 156 645
 - PIL 176 646-7
- Rahmatullah and Ali: UK responsibility for acts after transfer to US forces 648-51
- State agent authority and control, jurisprudence (non-detention cases)
 - Andreou* 640
 - Isaak* 641
 - Solomou* 640-1
- Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (Court of Appeal) (issue 2: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in “handover”/non-refoulement cases: applicability in all cases where there is an arguable breach of the principle that detainees will not be transferred if, at the time of transfer, there was a real risk of torture or serious mistreatment) 651-64**
 - background
 - issues (*Soering* duty) summarized 651-3
 - parties’ positions 653
 - jurisprudence (non-*Soering*-type cases)
 - Al-Adsani* 642
 - Amin* 655-6
 - Assenov* 653-4
 - Aydin* 653-4
 - D* 656
 - DSD* 656
 - Edwards* 655
 - El-Masri* 656-9
 - Gldani* 655-6
 - Ilban* 654-5
 - Labita* 653-4
 - MC* 656
 - Šečić* 656
 - jurisprudence (*Soering*-type cases)
 - Dzburayev* 659-60
 - Mamatkulov* 656
 - non-refoulement* obligation

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah (Court of Appeal) (issue 2: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in “handover”/non-refoulement cases: applicability in all cases where there is an arguable breach of the principle that detainees will not be transferred if, at the time of transfer, there was a real risk of torture or serious mistreatment) (cont.)

exposure to risk and subjection to ill-treatment distinguished 661-2

non-criminal nature of breach 661-2

strict liability/relevance of *mens rea* 661-2

obligation to investigate (general), interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 653-5

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah (Court of Appeal) (issue 4: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in “handover”/non-refoulement cases: when does duty to investigate arise?) 662-3

aiding or assisting mistreatment 663

content of investigative obligation/effectiveness 663

Court’s conclusion (test cases) 663-4

perpetration of mistreatment 662

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah (Court of Appeal) (issues 7-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5)) 664-78

background

appellant’s claim (obligation to investigate allegations of breach of ECHR 5 other than enforced disappearances) 665

High Court findings 664-5

issues 664

Court’s conclusions 677-8

issue 7: obligation to investigate enforced disappearances

Court’s conclusions 677-8

“enforced disappearance” 665-7

international law relating to, with particular reference to CED (2006) 665-7

interpretation of ECHR in accordance with “any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties” (VCLT 31(3)(c)) 665-7

interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 667-75

jurisprudence

Bazorkina 669

Çakıcı 669

Çiçek 669

Cyprus v. Turkey 669

Er 669

Kurt 668-9, 671, 674

Luluyev 669

Taş 669

Timurtaş 669

Varnava 669

obligation to investigate allegations of breach of ECHR 5 other than enforced disappearances

appellants’ arguments 671-2

rationale/fundamental nature of right 671-2

obligation to investigate allegations of breach of ECHR 5 other than enforced disappearances, jurisprudence

El-Masri 672-5

Gisayev 670-1

Medova 671

issue 7A: IHL/IHRL, parallel applicability/IHL as *lex specialis* 674

***Al-Saadoon/Rabmatullah (Court of Appeal) (issues 8-9: domestically enforceable legal rights under UNCAT/CIL)* 678-84**

- background
 - appellants' position 679, 681
 - High Court's conclusions 610-11, 679
 - the issues 678-9
 - relevant provisions of UNCAT (text) 679-80
- obligation to investigate alleged act of torture (UNCAT 12) 683
- obligation to provide education and training for law-enforcement personnel (UNCAT 10)/systematic review of rules and practices (UNCAT 11) 603-5, 683-4
- territorial scope of UNCAT ("any territory under its jurisdiction") 681
- treaties in the UK, need for incorporation/legislation
 - implementing legislation as sole source of obligations relating to treaty 683
 - legality principle 682-3
- UNCAT as evidence of applicable rules of international law (VCLT 31(3)(c)) in interpreting ECHR 3 683-4

***Al-Saadoon/Rabmatullah (High Court) (issues 8-9: domestically enforceable legal rights under UNCAT/CIL)* 597-609**

- claimants' reasons for raising the issues 597-8
- customary international law (CIL), applicability 602-3
- obligation to investigate alleged act of torture (UNCAT 12) 603-4
- relevant provisions of UNCAT (text) 598-9
- territorial scope of UNCAT ("any territory under its jurisdiction") 599-600
- treaties in the UK, need for incorporation/legislation 600-1
 - legality principle 601
 - presumption of conformity of legislation with treaty 600-1
- UNCAT as evidence of applicable rules of international law (VCLT 31(3)(c)) in interpreting ECHR 3 604-5

***Al-Saadoon/Rabmatullah (High Court) (issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1))* 527-62**

- authority and control as sufficient basis (*Issa*) vs territorial principle (*Banković*) 528-37, 554
 - Banković* after *Al-Skeini* 549-52
- Court's conclusion 609-10
- "effective control" test
 - dependence on State's practical ability to secure full package of ECHR rights 537-9
 - public powers exception 539-44
 - exercise of powers through the "consent, invitation or acquiescence" of government of the territory and exercise as occupying power distinguished 539-40
 - as factual test 539-40
 - invasion period 540-1
 - occupation period 540
 - PIL 6: Atheer Kareem Khalaf 541-2
 - post-occupation period 542-4
 - expansion of ECHR rights, need for caution/reservation to the ECtHR 553-4
- IHL/IHRL (*jus in bello*) relationship 552-3
- jurisprudence
 - Al-Skeini* (ECtHR) 532-9, 548-9
 - Al-Skeini* (UK courts) 531, 537-9
 - Banković* 528-30, 535-6

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 1: “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1))** (*cont.*)

- Hassan* 536-7, 559-60
- Ilaşcu* 560-2
- Issa* 530
- Jaloud* 536-7, 539-40
- Medvedyev* 530-1
- Öcalan* 530-3, 548-9
- Smith (Catherine)* 534, 554, 556
- Smith (Susan)* 534-6, 544, 554
- State agent authority and control 544-62
 - dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution 529, 533, 535, 547-8
 - exercise of physical control and authority 544-62
 - obligations towards persons taken into custody and persons not, distinguishability 546-8
 - sufficiency of any act of force to engage ECHR/IHL obligations 546-8
- State agent authority and control, Court’s conclusion on the applicability of principle to test cases
 - PIL 3 and PIL 7: deaths in a British hospital 555-7
 - PIL 6 555
 - PIL 45: death in road accident 557-8
 - PIL 176: killing during a US-led operation 557
 - Rahmatullah and Ali: UK responsibility for acts after transfer to US forces 558-62
- State agent authority and control, jurisprudence (non-detention cases) 544-5
 - Andreou* 545
 - Isaak* 545, 547
 - Solomou* 545

***Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 2: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in “handover”/non-refoulement cases: applicability in all cases where there is an arguable breach of the principle that detainees will not be transferred if, at the time of transfer, there was a real risk of torture or serious mistreatment)** 562-78

- background
 - issues summarized 562
 - parties’ positions 563
- Court’s conclusion 578, 610, 662
 - position on authority 572-7
 - position in principle 569-72
- jurisprudence (non-*Soering*-type cases)
 - Aksoy* 563-4, 572-4
 - Al-Adsani* 573-4
 - Amin* 524, 571
 - Assenov* 564-5, 569, 572-3
 - Aydin* 564
 - Bekos* 566
 - Boicenco* 566
 - El-Masri* 566, 574-6
 - Gldani* 573
 - Ilhan* 565-6
 - Labita* 565
 - MC* 573
 - Satik* 566
 - Šević* 573

- jurisprudence (*Soering*-type cases)
 - Al-Saadoon* (ECtHR) (2010) 563
 - Chahal* 563
 - Dzhurayev* 566, 576-7
 - Mamatkulov* 568, 572
 - Nasseri* case, effect 566-9
 - Othman* 563
 - Saadi* 563
 - Soering* 562
- non-refoulement* obligation
 - absolute nature of 571-2
 - exposure to risk and subjection to ill-treatment distinguished 571-2
 - as implied obligation 571
 - non-criminal nature of breach 572
 - non-fundamental nature of obligation 572
 - “real risk”/“substantial grounds for believing” test (including UNCAT 3(1)) 563
 - strict liability/relevance of *mens rea* 572
- obligation to investigate (general)
 - burden/standard of proof (“reasonable suspicion”) 564-5
 - interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 563-5
 - rationale
 - fundamental nature of right/non-derogability 569-70
 - implementation of domestic criminal law 570-1
- Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issue 4: obligation to investigate ECHR 3 risks in “handover”/non-refoulement cases: when does duty to investigate arise?) 578-82**
- aiding or assisting mistreatment 578-81
 - aid or assistance in the commission of internationally wrongful act (ILC(SR) 16) 578-81
 - “knowledge of the circumstances” 580
- content of investigative obligation/effectiveness 581
- Court’s conclusion (test cases) 581-2
- obligation to create criminal offence (UNCAT 4) and 579
- perpetration of mistreatment 578
- Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* (High Court) (issues 5-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5)) 582-97**
- issue 5: obligation to investigate all cases arguably in breach of ECHR 5 582-3
 - Court’s conclusions 593-5, 610
 - rationale of investigation obligation, compatibility with 582-3
- issue 7: obligation to investigate enforced disappearances 583-90
- ECtHR jurisprudence
 - Bazorkina* 588
 - Çakıcı* 588
 - Çiçek* 588
 - Cyprus v. Turkey* 587-8, 669
 - Er* 588
 - Kurt* 586-90
 - Luluyev* 588
 - Taş* 588
 - Timurtaş* 588
 - Varnava* 588
 - “enforced disappearance” 583-4

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah (High Court) (issues 5-7A: obligation to investigate allegations of unlawful detention (ECHR 5)) (*cont.*)
 international law relating to, with particular reference to CED (2006) 583-5: *see also*
 disappearances, treaties and international instruments relating to
 interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 588
 obligation to investigate allegations of breach of ECHR 5 other than enforced
 disappearances, inappropriateness when the facts are already known 593
 obligation to investigate allegations of breach of ECHR 5 other than enforced
 disappearances, jurisprudence 588-90

El-Masri 590-3

Gisayev 588-90

Medova 589

issue 7A: IHL/IHRL, parallel applicability/IHL as *lex specialis* 595-7

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah (High Court) (issue 10: investigative obligation in absence of substantive breach of ECHR 2/ECHR 3) 606-9

arbitral tribunal, jurisdiction, review of tribunal's decision by domestic court, standard
 (*Sanum v. Laos*) 432-3

Australia

Child Abduction Convention (1980) (CAICA): *see also Padwa* (CAICA: habitual residence of child)

Family Law (Child Abduction) Regulations 1986 (Cth), s 16(1A)(b) (habitual residence of child) 357-74

dual habitual residence, possibility of 370-3

as vehicle for application of CAICA 371-2

uniform interpretation, desirability 364

double taxation agreements, *Tech Mahindra* 379-91

international officials, privileges and immunities

categories of entitlement (IOPIA 6(1) and Schedules) 399

conformity with UNPIC 405-6

functional purpose 405

international organizations, legislation relating to (IOPIA 1963) by section

3(1) (definitions: "international organisation to which this Act applies") 399

6(1)(d)(i) (privileges and immunities of person who holds an office . . . not being a high office) 394-414: *see also Jayasinghe* (international officials, exemption from taxation on salaries and emoluments)

IOPIA 6(1)(b)(i) compared 402

IOPIA 6(1)(c)(i) and 6(1)(e)(i) distinguished 402

"office in" 401-7, 412-14

10 (waiver) 405

Schedule 4 (privileges and immunities of officer other than a high officer) (text) 399-400

international organizations, legislation relating to (UN (Privileges and Immunities) Regulations 1986 (Cth)) 400

Reg. 3 (applicability of IOPIA to the UN) 400

Regs. 4-5 (UN privileges and immunities as organization) 401

Regs. 6-8 (UN offices designated as "high offices") 401

Reg. 9(1) (persons attending UN conferences) 401

Reg. 10(1) and (3) (privileges and immunities of officers other than high officers) 401

