CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

Index

A Local Authority v. *E (by her Litigation Friend the Official Solicitor)*, 107
A NHS Trust v. *DU*, 54
active euthanasia, 41–42
Adults with Incapacity (Scotland) Act 2000, 6
advance decision to refuse treatment (ADRT), 7, 43, 93, 107
AG v. *BMBC and SNH*, 62
Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust v. *James*, 35, 54, 101
Airedale NHS Trust v. *Bland*, 82, 90
AM v. *South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and the Secretary of State for Health*, 72
ambivalence to treatment, 103–106
Approved Mental Capacity Professionals (AMCPs)
  functions of, 67
  Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS), approval for, 69
  pre-authorisation review, and, 67
Approved Mental Health Professionals (AMHPs), 67
artificial nutrition and hydration, 38
  withdrawal, 82
assessment of capacity
  clarity of consequences, 16
  cognitive impairment, 27
  communication barriers, 16–17
  considerations before
    all reasonable steps taken, 16–17
    default presumption, rebutted, 15–16
  decision-specific, 14
  difficult to assess groups, 28–29
  enhancement of, 30–31
  functional approach, 14
  mental capacity, definition
    ability to make decision, 20
    communicating decision, 23
    diagnostic threshold, 24
    information, retaining, 21
    Mental Capacity Act and MacArthur Foundation, comparison of, 26
    two-stage test, 19
    understanding, 20–21
    weighing or using information, 22–23
  necessary information
    coercion of patient, 18
    exact nature of decision, 17
    history of refusal, 18
    number of options, 18
    risks and benefits with options, 18
  not risk free, 14
  reliability of, 27
  responsibility for making, 15
  status approach, 14
  thresholds for, 29–30
  urgent, 17
  wishes and feelings, distinguishing, 24
assisted suicide, 41
  capacity, and, 99
  penalties for, 98
authorisations
  arrangements, 68
  Liberty Protection Safeguards, and, 69
  physical disorder, 64
  responsibility for, 67
  review before, 67
  time limits, 69
  urgent, 63
autonomy
  compromising factors, 4
  definition, 3
  other limitations, 4
  principle, 3
  respect for, 4

B v. *Croydon Health Authority*, 112
B v. *D*, 93, 101
Banks v. *Goodfellow*, 14
belief systems, unusual, 108–109
beneficence
  autonomy, and, 3
  principle, 3
best interests
  checklist, 37
    all relevant circumstances, 38–39
    avoiding discrimination, 37
    consulting others, 48–50
    encourage participation, 40–41
    life-sustaining treatment, 41–45
    making judgement, 51
    person's views, 45–48
    regaining capacity, 39
    restricting rights and acting reasonably, 50
  Court of Protection, and, 8
  decision maker has final say, 35
  Law Commission, simplified system proposal, 66
  non-definition in MCA, 34
  principles, 6
  restraint, treatment and, 75
  substituted judgment, 35
  UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), and, 36
  wishes and feelings, ascertaining, 36
best interests assessor (BIA), 67
bioethical approach, 2, 5
borderline personality disorder, 112
  self-harm, and, 113

