

INTERNATIONAL
LAW REPORTS

VOLUME 183

Volumes published under the title:

**ANNUAL DIGEST AND REPORTS
 OF PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL LAW CASES**

- | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Vol. 1 (1919-22) | } | Edited by Sir John Fischer Williams, KC,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 2 (1923-24) | | |
| Vol. 3 (1925-26) | } | Edited by Arnold D. McNair, CBE, LLD,
and H. Lauterpacht, LLD |
| Vol. 4 (1927-28) | | |
| Vol. 5 (1929-30) | } | Edited by H. Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 6 (1931-32) | | |
| Vol. 7 (1933-34) | | |
| Vol. 8 (1935-37) | | |
| Vol. 9 (1938-40) | | |
| Vol. 10 (1941-42) | | |
| Vol. 11 (1943-45) | | |
| Vol. 12 (1946) | | |
| Vol. 13 (1947) | | |
| Vol. 14 (1948) | | |
| Vol. 15 (1949) | | |

Volumes published under the title:

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| Vol. 17 (1950) | } | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA |
| Vol. 18 (1951) | | |
| Vol. 19 (1952) | | |
| Vol. 20 (1953) | | |
| Vol. 21 (1954) | | |
| Vol. 22 (1955) | | |
| Vol. 23 (1956) | | |
| Vol. 24 (1957) | | Edited by Sir Hersch Lauterpacht, QC, LLD, FBA,
and E. Lauterpacht |
| Vol. 25 (1958-I) | } | Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC |
| Vol. 26 (1958-II) | | |
| Vols. 27-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Tables and Index to Vols. 1-35 <i>and</i> 36-45
Edited by E. Lauterpacht, QC | | |
| Vols. 69-150 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and
Treaties to Vols. 1-80, Vols. 81-100 <i>and</i> Vols. 1-125
Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC,
and Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC | | |
| Vols. 151-68 <i>and</i> Consolidated Index and Consolidated Table of Treaties to
Vols. 1-160
Edited by Sir Elihu Lauterpacht, CBE, QC, LLD,
Sir Christopher Greenwood, CMG, QC, and K. L. Lee | | |
| Vols. 169-83 | | Edited by Sir Christopher Greenwood, GBE, CMG, QC,
and K. L. Lee |

*Lauterpacht Centre for International Law
University of Cambridge*

INTERNATIONAL LAW REPORTS

**VOLUME
183**

Edited by

SIR CHRISTOPHER GREENWOOD, GBE, CMG, QC
*Member of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal
Bencher of Middle Temple
Formerly Judge of the International Court of Justice*

and

KAREN LEE
*Fellow of the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge
Vice-Mistress and Fellow of Girton College, Cambridge*



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 – International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood, Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.
It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108496513
DOI: 10.1017/9781108677967

© Cambridge University Press 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-49651-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy
of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	vii
EDITORIAL NOTE	ix
TABLE OF CASES (alphabetical)	xiii
TABLE OF CASES (according to courts and countries)	xv
DIGEST (main headings)	xvii
DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 183	xix
TABLE OF TREATIES	xxxiii
REPORTS OF CASES	1
INDEX	685

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 — International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

PREFACE

The present volume contains the judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union on whether the United Kingdom can unilaterally revoke the notification of its intention to withdraw from the European Union Treaties, together with the judgment of the Scottish Court of Session that had referred that question to the Court (*Wightman v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union*). It also contains the landmark judgment of the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights concerning the rights of the Ogiek people (*African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Kenya*) and the decisions of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in *Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia*. National jurisprudence is further reflected in decisions from the courts of Australia (*Padwa*, *Tech Mahindra Ltd* and *Jaya-singhe*), England (*Sandiford* and *Al-Saadoon*) and Singapore (*Sanum Investments Ltd*).

The Editors wish to express their gratitude to the many people whose work has made this volume possible. Dr Marina Brilman prepared the summary of *Santo Domingo Massacre*. Dr Paschalis Paschalidis summarized the *Wightman* cases from Scotland and the Court of Justice of the European Union. Ms Caroline Kimeu wrote the summary of *Sanum* and Ms Sukriti Slehria summarized the *Ogiek Case*. Dr Stephen Tully prepared the summaries of the Australian cases. Ms Karen Lee summarized *Sandiford* and *Al-Saadoon* and saw the volume through the press. Thanks are also extended to Ms Maria Netchaeva, the ILR Editorial Assistant, who prepared the Tables of Cases and Digest, Miss Maureen MacGlashan, CMG, who compiled the Table of Treaties and Index, Mrs Diane Illott who checked the copy and Mr Jack Webb who read the proofs.

The Editors are very grateful to the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, the Court of Justice of the European Union (which owns the copyright in its material), the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, the Family Court of Australia, the Federal Court of Australia and the High Court of Australia for kindly permitting these *Reports* to use the electronic files of the text of the judgments posted on their official websites. The judgment of *Sanum* found on LawNet is reproduced with permission from the Singapore Academy of Law (which owns the copyright in its material). The judgments from

England and Scotland published in this volume carry Crown copyright and contain public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0; the electronic files were sourced from the United Kingdom Supreme Court, the Scottish Courts and Tribunals and the British and Irish Legal Information Institute websites.

Finally, our thanks go to all the others who have worked to complete this volume, particularly our publishers, Cambridge University Press, and typesetters, SPi, and their staff.

