

Index

- abominable conjunctions
 and classical moderate invariantism, 189, 207–8
 closure explanation of, 168–69
 and comparative judgments, 175–77
 and contextualism, 170–87
 and denials of knowledge of skeptical hypotheses, 198–200
 Gettier versions of, 191–93, 207
 and interest-relative invariantism, 187–88
 knowledge rule explanation of, 189–91
 and retraction, 169, 193–94
 and skepticism, 168–70
 third-person, 194–98
 airport case (Cohen), 177n19, 196n48, 200n56
 alchemy, problem of, 166, 166n34
 Alsdpector-Kelly, Marc, 66n15, 81n17, 93n7, 102n22
 anti-Gettier condition
 defeasibility, 136
 no false lemmas, 135
 argument by counterexample, 29–32, 57, 89, 167
 assumption-justification (AJ) argument, 230
 assumptions
 and claims to know, 204–5
 and justification, 1
 nature of, 202–4
 reasonability of, 205–6
 and skepticism, 201, 204–5
 bank case (DeRose), 177n19
 basic knowledge, 214
 basing relation, 20
 basis internalism vs. externalism, 20–21
 Baumann, Peter, 6n18
 Bergmann, Michael, 215n9
 Black, Tim, 69–70
 Bonjour, Laurence, 84n27
 bootstrapping
 and basic knowledge, 214–16
 bootstrapping (BS) reasoning, 212–13
 and deduction, 216–20
 and epistemic circularity, 222–24
 and knowledge of reliability (KR), 214–16, 223–24
 and NIFN, 220–22
 and reliabilism, 213–14
 and skepticism, 215–16
 bootstrapping (BS) reasoning, 212–13
 Brueckner, Tony, 16n43, 16n41
 BS reasoning. *See* bootstrapping; bootstrapping reasoning
 buck-passing
 argument, 95–99
 and evidence, 103
 and justification, 228
 and safety, 100–5
 and warrant preservation (WP), 99–100
 Buckwalter, Wesley, 200n57
 Butzer, Tim, 213n2
 Carroll, Lewis, 10n27
 circularity
 epistemic. *See* bootstrapping; and epistemic circularity
 path, 107
 premise, 92n3, 107n32, 107
 structural, 107
 warrant, 106–7
 classical moderate invariantism, 188–89
 closure-preserving (CPMI) vs. closure-denying (CDMI). *See* abominable conjunctions; and classical moderate invariantism
 closure of justification. *See* justification closure
 closure of knowledge. *See* knowledge closure
 closure of warrant. *See* warrant; closure of (WC)
 closure vs. transmission, 12–14
 Coffman, E. J., 140–41
 Cohen, Stewart, 3n11, 59n2, 177n19, 183n24, 186n30, 196n48, 200n56, 214, 215n9, 225
 Coliva, Annalisa, 167, 206n68
 conditional reliability. *See* reliability; conditional

- Connee, Earl and Feldman, Richard, 85
 contextualism
 and abominable conjunctions. *See* abominable conjunctions; and contextualism
 closure-affirming vs. closure-denying.
 See abominable conjunctions; and contextualism
 and direct warrant, 184
 disconfirmation of, 178
 and front-loading, 181–82
 and safety, 182–83
 and skeptical hypotheses, 175, 178
 and transmission, 178–81
 and warrant by entitlement, 185–87
 and warrant infallibilism, 184–85
- Davies, Martin, 93
 defeasibility. *See* anti-Gettier conditions; defeasibility
- Delin, P. S., Chittleborough, P., & Delin, C. R., 202
- DeRose, Keith, 65, 123–24, 168, 170–77, 182–83, 186, 198, 230
- Di Bello, Marco, 207n70
- disjunctivism, 41–42, 44–45, 86n33, 89n40
- Dodd, Dylan, 3
- dogmatism, 95, 109n36, 117, 214
- double-safety account (DeRose), 173n10, 182, 183n27
- downgrading, 33–35
- doxastic vs. propositional justification, 13, 14n38, 20
- Dretske cases
 BIV, 24, 26, 35–37, 69–70, 72, 74–75, 101–2, 110, 119–21, 149, 153–55, 176–77, 183n27, 196n49
 Car, 22–24, 33, 71–72, 128, 133
 Cruise, 23, 25, 72, 105, 118–19, 185, 231–32
 Directions, 23–24, 70n23
 Gas Gauge, 4–5, 23, 72, 138, 157–58, 191–92
 generalization of, 71–72
 introduction, 22
 Misprint, 24, 33, 84, 134, 168, 175, 191n42, 206n66, 207n69
 President, 23, 34–35
 Red Table, 23, 225
 Restaurant, 23
 Zebra, 12, 19–20, 25, 26n18, 60–62, 67n18, 79–81, 82n19, 116, 157n16
 Zoo-Testing-R-U's, 63, 83, 112n38
- Dretske, Fred, 1–2, 7, 15, 16n44, 18–19, 25, 30n30, 66, 116, 157n16, 168, 226
- E=K, 42–44, 89n40
 easy knowledge, 225
 electrician case, 212–13, 217
- enabling conditions, 4–5, 135
 entitlement, warrant by
 entitlement of cognitive project
 and piecemeal skeptical hypotheses, 162
 and wholesale skeptical hypotheses, 161–62
 nature of, 160–61
 general strategy, 148–50
 strategic entitlement
 nature of, 152
 and piecemeal skeptical hypotheses, 157–60
 and wholesale skeptical hypotheses, 152–56
- ERA. *See* expanded relevant alternatives
- evidence and probability, 16, 53–54, 86–89, 115–16, 127–30, 184
- evidential externalism. *See* externalism; evidential evidentialism, 85–89
- expanded relevant alternatives (ERA), 170–71
- externalism
 evidential, 41–45
 justification, 81–82. *See also* justification
 internalism
 method, 69–70
- fallibilism and infallibilism
 basis, 20–22, 43–44, 52, 64, 95–100, 138
 evidential, 22, 138
 justification, 22, 138, 226
 warrant, 22, 131, 134–47
- far-safe vs. near-safe belief, 79–80, 100–1, 104, 125, 182, 184n27
- Feldman, Michael, 1n3, 85
- first-order skepticism. *See* skepticism; first-order vs. second-order
- front-loading argument (FLA), 94–97, 106
- front-loading explanation of transmission failure.
 See transmission failure; front-loading explanation of
- front-loading strategy, 92, 94–95, 99, 106, 110
- Fumerton, Richard, 1n3
- garbage chute case (Sosa), 102n22
- generality problem, 67, 82n19
- Gettier cases
 and abominable conjunctions. *See* abominable conjunctions; Gettier versions of
 clock case, 21–22
 and contextualism, 184–85
 and entitlement, 150
 and front-loading, 54
 and luck, 143
 and reliabilism, 84
 sheep-in-the-field case, 137–38
 and warrant infallibilism, 136–38
- Goldman, Alvin, 82n22
- Greco, John, 185n28

