

WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

Dispute Settlement Reports

2017
Volume VIII

Pages 3767-4372

THE WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT REPORTS

The *Dispute Settlement Reports* of the World Trade Organization (the "WTO") include panel and Appellate Body reports, as well as arbitration awards, in disputes concerning the rights and obligations of WTO Members under the provisions of the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization*. The *Dispute Settlement Reports* are available in English. Volumes comprising one or more complete cases contain a cumulative list of published disputes. The cumulative list for cases that cover more than one volume is to be found in the first volume for that case.

This volume may be cited as DSR 2017:VIII

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48287-5 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2017
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108482875
DOI: 10.1017/9781108609906

© World Trade Organization 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-108-48287-5 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Indonesia - Measures Concerning the Importation of Chicken Meat and Chicken Products (WT/DS484)	
Report of the Panel	3769
United States - Measures Concerning the Importation, Marketing and Sale of Tuna and Tuna Products (WT/DS381/ARB)	
Decision by the Arbitrator	4129
United States - Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Measures on Large Residential Washers from Korea (WT/DS464/RPT)	
Award of the Arbitrator	4309
Cumulative List of Published Disputes.....	4343

**INDONESIA - MEASURES CONCERNING THE
 IMPORTATION OF CHICKEN MEAT
 AND CHICKEN PRODUCTS**

Report of the Panel
 WT/DS484/R and Add.1

*Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
 on 22 November 2017*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3785
1.1 Complaint by Brazil	3785
1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition	3785
1.3 Panel Proceedings.....	3786
1.3.1 General.....	3786
1.3.2 Preliminary ruling	3787
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS.....	3787
2.1 The Measures at Issue	3787
2.1.1 Alleged general prohibition.....	3787
2.1.2 Specific restrictions and prohibitions.....	3789
2.2 Other Factual Aspects.....	3790
3. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3791
4. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES	3792
5. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES	3792
6. INTERIM REVIEW	3793
6.1 Introduction	3793
6.2 Preliminary Ruling: Whether the Alleged General Prohibition is Within the Panel's Terms of Reference.....	3793
6.3 Order of Analysis: Whether Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture Are Mutually Exclusive.....	3793
6.4 Individual Measure 1: Positive List Requirement	3794
6.5 Individual Measure 2: Intended Use Requirement	3794

Report of the Panel

6.6	Individual Measure 5: Halal Labelling Requirements	3799
6.7	Individual Measure 6: Transportation Requirement	3800
6.8	Claims Relating to the Alleged General Prohibition.....	3800
6.9	Conclusions and Recommendations.....	3802
7.	FINDINGS	3802
7.1	Preliminary Matters.....	3803
7.1.1	Requests to join the Panel proceedings as third parties after the ten-day period	3803
7.1.2	Preliminary ruling request by Indonesia	3805
7.1.2.1	Indonesia's request	3805
7.1.2.2	The Panel's conclusions and reasoning	3806
7.2	Panel's Order of Analysis.....	3819
7.2.1	General.....	3819
7.2.2	Order of analysis in respect of claims against the general prohibition and against individual measures....	3819
7.2.3	Order of analysis of claims	3820
7.2.3.1	Introduction.....	3820
7.2.3.2	Whether Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture are mutually exclusive	3820
7.2.4	Order of analysis of amended measures	3825
7.2.4.1	Introduction.....	3825
7.2.4.2	Jurisdiction with respect to the measures as enacted through the legal instruments adopted after the panel establishment	3826
7.2.4.3	Scope and sequence of the Panel's analysis.....	3827
7.3	Background on the Measures at Issue	3830
7.4	Individual Measure 1: Positive List Requirement	3832
7.4.1	Introduction.....	3832
7.4.2	Panel's analysis of the positive list requirement as enacted through MoA 58/2015 and MoT 05/2016	3834
7.4.2.1	Preliminary issue of fact – whether prepared or preserved chicken meat can be imported into Indonesia.....	3834
7.4.2.2	Whether the positive list requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994	3835

		Indonesia - Chicken
	7.4.2.3	Whether the positive list requirement is justified under Article XX(d) of the GATT 1994 3837
	7.4.2.4	Whether the positive list requirement is inconsistent with Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture..... 3848
	7.4.3	Panel's analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016..... 3848
	7.4.3.1	Whether the positive list requirement has expired by virtue of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016..... 3849
	7.4.3.2	Whether the positive list requirement as enacted through the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016 is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2. of the Agreement on Agriculture 3851
	7.4.4	Conclusion 3851
7.5		Individual Measure 2: Intended Use Requirement 3852
	7.5.1	Introduction 3852
	7.5.2	Analysis of the intended use requirement as enacted through MoA 58/2015..... 3854
	7.5.2.1	Measure at issue and jurisdiction 3855
	7.5.2.2	Whether Article III:4 of the GATT 1994 is applicable..... 3855
	7.5.2.3	Whether the intended use requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994..... 3859
	7.5.2.4	Whether the intended use requirement is justified under Article XX(b) or (d) of the GATT 1994 3860
	7.5.2.5	Whether the intended use requirement is inconsistent with Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture..... 3875
	7.5.3	Analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 ... 3875
	7.5.3.1	Provisions at issue 3876
	7.5.3.2	Whether the intended use requirement has expired..... 3878
	7.5.3.3	Whether the intended use requirement, as enacted through the relevant provisions of