C. J. GREENWOOD

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

K. L. LEE

LAUTERPACHT CENTRE
FOR INTERNATIONAL LAW,
UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

January 2019

EDITORIAL NOTE

The *International Law Reports* endeavour to provide within a single series of volumes comprehensive access in English to judicial materials bearing on public international law. On certain topics it is not always easy to draw a clear line between cases which are essentially ones of public international law interest and those which are primarily applications of special domestic rules. For example, in relation to extradition, the *Reports* will include cases which bear on the exception of “political offences” or the rule of double criminality, but will restrict the number of cases dealing with purely procedural aspects of extradition. Similarly, while the general rules relating to the admission and exclusion of aliens, especially of refugees, are of international legal interest, cases on the procedure of admission usually are not. In such borderline areas, and sometimes also where there is a series of domestic decisions all dealing with a single point in essentially the same manner, only one illustrative decision will be printed and references to the remainder will be given in an accompanying note.

DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

The *Reports* seek to include so far as possible the available decisions of every international tribunal, for example the International Court of Justice, or ad hoc arbitrations between States. There are, however, some jurisdictions to which full coverage cannot be given, either because of the large number of decisions (e.g. the Administrative Tribunal of the United Nations) or because not all the decisions bear on questions of public international law (e.g. the Court of Justice of the European Union). In these instances, those decisions are selected which appear to have the greatest long-term value.

Human rights cases. The number of decisions on questions of international protection of human rights has increased considerably in recent years and it is now impossible for the *Reports* to cover them all. As far as decisions of international jurisdictions are concerned, the *Reports* will continue to publish decisions of the European Court of Human Rights and of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, as well as “views” of the United Nations Human Rights Committee. Decisions of national courts on the application of conventions on human rights will not be published unless they deal with a major point

of substantive human rights law or a matter of wider interest to public international lawyers such as the relationship of international law and national law, the extent of the right of derogation or the principles of the interpretation of treaties.

International arbitrations. The *Reports* of course include arbitral awards rendered in cases between States which involve an application of public international law. Beyond this, however, the selection of arbitral decisions is more open to debate. As these *Reports* are principally concerned with matters of public international law, they will not include purely private law commercial arbitrations even if they are international in the sense that they arise between parties of different nationality and even if one of them is a State. (For reports of a number of such awards, see *Yearbook Commercial Arbitration* (ed. Albert Jan van den Berg, under the auspices of the International Council for Commercial Arbitration).) But where there is a sufficient point of contact with public international law then the relevant parts of the award will be reported. Examples of such points of contact are cases in which the character of a State as a party has some relevance (e.g. State immunity, stabilization clauses, *force majeure*) or where there is a choice of law problem involving discussion of international law or general principles of law as possible applicable laws. The same criteria will determine the selection of decisions of national courts regarding the enforcement of arbitral awards.

DECISIONS OF NATIONAL TRIBUNALS

A systematic effort is made to collect from all national jurisdictions those judicial decisions which have some bearing on international law.

EDITORIAL TREATMENT OF MATERIALS

The basic policy of the Editors is, so far as possible, to present the material in its original form. It is no part of the editorial function to impose on the decisions printed in these volumes a uniformity of approach or style which they do not possess. Editorial intervention is limited to the introduction of the summary and of the bold-letter rubric at the head of each case. This is followed by the full text of the original decision or of its translation. Normally, the only passages which will be omitted are those which contain either statements of fact having no bearing on the points of international law involved in the case or discussion of matters of domestic law unrelated to the points of international legal interest. The omission of material is usually indicated either by a series of dots or by the insertion of a sentence in square brackets noting the passages which have been left out.

PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS

For reproduction of material in this volume, electronic files have been used wherever possible and their source acknowledged in the Preface. Citations of the reports in which the case may be found are listed in the “Report” section in square brackets at the end of the case; the language of the original decision is also mentioned there. The bold figures in square brackets in the body of the text indicate the pagination of the original report where included.

NOTES

Footnotes. Footnotes enclosed in square brackets are editorial insertions. All other footnotes are part of the original report.

Other notes. References to cases deemed not to be sufficiently substantial to warrant reporting will occasionally be found in editorial notes either at the end of a report of a case on a similar point or under an independent heading.

DIGEST OF CASES

With effect from Volume 75 the decisions contained in the *Reports* are no longer arranged according to the traditional classification scheme. Instead a Digest of Cases is published at the beginning of each volume. The main headings of the Digest are arranged alphabetically. Under each heading brief details are given of those cases reported in that volume which contain points covered by that heading. Each entry in the Digest gives the name of the case concerned and the page reference, the name of the tribunal which gave the decision and an indication of the main points raised in the case which relate to that particular heading of the Digest. Where a case raises points which concern several different areas of international law, entries relating to that case will appear under each of the relevant headings in the Digest. A list of the main headings used in the Digest is set out at p. xvii.

CONSOLIDATED INDEX AND TABLES

A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 1-80 were published in two volumes in 1990 and 1991. A further volume containing the Consolidated Index and Consolidated Tables of Cases and Treaties for volumes 81-100 was published in 1996. A Consolidated Index, a Consolidated Tables of Cases and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-125 were published in 2004. A Consolidated Index and a Consolidated Table of Treaties for volumes 1-160 were published in 2017. Volume 180 contains Consolidated Tables of Cases for volumes 126-180.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 — International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ALPHABETICAL

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

<p>African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights <i>v.</i> Republic of Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Merits) (Application No 6/2012) 1</p> <p>Al-Saadoon and Others <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Defence 503</p>	<p>Sanum Investments Ltd <i>v.</i> Government of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic 415</p> <p>Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services <i>v.</i> Padwa 354</p>
<p>Commissioner of Taxation <i>v.</i> Jayasinghe 392</p>	<p>Tech Mahindra Ltd <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Taxation 377</p>
<p>Ogiek Case 1</p>	<p>Wightman and Others <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union [Scotland] 66</p> <p>Wightman and Others <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18) 97</p>
<p>R (Sandiford) <i>v.</i> Foreign Secretary 473</p> <p>Rahmatullah and Another <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Defence and Another 503</p>	
<p>Santo Domingo Massacre <i>v.</i> Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation) 166</p>	

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 — International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

ARRANGED ACCORDING TO COURTS AND
 TRIBUNALS (INTERNATIONAL CASES) AND
 COUNTRIES (MUNICIPAL CASES)

(Cases which are reported only in a note are distinguished from cases which are reported in full by the insertion of the word “note” in parentheses after the page number of the report.)

