

INDEX

- Acceptance principle, 108–9
 Accountability versus responsibility, 135
 Accreditation of health care institutions, 219
 Advantages of trust. *See* Usefulness of trust
 Affective attitudes. *See* Attitudes
 Agent-related nature of trust, 44, 80
 Alder Hey Children's Hospital, 178, 181–2
 Allport, G., 56
 Alternative medicine, 187, 188
 American Heart Association, 3, 207
 American Medical Association (AMA), 11, 12–13
 Analytic definitions. *See* Definitions, types of
 Anti-realism, 209–10
 Aristotle, 125, 128–9, 162
 Attitudes
 affective attitudes, 59–61
 cognitive attitudes, 57–9
 defined, 56–7
 non-cognitive attitudes, 59–61
 reactive attitudes (*See* Reactive attitudes)
 Ayer, A., 164–5

 Baier, A., 15, 147, 164
 Baurmann, M., 114–15
 Becker, L.C., 56
 Belief-in
 defined, 82–3
 features of pattern, summarised, 91
 versus trust, 76–7
 Belief versus belief-in, 17
 Betrayal, feeling of resulting from breach of trust

 as argument for moral value of trust, 162, 163–7
 external attribution, 163–4
 as feature of pattern-based definition of trust, 42, 67–8
 internal attribution, 163
 metaethics, 164–5
 in patient-physician relationship, 164
 as reactive attitude (*See* Reactive attitudes)
 Buchanan, A., 120, 155, 157, 183, 222–3
 Burge, T., 108–9

 Calnan, M., 85, 178, 187
 Care Quality Commission (CQC), 219
 Carnap, R., 22
 Case load, relevance of in quality of care debate, 219
 Certification, 213, 219. *See also* Accreditation of health care institutions
 China, decline of trust in, 15, 194
 Cognitive attitudes. *See* Attitudes
 Commitment
 admits of degrees, 140
 continuing medical education (CME) and, 137
 in contractual model, 159
 defined, 136–40
 directive authority and, 139–40
 expectation of, 45–6, 137–8
 experience and, 140
 general commitment, 136–7
 purposive commitment, 137
 refers to relationship between moral agents, 68–9, 70
 role in trust, 6–7, 45–6
 specific commitment, 137

- Commodification of medicine, 174, 193–6
- Competence
 generally, 125, 136, 141
 defined, 125–6
 expectation of, 45–6
 “know-how,” 125–6
 “know-what,” 125–6
 of physicians, 125–9, 130
 practical skills (*See Techné*)
 role of in restoring trust, 208
 in surgery, 128
 theoretical knowledge (*See Episteme*)
- Complexity
 of patient-physician relationship, 158–9
 reduced by trust, 150–1
- Conceptual analysis. *See* Definitions, types of; Definitions of trust; Pattern-based definitions
- Confidence
 etymology, 85
 features of pattern, 91
 in medical context, 86–7
 regulations and, 212–13
 restoring trust and, 212–13
 risk and, 86
 specific nature of confidence, 86
 versus trust, 3–4
- Consilience, 185–6
- Continuing medical education (CME), 137
- Contractual model
 generally, 148
 arguments against, 157
 commitment of agent in, 159
 definition of contract, 157
 ethical minimalism and, 159
 goals must be agreed upon in advance, 157–9
 lack of emotional component, 159–60
 possibility of termination of contract, 159–60
 versus regulatory model, 156
 transfer of trust from first-order to second-order objects, 160
- Corporate employees, physicians as, 196
- Cost containment
 in managed care, 220, 222
 professionalism and, 180
- Critical incident reporting systems (CIRS), 218
- Decline of trust
 generally, 202–3
 changed self-perception of patients, 176–7
 in China, 15, 194
 commodification of medicine, 174
 consequences of, 147–8, 151–6
 decline in quality of health care, 176
 directive authority, loss of, 174, 193–6, 203 (*See also* Directive authority)
 empirical evidence for, 11–17
 inability of standard definitions of trust to explain, 174–5
 in India, 15
 medical authority, loss of, 174, 187–93, 203 (*See also* Medical authority)
 merit-based authority, loss of, 174, 184–6, 203
 professional authority, loss of, 173–4, 177–84, 203 (*See also* Professionalism)
 reasons for, 173–7, 202–3, 208–9
 redundancy of trust, regulations creating, 155–6
 risk, unwillingness to accept, 174, 196–202, 203 (*See also* Risk)
 transfer of trust from first-order to second-order objects by regulations, 154–5
- Defensive medicine, 7
- Definiendum, 22, 34
- Definiens, 22, 34
- Definitions, types of
 overview, 21–5
 analytic definitions, 24–5, 26–9
 denotative definitions, 23–4, 25–6
 explicit definitions, 24
 extensions, 22–4
 intensions, 22, 24
 lexical definitions, 22

