

SHAKESPEARE AND THE EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGIST

Gain a better understanding of human behavior by exploring thought experiments in Shakespearean plays and the historical roots of experimental psychology within early modern literature. This book combines scientific psychology with English literature to discuss thought experiments in selected Shakespeare plays and examine the central role of thought experiments in the natural sciences. Thought experiments are essential for progress in scientific research. Indeed, Albert Einstein and a number of other leading scientists relied almost exclusively on thought experiments. Thought experiments also play a pivotal role in English literature, particularly in Shakespeare plays. By focussing on thought experiments and experimental psychology's place within early modern English literature, the volume establishes a more wholistic approach to understanding human behavior.

FATHALI M. MOGHADDAM is Professor of Psychology and Director of the Interdisciplinary Program in Cognitive Science at Georgetown University, USA. Since 2014, he has served as Editor-in-Chief of *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology* (an APA journal). His extensive publications include over 30 books and approximately 300 papers.



SHAKESPEARE AND THE EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGIST

FATHALI M. MOGHADDAM

Georgetown University





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108491501 DOI: 10.1017/9781108868945

© Fathali M. Moghaddam 2021

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written

permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2021

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-49150-1 Hardback ISBN 978-1-108-79836-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> This book is dedicated to the memory of Headmaster Christopher Porteous and History Master Henry Chambers, Eltham College, London.



Contents

Pre	face	page ix
Ack	knowledgments	xi
Ι	Introducing Shakespeare's Psychological Thought Experiment	ts I
2	Experimental Research	17
PA	RT I THOUGHT EXPERIMENTS INVOLVING PLAYS WITHIN PLAYS	
3	Hamlet	33
4	Henry IV, Part I	49
PA	RT II THOUGHT EXPERIMENTS AND THE POWER OF CONT	EXT
5	The Tempest	71
6	As You Like It	89
7	King Lear	104
8	Othello	118
9	Richard III	131
Ю	Macbeth	150
II	Julius Caesar	170
	Afterword	186
Notes		194
References Index		205
1110	u.a	217



Preface

Throughout my three decades of work as a professor of psychology at research universities, William Shakespeare's (1564–1616) plays have been for me a source of deep inspiration and insight into human behavior. I have been particularly inspired by Shakespeare's psychological thought experiments, which provide imagined demonstrations or tests of particular hypotheses about human behavior. Thought experiments play a central role in modern experimental psychology (and science more broadly), but they have a rich and much longer history in English drama. The traditions and conventions of Early Modern English drama are an essential part of the intellectual and cultural roots for contemporary experimental psychology.

It is striking that well before the birth of scientific psychology in the nineteenth century, Shakespeare had already developed the idea of the controlled experiment to test specific hypotheses about human behavior. Yet, when we search for books or research papers on "Psychology and Shakespeare" from the perspective of experimental psychology, we come up empty-handed. This absence is due to the huge gap that exists between experts in psychological science and Shakespeare scholars, a gap I take steps to bridge.

This book represents a revolutionary turn away from the expansive tradition of discussing Shakespeare's plays from a psychoanalytic viewpoint. The ideas of Sigmund Freud (1856–1939), Carl Jung (1875–1961), and other psychodynamic thinkers have been applied exhaustively in discussions of Shakespeare's works (and in English literature more broadly). In a sharp turn away from this tradition, I explore Shakespeare's plays from the perspective of twenty-first-century experimental psychology. This novel approach makes very good sense, because Shakespeare himself was an experimentalist moving in the vanguard of the scientific revolution taking place during his life. This is a revelation I explore further in the chapters to come.



reface Preface

Some critics will contend that by focusing on Shakespeare's thought experiments and interpreting them in light of twenty-first-century research methods, we are "reading into" Shakespeare's plays characteristics that do not belong to his historical era. However, the same critics neglect the fact that thousands of academic and popular works applying the ideas of Freud, Jung, and other psychodynamic thinkers are part of the well-established tradition of the research literature on Shakespeare. Second, such critics are underestimating progress in, and the influence of, scientific thinking on Shakespeare and other writers in his era. Thought experiments require imagination and the adoption of a scientific worldview, qualities that were amply present in Shakespeare's time and in his plays.

By identifying and exploring the thought experiments integral to Shakespeare's plays, we recognize the role of conventions and traditions in English literature in the wider development of scientific thinking. Second, we help to bridge the gap that has historically divided the "two solitudes" of art and science.³ This is an important step in our era, when efforts are being made to bring more attention to STEM disciplines (science, technology, engineering, mathematics), and the value of the humanities and English literature in particular is being questioned. This book helps readers with a background in the arts recognize that they can gain a deeper appreciation for Shakespeare through better understanding experimental design. On the other hand, Shakespeare's thought experiments reveal to readers with a science background that the scientific method infuses Shakespeare's plays. Thus, this book constructs a stronger bridge between the arts and the sciences, to help us better appreciate that the scientific method has far broader foundations, roots, and reverberations than traditionally conceived.



Acknowledgments

This is a heretical work. In my defense, I submit that a number of other people encouraged, cajoled, maneuvered, and tempted me to commit heresy. Generations of Georgetown University students, less experienced and unaware of the seriousness of our offense, took my seminars *Psychology and Literature* and *Psychology and Shakespeare* and, in their youthful innocence, joyously speeded along with me on this heretical path. My psychology department colleagues allowed me to teach these subversive courses, without challenging my merging of such apparently incompatible topics as science and Shakespeare. Some of my friends and colleagues, particularly Duncan Wu, Don Taylor, Steven Sabat, David Lightfoot, and (the late) Rom Harré, talked with me reassuredly about psychology and literature, without once hinting that I was on a dangerous and seditious path. David Repetto of Cambridge University Press very enthusiastically supported me going down this path and skillfully guided the work to completion. These co-conspirators made this project possible. So, you see, my guilt is shared.