I. DECISIONS OF INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS

<i>African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights</i>	<i>Inter-American Court of Human Rights</i>
2013	2012
African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights <i>v.</i> Republic of Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Application No 6/2012) 1, 7	Santo Domingo Massacre <i>v.</i> Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) 166, 178
2017	2013
African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights <i>v.</i> Republic of Kenya (Merits) (Application No 6/2012) 1, 11	Santo Domingo Massacre <i>v.</i> Colombia (Request for Interpretation) 166, 334
<i>Court of Justice of the European Union</i>	
2018	
Wightman and Others <i>v.</i> Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18) 97	

II. DECISIONS OF MUNICIPAL COURTS

<i>Australia</i>	<i>Singapore</i>
2016	2016
Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services <i>v.</i> Padwa 354 Tech Mahindra Ltd <i>v.</i> Commissioner of Taxation 377	Sanum Investments Ltd <i>v.</i> Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic 415
2017	<i>United Kingdom, England</i>
Commissioner of Taxation <i>v.</i> Jayasinghe 392	2014
	R (Sandiford) <i>v.</i> Foreign Secretary 473

2015
Al-Saadoon and Others *v.* Secretary of State
for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another
v. Secretary of State for Defence and
Another 503, 518

2016
Al-Saadoon and Others *v.* Secretary of
State for Defence; Rahmatullah and
Another *v.* Secretary of State for
Defence and Another 503, 611

United Kingdom, Scotland

2018
Wightman and Others *v.* Secretary of
State for Exiting the European Union 66

DIGEST OF CASES

List of Main Headings

(Those headings for which there are entries in the present volume are printed in italics. For a guide to the Digest, see the Editorial Note at p. xi.)

Air	<i>International Organizations</i>
Aliens	<i>International Tribunals</i>
<i>Arbitration</i>	Jurisdiction
Canals	Lakes and Landlocked Seas
Claims	Nationality
Comity	Recognition
Conciliation	<i>Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law</i>
<i>Consular Relations</i>	Reprisals and Countermeasures
<i>Damages</i>	Rivers
Diplomatic Relations	Sea
<i>Economics, Trade and Finance</i>	Sources of International Law
Environment	Space
Evidence before International Courts and Tribunals	State Immunity
Expropriation	State Responsibility
Extradition	<i>State Succession</i>
General Principles of International Law	States
Governments	Territory
<i>Human Rights</i>	Terrorism
International Court of Justice	<i>Treaties</i>
International Criminal Law	<i>War and Armed Conflict</i>

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 — International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

DIGEST OF CASES REPORTED IN VOLUME 183

Page

Arbitration

Jurisdiction — Whether arbitral tribunal possessing jurisdiction to hear claims — Whether protection of PRC–Laos BIT extending to Macanese investors — Whether subject matter of claim falling within scope of dispute resolution clause in Article 8(3) of PRC–Laos BIT — Jurisdiction — Whether interpretation and application of PRC–Laos BIT justiciable before Singaporean courts — Jurisdiction — Standard of review — Whether Singaporean courts obliged to accord special deference to tribunal's findings — Singapore, Court of Appeal

Sanum Investments Ltd v. Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic

415

Consular Relations

Diplomatic relations — Consular agents — Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, 1963 — Whether obliging United Kingdom to use its diplomatic or consular agents to fund defence in Indonesia of a United Kingdom citizen — Whether any relevant acts of diplomatic and consular agents — Whether any relevant exercise of authority or control by such agents over appellant — Whether extraterritorial exception applicable — Whether appellant within jurisdiction of United Kingdom for purposes of Article 1 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether Article 6 of European Convention imposing any obligation on United Kingdom in respect of appellant — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

R (Sandiford) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

473

Damages

Reparations — Monetary and other reparations — Damages for pecuniary and non-pecuniary loss — Beneficiaries — Injured victims and next of kin of victims not receiving reparation under domestic contentious-administrative jurisdiction — Establishment of domestic reparation mechanism for benefit of beneficiaries — Requirement of public act of acknowledgement of international

Damages (*cont.*)

responsibility — Other non-pecuniary remedies, Article 63(1) of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation) 166

Economics, Trade and Finance

Bilateral investment treaties — Agreement between Government of the People's Republic of China and Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic concerning the encouragement and reciprocal protection of investments, 1993 — People's Republic of China assuming sovereignty over Macau from 20 December 1999 — Whether PRC–Laos BIT applying to Macau — Whether protection of PRC–Laos BIT extending to Macanese investors — Whether interpretation and application of PRC–Laos BIT justiciable before Singaporean courts — Singapore, Court of Appeal

Sanum Investments Ltd v. Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic 415

Human Rights

Indigenous peoples — Right to freedom from discrimination — Right to life — Freedom of religion — Right to property — Right to culture — Right to disposal of natural resources — Right to development — Kenyan Forest Ministry issuing eviction notice requiring removal of Ogiek community from the Mau Forest Area — Whether Kenya violating Articles 1, 2, 4, 8, 14, 17(2) and (3), 21 and 22 of African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Republic of Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Merits) 1