Reg. 10(1) (UNPIC V(18)) 405-6

Reg. 11(1) (UNPIC VI(22)) 405-6

Reg. 12(2) (waiver) 405

- legislation, interpretation
 - conformity with international obligations including customary international law (CIL), treaties 405-6
 - guidelines, context 402-3, 412
 - object and purpose 405
- Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth), Sched. 1, s 357-60(1) (“Object of this part”) 407-8
- Taxation Determination TD 92/153 (determination of “person who holds an office”) 408-11
- treaty interpretation
 - context (VCLT 31(2)), treaty as a whole/holistic approach 385-90
 - ordinary meaning of terms in their context and in the light of its object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 389-90
 - uniformity, desirability 364
- Belgium**, *Ogiek* case 60-2
- belligerent occupation (*jus in bello*)**, “occupation”/“occupied territory”, effective control, need for 632-3
- BITs (bilateral investment treaties)**: *see also Sanum* (applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau/jurisdiction (BIT 8(3)))
 - “fork in the road” (“bifurcation clause”), *Sanum* 462-3
 - interpretation and application (jurisdiction to determine), courts of place designated as seat of arbitration 431-2
 - “involving the amount of compensation for expropriation”
 - Austrian Airlines* 467-8
 - Berschader* 468-70
 - Plama Consortium* 465-7
 - RosInvest* 468-70
 - Sanum* 462-71
 - Tza Yap Shum* 463-5
- Child Abduction Convention (1980) (CAICA) (wrongful abduction)**
 - habitual residence 357-74
 - dual habitual residence, possibility of 370-3
 - intention [of parents], role 362-4
 - “controlling weight”/decline in importance 364-6, 373-4
 - settled purpose from child’s perspective as test 364-70
 - habitual residence, jurisprudence
 - A v. A (Children: Habitual Residence)* 364
 - AR v. RN (Habitual Residence)* 364
 - DJL* 371-2
 - Hanbury-Brown SS & Hanbury-Brown R* 371
 - LK* 362-4, 371
 - Padwa* 356-76
 - Punter* 364, 369
 - RB* 362
 - Re B (A Child)* 364
 - interpretation, uniformity, desirability 364
- child rights (ACHR 19)**
 - interpretation, CRC and AP II as aids/context 284

child rights (ACHR 19) (cont.)

jurisprudence

Furlan 284*Legal Status and Human Rights of the Child* 263*Santo Domingo Massacre* 263, 284-5*Street Children* 284**child rights in armed conflict including AP II, CRC and the CRC Protocol on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict (2000)**

jurisprudence

Furlan 284*Mapiripán Massacre* 284*Street Children* 284

provision of care and aid (AP II 4(3)) 284

special vulnerability of children in non-international armed conflict 284

civilian population, protection against consequences of war (with particular reference to GC IV:13-26/AP I and ICRC Rules)balance of interests/proportionality, *Santo Domingo Massacre* 274-5

“civilian” (AP I:50(1)) 282-3

civilian population and combatants, need to distinguish (AP I:48/AP II:13(2)/CIL (“principle of distinction”)

customary international law (CIL)

ICRC Rule 7 297

ICRC Rule 8 297

ICRC Rule 87 274

jurisprudence

Galić 274, 282-3*Santo Domingo Massacre* 274, 283-4

Report of the Commission of Inquiry on Lebanon pursuant to HRC Resolution S-2/1 (2006) 274

Report on the NATO Bombing Campaign against Yugoslavia (2000) 274

precautions against effect of attack (AP I:58), ICRC Rules 15, 17 and 18 275-6

proportionality and (AP I:51(5)(b)), ICRC Rule 14 274-5

Santo Domingo Massacre 275-81**CJEU Rules of Procedure (2012 including amendments up till July 2016), 105(1)**
(extradited preliminary ruling procedure) 115**combatant status, *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* 552-3****competences, sincere cooperation/good faith obligation (TEU 4(3) [TEC 5] [EC 10])***Cussens* 144-5*Generalstaatsanwaltschaft (Conditions of detention in Hungary)* 144*Nikiforidis* 144*Petruhhin* 144*Wightman* 132-3, 144-5**consular functions (including VCCR 5), representation of national in court/assistance to national in judicial proceedings, as permitted vs obligatory function 483-4****costs (IACtHR) (ACHR 63(1))**

equitable basis 324

evidence of, need for, Court’s *ex proprio motu* decision in absence of 324-5

jurisprudence

Caballero Delgado 324*Chaparro Álvarez* 324-5*El Mozote Massacres* 324-5*Garrido* 324

- Mapiripán Massacre* 324
- Santo Domingo Massacre* 323-6
- means of compliance 325-6
- necessary and reasonable test 324
- as reparations (ACHR 63(1)) 324
- timeliness of request 324-5
- Council of Europe (CoE)**, Resolutions (Parliamentary Assembly), 1463 (2005) (enforced disappearances) 584, 666
- crimes against humanity**, disappearances (CED 5) 667
- culture, right to (ACHPR 17)**
 - “culture” 53
 - forced assimilation, protection from (UNDRIP 8) 53-4
 - fundamental importance of right, CESCRC GC 21 (ICESCR 15(1)(a): right of everybody to take part in cultural life) 53-4
 - as individual and collective right 52
 - morals and traditional values, State’s duty to promote and protect (ACHPR 17(3)) 53-4
 - Cultural Charter for Africa (1976) compared 52-3
 - Ogiek* case 50-6
 - restrictions
 - legitimate purpose/due regard to the rights of others . . . and common interest (ACHPR 27(2)) 54-6
 - “subject to law and order”/“claw-back” clause, absence 55
 - UNDRIP and 53-4
- customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law**
 - in case of legislation covering point at issue 602-3
 - incorporation/implementing legislation, need for 602-3
- customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law, jurisprudence**
 - Jones (Margaret)* 602
 - Keyu* 602-3
 - Trendtex* 602
- customary international law (CIL), treaties and other international instruments reflecting (examples)**, Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT) 602-3
- customary international law (CIL), treaties and other international instruments reflecting, interrelationship**
 - derogation from, agreement of all parties to treaty, need for 443-4
 - Sanum* 433-72
- death penalty, jurisprudence**, *Bentley* 492
- development of the law** 85
- differential treatment, justification/requirements**: *see* non-discrimination obligation (ACHPR 2), justified differential treatment, requirements
- diplomatic protection**
 - jurisprudence
 - Abbasi* 490
 - Butt* 491-2
 - right to/duty of State to provide/legitimate expectation and 491-2
- disappearances**
 - definition/characteristics 583-5, 665-7
 - jurisprudence
 - Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* 583-90, 664-78
 - Bazorkina* 588, 669

disappearances (*cont.*)

- Çakıcı* 588, 669
- Çiçek* 588, 669
- Cyprus v. Turkey* 587-8
- Er* 588, 669
- Kurt* 586-90, 668-9, 671
- Luluyev* 588, 669
- Taş* 588
- Timurtaş* 588, 669
- Varnava* 588, 669

obligation to investigate 583-90, 665

interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 588, 667-75

disappearances, treaties and international instruments relating to

CoE Parliamentary Assembly Resolution 1463 (2005) on enforced disappearances 584, 666

UN Convention on the Protection of All People from Enforced Disappearance (2006) (CED) 584-5, 666-7

as crime against humanity (CED 5) 667

“enforced disappearance” (CED 2) 584-5, 666-7

non-derogability (CED 1) 666-7

obligation to ensure criminal responsibility can be assigned to any person involved in an enforced disappearance (CED 6) 667

obligation to ensure that enforced disappearance constitutes a criminal offence (CED 4) 585, 667

obligation to investigate (CED 12(1)/CED 12(2)) 585, 667

obligation to provide for appropriate penalties (CED 7) 667

UN Declaration on the Protection of All Persons against Forced Disappearances (1992) 584, 666

double taxation agreements

model conventions, UN Model Double Taxation Convention between Developed and Developing Countries (2001) 388-9

taxation of royalties 379-91

Tech Mahindra 379-91: *see also* *Tech Mahindra* (Australia–India Double Taxation Agreement (A-IT))

ECHR (1950), applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1)

authorized agent of State abroad/State agent authority and control 627-51

authority and control, requirements 481-6

exercise of physical control and authority 544-62, 636-43

flexibility of concept 642

GC III:12/GC IV:45, relevance 650-1

obligations towards persons taken into custody and persons not, distinguishability 546-8

sufficiency of any act of force to engage ECHR/IHL obligations 636-43

authority and control as sufficient basis (*Issa*) vs territorial principle (*Banković*) 528-37, 554

diplomatic/consular activity 481-6

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 544-62, 630

extraterritorial acts, extension to

dividing and tailoring of obligations vs “whole package” solution 529, 533, 535, 547-8, 618-19, 624, 626, 637, 650-1

exceptional nature 622-3

- occupied territory/effective control of area as result of military action
 British-occupied Iraq 626-7
 “effective control” test, dependence on State’s practical ability to secure full package of ECHR rights 537-9
 public powers exception 539-44, 618-21, 630-43
 exercise of powers through the “consent, invitation or acquiescence” of government of the territory and exercise as occupying power distinguished 539-40
 as factual test 539-40
 territory outside Convention’s legal space (*espace juridique*) 533, 620, 627
travaux préparatoires 615
- ECHR (1950), applicability “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1), jurisprudence**
Al-Adsani 573-4, 642
Al-Saadoon (2009) 530-1
Al-Skeini (ECtHR) 481-4, 532-4, 536-9, 548-9, 617-21, 623-7
Al-Skeini (UK courts) 531, 537-9
Andreou 545, 640
Banković 482-3, 528-30, 535-6, 614-17, 622-6
Hassan 536-7, 559-60, 625-8, 649
Ilascu 560-2
Isaak 545
Issa 530, 625, 640-3
Jaloud 536-7, 539-40, 624-5, 629, 636, 639-40
M v. Denmark 484
Medvedyev 530-1
Ocalan 530-1, 548-9
Pad 544-5, 640
Sandiford 481-6
Serdar Mohammed 641-2
Smith (Catherine) 482, 534, 554, 556, 622-4
Smith (Susan) 534-5, 536, 542-3, 554, 622-5, 628, 635-6
Soering 483, 614
Solomou 545, 640-1
X v. Germany 484
X v. UK 484-6
- ECHR (1950), interpretation**
Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 604-5, 666
 “any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties” [including those relating to State immunity] (VCLT 31(3)(c)), applicability jurisprudence
 Al-Adsani 666, 684
 Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 665-7
 UNCAT (1984) 604-5, 684
 responsibility for: *see* ECtHR jurisdiction/role and powers (including ECHR 19 and ECHR 32)
 subsequent changes, need to reflect (“living-tree” principle) (VCLT 31(3)(c)), “within their jurisdiction” (ECHR 1) 616
 subsequent practice in application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(b)) 615
 Hassan 675-7
travaux préparatoires: *see* *travaux préparatoires* as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32), in respect of, ECHR 1

- ECHR (1950), interpretation, jurisprudence relating to principles** 604
Aksoy 604
Ali Zaki Mousa 605
Banković 528-37, 549-52, 614-17
- economic, social and cultural development, right to (ACHPR 22), UNDRIP 23** (right to development) and 61-2
- ECtHR jurisdiction/role and powers (including ECHR 19 and ECHR 32)**
 interpretation and application of ECHR (ECHR 32), expansion of ECHR rights, need for caution/reservation to the ECtHR 553-4, 623
 jurisprudence
Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 553-4, 623
Al-Skeini (UK courts) 554
Smith (Catherine) 554
Ullah 553
- effective remedy before national authority, need for (ECHR 13)**
 “effective remedy”, *Aksoy* 563-4, 572-3, 653-4
 interrelationship with other ECHR articles/non-autonomous/independent nature of obligation 563-5, 588, 653-5
- Enforced Disappearances Convention (2006) (CED):** *see* disappearances, treaties and international instruments relating to
- estoppel**, substantial change of position taken at earlier stage in proceedings, admissibility 242-3
- EU accession (TEU 49),** *Wightman* 130, 136, 146, 160-2
- EU law, interpretation**
 context (VCLT 31(2)), treaty/law as a whole 158-9, 161-2
 drafting history/*travaux préparatoires* 141-2, 159, 162-3
 jurisprudence (general principles)
Hemming 131
Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami 159
Parliament v. Commission, C-286/14 159
Pringle 159
Vilkas 131
Wightman 130-45, 159
 object and purpose/spirit and purpose (teleological approach) (VCLT 31(1))
 common values (TEU 2) 161-2
 “ever closer union”/elimination of barriers (TEU preamble/TEU 1 and TFEU preamble) 161-2
 TEU 50 140-1
 strict/literal approach 131
 VCLT, applicability (jurisprudence)
ATA 128
Austria v. Germany (C-648/15) 129-30
Bosphorus Queen Shipping 129-30
Brita 129-30
Burgoa 129-30
Commission v. Slovakia (C-264/09) 129-30
Frente Polisario 129-30
Kadi and Al Barakaat International Foundation 128-30
Poulsen and Diva Navigation 128
Wightman 126-8
WSC 128-30

EU legal order

- autonomy/independence
 - EU accession to ECHR and 158
 - jurisprudence
 - Achmea* 158-9
 - Les Verts* 158
 - Opinion 2/13* 158
 - Wightman* 158-9
 - justification for 158-9
- common values (TEU 2) as underlying principles
 - jurisprudence
 - Al Kadi* 161-2
 - Minister for Justice and Equality (Deficiencies in the system of justice)* 161-2
 - Wightman* 161-2
 - liberty and democracy (TEU preamble/CFR preamble) 161-2
 - mutual trust/sincere cooperation (TEU 4(3)) 132-3, 144-5
- transfer of sovereign powers and 158

EU, withdrawal (TEU 50): see also *Wightman*

- costs of preparations for/EU legal acts 138-40
- expulsion distinguished 135-6, 141
- interpretation
 - context (VCLT 31(2)) 131-40, 158-9, 161-2
 - historical interpretation/Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe *travaux préparatoires* 141-2, 162-3
 - literal interpretation, exclusion 131
 - object and purpose/spirit and purpose (teleological approach) (VCLT 31(1)) 140-1
- obligations of withdrawing State
 - good faith/"sincere cooperation" (TEU 4(3)) 132-3, 144-5
 - notification/two-year wait 132-3
- revocation of notification of withdrawal (by agreement) 145-7
- revocation of notification of withdrawal (unilateral) 130-45
 - "in accordance with its own constitutional requirements" (TEU 50(1)) 143, 159
 - justification, whether necessary 143
 - parliamentary approval 143
- conditions and limits
 - formal act requirement (TEU 50(3)) 142-3
 - good faith/"sincere cooperation" (TEU 4(3)) 144-5
 - revocation before conclusion of withdrawal agreement/expiry of two-year period 143, 159-61, 163-5
 - unanimous approval of the European Council, whether required 163
- expulsion risk in absence of right of revocation 135-6, 162
- extension of negotiating period distinguished 161
- notification of *intention*/instability of intentions 133-4, 159
- object and purpose/teleological approach 140-1
- respect for Member States' national identity (TEU 4(2)) 135, 140-1
- right of revocation during TEU 50(3) two-year period until acceptance of withdrawal 132-3
 - as sovereign right 132, 160-1, 163
 - withdrawal followed by application to rejoin alternative 136
- RO* 73, 75, 83, 91-2, 130-1, 136-7, 159-61
- withdrawal from treaties under international law 128-30
 - VCLT, applicability to TEU 50 withdrawal 128-30, 163

EU, withdrawal (TEU 50) (cont.)

