

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
<b>Indonesia - Measures Concerning the Importation of Chicken Meat and Chicken Products (WT/DS484)</b>	
Report of the Panel .....	3769
<b>United States - Measures Concerning the Importation, Marketing and Sale of Tuna and Tuna Products (WT/DS381/ARB)</b>	
Decision by the Arbitrator .....	4129
<b>United States - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Measures on Large Residential Washers from Korea (WT/DS464/RPT)</b>	
Award of the Arbitrator .....	4309
<b>Cumulative List of Published Disputes.....</b>	<b>4343</b>

**INDONESIA - MEASURES CONCERNING THE  
 IMPORTATION OF CHICKEN MEAT  
 AND CHICKEN PRODUCTS**

**Report of the Panel**  
 WT/DS484/R and Add.1

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body  
 on 22 November 2017*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION .....	3785
1.1 Complaint by Brazil .....	3785
1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition .....	3785
1.3 Panel Proceedings.....	3786
1.3.1 General.....	3786
1.3.2 Preliminary ruling .....	3787
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS.....	3787
2.1 The Measures at Issue .....	3787
2.1.1 Alleged general prohibition.....	3787
2.1.2 Specific restrictions and prohibitions.....	3789
2.2 Other Factual Aspects.....	3790
3. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3791
4. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES .....	3792
5. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES .....	3792
6. INTERIM REVIEW .....	3793
6.1 Introduction .....	3793
6.2 Preliminary Ruling: Whether the Alleged General Prohibition is Within the Panel's Terms of Reference.....	3793
6.3 Order of Analysis: Whether Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture Are Mutually Exclusive.....	3793
6.4 Individual Measure 1: Positive List Requirement .....	3794
6.5 Individual Measure 2: Intended Use Requirement .....	3794

Report of the Panel

6.6	Individual Measure 5: Halal Labelling Requirements .....	3799
6.7	Individual Measure 6: Transportation Requirement .....	3800
6.8	Claims Relating to the Alleged General Prohibition.....	3800
6.9	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	3802
7.	FINDINGS .....	3802
7.1	Preliminary Matters.....	3803
7.1.1	Requests to join the Panel proceedings as third parties after the ten-day period .....	3803
7.1.2	Preliminary ruling request by Indonesia .....	3805
7.1.2.1	Indonesia's request .....	3805
7.1.2.2	The Panel's conclusions and reasoning .....	3806
7.2	Panel's Order of Analysis.....	3819
7.2.1	General.....	3819
7.2.2	Order of analysis in respect of claims against the general prohibition and against individual measures....	3819
7.2.3	Order of analysis of claims .....	3820
7.2.3.1	Introduction.....	3820
7.2.3.2	Whether Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture are mutually exclusive .....	3820
7.2.4	Order of analysis of amended measures .....	3825
7.2.4.1	Introduction.....	3825
7.2.4.2	Jurisdiction with respect to the measures as enacted through the legal instruments adopted after the panel establishment .....	3826
7.2.4.3	Scope and sequence of the Panel's analysis.....	3827
7.3	Background on the Measures at Issue .....	3830
7.4	Individual Measure 1: Positive List Requirement .....	3832
7.4.1	Introduction.....	3832
7.4.2	Panel's analysis of the positive list requirement as enacted through MoA 58/2015 and MoT 05/2016 .....	3834
7.4.2.1	Preliminary issue of fact – whether prepared or preserved chicken meat can be imported into Indonesia.....	3834
7.4.2.2	Whether the positive list requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 .....	3835

		Indonesia - Chicken
	7.4.2.3	Whether the positive list requirement is justified under Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994 ..... 3837
	7.4.2.4	Whether the positive list requirement is inconsistent with Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture..... 3848
	7.4.3	Panel's analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016..... 3848
	7.4.3.1	Whether the positive list requirement has expired by virtue of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016..... 3849
	7.4.3.2	Whether the positive list requirement as enacted through the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016 is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2. of the Agreement on Agriculture ..... 3851
	7.4.4	Conclusion ..... 3851
7.5		Individual Measure 2: Intended Use Requirement ..... 3852
	7.5.1	Introduction..... 3852
	7.5.2	Analysis of the intended use requirement as enacted through MoA 58/2015..... 3854
	7.5.2.1	Measure at issue and jurisdiction ..... 3855
	7.5.2.2	Whether Article III:4 of the GATT 1994 is applicable..... 3855
	7.5.2.3	Whether the intended use requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994..... 3859
	7.5.2.4	Whether the intended use requirement is justified under Article XX(b) or (d) of the GATT 1994 ..... 3860
	7.5.2.5	Whether the intended use requirement is inconsistent with Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture..... 3875
	7.5.3	Analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 ... 3875
	7.5.3.1	Provisions at issue ..... 3876
	7.5.3.2	Whether the intended use requirement has expired..... 3878
	7.5.3.3	Whether the intended use requirement, as enacted through the relevant provisions of