- pattern-based definition (*See*
 Pattern-based definitions)
 persuasive definitions, 22
 stipulative definitions, 22
 synonymous definitions, 22
 theoretical definitions, 22
 Definitions of trust
 absence of comprehensive
 definition, 27–8
 analysis of existing definitions, 25–30
 characteristic features of trust, 70–1
 conditions that comprehensive
 definition of trust must meet, 21,
 27–8, 30
 defining trustworthiness rather than
 trust, 27
 “discriminatory power,” 21
 “explanatory power,” 21
 inability of standard definitions to
 explain decline of trust, 174–5
 maximally comprehensive definition
 versus lowest common
 denominator, 89–93
 necessary conditions-based
 definition versus pattern-based
 definition, 35–7
 pattern-based definition (*See*
 Pattern-based definition of trust)
 problems of existing definitions, 26–9
 “thick” definition, 89
 Denialism, 210
 Denotative definitions. *See* Definitions,
 types of
 Dienhart, J., 163, 169
 Directive authority
 commitment and, 139–40
 commodification of medicine and,
 174, 193–6
 corporate employees, physicians
 as, 196
 loss of as reason for decline of trust,
 174, 193–6, 203
 private profit-oriented hospitals and,
 194–5
 Distrust
 expectations in, 73–4
 versus mistrust, 74–5
 versus trust, 72–5, 87
 Elster, J., 147
 Encapsulated theory of trust, 174
Episteme
 generally, 125, 128, 141
 in medical context, 130–1
 necessity for competence,
 135–6
 versus *phronesis*, 133–4
 translation of, 130–1
 Epistemic authority, 187
 Epistemic trust
 generally, 118
 acceptance principle, 108–9
 derivative authority and, 98–9,
 109, 118
 epistemic trustworthiness, 98–9,
 114–15
 epistemic vigilance, 98–9,
 112–14
 fundamental authority and, 109
 historical evolution of, 103–6
 inevitability of, 103–6
 justification of, 97–9, 107–10
 knowledge versus trust, 98
 language and, 103–6
 non-reductionist view, 108
 probability and, 107
 prudential reasons for, 106–7
 reductionist view, 107–8
 reliabilist approach, 117–18
 testimony and, 99–103 (*See also*
 Testimony)
 traditional versus street-level
 epistemology, 110–12
 Ethical minimalism, 159
 Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM), 117,
 191–3
 Expectation
 of commitment, 45–6, 137–8
 of competence, 45–6
 in confidence, 79–80, 85–6, 87–8
 future orientation of expectation in
 trust, 40, 44–5
 in hope, 87–8
 necessity of physician’s awareness of
 expectation in trust, 46–7
 positive value of expectation in
 trust, 45

- Expectation (cont.)
 specific nature of expectation in trust, 45
 type of expectation helps distinguish belief-in from hope, confidence, and trust, 44, 77–80, 85–86, 87–8
- External attribution, 163–4
- Faith versus trust, 77
- Faulkner, P., 99, 109, 113
- Francis Report, 154, 181–2
- Free choice
 affective attitudes and, 59–61
 attitude versus choice, 56–61
 cognitive attitudes and, 57–9
 descriptive sense, 62
 emergency treatment and, 66–7
 lack of alternatives, effect of, 65–6
 limitations of, 64–6
 in medical context, 63–4
 obligations, effect of, 65
 persuasion, effect of, 65
 trust as, 42, 54–6
 voluntary act defined, 62–3
- Frege, G., 22
- Friendship, trust and, 162
- Gallup, 11, 12
- General Medical Council (GMC), 181
- Gettier, E., 29
- Goold, S.D., 82
- Govier, T., 60–1
- “Grooming as gossip,” 104
- Hardin, R., 27, 44, 49, 55, 58–9, 60–1, 118, 162, 174
- Harris Poll, 11–12, 13–16
- Health and Social Care Act 2012, 219
- Health literacy, 208–9
- Homeopathy, 188
- Hope
 expectation and, 87–8
 features of pattern, 91
 “paradox of hope,” 80–2
 presumptive trust and, 82
 rationality and, 79
 versus trust, 3–4, 76–7, 80–2
- Imber, J.B., 188
- Independent practice, physicians in, 221–2
- India, decline of trust in, 15
- Instrumental utility of trust. *See* Usefulness of trust
- Internal attribution, 163
- International Covenant of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 200–1
- Ipsos MORI, 11, 12–15
- Irrationality, 77
- Jackson, F., 28, 33–4, 35, 37
- Jones, K., 55, 59–60, 61
- Justification of trust.
 Physicians, justification of trust in, 119–20, 141
 definition of justified, 77–8
 epistemic trust, 97–9, 107–10 (*See also* Epistemic trust)
 justification as essential feature of trust in physicians, 97
- Kant, I., 47
- Kohn, M., 46, 47, 151
- Lexical definitions. *See* Definitions, types of
- Licensing, 219. *See also* Accreditation of health care institutions
- Locke, J., 99, 107–8, 109
- Luhmann, N., 44, 51–2, 154, 163–4
- Managed care
 cost containment in, 220, 222
 defined, 220
 “derivative merit trust” in, 222–3
 “patient’s best interest” standard in, 221
 rationing of care in, 220–1
 restoring trust in, 220–3
- Medical Act 1858, 122
- Medical authority
 lack of progress and, 189–90
 loss of as reason for decline of trust, 174, 187–93, 203
 methodological bias and, 191