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

Bournewood gap, 9
Briggs v. *Briggs*, 61

C (Kings College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust v. *C & Anor)*, 92, 101
caesarean section, 5, 60
capacitous decisions, 85, 93
capacity
  definition, 1
Care and Social Services Inspectorate Wales, 70
care plans
  depriving liberty
    impact on others, 89
    restrictions, and, 88
    thresholds, and, 96
Care Quality Commission (CQC)
  inspections, 83
  monitoring role, 70
Cheshire West and Chester Council v. *P*, 58, 81
chronic mental ill-health
  decision-making capacity, and, 109
clarity of consequences, 16
coercion
  assessment of capacity, and, 18
cognitive impairment, assessment of capacity, 27
communication barriers, assessment of capacity, 16–17
community psychiatric nurse (CPN), 49
community treatment orders (CTO), deprivation of liberty, 62
compulsory confinement, 60
compulsory treatment
  Mental Health Act 1983 (MHA), and, 108
confidentiality, 49
  breaching, 78
  duty taken seriously, 78
consent
  authorisation arrangements, 68
  doctrine of necessity, and, 4–6
  hospital treatment, 74
  information, sharing and, 78
  lack of capacity, 56, 61, 79, 86, 88, 93, 96
  mental disorders, 76
  treatment, without, 78
continuing health care (CHC), authorisations and, 67
Coroners and Justice Act 2009, 60
  section 48, 60
  section 7, 60
court appointed deputies, 8, 51
Court of Protection
  best interests principles, and, 8
  determining application of relevant statute, 9
  Office of the Public Guardian, and, 8
covert medication, deprivation of liberty, 62

death certificates
  medical examiners, checking, 61
decision-making capacity
  ambivalence, and, 104
  determining, 103
  dichotomy versus spectrum, 103
  functional approach, and, 103
  issues facing clinicians, 103
    ambivalence to treatment, 103–106
    fluctuating capacity, 106–108
    self-harm, 110–113
    unusual values/belief systems, 108–109
  vulnerable populations, 109
decision-making capacity
  applying to complex situations, 102
dementia, 47
deontological theories, 2
depression, 23, 47
deprivation of liberty. See liberty, deprivation of
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), 9, 56
  acid test, 59
  application, 56
  Approved Mental Capacity Professional (AMCPs), 67
  attributability to State, 62
  avoiding deprivation, 58
  best interests assessor (BIA), 67
Briggs v. *Briggs*, 61
  care plans, and, 80
Cheshire West
  increase in applications, 59
  payment shortfall, 59
  choosing between Mental Health Act and Mental Capacity Act, 61
  community/domestic living, 59
  covert medication, 62
  determining, 57
  discharge arrangements, 96
    acid test, 96
    high risk cases, identifying, 97
    relevance and usefulness, 96
  eligibility criteria, 61
  House of Lords Select Committee report
    fusion law, suggestion for, 70
    unfit for purpose, 63
    urgent authorisations, 63
  inquests, 60
  Law Commission review
    Article 8, Human Rights Act 1998, 65
    authorisation responsibilities, 67
    fluctuating capacity, 67
    pre-authorisation review, 67
    section 5 defence, Mental Capacity Act 2005, 66
    UNCRPD considerations, 70
  Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS), 63
    mental disorders excluded, 64
    minimum age, 66
    psychiatric hospitals, excluded, 64
  medical examiners, checking death certificates, 61
Mental Capacity (Amendment) Bill [HL] 2017–2019
  Approved Mental Capacity Professional (AMCPs), 67

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) (cont.)
arrangements, and, 67
authorisation arrangements, 68
authorisation responsibilities, 67
authorisation time limits, 69
Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS), 63
advocacy, 70
capacity and, 69
conditions and, 69
review process and, 70
minimum age, 66
pre-authorisation review, 67
repeat assessments, 70
unsound mind, application to, 66
urgent authorisations, 63
mental health assessment, 58
palliative care, 61
physical disorder, 60
present situation, 58
private care arrangements, 62
re X procedure, 61
risk of harm to others, 62
social care settings, 88
applications for all residents, 89
applications only made from care homes, 89
person's ability to exercise freedom, 88
state detention, 60
state of unconsciousness, and, 60
subsequent MCA amendment, 57
unsound mind, 57
detention
challenge against, 56
physical disorder, treatment of, 9
state. *See* state detention
unsound mind, 56
diagnostic threshold, 24
an impairment or disability of the brain or mind, 85
assessment of capacity, 24
discrimination
lack of capacity, and, 37
Do Not Resuscitate [DNACPR] orders, 94
doctrine of double effect, 43
doctrine of necessity, 4–6
doctrine of ordinary and extraordinary means, 43