Right to a fair trial — Article 6 of European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Whether appellant within jurisdiction of United Kingdom for purpose of Article 1 of European Convention — Whether extraterritorial exception applicable — Whether any relevant acts of diplomatic and consular agents — Whether any relevant exercise of authority or control by such agents over appellant — Whether Article 6 of European Convention imposing any obligation on United Kingdom in respect of appellant — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

R (Sandiford) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs 473

Right to a fair trial — Judicial protection — Articles 8 and 25 of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Whether acknowledgement of responsibility substantiated — Assessment of activities carried out by ordinary, military, disciplinary and contentious-administrative jurisdictions — Whether scope of military criminal jurisdiction restrictive and exceptional — Whether Colombia violating Articles 8 and 25, in relation to Article 1(1) of American Convention on Human Rights — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Right to freedom of movement and residence — Right to individual property — Articles 22 and 21 of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Evolutive interpretation of Article 22 of American Convention protecting right not to be forcibly displaced within State Party — Additional Protocol II to Geneva Conventions and customary international humanitarian law used to interpret scope of Article 21 of American Convention — Whether States to take into account greater vulnerability and increased harm to property rights of people living in poverty — Whether Colombia violating Articles 22 and 21, in relation to Article 1(1) of American Convention on Human Rights — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Right to honour — Article 11 of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 — Whether State submitting individuals or groups to hatred, stigmatization, public scorn, persecution or discrimination by means of public declarations by public officials — Whether lack of evidence — Whether Colombia violating Article 11, in relation to Article 1(1) of American Convention on Human Rights — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Right to life — Humane treatment — Children — Obligation to adopt domestic legal provisions — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Articles 4, 5, 19 and 2 — Right to humane treatment of next of kin of victims — Application of *juris tantum* presumption regarding direct family members — Protection measures for children — Special obligation to protect children in non-international armed conflict — Whether

Human Rights (*cont.*)

Colombia violating Articles 4, 5, 19 and 2, in relation to Article 1(1) of American Convention on Human Rights — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 3 — Prohibition of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment — Investigative obligations — Whether and in what circumstances investigative obligation arising in *Soering*-type cases — Whether adjectival duty on Contracting State to investigate allegations of breach of *Soering* duty after the event — Complaint that claimants suffering serious ill-treatment after having been handed over into United States custody — Whether requiring investigation under Article 3 — Whether factual basis for arguable claim that British forces complicit in ill-treatment — Extent to which investigative obligation arising under Article 3 in respect of handover cases — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another

503

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 5 — Right to liberty — Investigative obligations — Arbitrary detention — Whether investigative obligation arising in all cases of detention arguably in violation of Article 5 — Article 5 requiring Contracting States' authorities to investigate an arguable claim of enforced disappearance — Whether duty extending to detention without judicial scrutiny or control, even if detention not secret or unacknowledged — Effect of international humanitarian law on Article 5 — Whether Article 5 modified or displaced by international humanitarian law during international armed conflict — Extent to which investigative obligation arising in respect of cases within Article 5 — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another

503

International Organizations

European Union — Membership — Withdrawal — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Notification by United Kingdom of its intention to withdraw from European Union — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 may be unilaterally revoked by United Kingdom — Whether this question hypothetical or academic in nature given absence of any intention on behalf of United Kingdom Government to withdraw notification — Whether such question falling within jurisdiction of national courts in context of judicial review — Whether court able to entertain petition for judicial review without encroaching on parliamentary sovereignty — Whether such question admissible if referred to Court of Justice of the European Union — Scotland, Court of Session, Inner House (First Division)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union

66

European Union — Membership — Withdrawal — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Notification by United Kingdom of its intention to withdraw from European Union — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 may be unilaterally revoked by United Kingdom — Whether unilateral revocation of withdrawal notification subject to other conditions — Deadline for revocation — Effects of revocation of Member State's intention to withdraw from European Union — Court of Justice of the European Union (Full Court)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18)

97

Privileges and immunities — Staff members — Exemption from taxation — Contractor — Whether taxpayer holding an office in international organization — International Organisations (Privileges and Immunities) Act 1963 (Cth), Section 6(1)(d)(i) — Proper construction of Act — Australia, High Court

Commissioner of Taxation v. Jayasinghe

392

International Tribunals

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights — Jurisdiction — Temporal jurisdiction — Date of entry into force of Protocol to African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on Establishment of African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1998 — Continuing nature of alleged violations — Personal

International Tribunals (*cont.*)

jurisdiction — Territorial jurisdiction — Admissibility of application — Exhaustion of local remedies — Provisional measures of protection — African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Republic of Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Merits)

1

Inter-American Court of Human Rights — American Convention on Human Rights, 1969, Article 67 — Interpretation of judgment — Request for interpretation of judgment by representatives of victims — Impossibility of requesting modification or expansion of judgment through request for interpretation — Whether Court having jurisdiction to determine applicable domestic provisions or indicate persons entitled to recourse — Whether request admissible — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Inter-American Court of Human Rights — Preliminary objections by Colombia — Whether Court lacking jurisdiction *ratione materiae* — Non-international armed conflict — Application of international humanitarian law — Interpretation of scope of provisions of American Convention on Human Rights, 1969 using international humanitarian law — Whether failure to exhaust domestic remedies — Whether remedy of contentious-administrative jurisdiction always necessary — Article 46 of American Convention on Human Rights — Whether preliminary objections to be rejected — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law

Treaties — Application — United Nations Convention against Torture, 1984 — Whether UNCAT provisions giving rise to domestically enforceable legal rights — Whether implemented into United Kingdom law — United Kingdom only implementing Article 4 of UNCAT in Section 134 of Criminal Justice Act — Whether principle of legality applicable — Whether rights in question part of domestic law — Human rights protections — Implementation of European Convention

on Human Rights, 1950 — Human Rights Act 1998 — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another 503

