

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	xi
<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
<i>Preface</i>	xv
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xix
1 INTRODUCTION AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	1
1.1 Setting the Scene	1
1.1.1 Palaeography	7
1.1.2 Structure	9
1.2 Questioning Cultural (and Ethnic) ‘Labels’	10
1.2.1 Evans’ legacy	10
1.2.1.1 The pioneering responsibility of definition	10
1.2.1.2 Influences of the nineteenth-century intellectual climate	17
1.2.2 The reinforcement and stabilisation of the classification	20
1.2.2.1 Evans’ model	20
1.2.2.2 After Evans	21
1.2.2.3 Script and language	24
1.3 Questioning the Unquestionable: Some Theoretical Preliminaries	25
1.3.1 What was wrong with the previous views?	25
1.3.2 Classifying and labelling scripts	26
1.3.2.1 Defining ‘script’	26
1.3.2.2 Linear A and Linear B: two scripts or one?	28
1.3.2.3 Writing system components: a theoretical outline	30
1.4 Linear A and Linear B: a First Assessment	32
2 WHAT DOES LINEAR A LOOK LIKE?	42
2.1 The Nature of the Evidence	42
2.1.1 Chronological and geographical framework	42
2.1.2 Current Linear A sign classification	49
2.1.2.1 Formal classification: <i>GORILA</i>	49
2.1.2.2 Functional classification: Schoep	50

Contents

2.2 Linear A Structure	51
2.2.1 Alternative functional classification of Linear A signs	52
2.2.2 Formal analysis of Linear A signs	54
2.2.2.1 Simple vs. composite signs	54
2.2.2.2 Configurational categories	57
2.2.2.3 List of composite sign configurations	59
2.2.2.4 Number of signs involved	85
2.2.2.5 Which sign in which configuration?	86
2.2.2.6 Overall configuration patterns?	139
2.2.2.7 Possibly misclassified signs	141
2.2.3 Conclusions	149
2.3 Linear A Palaeography	150
2.3.1 What is known of Linear A palaeography	150
2.3.2 Delving deeper into Linear A palaeography	152
2.3.2.1 Sign distribution over sites	152
2.3.2.2 Site-specific palaeography	156
2.3.2.3 On the surface: a striking similarity	168
2.3.3 Conclusions	176
3 WHAT DOES LINEAR B LOOK LIKE?	178
3.1 The Nature of the Evidence	178
3.1.1 The earliest evidence of Linear B writing	178
3.1.2 The <i>Room of the Chariot Tablets</i>	180
3.1.2.1 Archaeological context	180
3.1.2.2 Epigraphical context	187
3.1.2.3 Considerations	193
3.1.3 The <i>North Entrance Passage</i> and <i>North-West Insula</i>	195
3.1.3.1 Archaeological context	195
3.1.3.2 Epigraphical context	201
3.1.3.3 Considerations	209

3.2 Linear B Structure	210
3.2.1 What kind of ‘structure’?	210
3.2.2 The datasets	211
3.2.2.1 <i>The Room of the Chariot Tablets</i>	211
3.2.2.2 <i>The North Entrance Passage</i>	223
3.2.2.3 <i>The North-West Insula</i>	228
3.2.3 Conclusions	230
3.3 Linear B Palaeography	233
3.3.1 Shaping up Linear B palaeography	233
3.3.1.1 The roots of Linear B palaeographical analysis	233
3.3.1.2 Further developments	234
3.3.1.3 On the concepts of ‘styles’ and ‘palaeographical traditions’	239
3.3.2 <i>Room of the Chariot Tablets</i> palaeography	244
3.3.3 <i>North Entrance Passage</i> and <i>North-West Insula</i> palaeography	247
3.3.3.1 <i>North Entrance Passage</i> graphic variants	248
3.3.3.2 <i>North-West Insula</i> graphic variants	270
3.3.4 Conclusions	276
4 INTERPRETATIVE MODELS	283
4.1 Structural Model	283
4.1.1 Taking stock of the situation	283
4.1.2 Proposing an interpretative model	284
4.1.2.1 The model	284
4.1.2.2 Synoptic description of constitutive components	286
4.1.2.3 Value of subsets and implications of the proposed model	287
4.1.3 Description of the AB ‘core’: accounting for changes	288
4.1.4 Description of the ‘subsets’	290
4.1.4.1 The ‘B’-subsets	291
4.1.4.2 The ‘A’-subsets	300
4.1.5 Conclusions	355

Contents

4.2 Palaeographical Model	356
4.2.1 Graphic variants in Linear A	357
4.2.2 Graphic variants in Linear B	358
4.2.3 The Linear A-Linear B palaeographical model	360
4.2.4 Local graphic influences?	361
4.2.4.1 ‘Linear As’ from the north and east area: Khania and Zakros	361
4.2.4.2 Scoring again: some additional features	362
4.2.4.3 An apparent point of departure: Haghia Triada and Phaistos	365
4.2.5 Conclusions	369
 5 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS	 371
 <i>Bibliography</i>	 381
<i>General Index</i>	409
<i>Index of Signs Cited</i>	412

The Appendices are hosted on the Cambridge University Press website
 at www.cambridge.org/9781108479387