end of life decision-making, 97
advance decision to refuse treatment (ADRT), 93
clinician challenges, 91
discharge planning
deprivation of liberty safeguards. *See* Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS)
feasibility of care options, 96
home versus care home, 95
issues with, 95
outcome approach, 95
end of life, definition, 91
hastened death, 98
impairment, causative link establishing, 92
lasting power of attorney (LPA), appointment, 93
magnetic importance of factors, 94
physician-assisted suicide, 98
prevarication, 92
refusal of life sustaining medical treatment
duty of care, failure of, 98
uncertainty with, 98
respecting unwise patient decision, 93
statutory presumption in favour of, 92
uncertain/fluctuating disease trajectories, conflicts and, 94
Enduring Power of Attorney (EPA), 49
equal consideration principle, 27, 37–38
European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
Article 5, 56, 58, 60, 60
Article 5(1), 60
Article 8, 62
euthanasia by omission, 41–42, 97

fluctuating capacity, 106–108
functional approach, 14, 103
functional test, 19, 92
fusion law, 11, 70

General Medical Council Treatment and Care Towards the End of Life, 46
withholding treatment guidelines, 46
GJ v. *the Foundation Trust*, 9, 61
Guzzardi v. *Italy*, 57

harm to others, deprivation of liberty, 62
hastened death, 98
Healthcare Inspectorate Wales, 70
Hippocratic Oath, 3
HL v. *UK*, 56
home-based interventions
emphasis on, 83
House of Lords Select Committee
MCA post-legislative review, 46
DoLS not fit for purpose, 63
DoLS, urgent authorisations, 63
fusion law, suggestion for, 70
human dignity
respect for, 4
Human Rights Act 1998
Article 5, 60–63
articles
Article 5, 70
section 5(1), 56
section 5(4), 56

IM v. *LM & Others*, 86, 90
impulse control, disturbance of, 22
incapacitous decisions, 85
Independent Mental Capacity Advocates (IMCAs), 7, 9, 48, 107
Independent Mental Health Advocates (IMHAs), 9
Independent Review of the Mental Health Act 1983, 11, 70
individual
definition, 8
interpreters, assessment of capacity, 16

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

Jehovah's Witness
decision-making capacity, and, 108
justice principle, 3

Kantian theories, 2

lasting power of attorney (LPA), 49, 93, 107
appointment, 7
consulted on other issues, 49
Independent Mental Capacity Advocates (IMCAs) in place of, 7
Public Guardian, as registering authority, 8
Law Commission review
best interests, simplified system proposal, 66
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS)
Article 8, Human Rights Act 1998, 65
authorisation responsibilities, 67
fluctuating capacity, 67
pre-authorisation review, 67
section 5 defence, Mental Capacity Act 2005, 66
UNCRPD considerations, 70
Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS)
unsound mind, application to, 66
Mental Capacity and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards in 2017, 36
LBJ v. *RYJ & VJ*, 85, 90
learning disability, 57
least restrictive option, 18, 46, 49, 61, 108
legal capacity
decision-making capacity, and, 106
legal incapacity
fluctuating capacity, and, 106
presumption of, 110
Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS), 63
advocacy, and, 70
capacity, and, 69
conditions, and, 69
Law Commission review
unsound mind, application to, 66
mental disorders excluded, 64
minimum age, 66
psychiatric hospitals, excluded, 64
review process, and, 70
liberty, deprivation of
minimising, 9
life-sustaining treatment
advance decision, 43
all reasonable steps to be taken, 42
best interests
application of checklist, 44
determinations, 43
euthanasia, discouraging, 41
limited number of cases where withdrawal is right, 43
Liverpool City Council, Nottinghamshire County Council, LB of Richmond upon Thames and Shropshire Council v. *SSH*, 59
Local Authority X v. *MM & Anor*, 101
locked-in syndrome, 24