Report of the Panel

	MoA 34/2016, is WTO-inconsistent as claimed by Brazil	3879
7.5.4	Conclusion	3894
7.6	Individual Measure 3: Certain Aspects of Indonesia's Import Licensing Regime.....	3895
7.6.1	Introduction.....	3895
7.6.2	Overview of Indonesia's import licensing regime.....	3896
7.6.3	Order of analysis.....	3897
7.6.4	Analysis of the positive list requirement and the intended use requirement as specific aspects of Indonesia's licensing regime	3900
7.6.5	Analysis of the application windows, validity periods and fixed licence terms.....	3902
7.6.5.1	Introduction.....	3902
7.6.5.2	Panel's analysis of the application windows, validity periods and the fixed licence terms as enacted through MoA 58/2015 and MoT 05/2016	3906
7.6.5.3	Analysis of the relevant provisions of MoA 34/2016 and MoT 59/2016	3924
7.6.5.4	Conclusion	3928
7.6.6	Discretionary import licensing.....	3929
7.6.6.1	Introduction.....	3929
7.6.6.2	Panel's jurisdiction	3929
7.6.6.3	WTO consistency of MoT's power to determine the amount of imported goods.....	3932
7.6.6.4	Conclusion	3936
7.6.7	Other aspects of Indonesia's import licensing regime...	3937
7.6.7.1	Denial of import licences to secure price stabilization	3937
7.6.7.2	Additional restrictions on "certain products" and "processed products".....	3937
7.6.8	Overall conclusion	3938
7.7	Individual Measure 4: Undue Delay in the Approval of the Veterinary Health Certificate	3939
7.7.1	Introduction.....	3939
7.7.2	Relevant facts.....	3939
7.7.2.1	Background to the relevant SPS approval procedure	3939

7.7.2.2	Brazil's request for the approval of a veterinary health certificate	3941
7.7.3	Whether Indonesia has acted in a manner inconsistent with Article 8 and Annex C(1)(a) of the SPS Agreement	3943
7.7.3.1	Whether the approval of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken is subject to Annex C of the SPS Agreement...	3945
7.7.3.2	Whether there has been a delay in Indonesia's consideration of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken	3945
7.7.3.3	Whether the delay in Indonesia's consideration of Brazil's proposed veterinary health certificate for chicken is undue	3947
7.7.4	Conclusion	3949
7.8	Individual Measure 5: Halal Labelling Requirements	3949
7.8.1	Introduction	3949
7.8.2	Factual background	3950
7.8.3	Whether Indonesia's enforcement of halal labelling requirements is inconsistent with Article III:4 of the GATT 1994	3951
7.8.3.1	Whether the enforcement of the grace period for the application of certain aspects of Law 33/2014 is inconsistent with Article III:4.....	3952
7.8.3.2	Whether the exemption from the halal labelling requirement for food directly sold and packed before the buyer in small number is inconsistent with Article III:4.....	3955
7.8.4	Conclusion	3959
7.9	Individual Measure 6: Transportation Requirement.....	3959
7.9.1	Introduction	3959
7.9.2	Whether the direct transportation requirement is inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture	3961
7.9.2.1	Whether the direct transportation requirement mandates non-stop shipment without transit or transshipment.....	3961

Report of the Panel

	7.9.2.2	Whether the meaning of the direct transportation requirement is so unclear as to constitute an import restriction inconsistent with Article XI of the GATT 1994 and Article 4.2 of the Agreement on Agriculture	3964
	7.9.3	Conclusion	3966
7.10		Claims Relating to the Alleged General Prohibition.....	3967
	7.10.1	Introduction.....	3967
	7.10.2	Brazil's description of the measure	3968
	7.10.2.1	Individual measures as constitutive elements	3969
	7.10.2.2	Self-sufficiency requirement.....	3970
	7.10.2.3	Restrictions on imports of essential goods...	3971
	7.10.2.4	Combined operation.....	3973
	7.10.2.5	Overriding objective	3973
	7.10.3	Evidence and argument submitted by Brazil	3974
	7.10.3.1	Trade data	3974
	7.10.3.2	Written nature of the constitutive elements	3975
	7.10.3.3	Elements of distinction between individual measures and single measure	3975
	7.10.3.4	Evidence that all individual elements pursue the same single objective.....	3976
	7.10.4	Panel's assessment.....	3976
	7.10.4.1	Attribution.....	3977
	7.10.4.2	Precise content	3977
	7.10.4.3	Whether Brazil has proven the specific nature of the measure in terms of future application.....	3984
	7.10.5	Conclusion	3985
7.11		Separate Opinion of One Panelist	3985
	7.11.1	Introduction.....	3985
	7.11.2	What are the amended measures?	3986
	7.11.3	Jurisdiction of the Panel over the amended measures...	3987
	7.11.4	Relation between a panel's jurisdiction and an allegation of expiry	3988
	7.11.5	Conclusions.....	3989
8.		CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3991