- as aid to interpretation 129-30
- as *lex specialis* in relation to VCLT 65, VCLT 67 and VCLT 68 122-3, 129-30
- VCLT provisions, CIL and State practice 123-8
- withdrawal procedure (TEU 50(2) and TEU 50(3)) 159-60
- extension of two-year negotiating period (TEU 50(3))
 - effect on unilateral nature of withdrawal 138
 - unanimous agreement of EU Council in agreement with withdrawing Member State 130-2, 137-8
- stage one (TEU 50(1)) (decision to withdraw “in accordance with its own constitutional requirements” (TEU 50(1))) 131-2
 - finding of incompatibility with constitutional requirements/constitutional reversal of decision 134-5
 - notification/two-year negotiating period as formal elements 131-2
 - unilateral nature/sovereign right 131-2, 159-60
 - VCLT 54 and VCLT 56 as basis 132
- stage two (negotiating phase), unilateral nature 132-40
 - effect of notification of intention to withdraw on status as an EU Member State 136-7

European citizenship (TFEU 18-25 [TEC 17-22])

- as fundamental status of nationals of Member States (TFEU 20 [TEC 17]) 162
- jurisprudence
 - Grzelczyk* 162
 - Rottmann* 162
 - Wightman* 162
 - Zhu and Chen* 162
- withdrawal from the EU, impact 162

evidence (IACtHR)

- admissibility (documentary evidence)
 - documents with verifiable source and date of publication 199-200
 - effective electronic links, sufficiency 199-200
 - media reports
 - government statements 199-200
 - reports corroborating other evidence 199-200
 - reports of publicly known or notorious facts 199-200
 - non-probative documents 200
- Court’s discretion 198
- failure of State to submit evidence in conformity with Court’s/Commission’s requests 200-1
- timeliness
 - justification for incorporation of late evidence 201
 - justification for late submission 201-2

evidence (IACtHR), jurisprudence

- El Mozote Massacres* 198-200, 202
- Escué Zapata* 199-200
- Loayza Tamayo* 202
- Santo Domingo Massacre* 198-202
- Velásquez Rodríguez* 199-200
- White Van* 198

exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))

- evidence of exhaustion 31
- instigator of proceedings to find remedy, relevance 30-1
- jurisprudence

- Konaté* 30
Ogiek case 29-32
Zongo 30
- remedies to be exhausted, judicial remedies 32
 “unless it is obvious that this procedure is unduly prolonged” 30-1
- exhaustion of local remedies (ACHR 46(1)(a))**
 effective remedy, need for (ACHR 46(2))
 contentious-administrative jurisdiction, whether 196-8
 requests for reparations 197-8
 exhaustion of the procedure (ACHR 48-50) 239-41
 jurisprudence
Cepeda Vargas 196-7
Furlan 195-6
González Medina 195-6
Grande 195-6
Ituango Massacres 197-8
Mejía Idrovo 195-6
Reverón Trujillo 195-6
Santo Domingo Massacre 192-8
Velásquez Rodríguez 195-6
 purpose (opportunity for national authorities to rectify situation) 195, 237-8
 timeliness of objection requirement 195-6
- extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of breach of human rights including torture/inhumane treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7/UNCAT 3) and “flagrant breach of justice” (including “foreign cases”), jurisprudence**
Al-Moayad 568, 572
Al-Saadoon 572
Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 562-82, 651-64, 678-84
Chahal 563
Cruz Varas 568, 572
Dzhurayev 566, 576-7, 659-60
El-Masri 566, 574-6, 590-3, 656-9
Mamatkulov 568, 572, 656
Nasseri 566-9
Othman 563
Saadi 563
Vilvarajah 568, 572
- extradition, deportation or expulsion to country where risk of torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (including ECHR 3/ICCPR 7/UNCAT 3)**
 date of transfer as date of breach 566-9
non-refoulement obligation
 absolute nature of 563, 571-2
 as implied obligation 571
 non-criminal nature of breach 572, 661-2
 non-fundamental nature of obligation 572
 strict liability/relevance of *mens rea* 572, 661-2
 “real risk”/“substantial grounds for believing” test (including UNCAT 3(1)) 563
 exposure to risk and subjection to ill-treatment distinguished 571-2, 661-2
- extradition, deportation or expulsion to non-ECHR country where risk of breach of ECHR rights (including “foreign” cases)**
 burden/standard of proof/real risk test, “reasonable suspicion” 564-5
 obligation to investigate 562-82
 aiding or assisting mistreatment 578-81

extradition, deportation or expulsion to non-ECHR country where risk of breach of ECHR rights (including “foreign” cases) (cont.)

aid or assistance in the commission of internationally wrongful act (ILC(SR) 16)
 578-81

“knowledge of the circumstances” 580

obligation to create offence (UNCAT 4) 579

perpetration of mistreatment and 578

extraterritorial jurisdiction including extraterritorial enforcement of legislation by legislating State, applicability/examples of, public powers/acts of officials abroad 539-44, 627-36

“fork in the road” (“bifurcation clause”): *see* BITs (bilateral investment treaties), “fork in the road” (“bifurcation clause”)

freedom of movement and residence within the State (ACHR 22)

HRC GC 27 (ICCPR 12: freedom of movement) 292

interpretation, aids to, UN Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement (1998) 292
 jurisprudence

El Mozote Massacres 292

Ituango Massacres 292

Ricardo Canese 292

Santo Domingo Massacre 292-7

General Comments (CAT), 2 (implementation of UNCAT 2), “any territory under its jurisdiction” 599-600, 681

General Comments (CESCR), 21 (CESCR 15(1)(a): right of everybody to take part in cultural life) 53-4

General Comments (HRC)

22 (ICCPR 18 (freedom of thought, conscience and religion)), examples of protected rights 49

27 (ICCPR 12 (freedom of movement: derogations)) 292

habitual residence, children: *see* Child Abduction Convention (1980) (CAICA) (wrongful abduction)

honour and dignity (ACHR 11(1)), right to 302-4

Gómez Paquiyauri Brothers 303-4

Miguel Castro Castro Prison 303-4

Ríos 303-4

Santo Domingo Massacre 302-4

Tristán Donoso 303-4

human rights treaties (general)

obligation to investigate alleged breaches

jurisprudence

Aksoy 570, 572-4

Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah: *see* *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah*

Al-Skeini (ECtHR) 570

Amin 524, 571, 656

Asenov 570, 572-3, 653-4

Gldani 573, 655-6

MC 573, 656

Nachova 570

- Rantsev* 570, 610
- Šečić* 573, 656
- rationality
 - fundamental nature of rights/non-derogability 569-70, 582-3
 - identification and punishment of those responsible 564-5, 593
 - implementation of domestic criminal law 570-1, 582-3, 593

IACtHR

- jurisdiction and functions (ACHR 61-5)
 - “all matters relating to the interpretation or application of [the] Convention” (ACHR 62(1)/ACHR 62(3)) 190, 198
 - appeal court distinguished 263-4
 - facts falling outside factual framework of case, exclusion 252
 - IHL, compatibility of State’s acts with 190-2
 - jurisprudence
 - Atala Ríffo* 263-4
 - González Medina* 263-4
 - Nogueira de Carvalho* 263-4
 - Santo Domingo Massacre* 250, 263-4
 - NIAC, actions during (ACHR 27) 190
 - responsibility of State for breaches of the Convention, limitation to 250, 263-4
 - procedural matters: *see also* IACtHR Rules of Procedure (2009)
 - admissibility of new facts or claims, substantial change of position taken at earlier stage in proceedings/estoppel 242-3
 - admissibility of new facts or claims, jurisprudence
 - Neira Alegria* 242-3
 - Río Negro Massacres* 242-3
 - equality of parties 242-3

IACtHR judgment, request for interpretation (ACHR 67)

- composition of Court/non-participation in judgment on merits and (ROP) 335
- determination of applicability of domestic law, exclusion 350-1
- factual or legal questions already dealt with, exclusion 336
- jurisprudence (admissibility)
 - Abrill Alosilla* 336
 - Atala Ríffo* 336
 - Loayza Tamayo* 336
 - Salvador Chiriboga* 336
 - Santo Domingo Massacre* 336-53
- modification, expansion or annulment of judgment, exclusion 336, 341, 344, 350-1

IACtHR Rules of Procedure (2009)

- 58 (procedure for taking evidence) 186
- 62 (request for interpretation) 245
- 63 (procedure for monitoring compliance with the judgments and other decisions of the Court) 245
- 68(2) (request for interpretation: composition of the Court) 335
- 68(2) (request for interpretation: transmission of request) 334

IHL (international humanitarian law) (*jus in bello*)

- IHRL, parallel applicability/IHL as *lex specialis*, *Hassan* (ECtHR) 552-3, 595-7, 675-7
- nexus with armed conflict requirement, NIAC 260-1

indigenous people

- AComHPR/ACtHPR action relating to: *see also* *Ogiek* case
- AComHPR Advisory Opinion on (2007) 33-4

indigenous people (*cont.*)

ACWGIP Report, definition/criteria 33-4

Sawhoyamasa 55

definition/criteria for classification as

ACWGIP Report 33-4

changes to way of life, relevance 54-5

cultural distinctiveness 33-6

priority of occupation and use of specific territory 33-6

self-identification 33-4

special attachment to and use of their traditional land 33-4

state of subjugation, marginalization, dispossession, exclusion, or discrimination 33-4

subjugation and marginalization 33-6

UN Working Group on Indigenous People, Report (1986) 34

land rights

elements of right

ownership/right of disposal (*abusus*), relevance 39

usus/fructus/abusus (classical approach) 38, 59

variable nature of indigenous rights (UNDRIP 26(2)) 39

religious freedom and: *see* religious freedom (ACHPR 8)

UN Working Group on Indigenous People, Report (1986) 34

Indigenous People, UN Declaration on the Rights of (UNGA resolution 61/295 (2007)) (including 1994 draft) (UNDRIP)