MacCAT-T (MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool – Treatment), 26–28
mania, 22
Marshall v. *Curry*, 5
medical emergencies
doctrine of necessity, and, 5
minimum interventions, 5
participation in decision-making, and, 41
self-harm, and, 110–113
urgent capacity assessments, 17
medical ethics, 2–3
mental capacity
end of life decision-making, *See* end of life decision-making
presumption of, 15
Mental Capacity (Northern Ireland) Act 2016, 71
Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA)
application, 1
assent, 1, 6
best interest principles, 8
best interests. *See* best interests
Code of Practice, 102
best interests, not defined, 34
checklist, and, 37
doctors not following written requests, 46
good practice, and, 48
Independent Mental Capacity Advocates (IMCAs), 107
other factors, 47
regaining capacity, and, 39
values statement, and, 44
communication barriers, assessment of capacity, 16–17
guiding principles, 13
hospital settings
medical treatment, 74
medical treatment, assessment of capacity, 75
medical treatment, best interests, 75
medical treatment, capacity, 76–77
medical treatment, purpose, 75, 77
medical treatment, urgency, 75, 77
providing care, 79–80
sharing information, 78–79
least restrictive option, 18
mental capacity
presumed, 15
unwise decisions, and, 15
mental capacity assessment. *See* Assessment of capacity
Mental Health Act 1983 (MHA), comparison with, 8–11
Schedule 1A, 56–57, 60–61, 79
sections
section 1, 5, 6, 34, 82
section 1(2), 75, 92
section 1(4), 77
section 1(5), 75
section 1(6), 37
section 16A, 65
section 2, 37
section 2(1), 92

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) (cont.)
section 2(3)(b), 76–77
section 2(4), 92
section 25(5–6), 45
section 3, 76
section 3(1), 92
section 3(2), 77
section 4, 34, 36
section 4(1), 38, 40
section 4(11), 38
section 4(2), 38–39
section 4(3), 39, 106
section 4(4), 40, 106
section 4(5), 41–42
section 4(6), 45–48
section 4(7), 48, 79
section 4(9), 50
section 5, 50, 82
section 6, 51
section 64(5), 60
social care settings, 82
assessment of decision-making capacity, 84–86
best interests, 86
best interests, balancing wishes, feelings, beliefs and values, 87
best interests, profound and multiple intellectual disabilities, 87
changing landscape, 83
deprivation of liberty, 88–89
further care still possibility, 84
problematic differences, 83
relationship with care recipient, 84
systematic issues, 84
summary of provisions, 7–8
mental disorder
assessment. *See* Assessment of capacity
defining, 61
definition, 9
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS)
eligibility criteria, 61
hospital detention, treatment and, 76
Liberty Protection Safeguards (LPS), excluded from, 64
MCA/MHA, application of, 81
Mental Health Act (2003) MHA, and, 8
Mental Health Act 1983 (MHA)
2007 amendments, 5
compulsory treatment, and, 108
Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA), comparison with, 8–11
sections
section 1, 57
section 12(2), 58
section 3, 112
section 63, 76, 112
Mental Health Assessor, 58
Mentally Incapacitated Adults and Decision-Making – An Overview discussion paper, 6
Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), 27
Montgomery v. *Lanarkshire Health Board*, 92, 101
Mr Leslie Burke v. *GMC*, 46
Murray v. *McMurchy*, 5

N v. *A Local Authority*, 72
N v. *ACCG and others*, 72
National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE), 110
necessity doctrine. See doctrine of necessity
NHS Trust and others v. *FG*, 59
NHS Trust I v. *G*, 72
non-maleficence principle, 3