Treaties — Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations, 1946 — International Organisations (Privileges and Immunities) Act 1963 (Cth), Section 6(1)(d)(i) — Whether construction of Act according with Australia's obligations under Convention — Whether taxpayer holding an office in international organization — Whether exempt from taxation — Australia, High Court

Commissioner of Taxation v. Jayasinghe 392

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 1 — Scope of application — United Kingdom courts to construe reach of Article 1 in accordance with Strasbourg jurisprudence — Identification of underlying principles of Strasbourg jurisprudence — Whether United Kingdom having duty to investigate alleged human rights violations — Whether United Kingdom having jurisdiction for purpose of Article 1 — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another 503

Treaties — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Jurisdiction — Whether appellant within jurisdiction of United Kingdom for purpose of Article 1 of European Convention — Appellant seeking funding for legal representation in capital proceedings — United Kingdom Government policy not to fund legal assistance — Whether United Kingdom obliged to provide funds under European Convention — Strasbourg jurisprudence — *Al-Skeini* — Whether United Kingdom Government's blanket policy to refuse to consider providing funding legal under domestic law — Whether determinative — Whether Article 6 of European Convention imposing any obligation on United Kingdom in respect of appellant — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

R (Sandiford) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs 473

Relationship of International Law and Municipal Law (*cont.*)

Treaties — Interpretation — Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction, 1980 — Family Law Act giving effect to Convention in Australia — Family Law (Child Abduction Convention) Regulations 1986 (Cth) — Regulation 16(1A)(b) — Determination of habitual residence — Jurisprudence from other jurisdictions — Whether binding — Whether uniformity desirable — Whether consistent with Australian jurisprudence — Whether Convention properly invoked upon child's retention in Australia — Australia, Family Court

Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v. Padua 354

Treaties — Withdrawal — European Union Treaties — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018, Section 13 — Requirement of parliamentary approval of outcome of negotiations with European Council regarding withdrawal — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 could be unilaterally withdrawn — Whether question regarding correct interpretation of Article 50 falling within supervisory jurisdiction of courts in context of judicial review proceedings — Scotland, Court of Session, Inner House (First Division)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union 66

State Succession

Treaties — Joint Declaration of Government of the People's Republic of China and Government of the Portuguese Republic on question of Macau, 1987 — People's Republic of China ("PRC") assuming sovereignty over Macau from 20 December 1999 — Application of PRC's treaties to Macau after assumption of sovereignty — Rights of third parties — Singapore, Court of Appeal

Sanum Investments Ltd v. Government of the Lao People's Democratic Republic 415

Treaties

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 — Protocol on Establishment of African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1998 — Jurisdiction of Court — Whether

extending to acts occurring before entry into force of Protocol —
 African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights

*African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Republic of
 Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Merits)* 1

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1981 —
 Protocol on Establishment of African Court on Human and
 Peoples' Rights, 1998 — Order for Provisional Measures —
 Whether court having prima facie jurisdiction — African Court
 on Human and Peoples' Rights

*African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights v. Republic of
 Kenya (Provisional Measures) (Merits)* 1

Application — Convention on the Civil Aspects of International
 Child Abduction, 1980 — Family Law (Child Abduction
 Convention) Regulations 1986 (Cth) — Regulation 16(1A)(b) —
 Test for determining habitual residence of child immediately prior to
 retention — Possibility of two habitual residences — Whether trial
 judge applying correct test in determining question of habitual
 residence — Whether Convention properly invoked upon child's
 retention in Australia — Australia, Family Court

Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v. Padwa 354

Application — United Nations Convention against Torture,
 1984 ("UNCAT") — Obligations of United Kingdom —
 Whether UNCAT provisions giving rise to domestically
 enforceable legal rights — As a treaty — On basis of customary
 international law — European Convention on Human Rights to
 be interpreted in harmony with rules of international law of which
 it forms part — Whether broader investigative duty imposed by
 Article 12 of UNCAT — Consideration of United Kingdom's
 compliance with obligations under Articles 10 and 11 of
 UNCAT — Whether appropriate in context of investigating
 circumstances where duty to investigate allegation of torture or
 serious mistreatment — Whether UNCAT having any impact on
 investigative duties under Articles 2 and 3 of European
 Convention — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division
 (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

*Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence;
 Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and
 Another* 503

Human rights treaties — Application — Scope — European
 Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 1 — Duty of

Treaties (*cont.*)

parties to secure rights and freedoms under European Convention to persons within their jurisdiction — Concept of jurisdiction primarily territorial — Extraterritorial jurisdiction in exceptional cases only — Strasbourg jurisprudence — *Al-Skeini* — Exceptional category of acts of diplomatic and consular agents amounting to exercise of jurisdiction when these agents exerting authority and control over others — Whether exception applicable — Whether appellant within jurisdiction of the United Kingdom for purpose of Article 1 of European Convention — Whether Article 6 of European Convention imposing any obligation on United Kingdom in respect of appellant — United Kingdom, Supreme Court

R (Sandiford) v. Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

473

Human rights treaties — Application — Scope — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Article 1 — Duty of parties to secure rights and freedoms under European Convention to persons within their jurisdiction — Extent of jurisdiction — Claimants alleging ill-treatment and unlawful detention during British military involvement in Iraq between 2003 and 2009 — Whether Article 1 of European Convention applicable in test cases — Strasbourg jurisprudence — *Banković* — Concept of jurisdiction primarily territorial — Extraterritorial jurisdiction in exceptional cases only — State agent authority and control — Effective control over an area — Convention legal space (*espace juridique*) — *Al-Skeini* — Widening of exceptional categories — Division and tailoring of Convention rights — Exceptional category of State agent authority and control applicable in present case — Exercise of public powers — Whether United Kingdom exercising authority and control by virtue of exercising public powers normally exercised by Iraqi Government — Whether conditions for occupation met — Case-by-case factual analysis — Exercise of physical power and control of individuals — Scope — Whether requiring greater degree of power and control than that represented by use of lethal or potentially lethal force alone — Whether those claimants transferred into custody of United States within jurisdiction of United Kingdom for purpose of Article 1 of European Convention — Whether and to what extent European Convention applying to British armed forces in Iraq — Whether United Kingdom having duty to investigate alleged human rights violations — Whether United Kingdom having

jurisdiction for purpose of Article 1 of European Convention — England, High Court, Queen’s Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another