AComHPR Advisory Opinion on (2007) 33-4

culture, destruction of/forced assimilation, protection against (UNDRIP 8) 53-4

development, right to (UNDRIP 23) and 61-2

lands, territories and resources (UNDRIP 26) 39

Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (ILO Convention No 169) (1989), “indigenous population” 33-4**inhuman or degrading treatment (ACHR 5)**

jurisprudence

Barrios Family 263

Blake 285-6

El Mozote Massacres 263, 285-6

Santo Domingo Massacre 263, 285-7

Street Children 263

Trujillo Oroza 285-6

Valle Jaramillo 285-6

next of kin as “injured party” in own right 285-7

personal, physical and mental integrity (ACHR 5(1)) 263

threat as breach 263

inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), obligation to investigate: *see* torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), procedural obligation to investigate**international officials, “in office” 401-7*****Jayasinghe* (international officials, exemption from taxation on salaries and emoluments) 394-414**

background

AAT and Federal Court decisions rejecting Commissioner’s appeal 396-7

respondent’s amended tax assessment for the income years ended 30 June 2010 and 30 June 2011, objections to 396-7

respondent’s terms of employment 395-6

- Court's decision 411-12, 414
- judgment (Kiefel CJ, Keane, Gordon and Edelman JJ) 394-412
 - summary 394
- Question 1 ("a person who holds an office in an international organisation" (IOPIA 6(1)(d)(i))) 401-7
 - applicability to respondent 406-7
 - interpretation in conformity with
 - object and purpose/functional nature of immunity 405
 - UNPIC 405-6
 - IOPIA 6(1)(b)(i) compared 402
 - IOPIA 6(1)(c)(i) and IOPIA 6(1)(e)(i) distinguished 402
 - "office in"
 - interpretation in context, need for 402-3, 412
 - permanence or succession, relevance 403
- Question 2 (Commissioner's obligations under TD 92/153) 407-11
 - Court's conclusion (respondent as "person engaged . . . as expert or consultant") 409-10
 - respondent's job title ("project manager"), relevance 411
 - findings
 - Federal Court 409
 - Tribunal 409
 - relevant law
 - IOPIA 3(1) ("international organisation to which this Act applies") 399
 - IOPIA 6, extracts 397-8
 - IOPIA 6(1) and Schedules (categories of entitled persons) 399
 - IOPIA Schedule 4 (privileges and immunities of officer other than a high officer) (text) 399-400
 - Taxation Administration Act 1953 (Cth), Sched. 1, s 357-60(1) ("Object of this part") 407-8
 - Taxation Determination TD 92/153 (determination of "person who holds an office") 408-11
 - UN (Privileges and Immunities) Regulations 1986 (Cth) 400-1
 - Reg. 10(1) and (3) (privileges and immunities of officers other than high officers) 401
 - separate opinion (Gageler J) 412-14
 - Question 1 412-14
 - Question 2 414
- judicial independence**, as general principle of law (ICJ 38(1)(c)) 85-6
- judicial protection/effective remedy (ACHR 25)**
 - acknowledgement of responsibility for breach 237-46
 - jurisprudence
 - Cepeda Vargas* 245
 - Gelman* 245
 - Kimel* 245
 - Myrna Mack Chang* 245
 - Río Negro Massacres* 245
 - competent tribunal, military criminal jurisdiction, exclusion/inappropriateness 247-8
 - disciplinary proceedings, whether 252
 - obligation to investigate alleged breaches 246-7
 - as obligation of means vs result 247
 - as serious, impartial and effective investigation designed to establish truth and pursue perpetrators 247

judicial protection/effective remedy (ACHR 25) (cont.)

- unreasonable delay, relevant factors
 - complexity of case 251-2
 - conduct of complainant 251-2
 - conduct of judicial authorities 251-2
 - effect on legal situation of person involved in the proceeding 251-2

judicial protection/effective remedy (ACHR 25), jurisprudence (general)

- 19 Tradesmen/19 Merchants* 247-8
- Barrios Family* 246-7
- Bulacio* 246
- Cabrera García and Montiel Flores* 247-8
- Cantoral Benavides* 247-8
- Castillo Petruzzi* 247-8
- Cepeda Vargas* 246
- Durand and Ugarte* 247-8
- El Mozote Massacres* 247
- Escué Zapata* 247-8
- Fernández Ortega* 247-8
- Genie Lacayo* 251
- Goiburú* 246
- González Medina* 246-7, 252
- Hilaire, Constantine and Benjamin* 251
- Ituango Massacres* 246-7, 252
- Kawas Fernández* 251
- La Cantuta* 246-8
- La Rochela Massacre* 247-8, 252
- Las Palmeras* 247-8
- Lori Berenson Mejía* 247-8
- Mapiripán Massacre* 247-8, 252
- Montero Aranguren (Retén de Catia)* 247-8
- Palamara Iribarne* 247-8
- Pueblo Bello Massacre* 247-8, 252
- Radilla Pacheco* 247-8
- Rosendo Cantú* 247-8
- Santo Domingo Massacre* 246-55
- Tiu Tojín* 247-8
- Usón Ramírez* 247-8
- Valle Jaramillo* 251
- Velasquez Rodríguez* 246-7
- Vélez Restrepo* 247-8
- Zambrano Vélez* 247-8

judicial review/justiciability (prerogative power)

- jurisprudence
 - Bentley* 492
 - Butt* 491-2
 - GCHQ* 491-2
 - Sandiford* 491-8
- scope/standard of review
 - proportionality 496
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle), diplomatic protection and 496

- judicial role/function of the courts (determination of the law):** *see also* judicial review/
 justiciability (prerogative power); preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267)
 access to court/justice, as feature of 75-6
 admissibility of request for/requirements
 practical/non-hypothetical question 76-7, 80, 88-92
 standing/interest 76-7, 82-3
 jurisprudence
 AXA 74-5, 77
 Barbour v. Grierson 75-6
 D & J Nicol 76-7
 Earl of Mansfield v. Stewart 75-6
 Gifford v. Trail 75-6
 Law Hospital NHS Trust 74-6, 90
 Macnaughton 71, 74, 76-7, 81-2, 88-92
 Russian Commercial and Industrial Bank 76
 Turner 77
 West 74, 77-8
 Wightman 75-9, 85-6
 methods of securing 75-9
 protection of the rule of law 77

Kenya

- Constitution (2010) by article
 2(5) (customary international law as part of the law of Kenya) 51
 2(6) (treaties as part of the law of Kenya) 51
 10(2) (values and principles of governance) 43-4
 24 (limitation of rights and fundamental freedoms) 43-4
 27 (equality and freedom from discrimination) 43-4
 indigenous peoples and, UNDRIP (2007) 39

Laos-PRC BIT (1993): *see Sanum* (applicability of PRC-Laos BIT to Macau/jurisdiction (BIT 8(3)))

legal assistance/legal aid (ECHR 6(3)(c)), *Sandiford* 476-502: *see also Sandiford*

legal certainty/legality principle

- fundamental/human rights and 601
 jurisprudence
 Ahmed 601, 682-3
 Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 601, 682-3
 AXA 601, 682-3
 Evans 682-3
 Guardian Newspapers (No 2) 600-1, 682-3
 Simms 601, 682-3
 Yam 682-3

legislation, interpretation

- conformity with international obligations including customary international law, treaty obligations 405-6
 context, transferral of interpretation in one statutory context to another, exclusion 402-3
 object and purpose/teleological approach 405

legitimate expectation

- diplomatic protection 491-2
 discretionary powers and 495-6, 501-2

liberty and security of person, right to (ECHR 5)

obligation to investigate allegations of wrongful detention 582-97
 interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13)
 588, 667-75

obligation to investigate all arguable claims 582-3
 rationale/fundamental nature of right 582-3, 671-2

life, right to (ACHPR 4)

as fundamental right 46

Ogiek case 44-7

right to life and right to a decent existence distinguished 46-7

life, right to (ACHR 4)

as fundamental right 262-3

jurisprudence (nature of State's obligations)

El Mozote Massacres 262-3

Santo Domingo Massacre 262-3

Street Children 262-3

Yakye Axa 46

obligation to protect and preserve (ACHR 1(1)), proactive nature of obligation
 262-3

life, right to (ECHR 2)

obligation to investigate death ("procedural" obligation)

as obligation of means 524

requirements

effective procedure 524, 581

independence of investigators 524

next of kin involvement 524

promptness 524

as separate and autonomous duty, exclusion in absence of arguable substantive
 breach/grounds for submission 606-9

life, right to (ECHR 2/ACHR 4), jurisprudence (obligation to investigate death): see

also Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah

Al-Skeini 524

Amin 524

Asenov 564-5, 569, 572-3

Edwards 655

Gentle 606

Jordan 524

McCann 524

Medova 589, 671

Middleton 524, 606

Smith (Catherine) 524, 606-7

Lisbon Treaty (2007) (TEU/TFEU), VCLT 5 (treaties constituting/adopted within an international organization) 128: see also EU law, interpretation, VCLT, applicability (jurisprudence)**looting/pillage, prohibition (HR 47/GC IV:33)**

customary IHL/ICRC Rule 52 297-8

jurisprudence

Kordić and Čerkez 297-8

Naletić and Martinović 297-8

Simić, Tadić and Zarić 297-8

Lotus principle ("what is not prohibited is allowed"/protective principle), EU law
131

- Macau, extension of PRC treaties to:** *see Sanum* (applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau/jurisdiction (BIT 8(3)))
- military courts/courts martial (domestic)**
 human rights violations
 IACtHR jurisprudence 247-8
 inappropriateness as forum 247-8
- natural resources, right to (ACHPR 21)**
 “natural resources” for purposes of ACHPR, right to dispose of (*abusus*) 59
Ogiek case 57-9
- non-discrimination obligation (ACHPR 2)**
 “or any other status” 42
 equal treatment (ACHPR 3) compared 42
 as fundamental element of all ACHPR rights and freedoms 42
 jurisprudence
Mtikila 42
Ogiek case 40-4
 justified differential treatment, requirements
 environmental protection, whether 44
 necessity/proportionality 42
 objective and reasonable justification 42
 laws protecting against discrimination, sufficiency 43-4
- Ogiek* case:** *see Ogiek* case (Court’s decision); *Ogiek* case (Merits); *Ogiek* case (procedural matters); *Ogiek* case (Provisional Measures (ACHPR Protocol 27(2)/ROC 51))
- Ogiek* case (Court’s decision)**
 jurisdiction 65
 admissibility 65
 merits 65
 reparations and costs 65
- Ogiek* case (Merits)**
 alleged breach of ACHPR 1 (obligation to recognize Charter rights and adopt implementing legislation/measures)
 applicant’s submission 62
 Court’s assessment and conclusion 62-3
 alleged breach of ACHPR 2 (non-discrimination) (Court’s assessment)
 “or any other status” 42
 Court’s conclusion 44
 equal treatment (ACHPR 3) compared 42
 justified differential treatment, requirements (necessity/proportionality/objective and reasonable justification) 42
 applicability to treatment of *Ogieks* 42-4
 laws protecting against discrimination, sufficiency 43-4
 non-discrimination as fundamental element of all ACHPR rights and freedoms 42
 alleged breach of ACHPR 2 (non-discrimination) (parties’ arguments)
 applicant 40-1
 respondent 41
 alleged breach of ACHPR 4 (right to life) (Court’s assessment) 46-7
 Court’s conclusion 47
 fundamental nature of right 46
 right to life and right to a decent existence distinguished 46-7

Ogiek case (Merits) (*cont.*)

- alleged breach of ACHPR 4 (right to life) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 44-5
 - respondent 45
- alleged breach of ACHPR 8 (religious freedom) (Court's assessment) 48-50
 - coexistence of traditional religious practices and Christianity 50
 - Court's conclusion 50
 - dependence on access to land and natural environment 49
 - HRC GC 22 examples of protected rights 49
 - restrictions "subject to law and order"/necessity and reasonableness 50
- alleged breach of ACHPR 8 (religious freedom) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 47-8
 - respondent 48
- alleged breach of ACHPR 14 (right to property) (Court's assessment) 38-40
 - Court's conclusion
 - finding of violation 39-40
 - Ogieks' right to occupy, use and enjoy ancestral lands 39
 - elements of right (*usus/fructus/abusus*) 38, 59
 - UNDRIP 26(2) emphasis on possession, occupation and use 39
 - encroachment, right of/requirements (public interest/necessity/proportionality) 39-40
 - as individual and collective right 38
- alleged breach of ACHPR 14 (right to property) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 36-7
 - respondent 38
- alleged breach of ACHPR 17(2) and ACHPR 17(3) (right to culture) (Court's assessment) 52-6
 - Court's conclusion 56
 - Court's finding of encroachment 54
 - "culture" 53
 - forced assimilation, protection from (UNDRIP 8) 53-4
 - fundamental importance of right, CESCRC GC 21 (ICESCR 15(1)(a): right of everybody to take part in cultural life) 53-4
 - impact of encroachment on traditional way of life 54-5
 - as individual and collective right 52
 - morals and traditional values, State's duty to promote and protect (ACHPR 17(3)) 53-4
 - Cultural Charter for Africa (1976) compared 52-3
 - restrictions, requirements/justification
 - environmental protection 54-5
 - legitimate purpose/due regard to the rights of others . . . and common interest (ACHPR 27(2)) 54-6
- alleged breach of ACHPR 17(2) and ACHPR 17(3) (right to culture) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 50-1
 - respondent 51-2
- alleged breach of ACHPR 21 (right to natural resources) (Court's assessment) 58-9
 - "peoples" 58-9
 - right to dispose of (*abusus*) 59
- alleged breach of ACHPR 21 (right to natural resources) (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 57
 - respondent 57-8
- alleged breach of ACHPR 22 (right to economic, social and cultural development)