Report of the Panel

	MoA 34/2016, is WTO-inconsistent as claimed by Brazil .....	3879
7.5.4	Conclusion .....	3894
7.6	Individual Measure 3: Certain Aspects of Indonesia's Import Licensing Regime.....	3895
7.6.1	Introduction.....	3895
7.6.2	Overview of Indonesia's import licensing regime.....	3896
7.6.3	Order of analysis.....	3897
7.6.4	Analysis of the positive list requirement and the intended use requirement as specific aspects of Indonesia's licensing regime .....	3900
7.6.5	Analysis of the application windows, validity periods and fixed licence terms.....	3902
7.6.5.1	Introduction.....	3902
7.6.5.2	Panel's analysis of the application windows, validity periods and the fixed licence terms as enacted through MoA 58/2015 and MoT 05/2016 .....	3906
7.6.5.3	Analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016 .....	3924
7.6.5.4	Conclusion .....	3928
7.6.6	Discretionary import licensing.....	3929
7.6.6.1	Introduction.....	3929
7.6.6.2	Panel's jurisdiction .....	3929
7.6.6.3	WTO consistency of MoT's power to determine the amount of imported goods.....	3932
7.6.6.4	Conclusion .....	3936
7.6.7	Other aspects of Indonesia's import licensing regime...	3937
7.6.7.1	Denial of import licences to secure price stabilization .....	3937
7.6.7.2	Additional restrictions on "certain products" and "processed products".....	3937
7.6.8	Overall conclusion .....	3938
7.7	Individual Measure 4: Undue Delay in the Approval of the Veterinary Health Certificate .....	3939
7.7.1	Introduction.....	3939
7.7.2	Relevant facts.....	3939
7.7.2.1	Background to the relevant SPS approval procedure .....	3939

		Indonesia - Chicken
	7.7.2.2	Brazil's request for the approval of a veterinary health certificate ..... 3941
	7.7.3	Whether Indonesia has acted in a manner inconsistent with Article 8 and Annex C(1)(a) of the SPS Agreement ..... 3943
	7.7.3.1	Whether the approval of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken is subject to Annex C of the SPS Agreement... 3945
	7.7.3.2	Whether there has been a delay in Indonesia's consideration of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken ..... 3945
	7.7.3.3	Whether the delay in Indonesia's consideration of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken is undue ..... 3947
	7.7.4	Conclusion ..... 3949
7.8		Individual Measure 5: Halal Labelling Requirements ..... 3949
	7.8.1	Introduction ..... 3949
	7.8.2	Factual background ..... 3950
	7.8.3	Whether Indonesia's enforcement of halal labelling requirements is inconsistent with Article III:4 of the GATT 1994 ..... 3951
	7.8.3.1	Whether the enforcement of the grace period for the application of certain aspects of Law 33/2014 is inconsistent with Article III:4 ..... 3952
	7.8.3.2	Whether the exemption from the halal labelling requirement for food directly sold and packed before the buyer in small number is inconsistent with Article III:4 ..... 3955
	7.8.4	Conclusion ..... 3959
7.9		Individual Measure 6: Transportation Requirement ..... 3959
	7.9.1	Introduction ..... 3959
	7.9.2	Whether the direct transportation requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture ..... 3961
	7.9.2.1	Whether the direct transportation requirement mandates non-stop shipment without transit or transshipment ..... 3961

Report of the Panel

---

	7.9.2.2	Whether the meaning of the direct transportation requirement is so unclear as to constitute an import restriction inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture .....	3964
	7.9.3	Conclusion .....	3966
7.10		Claims Relating to the Alleged General Prohibition.....	3967
	7.10.1	Introduction.....	3967
	7.10.2	Brazil's description of the measure .....	3968
	7.10.2.1	Individual measures as constitutive elements .....	3969
	7.10.2.2	Self-sufficiency requirement.....	3970
	7.10.2.3	Restrictions on imports of essential goods...	3971
	7.10.2.4	Combined operation.....	3973
	7.10.2.5	Overriding objective .....	3973
	7.10.3	Evidence and argument submitted by Brazil .....	3974
	7.10.3.1	Trade data .....	3974
	7.10.3.2	Written nature of the constitutive elements .....	3975
	7.10.3.3	Elements of distinction between individual measures and single measure .....	3975
	7.10.3.4	Evidence that all individual elements pursue the same single objective.....	3976
	7.10.4	Panel's assessment.....	3976
	7.10.4.1	Attribution.....	3977
	7.10.4.2	Precise content .....	3977
	7.10.4.3	Whether Brazil has proven the specific nature of the measure in terms of future application.....	3984
	7.10.5	Conclusion .....	3985
7.11		Separate Opinion of One Panelist .....	3985
	7.11.1	Introduction.....	3985
	7.11.2	What are the amended measures? .....	3986
	7.11.3	Jurisdiction of the Panel over the amended measures...	3987
	7.11.4	Relation between a panel's jurisdiction and an allegation of expiry .....	3988
	7.11.5	Conclusions.....	3989
8.		CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3991