503

Interpretation — Application — Agreement between Government of Australia and Government of Republic of India for Avoidance of Double Taxation and Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income, 1991 — Operation of “business profits rule” — Article 7 — Operation of “royalties provision” — Article 12 — Principles of interpretation — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969, Article 31 — Whether source State having right to tax royalties under Article 7 or 12 — Australia, Federal Court

Tech Mahindra Ltd v. Commissioner of Taxation

377

Interpretation — Application — Agreement between Government of the People’s Republic of China and Government of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic concerning the encouragement and reciprocal protection of investments, 1993 (“the PRC–Laos BIT”) — Whether PRC–Laos BIT applying to Macau — “Moving treaty frontier” rule — Vienna Convention on the Succession of States in respect of Treaties, 1978, Article 15 — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969, Article 29 — Exceptions — Whether a different intention appearing from treaty — Whether otherwise established that treaty was not meant to apply to Macau — Applicable standard of proof to be met under international law — Applicability of critical date doctrine to court’s assessment of evidence — Singapore, Court of Appeal

Sanum Investments Ltd v. Government of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic

415

Interpretation — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950, Article 5 — Extent of obligations under Article 5 — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969, Article 31(3)(c) — Applicable rules of international law — 1992 UN Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance — Council of Europe Resolution 1463 of 3 October 2005 — International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance, 2006 — Strasbourg jurisprudence — Requirement under Article 5 that Contracting States’ authorities

Treaties (*cont.*)

investigate arguable claim of enforced disappearance — Whether duty to extend to detention without judicial scrutiny or control, even if detention not secret or unacknowledged — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another 503

Interpretation — European Union Treaties — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Right of withdrawal from European Union — Notification of Member State of its intention to withdraw — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 may be unilaterally revoked by Member State concerned — Whether question of interpretation of Article 50 by referring court admissible — Wording of Article 50 and objectives pursued — Context and European Union law provisions as a whole — Origins of Article 50 — Articles 65, 67 and 68 of Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969 — Court of Justice of the European Union (Full Court)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18) 97

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, 1969, Articles 54, 65, 67 and 68 — Withdrawal of a State from a treaty — Whether notification by State of its intention to withdraw from treaty may be unilaterally revoked by that State — European Union — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Whether Article 50 of Treaty on European Union constituting *lex specialis* in relation to Articles 65, 67 and 68 of Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties — Court of Justice of the European Union (Full Court)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18) 97

Withdrawal — European Union Treaties — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Right of withdrawal from European Union — Notification of Member State of its intention to withdraw — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 could be unilaterally revoked by Member State concerned — Whether this question ought to be referred to Court of Justice of European Union — Scotland, Court of Session, Inner House (First Division)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union 66

Withdrawal — European Union Treaties — Treaty on European Union, Article 50 — Right of withdrawal from European Union — Notification of Member State of its intention to withdraw — Whether notification to withdraw made pursuant to Article 50 may be unilaterally revoked by Member State concerned — Whether unilateral revocation of withdrawal notification subject to other conditions — Deadline for revocation — Effects of revocation of Member State's intention to withdraw from European Union — Court of Justice of the European Union (Full Court)

Wightman and Others v. Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Case C-621/18)

97

War and Armed Conflict

British military involvement in Iraq between 2003 and 2009 — Invasion — Occupation — Post-occupation — Alleged human rights violations against Iraqi civilians by British soldiers in Iraq — European Convention on Human Rights, 1950 — Application — International humanitarian law — Whether displacing or modifying European Convention — Whether both applying to detention issues — England, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) and Court of Appeal

Al-Saadoon and Others v. Secretary of State for Defence; Rahmatullah and Another v. Secretary of State for Defence and Another

503

Non-international armed conflict — Applicable law — Relationship between humanitarian law and human rights — 1977 Additional Protocol II to the Geneva Conventions — Precautions in attack — Cluster bomb launched on village and machine-gun attack on civilians — Principle of distinction between civilians and combatants — Principle of proportionality — Inter-American Court of Human Rights

Santo Domingo Massacre v. Colombia (Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs) (Request for Interpretation)

166

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-49651-3 — International Law Reports
Edited by Christopher Greenwood , Karen Lee
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

TABLE OF TREATIES

This table contains a list, in chronological order according to the date of signature, of the treaties referred to in the decisions printed in the present volume. It has not been possible to draw a helpful distinction between treaties judicially considered and treaties which are merely cited.

In the case of bilateral treaties, the names of the parties are given in alphabetical order. Names of countries follow contemporaneous usage except in the case of small spelling changes (e.g. Roumania/Romania) where modern usage is adopted throughout. Where this seems helpful, the name of a treaty as it is commonly known is included.

Wherever possible, each treaty includes a reference to where an online English-language version of the treaty can be found. For treaties between 1648 and 1919, this is almost always the Consolidated Treaty Series (CTS), under Oxford Historical Treaties on the Oxford Public International Law website. Post-1919, references are most frequently to the League of Nations Treaty Series/United Nations Treaty Series (LNTS/UNTS). Here it should be noted that historically LNTS/UNTS references have taken the form “39 UNTS 55”, 39 being the volume number, 55 the page number. With effect from UNTS volume 2301, UNTS no longer includes the volume and page number as part of the reference, using simply the registration number. UNTS references are now in the form UNTS 42146. For ILR purposes, because of its value as an identifier, the registration number is now being added parenthetically to pre-volume 2301 treaties in the form 213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889].