- Court's assessment, Ogieks as "people" for purposes of ACHPR 22 61
 - Court's conclusion 61-2
 - parties' arguments (applicant) 60
 - parties' arguments (respondent) 60-1
- Ogieks as an indigenous population (Court's assessment) (definitions/criteria)
 - AComHPR Advisory Opinion on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) 33-4
 - ACWGIP Report 33-4
 - ILO Convention on Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (1989) 33-4
 - UN Working Group on Indigenous People, Report (1986) 34
 - Court's conclusion 36
 - cultural distinctiveness 34-5
 - changes to way of life, relevance 54-5
 - Ogieks and 35-6, 54
 - "peoples", applicability to groups within a State/constitutive elements 58-9, 61
 - priority of occupation and use of specific territory 34-5, 39
 - Ogieks and 35
 - subjugation and marginalization 34-5
 - Ogieks and 36
- Ogieks as an indigenous population (parties' arguments)
 - applicant 33
 - respondent 33
- Ogiek case (procedural matters)**
 - admissibility 25-32
 - admissibility (ACHPR 56/Protocol 5(2)/ROC 40 requirements) 25-32
 - Court's conclusion 32, 65
 - admissibility (ACtHPR and AComHPR rules distinguished) 26-7
 - Court's assessment and conclusion 27
 - parties' positions (applicant) 26-7
 - parties' positions (respondent) 26
 - admissibility (compliance with ROC 40 other than ROC 40(5)) 32
 - admissibility (exhaustion of local remedies (ACHPR 56(5)/Protocol 6(2)/ROC 40(5))) 29-32
 - Court's analysis and conclusion 30-2
 - evidence of exhaustion 31
 - instigator of proceedings to find remedy, relevance 30-1
 - parties' positions (applicant) 30
 - parties' positions (respondent) 29
 - remedies to be exhausted, judicial remedies 32
 - "unless it is obvious that this procedure is unduly prolonged" 30-1
 - admissibility (identity of applicant requirement (ROC 40))
 - Court's assessment (applicability of ROC 40(1) to AComHPR applications) 89
 - parties' positions (applicant) 28
 - parties' positions (respondent) 28
 - admissibility (ongoing proceedings before the Commission) 25-6
 - Court's assessment (Commission's decision to terminate proceedings, effect) 26
 - parties' positions (applicant) 26
 - parties' positions (respondent) 25-6
 - jurisdiction (Court's conclusion) 25, 65
 - jurisdiction *ratione loci* (act on territory of Member State) 25
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (ACtHPR Protocol 3(1))
 - applicant's submission 20-1

Ogiek case (procedural matters) (*cont.*)

- Court's assessment 21-2
 - ACHPR Protocol 5 (access to the Court), identity of treatment of all eligible entities 21-2
 - complementarity of ACtHPR and AComHPR (ACHPR Protocol 2) 21-2
 - respondent's objection 20
- jurisdiction *ratione personae* (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)) 22-3
 - Court's assessment 22-3
 - ACHPR Protocol 34(6) declaration, relevance 23
 - standing (applicant) 23
 - standing (original complainants) 23
 - standing (respondent) 23
 - Court's conclusions 23
 - parties' positions
 - applicant 22-3
 - respondent 22
- jurisdiction *ratione temporis* (date of entry into force of African Charter/Protocol/ICCPR) 23-5
 - Court's assessment
 - continuing violation 24-5
 - relevant dates 24
 - parties' positions
 - applicant 24
 - respondent 23-4
- procedural and factual background
 - AComHPR's Application under ACHPR Protocol 5(1)(a) 12
 - alleged breaches 13
 - facts 12-13
 - parties 11-12
 - parties' prayers (applicant)
 - application 17
 - Submissions on the Merits 17-19
 - Supplementary Submissions on Admissibility 17
 - parties' prayers (respondent) 20
 - procedural history 13-16
 - amicable settlement (ACHPR Protocol 9/ROC 57) 16
 - provisional measures/respondent's report on compliance 14
 - request to intervene (CEMIRIDE, MRGI and OPDP) (ROC 29(3)(c)) 13-14
- reparations (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))
 - Court's assessment and conclusion 64
 - parties' positions (applicant) 63
 - parties' positions (respondent) 64
- Ogiek case (Provisional Measures (ACHPR Protocol 27(2)/ROC 51))** 7-11
 - admissibility and jurisdiction
 - Court's findings 10-11
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (disputes concerning the interpretation of the Charter, the Protocol and other relevant human rights treaties (Protocol 3(1))) 10
 - prima facie jurisdiction 10-11
 - standing of parties
 - applicant (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)(a)) 10
 - respondent 10
 - Court's Order 11
 - procedural background

alleged breaches of ACHPR 8
 applicant (AComHPR) (ACHPR Protocol 5(1)(a)) 7
 Application 7-9
 provisional measures, absence of request for 9
 request for provisional measures 9-10
 requested remedies 8

***pacta sunt servanda*/good faith observance of treaties (VCLT 26)**

municipal law as justification for non-observance, exclusion (VCLT 27) 454-5
 withdrawal from treaty and 123

***Padua* (CAICA: habitual residence of child)**

background (facts) 356
 background (procedural history in date order)
 father's action for return of the child to the Netherlands (24 December 2015) 356
 Family Court orders restraining mother from removing the child from Australia
 (24 December 2015) 356-7
 dismissal on "not habitually resident" grounds (24 March 2016) 356
 appeal proceedings (5 April 2016) 357
 Court's analysis
 dual habitual residence, possibility of 370-3
 intention [of parents], role 362-4
 "controlling weight"/decline in importance 364-6
 settled purpose from the child's perspective as test 364-70
 uniform interpretation of CAICA, desirability 364
 Court's conclusion 357-74
 Court's Orders 375-6

parliamentary sovereignty: see United Kingdom, parliamentary sovereignty

"people", ACHPR (1981), definition for purposes of/applicability to groups within the
 State/constitutive elements 58-9, 61

preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267): see also judicial role/function of the courts
 (determination of the law)

admissibility/requirements
 compliance with national rules governing procedure, jurisdiction and judicial
 organization 117
 "decision on the question is necessary to enable it to give judgment" 71, 79-80, 117-
 18, 155-7
 established facts 72, 79-80, 116, 155
 genuine dispute concerning rights and obligations 117, 155-7
 genuine relevance to important EU/Member State interests 119
 grounds for rejection of referral 116, 155
 legal vs advisory effect of ruling 119-21, 156
 practical/non-hypothetical question 78-80, 82-3, 88-92, 116, 118, 155-7
 relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action 117-18
 timeliness (premature submission) 118-19
 admissibility/requirements (jurisprudence): *see also* *Wightman*
 American Express 73, 75, 79, 83, 116-17, 121, 155-6
 Bosman 75
 Else 72, 75
 Gauweiler 72, 75, 79, 83, 116-17, 155-6
 Pohotovost' 75, 118
 Wightman 116-21

- preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267)** (*cont.*)
 interpretation of EU Treaties (TFEU 267(a))
 CJEU as ultimate arbitrator 86
 presumption of relevance 155
 jurisprudence (general): *see also* *Wightman*
Foglia 155
Gourmet Classic 155
Rosneft 155
 referral
 choice of questions as matter for national court or tribunal 116, 155-6
 presumption of relevance 155
 competence as matter for national constitution 156
- prerogative**, right to adopt blanket policy/no fettering of discretion rule 492-6, 499-502
- principle of distinction**: *see* civilian population, protection against consequences of war (with particular reference to GC IV:13-26/AP I and ICRC Rules), civilian population and combatants, need to distinguish (AP I:48/AP II:13(2)/CIL) (“principle of distinction”)
- private life/privacy (ACHR 11)**
 honour and dignity (ACHR 11(1)), right to: *see* honour and dignity (ACHR 11(1)), right to
Santo Domingo Massacre 302-4
- property, right to (ACHPR 14)**
 elements of right (*usus/fructus/abusus*) 38
 encroachment, right of/requirements
 “in the interest of public need or in the general interest of the community”
 39-40
 legitimate aim, environmental protection 39-40
 necessity 39-40
 proportionality 39-40
 as individual and collective right 38
- property, right to (ACHR 21)**
 definition 297
 jurisprudence
El Mozote Massacres 297
Ituango Massacres 297
Ivcher Bronstein 297
Santo Domingo Massacre 297-302
Uzcátegui 298
- property, right to (ADRD XXIII)**, vulnerability, special considerations in respect of 298
- provisional measures (ACHPR Protocol)**
 purpose/requirements (ACHPR Protocol 27(2))
 avoidance of irreparable harm 10
 extreme gravity and urgency 10
 prima facie jurisdiction in accordance with ACHPR Protocol 3 and ACHPR Protocol 5 10-11
- religious freedom (ACHPR 8)**
 coexistence of traditional religious practices and Christianity 50
 dependence on access to land and natural environment 49
 HRC GC 22 examples of protected rights 49
 Ogieks and 47-50
 restrictions “subject to law and order” 50

- religious freedom, jurisprudence**, *Ogiek* case 47-50
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHPR Protocol 27(1))**, *Ogiek* case 63-4
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1) (entitlement) (“injured party”))**
 inclusion in Commission’s report, need for 306
 jurisprudence
 Barbani Duarte 306
 Blake 285-6
 Castillo Pérez 285-6
 Contreras 285-6
 El Mozote Massacres 285-6
 Gelman 285-6
 Gomez Lund 285-6
 Ituango Massacres 306
 Mapiripán Massacre 285-6
 Santo Domingo Massacre 285-7, 306, 316-23
 Trujillo Oroza 285-6
 Uzcátegui 306
 Valle Jaramillo 285-6
 next of kin, in own right 285-7
 persons with close ties 285
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1) (obligation))**
Chorzów Factory principle of reparation (*restitutio in integrum*) 304-5
 jurisprudence
 Castillo Pérez 304-5
 El Mozote Massacres 304-5
 Mapiripán Massacre 304-5
 Río Negro Massacres 304-5
 Santo Domingo Massacre 304-23: *see also* *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR)
 (reparations and costs)
 Ticona Estrada 304-5
 Velásquez Rodríguez 304-5
 nexus/causal connection, need for 304-5
- reparation for breach of treaty (ACHR 63(1) (other than compensation))**
 audiovisual material promoting victims’ position 313
 general measures and reparations for specific harm distinguished 311-12
 human rights education for armed forces and security agents 315
 investigation to determine responsibility/punishment of those responsible 306-8
 judgment as 316
 jurisprudence
 19 Tradesmen/19 Merchants 312
 Barrios Altos 312
 Cantoral Benavides 310
 El Mozote Massacres 310-12
 González 311-12
 Las Dos Erres Massacre 312
 Santo Domingo Massacre 308-16
 measures in the best interests of the child 312-13
 measures to prevent repetition/ensure protection of fundamental rights 314-15
 protection of persons participating in the judicial proceedings 314
 public apology and acknowledgement of State responsibility 308-10
 publication of judgment 310
 rehabilitation measures including medical, psychological or psychosocial attention
 310-12

rule of law

- judicial protection/effective remedy and 77
- jurisprudence (general), *AXA* 77

***Sandiford* (legal aid obligations in respect of UK nationals at risk of death penalty outside the UK)**

- background (facts) 476-7
- background (procedural history: Indonesian courts)
 - appointment of experienced human rights lawyer 477
 - delay in receipt of translation of judgments 478
 - District Court conviction 477-9
 - High Court proceedings
 - amicus* briefs (UK Government/former DPP) 479
 - dismissal of appeal 477, 479-80
 - grounds of appeal 479
 - Supreme Court proceedings/confirmation of judgment 480
 - appellant's options 480-1
- background (procedural history) (UK courts: application for legal assistance/dismissal)
 - Court of Appeal 477-8
 - Divisional Court 477
 - Supreme Court, basis of appeal to 478
- issue 1: "within their jurisdiction" (ECHR 1(1)), status of appellant 481-6
 - Al-Skeini* (ECtHR) as authoritative restatement of principles 481-4
 - authority and control exercised by diplomatic or consular agency, sufficiency/requirements 481-6
 - authority and control of the Indonesian authorities over 486
 - consular functions (VCCR 5(i) and VCCR 5(m)), permitted vs obligatory nature 483-4
 - Court's conclusion 486
- issue 2: legal aid (ECHR 6(3)(c)), UK Government's obligation to pay 481
- issue 3: UK Government's blanket policy, lawfulness/rationality/proportionality under common law 481
 - application of policy to appellant 497-8
 - FCO willingness to consider possibility of exception to policy 497-8
 - rationality of 498
 - consular/diplomatic protection, as legitimate expectation 491-2
 - Court's conclusion 498
 - review of blanket policy, desirability 498-9
 - prerogative/discretionary powers, judicial review
 - legitimate expectation and 495-6, 501-2
 - possibility of 491-8
 - proportionality test 496
 - reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 496
 - right to adopt blanket policy/no fettering of discretion rule 492-6, 499-502
 - UK Government's attitude towards the death penalty/development of blanket policy 486-91
 - HMG Strategy for Abolition of the Death Penalty 2010-2015* 487-8
 - practice in respect of funding legal representation/*amici* briefs 488-91
- opinions
 - Lords Carnwath and Mance (Lords Clarke and Toulson agreeing) 476-99
 - conclusion 499