- overreliance on science and, 191–3
- versus professional authority, 188
- reductionism and, 190–1
- scientific medicine versus medical science, 190
- Medical science, 190
- “Merit trust”
 - generally, 120
 - accreditation and, 219
 - admitting errors and uncertainty and, 216
 - availability and, 214–15
 - case load data and, 219
 - critical incident reporting systems (CIRS) and, 218
 - “derivative merit trust,” 222–3
 - improving, 213–14, 223
 - individual level, trustworthiness at, 214–17
 - institutional level, trustworthiness at, 217–20, 223–4
 - justification of trust in physicians and, 119–20, 123–5, 141
 - loss of merit-based authority as reason for decline of trust, 174, 184–6, 203
 - shared decision-making and, 215–16
 - “time-out procedures” and, 217–18
 - trustworthiness, assessing, 184–6
- Metaethics, 164–5
- Metaphors of trust, 147
- Mid Staffordshire NHS Foundation Trust, 154, 181–2
- Mistrust
 - versus distrust, 74–5
 - expectations in, 73–4
 - versus trust, 72–5, 87
- Misztal, B.A., 86, 105
- Model case histories, 4–6, 125–6, 219
- Modern medicine, 187
- Moral value of trust
 - generally, 162–3, 170
 - betrayal resulting from breach of trust as justification of conceiving trust as moral concept, 162, 163–7
 - metaethics and, 164–5
 - obligation-ascription as justification of conceiving trust as moral concept, 169
 - reactive attitudes and, 164–7 (*See also* Reactive attitudes)
 - trustworthiness, moral obligation of, 168–9
- National Health Service (NHS), 181, 196, 219
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), 196
- “Near misses,” 218
- Nicomachean Ethics* (Aristotle), 128–9, 162
- Non-cognitive attitudes. *See* Attitudes
- Non-reductionism, 100, 108
- Non-trust, 73
- Nuremberg Code of 1949, 64
- Obligation-ascription thesis, 169
- O’Neill, O., 145, 154, 174
- Orthodox medicine, 187
- Outcome variables, 145–6
- Overdiagnosis, 216
- Overtreatment, 216
- Patient-physician relationship
 - betrayal resulting from breach of trust in, 164
 - characteristics of, 148, 156
 - commitment of agent in, 159
 - complexity of, 158–9
 - contractual model (*See* Contractual model)
 - emotional component of, 159–60
 - goals cannot be agreed upon in advance in, 157–9
 - possibility of termination, 159–60
 - as principal-agent relationship, 157, 194–5
 - transfer of trust from first-order to second-order objects in, 160
- Patient safety, improving, 213
- “Patient’s best interest”
 - in managed care, 221
 - professionalism and, 178–80