Office of the Public Guardian (OPG), 8
outcome approach, 103

P and Q v. *Surrey County Council*, 2
P v. *A local authority*, 72
P v. *Cheshire West and Chester Council*, 2
P v. *Cheshire West and Chester Council and another P&Q* v. *Surrey County Council*, 101
P v. *Cheshire West, Chester Council and another*, 90
palliative care, 61
participation in decision-making, 40–41
paternalism, medical, 4, 11
physical disorder
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), 60
authorisation procedures, 64
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), detention under, 9
treatment, justification for, 76
physician-assisted suicide, 98
Policing and Crime Act 2017, 60, 96
pre-authorisation review, 67
principalism, 3
profound and multiple intellectual disabilities (PMID), 87
protection imperative, 95
psychiatric delusions
decision-making capacity, and, 108
Public Guardian, 8

R (Burke) v. *General Medical Council*, 94, 101
R (Ferreira) v. *HM Senior Coroner for Inner South London and others*, 72, 81, 101
R (on the application of Stevens) v. *Plymouth City Council & Anor*, 54
RB v. *Brighton and Hove City Council*, 54
Re AK (Gift Application), 36
Re B, 104
Re C, 103
Re C (Adult: Refusal of Medical Treatment), 114
Re F (Mental Patient: Sterilisation), 6, 115
Re GC, 54
Re M; ITW v. *Z*, 54
Re R, 106, 115
Re SB (A Patient; Capacity to Consent to Termination), 105, 109, 115
Re SRK, 62
Re T, 82, 90
re X procedure, 61
Re Y, 90

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE
Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-48036-9 — Mental Capacity Legislation
2nd Edition
Index
More Information

regaining capacity, 39
relational autonomy, 50
relevant circumstances, 38–39
Relevant Person's Representative (RPR), 70
residence capacity, 95
restraint
  best interests, and, 75
Richardson's Committee, 8, 11

salient facts, 85
Schloendorff v. *Society of New York Hospital*, 4, 12
self-determination, 4
self-harm
  definition, 111
  maladaptive response to stress, 111
  refusal of treatment
    ambiguity in managing, 113
    assessment of capacity, 111
    behavioural extension of mental illness, 112
    best interest principles, 113
    emphatic approach by clinicians, 111
sexual relationships, 85
Simms v. *Simms and another*, 82, 90
social care policy
  Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA)
    assessment of decision-making capacity, 84–86
    best interests, 86
    best interests, balancing wishes, feelings, beliefs and values, 87
    best interests, profound and multiple intellectual disabilities, 87
    deprivation of liberty, 88–89
    further care still possibility, 84
    problematic differences, 83
    relationship with care recipient, 84
    systematic issues, 84
social care policy
  changing demographics, impact of, 83
  focus of, 83
'Sparkly' Kings College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust v. *C*, 28
SSJ v. *Staffordshire CC & Ors*, 72
St George Healthcare NHS Trust v. *S*, 115
state detention, 60, 97
status approach
  rejection by MCA, 92
  replaced by functional approach, 103
  use by MHA, 14
sterilisation, 5–6
Storck v. *Germany*, 72
suicide. See assisted suicide, physician-assisted suicide

terminal illness
  coping mechanisms, 91
  interventions
    benefits and burdens, 94
    high cost, low evidence based, 93
testamentary capacity, 13–14
treatment
  consent, and, 4–6
  patient's right to accept or refuse, 2

UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD), 36
unconsciousness
  Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), and, 61
Undue family influence, assessment of capacity, 19
United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD)
  Article 12, 70
  Article 14, 70
unsound mind
  defining, 61
  Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS), and, 57
Unwise patient decisions
  liberty to make, 15
  respecting, 77
urgent authorisations, 63
urgent capacity assessments, 17
utilitarianism, 3

value systems, unusual, 108–109
vide infra, 14
vulnerable populations, 109

Westminster City Council v. *Sykes*, 96, 101
Wintwerp v. *Netherlands*, 72
wishes and feelings, 25, 35–36, 46, 66
  mental capacity, distinguishing, 24
Wye Valley NHS Trust v. *Mr B*, 46, 54, 98, 101

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org