- Lord Sumption (on fettering of discretion) 499-502
 - review of blanket policy, desirability 502
- Santo Domingo Massacre***: see *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (background (facts relating to the bombing of Santo Domingo (13 December 1998))); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (background (procedural)); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (Court's decision); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (Interpretation of the Judgment on Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (ACHR 67 and ROP 31(3) and ROP 68) (representatives' request); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (Merits); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (preliminary objections); *Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (reparations and costs)
- Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (background (facts relating to the bombing of Santo Domingo (13 December 1998)))**
 - contentious-administrative proceeding 227-9
 - context (department of Arauca) 202-4
 - displacement of the inhabitants of Santo Domingo 214-15
 - events preceding 205-9
 - the bombing
 - disputed facts 211-14
 - undisputed facts 209-10
 - investigations into the death and injury of the presumed victims
 - disciplinary investigation 225-6
 - military criminal and ordinary criminal jurisdictions 216-21
 - ordinary criminal jurisdiction 221-5
 - sacking, pillaging and destruction of property 215-16
 - victims (appendices)
 - next of kin (Annex III) 330-4
 - persons deceased (Annex I) 328-9
 - persons injured (Annex II) 328-30
- Santo Domingo Massacre* (IACtHR) (background (procedural))** 181-7
 - amicus curiae* brief (COALICO) 187
 - Commission proceedings 181-3, 185-7
 - final briefs/observations 187
 - preliminary objections 186
 - representatives' and Commission's observations on 186
 - President's Order (evidence) 186
 - State's objection to/Court's rejection of 186
 - public hearings 186-7
 - evidence
 - admissibility 199-200
 - non-probative documents 200
 - Court's discretion 198
 - evidence submitted 199
 - failure of State to submit evidence in accordance with Court's request 200-1
 - request to State for additional documents 200
 - timeliness
 - justification for incorporation of late evidence 201
 - justification for late submission 201-2
 - parties' positions
 - Commission (alleged breaches of ACHR) 183-4
 - representatives 184
 - State 185

Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (Court's decision)

- acknowledgement of responsibility, lack of legal effect 326
- inappropriateness of analysis of facts in the light of ACHR 2 327
- judgment as reparation 327-8
- non-proven
 - alleged breach of ACHR 8 and ACHR 25 327
 - alleged breach of ACHR 11 327
- obligation (ACHR 1(1)) to continue investigations under way 327
- preliminary objections, rejection 326
- responsibility for breach of
 - ACHR 4(1) in relation to ACHR 1(1) and ACHR 19 326
 - ACHR 5(1) in relation to ACHR 1(1) and ACHR 19 (child victims) 326
 - ACHR 5(1) in relation to ACHR 1(1) (next of kin) 326
 - ACHR 21 in relation to ACHR 1(1) 327
 - ACHR 22 in relation to ACHR 1(1) and ACHR 5(1) 327
- State's obligations
 - comprehensive health care 327-8
 - payment through an internal mechanism of pertinent compensation and indemnities
 - for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage 327-8
 - public act to acknowledge international responsibility 327-8
 - publication of judgment 327-8
 - report on measures to comply with judgment 327-8

Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (Interpretation of the Judgment on Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (ACHR 67 and ROP 31(3) and ROP 68) (representatives' request) 334-53

- I: procedural history 334
- II: competence and composition of the Court (ROC 68(3)) 335
- III: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68) 335
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis) 335
 - determination of applicability of domestic law, exclusion 350-1
 - factual and legal questions already dealt with, exclusion 336
 - lack of clarity/imprecision as to meaning or scope, need for 336
 - modification, expansion or annulment of judgment, exclusion 336, 341, 344, 350-1
 - questions filed by representatives 336-7
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Question 1: right of next of kin to domestic compensation mechanism
 - Court's conclusion 341
 - Court's considerations 339-41
 - parties' arguments
 - Commission 338
 - representatives 337-8
 - State 338-9
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Question 2: right of victims of breach of ACHR 21 (right to property) to domestic compensation mechanism
 - Court's conclusion 344
 - Court's considerations 343-4
 - parties' arguments
 - Commission 342
 - representatives 342
 - State 342-3

- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Questions 3 and 4:
 - reparations due to victims of forced displacement
 - Court's conclusion 348
 - Court's considerations 346-8
 - parties' arguments
 - representatives 344-5
 - State 345
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Question 5: right of next of kin who have not received compensation to domestic compensation mechanism
 - Court's conclusion 350-1
 - Court's considerations 349-51
 - parties' arguments
 - representatives 348-9
 - State 349
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Question 6: situation of victims not recognized as injured party
 - Court's conclusion 352
 - Court's considerations 352
 - party's arguments
 - representatives 351
 - State 351-2
- IV: admissibility (ACHR 67 and ROP 68: Court's analysis): Question 7: right of family members not represented at inter-American level to domestic compensation mechanism, Court's considerations and conclusion 353
- V: Court's decision 353
- Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (Merits)*** 229-304
 - alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's analysis of the law)
 - ACHR 1(1) (respect for rights and freedoms)/ACHR 2 (domestic legal measures) "respect" 262
 - State's international responsibility 261-2
 - ACHR 4(1) (right to life, obligation to prevent violations) 262-3
 - ACHR 5(1) (personal, physical and mental integrity) 263
 - ACHR 19 (protection of the child) 263
 - IHL as aid to interpretation of ACHR
 - customary IHL 260-1
 - GC (common Article 3)/GC AP II 260-1
 - alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's application of the law) (ACHR 2: obligation to adopt measures), insufficiency of evidence/absence of specific arguments 287
 - alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's application of the law) (ACHR 5(1): integrity of the next of kin)
 - Court's finding 287
 - evidence of breach 285-6
 - as "injured party" in own right 285-7
 - alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's application of the law) (ACHR 19: protection of children) 284-5
 - Court's finding 285
 - facts 285
 - provision of care and aid (AP II 4(3)) 284
 - special vulnerability of children in non-international armed conflict 284

Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (Merits) (cont.)

- alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's application of the law) (launch of AN-M1A2 cluster bomb on Santo Domingo)
 - Court's findings 281, 283-4
 - principle of distinction 274
 - proportionality requirement 274-5
 - review of the evidence 264-73
 - Court's conclusion 273
- alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's application of the law) (presumed machine-gun attack)
 - principle of distinction 283-4
 - "civilian" (AP I:50(1)) 282-3
 - review of the evidence 281-2
- alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (Court's conclusions) 288
- alleged breach of ACHR 1(1), ACHR 2, ACHR 4(1), ACHR 5(1) and ACHR 19 (parties' positions) 256-60
 - ACHR 2 (obligation to adopt domestic legal measures)
 - Commission 259
 - representatives 260
 - "respect" 262
 - State 260
 - ACHR 4(1) (right to life)
 - Commission 256
 - representatives 256-7
 - State 257
 - ACHR 5(1) (personal integrity)
 - Commission 257
 - representatives 257-8
 - State 258
 - ACHR 19 (protection of the child)
 - Commission 258
 - representatives 258-9
 - State 259
- alleged breach of ACHR 8(1) (fair trial) and ACHR 25(1) (judicial protection) (obligation to investigate alleged breaches) (Court's considerations) 246-55
- conciliation agreements between Ministry of Defence and presumed victims, effectiveness 254
- contentious-administrative proceeding, effectiveness 253
- disciplinary proceeding, effectiveness 252
- limitation of Court's role to
 - facts within factual framework of case, exclusion 252
 - responsibility of State for breaches of Convention 250
- military criminal jurisdiction, exclusion/inappropriateness 247-8
 - transfer of investigation to 248
- obligation to investigate alleged breaches
 - effective investigative measures 248-9
 - insufficiency of evidence 250-1
 - as obligation of means vs result 247
 - as serious, impartial and effective investigation designed to establish truth and pursue perpetrators 247

- unreasonable delay, relevant factors
 - complexity of case 251-2
 - conduct of complainant 251-2
 - conduct of judicial authorities 251-2
 - effect on legal situation of person involved in the proceeding 251-2
- alleged breach of ACHR 8(1) (fair trial) and ACHR 25(1) (judicial protection)
 - (obligation to investigate alleged breaches) (Court's findings)
 - domestic judicial and administrative organs, effectiveness of investigations 255
 - State's failure to implement/challenge to judicial organ's findings 255
 - State's non-violation of ACHR 8/ACHR 25 255
- alleged breach of ACHR 8(1) (fair trial) and ACHR 25(1) (judicial protection) (parties' positions)
 - Commission 233-4
 - representatives 234-5
 - State 235-7
- alleged breach of ACHR 8(1) (fair trial) and ACHR 25(1) (judicial protection) (State's acknowledgement of responsibility) (Court's considerations) 237-46
 - criteria for establishing admissibility and legal effects 245
 - failure of text of "acknowledgement" to meet criteria 237, 245
 - exhaustion of the procedure (ACHR 48-50), need for 239-41
 - subsidiarity of IACoMHR/IACtHR system 237-41
 - substantial change of position taken at earlier stage in proceedings/estoppel 242-3
 - summary of parties' positions 237
- alleged breach of ACHR 8(1) (fair trial) and ACHR 25(1) (judicial protection) (State's acknowledgement of responsibility) (parties' positions)
 - Commission 233
 - State's proposal 229-31
- alleged breach of ACHR 11(1) (right to honour and dignity)
 - Court's considerations 303-4
 - parties' arguments (representatives) 302-3
 - parties' arguments (State) 303
- alleged breach of ACHR 21 (right to property) (Court's considerations) 297-302
 - aids to interpretation, customary IHL/ICRC Rules 297
 - Court's evaluation of evidence of looting 298-300
 - conclusion 300
 - damage to property
 - causes of 300-1
 - Court's evaluation of damage caused by the AN-M1A2 bomb 301-2
 - "looting" 297-8
 - "property" 297
 - vulnerability, special considerations in respect of 298
- alleged breach of ACHR 21 (right to property) (parties' arguments)
 - Commission 290-1
 - representatives 291
 - State 291
- alleged breach of ACHR 22(1) (residence and freedom of movement within the State) (Court's considerations)
 - Court's assessment of State's arguments 295-6
 - Court's conclusion 296-7
 - evidence of displacement 292-4
 - interpretation 292

- Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (Merits) (cont.)***
 alleged breach of ACHR 22(1) (residence and freedom of movement within the State)
 (parties' arguments)
 Commission 288-9
 representatives 289
 State 289-90
- Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (preliminary objections)*** 187-98
 exhaustion of local remedies (ACHR 46(1)) (Court's considerations)
 effective remedy, need for (ACHR 46(2)) 195
 purpose (opportunity for national authorities to rectify situation) 195
 State
 contentious-administrative jurisdiction, whether 196-8
 requests for reparations 197-8
 timeliness of objection requirement 195-6
 exhaustion of local remedies (ACHR 46(1)) (parties' arguments)
 Commission 194
 representatives 194-5
 State 192-3
 jurisdiction (ACHR 62(3)) (State's acceptance of Court's compulsory jurisdiction)
 198
 jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (Court's considerations) 190-2
 "all matters relating to the interpretation or application of [the] Convention" (ACHR
 62(1)) 190
 Court's conclusion 192
 IHL, compatibility of State's acts with 190-2
 interpretation of ACHPR, IHL as aid 190-2
 NIAC, actions during (ACHR 27) 190
 jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (parties' arguments) 187-92
 IACoMHR 189
 representatives 189-90
 State 187-9
- Santo Domingo Massacre (IACtHR) (reparations and costs)***
 costs and expenses (ACHR 63(1)) 323-6
 equitable basis 324
 evidence, Court's *ex proprio motu* decision in absence of 324-5
 means of compliance with 325-6
 necessary and reasonable test 324
 as reparations 324
 timeliness of request 324-5
 reparations (ACHR 63(1)) 304-23
 compensation for moral injury/non-pecuniary damage (parties' arguments) 320-1
 compensation for pecuniary damage (parties' arguments)
 indirect damage 318-20
 loss of earnings 317
 compensation for pecuniary/non-pecuniary damage (Court's considerations) 321-3
 payment through an internal mechanism of pertinent compensation and
 indemnities for pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage 323
 entitlement ("injured party") (Court's findings) 306
 inclusion in Commission's report, need for 306
 general
 Chorzów Factory principle of reparation (*restitutio in integrum*) 304-5
 as customary international law (CIL) 304-5
 nexus/causality, need for 304-5