Reference is also made to other treaty databases such as the European Treaty Series (ETS), Organization of American States Treaty Series (OASTS) or to Official Journals, particularly where these are the only databases currently hosting a treaty. Where a treaty appears to be available only on the website of the parent international organization, usually without any citation identifier, users are referred to the relevant website. For bilateral treaties, often the only source it has been possible to find is a non-English-language version on one of the parties’ official websites, such as the parliamentary proceedings approving the treaty. Users requiring a comprehensive list of written sources should refer to the CTS, or to the Flare Index to Treaties, available at IALS Digital.

For abbreviations used in the Table of Treaties, reference should be made to the table of abbreviations attached to the index in individual volumes.

1907

- Oct. 18 Hague Convention No IV on the Laws and Customs of
 Warfare on Land, Regulations annexed to the Convention
 (205 CTS 277) (Hague Regulations)
 Section II
 Art. 42 523, 632-3

1945

- Nov. 16 UNESCO Constitution (4 UNTS 275 [UNTS 52])
 Art. 2(6) 124, 138

1946

- Feb. 13 Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations (“General Convention”) (UNPIC) (1 UNTS 15 [UNTS 4]) 412
 Art. VI(22) 396, 413-14

1949

- Aug. 12 Geneva Conventions Relative to the Protection of the Victims of International Armed Conflicts (Common Articles)
 Art. 3 192, 260-1
- Aug. 12 Geneva Convention Relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War (GC III) (75 UNTS 135 [UNTS 972])
 Art. 12 650-1

1950

- Nov. 1 European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950) as amended by Protocol 11, effective as of 1 November 1998 (ECHR) (213 UNTS 221 [UNTS 2889])
 Art. 1 481-6, 521, 527-62, 569-70, 578, 609-10, 612-51
 Art. 2 521-2, 524-7, 565, 569-70, 606, 611-13, 648-51, 664-5
 Art. 2(2) 553
 Art. 3 521-2, 525-7, 562-82, 603-7, 610-13, 648-65
 Art. 4 569-70
 Art. 5 521-2, 582-97, 610, 648-51, 664-78
 Art. 5(1) 552-3
 Art. 5(4) 671-2
 Art. 5(5) 671, 672
 Art. 6(3)(c) 481
 Art. 13 670-1
 Art. 15 614-51

1963

- Apr. 24 Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (VCCR) (596 UNTS 261 [UNTS 8638])
 Art. 5(i) 483-4
 Art. 5(m) 483-4

TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxv

1969

May 23	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) (1155 UNTS 331 [UNTS 18232])	
	Art. 5	128
	Art. 26	123
	Art. 27	444-6, 456
	Art. 28	23-5
	Art. 29	426, 434-60
	Art. 31	129-30, 433-4
	Art. 31(1)	461-2
	Art. 31(2)	385-90, 462-71
	Art. 31(3)(a)	436, 440-1, 456-9
	Art. 31(3)(b)	440-1, 456-9
	Art. 31(3)(c)	604, 666
	Art. 32	129-30, 141-2
	Art. 42	123
	Art. 48	130
	Art. 49	130
	Art. 54	108, 123
	Arts. 54-68	128-30
	Art. 54(b)	146
	Art. 56	123
	Art. 65	108, 148-9
	Art. 67	108-9, 148-9
	Art. 68	109, 126-30, 135, 148-9, 163
Nov. 22	American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR) (1144 UNTS 123 [UNTS 17512])	
	Preamble	190-1
	Art. 1(1)	181-4, 190-1, 260-2, 281, 287-8
	Art. 2	181-3, 259-60, 287
	Art. 4	181-3
	Art. 4(1)	183-4, 256-7, 281, 288, 326
	Art. 5	181-3, 263
	Art. 5(1)	183-4, 257-8, 281, 285-8, 326
	Art. 8	181-3, 229-55, 326-7
	Art. 11(1)	302-4, 327
	Art. 19	181-4, 258-9, 284-5, 288, 326
	Art. 21	181-3, 290-1, 297-302, 326
	Art. 21(1)	183-4
	Art. 21(2)	183-4
	Art. 22(1)	288-9, 292-7
	Art. 25	181-3, 229-55, 326-7
	Art. 27	190-1
	Art. 27(2)	190

Art. 29	190-1
Art. 33	239
Art. 44	195
Art. 45	195
Art. 46	181-3
Art. 46(1)	192-8
Art. 46(1)(a)	190-1, 195-6
Art. 46(2)	194, 196
Art. 47	181-3
Arts. 48-50	239-41
Art. 50	181-3
Art. 51	181
Art. 61	181
Art. 62(3)	198
Art. 63(1)	304-26
Art. 67	335

1976

July 5	Cultural Charter for Africa (available at au.int/sites/default/files/treaties/7769-treaty-0008_-_cultural_charter_for_africa_e.pdf) (accessed 3 March 2019)	
	Preamble 9	53
	Art. 3	53
	Art. 5	53
	Art. 8(a)	53

1977

June 8	Protocol additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 and relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (AP I) (1125 UNTS 3 [UNTS 17512])	
	Art. 50	282-3
June 8	Protocol additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949 and relating to the Protection of Victims of Non-International Armed Conflicts (AP II) (1125 UNTS 609 [UNTS 17513])	192, 260-1
	Art. 4(2)(g)	297-8
	Art. 4(3)	284
	Art. 13(2)	274