- Pattern-based definition of trust
 generally, 37–8, 175
 betrayal resulting from breach of trust, 42, 67–8
 characteristic features of trust, 70–1
 expectation and (*See* Expectation)
 family resemblance, 34–5, 37
 free choice and (*See* Free choice)
 maximally comprehensive definition
 versus lowest common denominator, 89–93
 versus necessary conditions-based definition, 35–7
 outline of, 39–40
 rationale for, 31
 relationship between moral agents and (*See* Relationship between moral agents)
 risk (*See* Risk)
 uncertainty (*See* Uncertainty)
- Pattern-based definitions
 clinical diagnosis by recognition of pattern, 31–3
 liberal society analogy, 33–4
 recognition of pattern, 33–7
- Pellegrino, E.D., 159, 180
- Persuasive definitions. *See* Definitions, types of
- Pettit, P., 79, 150
- Phronesis*
 generally, 125, 128, 141
 versus *episteme*, 133–4
 in medical context, 130, 132–5
 necessity for competence, 135–6
per se importance of, 134–5
 versus *techne*, 133–4
 translation of, 133
- Physicians, justification of trust in
 generally, 119–20, 141
 assessing trustworthiness, 123–5
 commitment of, 136–40 (*See also* Commitment)
 competence of, 125–9, 130 (*See also* Competence)
 definition of profession, 121
 “merit trust,” 119–20, 123–5, 141
 professionalism and, 119–23, 141
 “status trust,” 119–23, 141
- Post-modernism, 209–10
- Presumptive trust, 82
- Principal-agent relationship, 157, 194–5
- Private profit-oriented hospitals, 194–5
- Professionalism
 cost containment and, 180
 general criticism of construct, 178
 individualism and, 177–8
 justification of trust in physicians and, 119–23, 141
 loss of professional authority as reason for decline of trust, 173–4, 177–84, 203
 monopoly granted to physicians, 178
 paternalistic aspect of, 178–80
 “patient’s best interest” standard, 178–80
 professional authority versus medical authority, 188
 self-regulation, failure of, 180–2, 211–12
- Pyrrhonian scepticism, 117
- Quine, W., 34
- Rationality
 defined, 77
 hope and, 79
- Rationing of care, 220–1
- Rawls, J., 167
- Reactive attitudes, 164–7
 in everyday life, 166
 moral versus non-moral, 166–7
 relational versus non-relational, 164–7
- Recognitional illusion, 28
- Reductionism, 100, 107–8, 190–1
- Registration. *See* Accreditation of health care institutions; Certification
- Regulations
 generally, 151–3
 confidence and, 212–13
 do not reduce uncertainty, 153
 make trust redundant, 155–6
 patient safety, improving through, 213

- procedure taking precedence over outcome, 154
 regulatory model versus contractual model, 156
 self-regulation, failure of as reason for, 211–12
 transfer of trust from first-order to second-order objects by, 154–5
 trust, restoring through, 212–13
Relationship between moral agents
 commitment and, 68–9, 70
 competence and, 68–9, 70
 individual agents required, 68–70
 trust presupposing, 42–3
Reliability
 in medical context, 115–17
 multiple levels of, 117–18
 versus reliance, 83
Reliance
 expectation and, 79–80, 87–8
 features of pattern, 91
 is not agent-related, 84
 in medical context, 84–5
 misplaced reliance, 83–4
 occurrence of events, reliance on, 84
 overreliance on science, 191–3
 versus reliability, 83
 versus trust, 3–4, 76–7
Republic (Plato), 128, 193–4
Responsibility versus accountability, 135
Restoring trust
 generally, 207–11
 accreditation and, 219
 admitting errors and uncertainty and, 216
 availability and, 214–15
 case load, role of, 219
 competence and, 208
 confidence and, 212–13
 critical incident reporting systems (CIRS) and, 218
 failure of procedures, 219–20
 at individual level, 214–17
 at institutional level, 217–20, 223–4
 in managed care, 220–3 (*See also* Managed care)
- “merit trust,” 213–14, 223
 patient safety, improving, 213
 regulations, through, 212–13
 (*See also* Regulations)
 self-regulation, failure of, 211–12
 shared decision-making and, 215–16
 “time-out procedures” and, 217–18
 Rhodes, R., 26, 122
 Right to health, 200–1
The Rise and Fall of Modern Medicine (Le Fanu), 189
Risk
 assessment of risk, 54
 confidence, handling of, 86
 defining risk, 52–3
 fault, risk without, 200–1
 as key feature of pattern of trust, 48–9
 moral hazard and, 51–2
 no increase in risk, 197–200
 social interdependence and, 198–9
 trust, taking of, 86
 trust presupposing, 8, 41
 types of risk, 53–4
 versus uncertainty, 52, 197
 unwillingness to accept risk as reason for decline of trust, 174, 196–202, 203

 Scientific medicine, 190
 Self-perception of patients, 176–7
 Self-regulation, failure of, 180–2, 211–12
 Shipman, H., 178, 181–2
 Shore, D.A., 8, 216
 Simpson, T., 28–9, 58
 Social contract, 122
 Social interdependence, 198–9
 “Status trust.” *See also* Professionalism interpreted as faith, 177
 as justification of trust in physicians, 119–23, 141
 Stipulative definitions. *See* Definitions, types of
 Strawson, P.F., 24, 166
 Synonymous definitions. *See* Definitions, types of
 Sztompka, P., 44–5, 70, 101, 136

