

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xviii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
1 Two Steps Forward, One Step Back: On the Decline of Expressive Freedoms under the Roberts and Rehnquist Courts	1
1.1 The Contraction under the Roberts and Rehnquist Courts of the Government's First Amendment Duty to Facilitate Private Speech Related to Democratic Self-Governance	3
1.2 The Problem Defined: Could the Selma March Take Place Today?	7
1.3 The Ever-Expanding First Amendment Universe Theory Reconsidered: The Important, but Underappreciated, Growing Relationship of Property to Speech	9
1.4 The Evolution in First Amendment Theory and Doctrine from Facilitating Speech to Constraining Judicial Discretion in First Amendment Cases	16
1.5 Tracing the Evolving Boundaries of the First Amendment: An Overview of the Arguments and Proofs That Follow	18
1.6 Conclusion	20
2 The Public Forum Doctrine and Reduced Access to Government Property for Speech Activity	22
2.1 Facilitating Democratic Deliberation by Providing Would-Be Speakers with Access to Public Property for Expressive Activities: A Positive First Amendment Right of Access to Government Property under the Warren and Burger Courts	27
2.2 The Public Forum and Time, Place, and Manner Doctrines Vest Government with Broad Discretion to Limit or Prohibit Speech Activity on Public Property	31

2.3	Toward a Renewed Commitment to Making Public Space Reliably Available for Speech Activity	35
2.4	Conclusion	45
3	The First Amendment As a Source of Positive Rights: The Warren Court and First Amendment Easements to Private Property	47
3.1	The Warren Court's Recognition of Free Speech Easements to Private Property under the First Amendment	52
3.2	In Defense of <i>Logan Valley</i> : The Affirmative Case for Deploying the First Amendment to Create Mandatory Free Speech Easements to Certain Kinds of Tangible and Intangible Private Property	63
3.3	The Profound Dangers of Casting a Blind Eye on the Unlimited Power of Social Media and Internet Search Engine Providers to Censor Speech: Why Continued Reliance on Self-Regulation Presents a Clear and Present Danger to the Process of Democratic Deliberation – and Hence to Democratic Self-Government Itself	69
3.4	Conclusion	73
4	Whistleblowing Speech and Democratic Accountability: The Growing Problem of Reduced First Amendment Protection for Government Employee Speech	75
4.1	The Warren and Burger Courts' Contingent Protection of Government Employees As Citizen-Participants in the Process of Democratic Self-Government	78
4.2	Reduced Protection for Government Workers' Speech Activity under the Rehnquist and Roberts Courts	82
4.3	The Paradox of Conferring Comprehensive First Amendment Protection against Government Employers Retaliating against a Government Employee Based on the Employee's Partisan Affiliation	85
4.4	The Need to Provide Enhanced Protection to Government Workers Who Facilitate Democratic Accountability by Engaging in Whistleblowing Activity	88
4.5	Conclusion	93
5	Shedding Their Constitutional Rights at the Schoolhouse Gate: The Decline of Freedom of Speech for Students and Teachers in the Nation's Public Schools, Colleges, and Universities	95
5.1	The First Amendment As a Guarantor of Academic Freedom in Public Colleges and Universities	99

<i>Contents</i>		ix
5.2	One Step Forward, Two Steps Back: The Supreme Court's Jurisprudential Efforts to Extend Academic Freedom Principles to Primary, Middle, and Secondary Public Schools	103
5.3	The Difficulty of Calibrating "Disruption" to the Learning Environment As a Legal Test and the Concomitant Risk of Regulatory Creep	111
5.4	Conclusion	118
6	Transborder Speech: Using the Accident of Geography As a Makeweight Justification for Suppressing Expressive Freedoms	121
6.1	The Warren Court and Transborder Speech: Tentative Recognition and Modest, but Inconsistent, First Amendment Protection	126
6.2	The Burger Court and Transborder Speech: Retrenchment and Grudging Acknowledgment of the First Amendment's Application to Transborder Speech	131
6.3	Retgression: The Rehnquist and Roberts Courts' Failure to Afford Meaningful Protection to Transborder Speech Activity	136
6.4	Mapping the First Amendment on to Transborder Speech: The Relevance of Meiklejohn's Democratic Self-Government Theory of Freedom of Expression	144
6.5	Conclusion	149
7	Systemic Failures to Protect Newsgathering Activities by Professional Journalists and Amateur Citizen-Journalists Alike	151
7.1	The Warren and Burger Courts: Limited but Meaningful Protection for Newsgathering Activities	155
7.2	The Abject Failure of the Rehnquist and Roberts Courts to Deploy the First Amendment to Protect Newsgathering Activities	162
7.3	Growing Threats to Newsgathering Activity and the Concomitant Need for a Strong Judicial Response to Protect the Ability of Journalists to Provide the Citizenry with the Information Necessary to Hold Government Accountable	166
7.4	The Inevitability of Balancing Legitimate Governmental Interests and Privacy against the Need to Facilitate Newsgathering and Reporting Activities Should Not Render the Press Clause a Constitutional Nullity	172
7.5	Conclusion	174

x	<i>Contents</i>	
8	The Citizen As Government Sock Puppet and the State Masquerading As a Citizen: The Problem of Coerced and Misattributed Speech	176
8.1	The Growing Problem of State Governments Using Their Broad Regulatory Powers over the Professions to Regulate Professional Speech to Advance Ideological, Rather Than Bona Fide Regulatory, Objectives	180
8.2	Efforts to Propagandize the Body Politic via Social Media: The Problem of Stealth Government Speech	186
8.3	Conclusion	192
9	Using Constitutionally Permissible Statutes to Impede First Amendment Activity: The Supreme Court's Failure to Address the Abuse of Discretionary Authority by Police, Prosecutors, and Other Nonjudicial Actors	194
9.1	Police and Prosecutors Routinely Use Trespass, Breach of Peace/Disorderly Conduct, and Unlawful Assembly Laws to Burden or Prohibit Public Protest	197
9.2	The Warren and Burger Courts' Efforts to Use the First Amendment to Prohibit the Abuse of Trespass, Breach of Peace/ Disorderly Conduct, and Unlawful Assembly Laws to Silence Unpopular Protesters	203
9.3	The Difficulties Associated with Establishing Meaningful Judicial Review of Discretionary Police and Prosecutor Powers to Charge Protesters with Conduct-Based Crimes	208
9.4	Conclusion	214
10	Conclusion: Enhancing Speech and Promoting Democracy: The Necessary Role of the State in Promoting Democratic Deliberation among Citizen-Speakers	215
10.1	The Empirical Claim: Speech Rights Have Clearly Declined in Some Important Areas over Time	218
10.2	The Doctrinal Claim: The Federal Courts Can and Should Do Better to Advance Expressive Freedoms in the Contemporary United States	220
10.3	The Normative Claim: Fear of Judicial Discretion in First Amendment Cases and the Systematic Failure to Advance Critically Important First Amendment Values	223
10.4	Conclusion	225
	<i>Notes</i>	227
	<i>Index</i>	371