1978

Aug. 23	Vienna Convention on Succession of States in Respect of Treaties (VCSST) (1946 UNTS 3 [UNTS 33356])	
	Art. 15	434-60
	Art. 15(b)	435

TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxvii

1980

- Oct. 25 Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child
 Abduction (1343 UNTS 89 [UNTS 22514]) 356-76

1981

- June 26 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR) (1520
 UNTS 218 [UNTS 26363])
 Preamble 3 58
 Preamble 8 58
 Art. 1 8, 17-19, 62-3, 65
 Art. 2 8, 10, 17-19, 40-4, 63, 65
 Art. 4 8, 10, 44-7, 65
 Art. 8 17-19, 47-50, 63, 65
 Art. 14 8, 10, 17-19, 36-40, 63, 65
 Art. 17(2) 8, 10, 17-19, 50-6, 63, 65
 Art. 17(3) 8, 10, 17-19, 50-6, 63, 65
 Art. 20(1) 59
 Art. 21 8, 17-19, 55, 57-9, 61, 63, 65
 Art. 21(2) 17-19
 Art. 22 8, 10, 17-19, 59-62, 65
 Art. 23 59
 Art. 24 59
 Art. 50 20-2, 26-7
 Art. 56 17, 27-32
 Art. 56(5) 29-32
 Art. 58 20-2
 Art. 58(1) 8, 20
 Art. 60 33-4
 Art. 61 33-4

1984

- Dec. 10 UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel,
 Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
 (New York Convention) (UNCAT) (1465 UNTS 85
 [UNTS 24841]) 597-611, 678-84
 Part I
 Art. 1 598-9, 679-80
 Art. 2 679-81
 Art. 4 579, 681
 Art. 10 597-9, 603-5, 679-80, 683-4
 Art. 11 597-9, 603-5, 679-81, 683-4
 Art. 12 573-4, 598-9, 603-5, 679-81, 683-4
 Art. 13 681

1987

- Apr. 13 China (PRC)–Portugal, Joint Declaration on the Question of Macao (1498 UNTS 228 [UNTS 25805]) 437, 441-6, 449-50
 Art. 1 421
 Art. 2 421
 Annex I, Clause VIII 425, 439, 441-6, 448-9

1989

- June 27 Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries (ILO Convention No 169) (1650 UNTS 383 [UNTS 28383])
 Art. 1 33-4
- Nov. 20 Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) (1577 UNTS 3 [UNTS 27531]) 284

1991

- July 25 Australia–India, Double Taxation Agreement (1680 UNTS 289 [UNTS 29029])
 Art. 7 379-91
 Art. 7(1)(a) 379-80, 382-3, 385-6, 389
 Art. 7(1)(b) 379-80
 Art. 7(7) 385-9
 Art. 10(4) 383-4
 Art. 11(4) 383-4
 Art. 12 379-91
 Art. 12(1) 386
 Art. 12(2) 379-80, 382-6
 Art. 12(3) 379-80, 384-6
 Art. 12(3)(a)-(f) 390
 Art. 12(3)(g) 380
 Art. 12(3)(g)-(l) 390
 Art. 12(4) 379-80, 382-90
 Art. 14 384-5
 Art. 22(3) 383-4

1993

- Jan. 31 Laos–China (PRC), Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) (1849 UNTS 109 [UNTS 31469]) 421
 Art. 8(3) 420-72

TABLE OF TREATIES

xxxix

1998

June 10	Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Establishment of the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (2144 UNTS 255 [UNTS 37437]) (ACHPR Protocol)	
	Art. 2	21-3
	Art. 3(1)	10, 21-2
	Art. 5(1)	23
	Art. 5(1)(a)	7, 10-12, 21-3, 25-9
	Art. 6(2)	27-9
	Art. 9	16
	Art. 27(1)	64
	Art. 27(2)	9, 11, 23
	Art. 34(6)	23

2006

Dec. 20	International Convention for the Protection of All Persons from Enforced Disappearance (CED) (UNTS 48088)	584-5, 666-7
	Art. 1	666-7
	Art. 2	584-5, 666-7
	Art. 4	585, 667
	Art. 5	667
	Art. 6	585, 667
	Art. 7	585, 667
	Art. 12	585, 667

2007

Dec. 13	Treaty of Lisbon amending the Treaty on European Union (TEU) (OJ 2010 C83/1)	
	Preamble	
	Recital 2	161-2
	Recital 4	161-2
	Recital 13	161
	Title I Common Provisions	
	Art. 1	140, 149-50, 161
	Art. 2	149-50, 161-2
	Art. 4(2)	135, 140-1
	Art. 4(3)	132-3, 144-5
	Art. 7	135-6
	Title III Provisions on the Institutions	
	Art. 19	119-21
	Title VI, Final Provisions	
	Art. 49	130, 136, 146, 160-2
	Art. 50	69-94, 106-48

	Art. 50(1)	131-2, 134, 136-7, 140-1, 143, 157, 159-61
	Art. 50(2)	111, 133-4, 142-3, 157-60
	Art. 50(3)	113, 119, 130, 138, 143, 146-7, 157-8, 159-62, 164-5
	Art. 50(4)	136-7, 146-7, 163
	Art. 50(5)	136, 146
Dec. 13	Treaty of Lisbon on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) (OJ 2012 C326/47)		
	Preamble		
	Recital 1	161
	Part V The Union's External Action, Title V International Agreements		
	Art. 218(3)	112, 137-8, 160
	Art. 218(11)	119-21, 154, 157
	Part VI Institutional Financial Provisions, Title I Institutional Provisions, Chapter 1 The Institutions, Section 5 The Court of Justice of the European Union		
	Art. 267	69-94, 149-50, 154