Techné

- generally, 125, 128, 131–2, 141
- in medical context, 130
- necessity for competence, 135–6
- versus *phronesis*, 133–4
- translation of, 131

Testimony

- defined, 99–103
- as imparting of information, 99–100
- non-verbal, 100
- rationality of, 111
- responsibility of, 111
- as second-hand information, 100–1
- types of knowledge involved, 101–3

Theoretical definitions. *See* Definitions, types of

- “Thick” definition of trust, 89
- “Time-out procedures,” 217–18

Transaction costs, 148–9

Transparency, 220

Trust

- agent-related nature of, 44, 80
- belief distinguished, 3–4, 76–7 (*See also* Belief)
- betrayal resulting from breach of (*See* Betrayal resulting from breach of trust)
- changing views on, 6–8
- characteristic features of, 70–1
 - as choice versus attitude, 56–61
 - in commitment, 6–7, 45–6 (*See also* Commitment)
 - in competence, 6–7, 45–6 (*See also* Competence)
- conditions for comprehensive definition of, 21, 70–71
- confidence distinguished, 3–4, 76–7 (*See also* Confidence)
- contractual model as alternative (*See* Contractual model)
- decline of (*See* Decline of trust)
- definitions of (*See* Definitions of trust)
- “derivative merit trust,” 222–3
- distrust distinguished, 72–5 (*See also* Distrust)
- encapsulated theory of, 174
- epistemic trust (*See* Epistemic trust)

etymology of, 85

everyday use of, 3–4

examples of, 3

as (specific) expectation, 44 (*See also* Expectation)

faith distinguished, 77

folk use of term, 3–4, 11–17, 35

as free choice, 42, 54–6 (*See also* Free choice)

friendship and, 162

hope distinguished, 3–4, 76–7, 80–2 (*See also* Hope)instrumental utility of (*See* Instrumental utility of trust)justification of (*See* Justification of trust)

maximally comprehensive definition versus lowest common denominator, 89–93

“merit trust” (*See* “Merit trust”)

metaphors of, 147

mistrust distinguished, 72–5 (*See also* Mistrust)moral value of (*See* Moral value of trust)

multiple levels of, 117–18

as obligation-ascription, 169

pattern-based definition of (*See* Pattern-based definition of trust)

presumptive trust, 82

relationship between moral agents, trust presupposing, 42–3 (*See also* Relationship between moral agents)reliance distinguished, 3–4, 76–7 (*See also* Reliance)restoring (*See* Restoring trust)risk, trust presupposing, 8, 41 (*See also* Risk)“status trust” (*See* “Status trust”)

versus trustworthiness, 27

uncertainty, trust presupposing, 8, 41 (*See also* Uncertainty)usefulness of (*See* Usefulness of trust)

Trustworthiness

betrayal resulting from breach of trust and, 168–9

- epistemic trustworthiness, 98–9,
 114–15
 at individual level, 214–17
 inducement by trust, 149–50
 at institutional level, 217–20, 223–4
 “merit trust” and, 184–6
 moral obligation of, 168–9
 physicians, assessing trustworthiness
 of, 123–5
 versus trust, 27
- Uncertainty
 abstaining from action as strategy to
 cope with, 50
 admitting uncertainty, 216
 contractual model and, 50
 gambling as strategy to handle, 50–1
 as key feature of pattern of
 trust, 48–9
 regulations do not reduce
 uncertainty, 153
 risk compared with, 52, 197
 strategies to cope with, 50
 trust as strategy to cope with, 148–9
 trust presupposes, 8, 41
- Universal Declaration of Human
 Rights, 200–1
- Usefulness of trust, 148–51
 generally, 145, 147,
 160–1
 complexity, reducing, 150–1
 consequences of decline of trust,
 151–6 (*See also* Decline of trust)
 correlation versus causation, 146–7
 empirical evidence, lack of, 145
 outcome variables and, 145–6
 risk, helps coping with, 148–9
 transaction costs, reducing, 148–9
 trustworthiness, inducing, 149–50
 uncertainty, helps coping with,
 148–9
- Veatch, R.M., 178, 180
- Wittgenstein, L., 22, 34–5, 37, 90
- World Health Organisation (WHO),
 200–1
- Wrigley, A., 21, 60, 75, 78, 80–2, 159,
 200–1
- “Wrong surgery,” 217