- measures other than compensation (Court's considerations)
 - audiovisual material promoting victims' position 313
 - human rights education for armed forces and security agents 315
 - investigation to determine responsibility/punishment of those responsible 306-8
 - judgment as 316
 - measures in the best interests of the child 312-13
 - measures to prevent repetition/ensure protection of fundamental rights 314-15
 - protection of persons participating in the judicial proceedings 314
 - public apology and acknowledgement of State responsibility 308-10
 - publication of judgment 310
 - rehabilitation measures including medical, psychological or psychosocial attention 310-12
- measures other than compensation (parties' arguments)
 - Commission 306-8, 312-15
 - representatives 306-8, 310-11, 313-16
 - State 307-9, 311-13, 315
- Sanum (applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau/jurisdiction (BIT 8(3)))**
 - background facts
 - Macau pre-handover to the PRC (1999) 421
 - PRC–Portugal Joint Declaration (1987) 421
 - Macau's establishment as SAR 421
 - PRC–Laos BIT (1993) 421
 - issue 1: admissibility of 2014/2015 NVs
 - Court's analysis (*Ladd v. Marshall* criteria) 428-30, 452
 - credibility of new evidence 430
 - importance of evidence to result of the case 430
 - parties' positions
 - Laos 427, 428
 - Sanum 427, 428-9
 - issue 2: interpretation and justiciability of BIT before the Singapore courts
 - Court's analysis 431-2
 - Court's decision 432
 - parties' arguments
 - Laos 427
 - Sanum 426, 431
 - issue 3: standard of review of Tribunal's decision on jurisdiction/deference to Tribunal
 - Court's analysis and decision 432-3
 - parties' arguments
 - Laos 427
 - Sanum 426, 432
 - issue 4: applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau (Court's analysis) 433-72
 - applicable rules and principles of international law
 - automaticity of extension of treaty to new territory (VCSST 15) 434-5, 437
 - CIL (MTF rule) 434-6
 - MTF/default rule, status and operation 434-5
 - treaty interpretation (VCLT 31) 433-4
 - VCLT 29 (territorial scope of treaties) 434-6
 - VCSST 15 (succession in respect of part of territory) 434-6
 - Court's conclusion 460, 472
 - default/MTF rule (CIL), exceptions
 - incompatibility with object and purpose of treaty (VCSST 15(b)) 435
 - radical change to the conditions of the treaty's operation (VCSST 15(b)) 436

- Sanum (applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau/jurisdiction (BIT 8(3)))** (*cont.*)
- summary 435
 - “unless a different intention appears” (VCLT 29)/parties’ silence 436-8
 - default/MTF rule (CIL) “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) 438-60
 - critical date doctrine/compatibility with VCLT 31(3)(a) and VCLT 31(3)(b) 440-1
 - parties’ views on evidence relied on by High Court 439
 - standard of proof/balance of probabilities 438-9
 - default/MTF rule (CIL) “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) (1: pre-handover evidence considered by the Court), PRC–Portugal Joint Declaration (1987), Annex I, clause VIII 441-6
 - CIL (MTF rule), binding effect 442-4
 - CIL (MTF rule), derogation by mutual agreement, possibility of 443-4
 - High Court’s evaluation 442
 - indication that no PRC treaties would apply to Macau, whether 444-6
 - default/MTF rule (CIL) “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) (1: pre-handover evidence considered by the Court), PRC/UK experience with respect to Hong Kong, insufficiency of evidence 446-9
 - PRC/UK discussions on Hong Kong as analogy for PRC/Portugal discussions on Macau 449
 - on treatment of PRC BITs post-handover 446-9
 - default/MTF rule (CIL) “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) (2: evidence relating to period between handover of Macau and the critical date) 449-51
 - 1999 UNSG Note 449-50
 - 2001 WTO Report 450-1
 - default/MTF rule (CIL) “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) (3: evidence after the critical date) (2014 NVs) 451-9
 - Court’s analysis and conclusions 454-9
 - critical date doctrine 452-9
 - parties’ arguments (Laos) 453
 - parties’ arguments (Sanum) 452-3
 - PRC’s internal law as justification for non-observance, exclusion (VCLT 27) 454-5
 - scholarly writings 453-4
 - “subsequent agreement” (VCLT 31(3)(a))/“subsequent practice” (VCLT 31(3)(b)), NVs’ status as 436, 440-1, 456-9
 - limitation of Court’s decision to specific treaty under consideration 433
 - issue 4: applicability of PRC–Laos BIT to Macau (parties’ positions) 460-72
 - amici curiae* 427, 470-1
 - Laos 427
 - Sanum 426
 - issue 5: Tribunal’s jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (“dispute involving the amount of compensation for expropriation” (BIT 8(3))) (Court’s analysis)
 - broad (Sanum) vs narrow (Laos) interpretation 461-5, 471-2
 - context (VCLT 31(2)) 462-71
 - High Court’s approach 464-5
 - “fork in the road” (“bifurcation clause”) 462-3, 470-1
 - jurisprudence
 - Austrian Airlines* 467-8
 - Berschader* 468-9, 470-1
 - Plama Consortium* 465-7
 - RosInvest* 468-71
 - ST-AD GmbH* 468
 - Tza Yap Shum* 463-5

- object and purpose (VCLT 31(1)) 471-2
- ordinary meaning (VCLT 31(1)) 461-2
- preamble as aid to interpreting parties' intention 471-2
- range of meanings attaching to similar text, significance 470-1
- relevant BIT provisions 460-1
- issue 5: Tribunal's jurisdiction *ratione materiae* ("dispute involving the amount of compensation for expropriation" (BIT 8(3))) (parties' positions)
 - Laos 461-3
 - Sanum 461-3
- procedural history in date order
 - notice of arbitration on the basis of BIT 8(3) 422
 - preliminary objections (Laos) 422
 - Tribunal's preliminary award (13 December 2013) 420-2
 - Laos's appeal to High Court on Tribunal's jurisdiction (10 January 2014) 422
 - Laos's request for the admission of the 2014 Laos-PRC NVs 423
 - High Court's reversal of Tribunal's decision (20 January 2015) 420-1, 423-6
 - admissibility of NVs, judicial discretion/criteria 424-5
 - examination of decision *de novol*/standard of review 424
 - grounds (lack of jurisdiction *ratione materiae*/restrictive interpretation of BIT) 425-6
 - grounds (non-applicability of BIT to Macau) 425
 - Sanum's Notice of Appeal against High Court decision (20 July 2015) 423
 - Costs Order (18 August 2015) 423
 - Costs Order, Sanum's appeal against (27 August 2015) 423
 - Laos's request for admission of the 2015 Laos-PRC NVs 423
 - relevant law, Singapore International Arbitration Act, s 10(3)(a) (right to High Court review of Tribunal's decision on jurisdiction) 424
- Scotland**
 - Brexit and: *see Wightman*
 - preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267), requests for 79: *see also Wightman*
- separation of powers**, EU withdrawal/Brexit, responsibilities 86-8
- Singapore (1965-)**
 - admissibility of evidence, *Ladd v. Marshall* criteria 428-30, 452
 - International Arbitration Act 2002 (IAA), s 10(3)(a) (right to High Court review of Tribunal's decision on jurisdiction) 420-2, 424, 431-2
- standing (municipal courts)**, hypothetical question, exclusion 76-7, 82-3
- State responsibility in connection with act of another State (ILC(SR) 16-19)**
 - aid or assistance in the commission of internationally wrongful act (ILC(SR) 16)
 - Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah* 578-81
 - Application of the Genocide Convention* 579-80
 - "knowledge of the circumstances" 580
- Tech Mahindra (Australia-India Double Taxation Agreement (A-IT))***
 - 379-91
 - background
 - Indian Treaty (1991) (A-IT), extracts
 - A-IT 7 (business profits) 380-1
 - A-IT 12 (royalties) 381
 - Federal Court proceedings
 - judge's findings 380, 382-3
 - "effectively connected" 382-3

- Tech Mabindra (Australia–India Double Taxation Agreement (A-IT)) (cont.)***
 parties' submissions
 appellant 380, 382
 Commissioner (respondent) 380, 382
 Full Federal Court proceedings
 Court's Orders 391
 grounds of appeal (Australia's right to tax royalties (Indian Treaty 12(4))) 379-80, 383
 parties' submissions
 appellants 383-4
 Commissioner (respondent) 384-5
 treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2))/treaty as a whole/holistic approach 385-90
 "effectively connected", ordinary meaning 389-90
 interrelationship between A-IT 7 and A-IT 12 385-9
- Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT)**
 applicability in "any territory under its jurisdiction" (UNCAT 2, UNCAT 5, UNCAT 11, UNCAT 12, UNCAT 13 and UNCAT 16) 599-600, 681
 CAT General Comment 2 (2008) 599-600, 681
 obligation to investigate alleged act of torture (UNCAT 12) 603-4, 683
 obligation to provide education and training for law-enforcement personnel (UNCAT 10)/systematic review of rules and practices (UNCAT 11)
 jurisprudence
 Ali Zaki Mousa 605, 684
 Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 603-5, 683-4
 monitoring mechanism, absence 603-4, 683-4
 as part of an "effective" ECHR 3 investigation 605, 684
 text (extracts) 598-9, 679-80
- torture, criminal jurisdiction (including UNCAT obligations)**, obligation to create offence (UNCAT 4), obligation to investigate in "handover"/*non-refoulement* cases 579
- torture or inhuman or degrading treatment (ECHR 3/ICCPR 7), procedural obligation to investigate**
 in absence of arguable substantive breach/grounds for submission 606-9
 arguable claim of ill-treatment by State agent as basis 564-5
 ECHR 2 (right to life), distinguishability 565-6
 inappropriateness when the facts are already known 593
 interrelationship with right to an effective remedy (ECHR 13) 563-6, 588, 653-5
 jurisprudence
 Aksoy 563-4
 Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah 562-82: *see also* *Al-Saadoon/Rahmatullah*
 Assenov 606-7
 Aydin 564, 653-4
 Bekov 606-7
 Boicenco 606-7
 D 656
 DSD 656
 Dzhurayev 606-7, 659-60
 El-Masri 606-7, 656-9
 Gisayev 588-90, 670-1
 Hassan 606-7
 Ilhan 565-6, 654-5
 Khashiyev 606-7
 Labita 565, 606-7, 653-4

- Nasseri* 566-9, 606-7
- Satik* 606-7
- Šečić* 656
- Toteva* 606-7
- requirements
 - effective investigation 563-4, 593, 663
 - prompt, thorough and impartial investigation 593
- travaux préparatoires* as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32)**
 - CAICA (1980), “habitually resident” 362-5
 - ECHR 1 615
 - EU law including EU Treaties 141-2, 159, 162-3
- treaties**
 - termination, suspension or invalidity, procedure (VCLT 65-8)
 - “instruments for . . . withdrawing from . . . a treaty” (VCLT 67) 108-9
 - notification requirement (VCLT 65) 108
 - revocation of VCLT 65 and VCLT 67 notifications and instruments (VCLT 68) 109, 163
 - customary international law (CIL), whether 126-8
 - termination and suspension (VCLT 54-64) (including denunciation/abrogation and withdrawal)
 - pacta sunt servanda* (VCLT 26) and 123
 - withdrawal from “in conformity with the provisions of the treaty” (VCLT 54) 108, 123
 - withdrawal, revocation of, State practice 124-6
 - territorial scope (VCLT 29)
 - State succession and, applicability of MTF rule (CIL/VCSST 15)
 - “unless a different intention appears” 436-8
 - “unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) 438-60
 - validity and continuance in force (VCLT 42), except “as a result of the application of treaty or VCLT provisions” (VCLT 42(2)) 123
- treaties and municipal law (including implementing legislation/incorporation/unincorporated treaties)**
 - implementing legislation as sole source of obligations relating to treaty/sufficiency 683
 - interpretation of implementing legislation
 - in case of ambiguity 600-1
 - presumption of conformity with treaty/compliance obligation 600-1
 - jurisprudence
 - A (No 2)* 600-1
 - Al-Jedda* 600-1
 - Brind* 600-1
 - Guardian Newspapers (No 2)* 600-1
 - Lyons* 600-1
 - Serdar Mohammed* 600-1
- treaty interpretation**
 - parties’ intention, preamble as aid to establishing 471-2
 - uniformity, desirability, CAICA (1980) 364
- treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(1))**
 - [natural and] ordinary meaning
 - “effectively connected” 389-90
 - “involving” 461-2
 - object and purpose/spirit and purpose (teleological approach), examples, TEU 50 140-1

treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(2)) (context)

Tech Mahindra 385-9

treaty as a whole/holistic approach

EU law including EU Treaties 158-9, 161-2

relationship with neighbouring articles 385-9

treaty interpretation (VCLT 31(3)) (points to be taken into account together with context)

subsequent agreement between the parties regarding interpretation or application of treaty (VCLT 31(3)(a)), examples considered, Laos/PRC exchange of notes (2014 NVs) 436, 440-1, 456-9

subsequent practice in application of treaty establishing agreement between the parties regarding its interpretation (VCLT 31(3)(b))

