

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2017
Volume IV

Pages 1587-2196

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English. Volumes comprising one or more complete cases contain a cumulative list of published disputes. The cumulative list for cases that cover more than one volume is to be found in the first volume for that case.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2017:IV

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108482912
DOI: 10.1017/9781108610087

© World Trade Organization 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-48291-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
United States - Certain Methodologies and their Application to Anti-Dumping Proceedings Involving China (WT/DS471)	
Report of the Panel	1589
China - Anti-Dumping Measures on Imports of Cellulose Pulp from Canada (WT/DS483)	
Report of the Panel	1961
Cumulative List of Published Disputes	2167

**UNITED STATES - CERTAIN METHODOLOGIES AND
 THEIR APPLICATION TO ANTI-DUMPING
 PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING CHINA**

Report of the Panel

BCI deleted, as indicated [[BCI]]

WT/DS471/R and Add.1

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
 on 22 May 2017
 as Modified by the Appellate Body Report*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1606
1.1 Complaint by China	1606
1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition	1606
1.3 Panel Proceedings.....	1607
1.3.1 General.....	1607
1.3.2 Additional Working Procedures on Business Confidential Information (BCI)	1607
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS.....	1607
3. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1609
4. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES	1614
5. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES	1614
6. INTERIM REVIEW	1614
6.1 China's Claims Concerning the USDOC's Use of the WA-T Methodology under Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	1615
6.2 Whether the Six Administrative Review Determinations Introduced at the Panel's First Substantive Meeting with the Parties Are Within the Panel's Terms of Reference.....	1619

Report of the Panel

6.3	Whether the Single Rate Presumption is, as such and as Applied in 38 Determinations, Inconsistent with Articles 6.10, 9.2, and the Second Sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	1620
6.4	China's Claims under Articles 6.1 and 6.8, Paragraphs 1 and 7 of Annex II, and the First Sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1624
7.	FINDINGS	1626
7.1	China's Claims Concerning the USDOC'S Use of the WA-T Methodology under Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1626
7.1.1	Provisions at issue.....	1626
7.1.2	Factual background.....	1627
7.1.3	China's claim under the pattern clause of Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	1630
7.1.3.1	Main arguments of the parties.....	1630
7.1.3.2	Main arguments of the third parties	1637
7.1.3.3	Evaluation by the Panel	1640
7.1.4	China's claim under the explanation clause of Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1679
7.1.4.1	Main arguments of the parties.....	1679
7.1.4.2	Main arguments of the third parties	1680
7.1.4.3	Evaluation by the Panel	1682
7.1.5	China's claim under the second sentence of Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement with respect to the USDOC's application of the WA-T methodology to <i>all</i> export transactions in the three challenged investigations	1689
7.1.5.1	Main arguments of the parties.....	1689
7.1.5.2	Main arguments of the third parties	1692
7.1.5.3	Evaluation by the Panel	1693
7.1.6	China's claim under Article 2.4.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement concerning the USDOC's use of zeroing in the application of the WA-T methodology	1699
7.1.6.1	Main arguments of the parties.....	1700
7.1.6.2	Main arguments of the third parties	1701
7.1.6.3	Evaluation by the Panel	1703
7.2	Use of the WA-T Methodology in the Third Administrative Review in PET Film: Alleged Violation of Article 9.3 of	

 US - Anti-Dumping Methodologies (China)

	the Anti-Dumping Agreement and Article VI:2 of the GATT 1994	1714
	7.2.1 Provisions at issue	1714
	7.2.2 Factual background	1714
	7.2.3 Main arguments of the parties	1715
	7.2.3.1 China	1715
	7.2.3.2 United States	1716
	7.2.4 Main arguments of the third parties	1717
	7.2.4.1 European Union	1717
	7.2.4.2 Japan	1717
	7.2.5 Evaluation by the Panel	1718
7.3	Whether the Six Administrative Review Determinations Introduced at the Panel's First Substantive Meeting with the Parties Are Within the Panel's Terms of Reference	1720
	7.3.1 Introduction	1720
	7.3.2 Whether China's panel request covers the six determinations	1722
	7.3.3 Whether the six determinations should have been subject to consultations	1729
	7.3.4 Conclusion	1730
7.4	Whether the Single Rate Presumption is, as Such and as Applied in 38 Determinations, Inconsistent with Articles 6.10, 9.2, and the Second Sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	1731
	7.4.1 Introduction	1731
	7.4.2 Provisions at issue	1731
	7.4.3 Main arguments of the parties	1732
	7.4.3.1 China	1732
	7.4.3.2 United States	1736
	7.4.4 Main arguments of the third parties	1739
	7.4.4.1 European Union	1739
	7.4.4.2 Viet Nam	1740
	7.4.5 Evaluation by the Panel	1740
	7.4.5.1 Whether the Single Rate Presumption constitutes a measure that can be challenged as such in WTO dispute settlement	1741
	7.4.5.2 Whether the Single Rate Presumption is, as such and as applied in 38	

Report of the Panel

	determinations, inconsistent with Articles 6.10 and 9.2 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1760
7.4.5.3	China's as such and as applied claims under the second sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement	1780
7.4.5.4	Overall conclusion	1782
7.5	China's Claims Under Articles 6.1 and 6.8, Paragraphs 1 and 7 of Annex II, and the First Sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1782
7.5.1	Introduction.....	1782
7.5.2	Provisions at issue.....	1783
7.5.3	Main arguments of the parties.....	1785
7.5.3.1	China.....	1785
7.5.3.2	United States	1789
7.5.4	Main arguments of the third parties	1793
7.5.4.1	European Union	1793
7.5.4.2	Brazil.....	1794
7.5.4.3	Viet Nam.....	1794
7.5.5	Evaluation by the Panel	1795
7.5.5.1	Whether the AFA Norm is inconsistent, as such, with Article 6.8 and paragraph 7 of Annex II to the Anti-Dumping Agreement.....	1795
7.5.5.2	China's as applied claims under Articles 6.1 and 6.8, paragraphs 1 and 7 of Annex II, and the first sentence of Article 9.4 of the Anti-Dumping Agreement concerning 30 determinations ...	1822
8.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1837

LIST OF ANNEXES

ANNEX A

WORKING PROCEDURES OF THE PANEL

Contents		Page
Annex A-1	Working Procedures of the Panel	1841
Annex A-2	Additional Working Procedures of the Panel concerning Business Confidential Information	1848

ANNEX B

ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES

CHINA

Contents		Page
Annex B-1	First part of the executive summary of the arguments of China	1851
Annex B-2	Second part of the executive summary of the arguments of China	1865

UNITED STATES

Contents		Page
Annex B-3	First part of the executive summary of the arguments of the United States	1880
Annex B-4	Second part of the executive summary of the arguments of the United States	1898

Report of the Panel

ANNEX C

ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES

Contents		Page
Annex C-1	Executive summary of the arguments of Brazil	1917
Annex C-2	Executive summary of the arguments of Canada	1923
Annex C-3	Executive summary of the arguments of the European Union	1926
Annex C-4	Executive summary of the arguments of Japan	1932
Annex C-5	Executive summary of the arguments of Korea	1940
Annex C-6	Executive summary of the arguments of Norway	1948
Annex C-7	Executive summary of the written submission of Turkey	1952
Annex C-8	Executive summary of the arguments of Viet Nam	1956