ECHR (1950), IHL/IHRL as *lex specialis* 674-7

jurisprudence

Hassan 675-7

Sanum 436, 440-1, 456-9

treaty succession (including VCSST)

automaticity (VCSST 15), examples of, PRC–Laos BIT (1993) (extension to Macau) 434-5, 437

succession of part of territory (VCSST 15)

as default/MTF rule (CIL) 434-6

as default/MTF rule (CIL), exceptions

incompatibility with object and purpose of treaty (VCSST 15(b)) 435

radical change to the conditions of the treaty's operation (VCSST 15(b)) 436

“unless a different intention appears” (VCLT 29)/parties' silence 436-8

“unless otherwise established” (VCLT 29) 438-60

UN privileges and immunities (experts on mission (UNPIC VI(22))), applicability,
 individual contractor performing specialist services 396, 405, 413-14

UNESCO, withdrawal (UNESCO 2(6)) 124, 138

United Kingdom

Brexit: *see Wightman*

Criminal Justice Act 1988 (CJA) by section, 134 (torture) 681-2

customary international law (CIL) “as part of” municipal law

exclusion in case of legislation covering point at issue 602-3

incorporation/implementing legislation, need for 602-3

death penalty

HMG Strategy for Abolition of the Death Penalty 2010-2015 487-8

practice in respect of funding legal representation/*amici* briefs 488-91

diplomatic protection, right to/duty of State to provide

Abbasi 451-2

judicial review/justiciability, legitimate expectation and 491-2

EU, withdrawal (TEU 50)/Brexit

European Union Referendum Act 2015 70: *see also Wightman*

European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 by section, 13 (parliamentary approval) 70-1, 109-11

judicial independence 85-6

judicial review/justiciability (foreign relations decisions/prerogative power of forum State), scope/standard of review/grounds/relevant considerations

proportionality 496

reasonableness/rationality (*Wednesbury* principle) 496

- judicial role/function of the courts: *see also* judicial role/function of the courts
 (determination of the law)
 determination of the law 75-9
 protection of the rule of law 77
- legal assistance/legal aid (ECHR 6(3)(c)): *see Sandiford*
- legal certainty/legality principle
 fundamental/human rights and 601, 682-3
 legislation, interpretation and 601
- legislation, interpretation, legal certainty/legality principle 601
- legitimate expectation
 diplomatic protection 491-2
 prerogative/discretionary powers and 495-6, 501-2
- parliamentary privilege 78-9, 83, 92-3
- parliamentary sovereignty
Miller 70-1, 73, 84-5, 87-8, 90, 111-12
Wightman 84-5
- prerogative
 judicial review/justiciability 491-8
 jurisprudence
GCHQ 491-2
Sandiford 491-8
 right to adopt blanket policy/no fettering of discretion rule
British Oxygen 500-1
Elias 495-6, 501-2
Kynoch 500-1
Sandiford 492-6, 499-502
- separation of powers, EU withdrawal/Brexit, responsibilities 86-8
- treaties and municipal law
 implementing legislation as sole source of obligations relating to treaty/sufficiency
 683
 UNCAT (1984) 600-1
 presumption of conformity of implementing legislation with treaty/compliance
 obligation 600-1
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) (VCLT)**
 customary international law (CIL) and
 termination and suspension provisions (VCLT 54-64) 126-8
Wightman 126-8
- war, proportionality requirement:** *see* civilian population, protection against
 consequences of war (with particular reference to GC IV:13-26/AP I and ICRC
 Rules), proportionality and (AP I:51(5)(b))
- Wightman:*** *see* EU, withdrawal (TEU 50); preliminary ruling (CJEU) (TFEU 267);
Wightman (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of
 revocation) (CJEU preliminary ruling: background and procedural issues
 including admissibility and parties' submissions); *Wightman* (Article 50 Notice
 of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation) (CJEU preliminary ruling:
 judgment of the Court) (substance); *Wightman* (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal
 from the EU: possibility of revocation) (CJEU preliminary ruling: Opinion of
 AG Campos Sánchez-Bordona) (substance); *Wightman* (Article 50 Notice of
 withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation) (Court of Session)

***Wightman* (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation)
 (CJEU preliminary ruling: background and procedural issues including
 admissibility and parties' submissions)**

- admissibility (AG's opinion) 116-21
 - compliance with national rules governing procedure, jurisdiction and judicial organization 117
 - "decision on the question is necessary to enable it to give judgment" 117-18
 - genuine dispute concerning rights and obligations 117
 - grounds for rejection of referral 116
 - legal vs advisory effect of ruling 119-21
 - national court's responsibility for determining need for preliminary ruling/relevance of questions 116
 - presumption of relevance 116
 - practical/non-hypothetical question 118
 - relationship of interpretation of EU law sought to main action 117-18
 - timeliness (premature submission) 118-19
- admissibility (Court's opinion)
 - grounds for rejection of referral 155
 - interpretation of EU Treaties (TFEU 267(a)), presumption of relevance 155
 - legal vs advisory effect of ruling 156
 - national court's responsibility for determining need for preliminary ruling/relevance of questions 116
 - competence to refer as matter for national constitution 156
 - presumption of relevance 155
 - parties' arguments
 - Commission 154-5
 - UK Government 116
 - requirements
 - "decision on the question is necessary to enable it to give judgment" 155-7
 - established facts 155
 - genuine dispute concerning rights and obligations 155-7
 - practical/non-hypothetical question 155-7
- history (in date order)
 - UK referendum (23 June 2016) 111, 151
 - Miller* (24 January 2017) 111-15, 151-3
 - European Union (Notification of Withdrawal) Act 2017 (13 March 2017) 112
 - UK's notification of intention to withdraw (29 March 2017) 112, 151
 - EU Council's adoption of TEU 50 negotiating guidelines (13 April 2017) 112
 - EU Council decision authorizing commencement of negotiations (22 May 2017) 112
 - Court of Session proceedings (19 December 2017–21 September 2018) 113-15, 151-3; *see also Wightman* (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation) (Court of Session)
 - refusal of UK Government's request to appeal against referral order (8/10 November 2018) 153
 - EU Council endorsement of Draft Agreement and Declaration (25 November 2018) 112-13
 - Shindler* (26 November 2018) 112
- parties' submissions
 - Council and Commission 157-8
 - petitioners and interveners in the main proceedings 157
 - UK Government 158

- procedure (CJEU)
 - agreement to expedited procedure (ROP 105(1)) 115
 - question referred (text) 115
- relevant law (EU)
 - TEU 1 (ever closer union) 149-50
 - TEU 2 (EU values) 149-50
 - TFEU 50 (withdrawal from the EU) 107-8, 149-50
- relevant law (international)
 - VCLT 54 (termination of/withdrawal “in conformity with the provisions of the treaty” (VCLT 54)) 108
 - VCLT 65 (“procedure with respect to . . . withdrawal from . . . treaty”) 108, 148-9
 - VCLT 67 (instruments for withdrawal from treaty) 108-9, 148-9
 - VCLT 68 (revocation of notifications and instruments of withdrawal) 109
- relevant law (UK)
 - European Union (Notification of Withdrawal) Act 2017, s 1 150-1
 - European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, s 13 109-11, 148-51
- Wightman (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation) (CJEU preliminary ruling; judgment of the Court) (substance) 157-65**
 - autonomy/independence of EU legal order 158-9
 - Member States’ transfer of sovereign rights and 158
 - Court’s conclusion 162-4
 - Court’s ruling 164-5
 - European citizenship (TFEU 18-25), impact of withdrawal 162
 - interpretation of EU law including EU Treaties
 - context (VCLT 31(2))/“as a whole” 158-9, 161-2
 - historical interpretation/Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe *travaux préparatoires* 162-3
 - object and purpose (VCLT 31(1))
 - common values (TEU 2) 161-2
 - “ever closer union”/elimination of barriers (TEU preamble/TEU 1 and TFEU preamble) 161-2
 - revocation of notification of withdrawal (unilateral)
 - expulsion risk in absence of right of revocation 162
 - extension of notification period distinguished 161
 - notification of *intention*/instability of intentions 159
 - right of revocation before conclusion of withdrawal agreement/expiry of two-year period 159-61, 163-5
 - as sovereign right 161, 163
 - unanimous approval of the European Council, whether required 163
 - VCLT 68 (revocation of withdrawal notices), applicability 163
 - withdrawal procedure (TEU 50(2) and TEU 50(3)) 159-60
 - withdrawal, unilateral nature/sovereign right 160
- Wightman (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation) (CJEU preliminary ruling; Opinion of AG Campos Sánchez-Bordona) (substance) 121-47**
 - conclusion 147-8
 - interpretation of TEU 50
 - contextual interpretation 131-40
 - historical interpretation/Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe *travaux préparatoires* 141-2
 - object and purpose/spirit and purpose (teleological approach) (VCLT 31(1)) 140-1
 - introductory considerations 121-3

Wightman (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation)
(CJEU preliminary ruling; Opinion of AG Campos Sánchez-Bordona) (substance)
(cont.)

- obligations of withdrawing State
 - good faith/“sincere cooperation” (TEU 4(3)) 132-3, 144-5
 - notification/two-year wait 132-3
- revocation of notification of intention to withdraw (unilateral) 130-45
 - conditions and limits
 - “in accordance with its own constitutional requirements” (TEU 50(1)) 143
 - formal act requirement (TEU 50(3)) 142-3
 - justification, whether necessary 143
 - expulsion risk in absence of right of revocation 135-6
 - respect for Member States’ national identity (TEU 4(2)) 135, 140-1
 - right of revocation during TEU 50(3) two-year period until acceptance of withdrawal 132-3
 - as sovereign right 132
- revocation of notification of withdrawal (by agreement) 145-7
- status of Draft Agreement prior to ratification (TEU 50(3)) 113
- withdrawal from EU, process
 - revocation of notification of intention to withdraw (unilateral), notification of *intention*/instability of intentions 133-4
 - stage one (TEU 50(1)) (decision to withdraw “in accordance with its own constitutional requirements” (TEU 50(1))) 131-2
 - finding of incompatibility with constitutional requirements/constitutional reversal of decision 134-5
 - unilateral nature/sovereign right 131-2
 - stage two (negotiating phase), unilateral nature 132-40
 - effect of notification of intention to withdraw on status as an EU Member State 136-7
 - extension of two-year negotiating period (TEU 50(3)) 130-2, 137-8
- withdrawal from treaties under international law
 - applicability of VCLT 68 to TEU 50 128-30
 - TEU 50 as *lex specialis* in relation to VCLT 65, VCLT 67 and VCLT 68 122-3, 129-30
 - VCLT 68 as aid to interpretation 129-30
 - applicability of VCLT to TEU/TFEU (VCLT 5) 128
 - revocation of VCLT 65 and VCLT 67 notifications and instruments (VCLT 68), whether customary international law (CIL) 126-8
 - State practice 124-6
 - VCLT 26 (*pacta sunt servanda*) 123
 - VCLT 42(2) (continuance in force except “as a result of the application of treaty or VCLT provisions”) 123
 - VCLT 54 (withdrawal from “in conformity with the provisions of the treaty”) 123
 - VCLT 56 (withdrawal in absence of provision for) 123

Wightman (Article 50 Notice of withdrawal from the EU: possibility of revocation)
(Court of Session)

- background
 - EU (Notification of Withdrawal) Act 2017/notification (29 March 2017) of intention to withdraw 70
 - European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, s 13 (parliamentary approval) 70-1, 82-3
 - Scottish Parliament’s refusal of consent (Sewel convention) 71

- Lord Ordinary's rejection of petition for TFEU 267 preliminary ruling (8 June 2018)/grounds 70-2
 - absence of established facts 72
 - "decision on the question is necessary to enable it to give judgment" 71
 - parliamentary privilege considerations 72
- parties' submissions
 - petitioners 72-3
 - UK Government 74-5
- petition for TFEU 267 preliminary ruling (19 December 2017) 70
- TEU 50 mechanism 69-70
- constitutional principles
 - CJEU as ultimate arbitrator on interpretation of EU Treaties 86
 - function of the courts (determination of the law) 75-9, 85
 - judge-made law 85
 - judicial review vs declarator proceedings, appropriateness 75-9, 93-4
 - parliamentary sovereignty 84-5: *see also* United Kingdom, parliamentary sovereignty
 - separation of powers 86-8
- Court's decision/concurring opinions 80, 83, 94
- function of the courts (determination of the law): *see* judicial role/function of the courts (determination of the law)
- issue 1: real vs hypothetical nature of issue 78-80, 82-3, 88-92
 - standing/interest 82-3
- issue 2: established facts vs future or contingent action 79-80, 87
- issue 3: parliamentary privilege 78-9, 83, 92-3
- issue 4: probability of CJEU's accepting reference 79, 83, 91-2
- opinions
 - Lord Carloway (Lord President) 69-80
 - Lord Drummond Young 84-94
 - Lord Menzies 80-3
- Request for Preliminary Ruling under the Expedited Procedure, text 95-6
- withdrawal from treaty:** *see* treaties, termination and suspension (VCLT 54-64) (including denunciation/abrogation and withdrawal)
- wrongful removal/abduction of child:** *see* Child Abduction Convention (1980) (CAICA) (wrongful abduction)