- Harman, Gilbert & Sherman, Bret, 207n70
 Hawthorne, John, 7n19, 8, 58, 66n16, 119, 123, 125–31, 191, 208
 Heller, Mark, 101n20, 170–74, 177, 187
 hinge proposition, 37, 102, 148, 165, 167
 Howard-Snyder, Daniel & Howard-Snyder, Frances, 140, 143–44
 how-possibly explanation, 71, 132, 184
- ice cube case (Vogel), 66
 incremental confirmation, 86, 116n3
 indistinguishability argument, 41, 45–49
 infallibilism. *See* fallibilism
 interest-relative invariantism (IRI), 29n29, 187–88
 internalism
 evidential. *See* externalism; evidential
 justification, 214n8, 227. *See also* externalism; justification
 method. *See* externalism; method
- justification, 13, 20, 81, 84, 93n7, 150, 212, 214n8, 215n11, 225–32
 justification closure
 and buck-passing. *See* buck-passing; and justification
 definition, 226
 and transmission, 226–28
- KC. *See* knowledge closure
 KK rule, 192
 knowledge closure
 Classical Formulation, 8–9, 15n40, 38
 Hawthorne's Formulation, 8–10, 15n40
 KC, 9–11, 14–15, 38
 multi-premise closure (MPC), 8, 95n12, 128
 Knowledge of Reliability (KR), 214–16, 223–24
 KR. *See* Knowledge of Reliability (KR)
 Kripke, Saul, 65
- Lasonen-Aarnio, Maria, 8n22, 10
 leaching problem, 166
 lead paint test case, 62–63, 68
 Lehrer, Keith, 84n27
 Lehrer, Keith & Paxson, Thomas, 136n36
 Lewis, David, 61
- lottery intuition, 23
 and justification, 231–32
 method-relative SCA (SCA-M) explanation of, 124
 parity reasoning explanation of, 125–31
 safety explanation of, 124–25
 subjunctive conditionals account (SCA) explanation of, 123–24
 warrant infallibilist explanation of, 133–36, 185
- lottery proposition, 24–25, 119, 127–28, 130–31. *See also* Vogel propositions
 Luper, Stephen, 16
- Markie, Peter, 215n9
 McDowell, John, 42, 44
 McGlynn, Aidan, 166n35
 Merricks, Trenton, 119, 131, 139–44, 147, 184
 Merricks' arguments for warrant infallibilism
 supervenience argument, 141–47
 warrant transfer argument, 139–41
 method externalism. *See* externalism; method
 method individuation. *See* No Inevitable False Negatives (NIFN); and method individuation
 missing missing explanation, 133–34
 moderate invariantism. *See* classical moderate invariantism
 sensitive. *See* interest-relative invariantism
 moderatism, 167, 206n68
 Moore, G. E., 41n15, 73, 120–21, 188
 Moore's paradox, 188
 Moorean response to skepticism, 73, 120–21
 Murphy, Peter, 81n17
- near-safe belief. *See* far-safe vs. near-safe belief
 Nelkin, Dana, 34n2
 NIFN. *See* No Inevitable False Negatives (NIFN)
 NIFN-C, 68–69
 NIFN-S, 68–69
 NIFP. *See* No Inevitable False Positives (NIFP)
 No Accident (NA), 143–47
 no false lemmas. *See* anti-Gettier condition; no false lemmas
 No Inevitable False Negatives (NIFN)
 and background information, 120–21
 and basis fallibilism, 64
 and bootstrapping. *See* bootstrapping; and NIFN
 and comparative judgments, 176
 and contextualism, 179–80
 definition, 64
 and direct warrant, 117
 and Dretske cases, 71–72
 and easy knowledge, 225
 vs. front-loading, 111–12
 and generality problem, 67–69
 and justification, 227
 and the lottery intuition, 129n21
 and method externalism, 69–70
 and reliability, 84
 and safety, 81n17
 and sensitivity, 65–66

- and the spreading problem, 209–10
 and warrant circularity, 110–11
 definition, 64
 vs. front-loading, 111–12
 No Inevitable False Positives (NIFP), 62n8, 221n20.
See also No Inevitable False Negatives
 Norman case (Bonjour), 84n27
 Nozick, Robert, 1–2, 7, 25, 168
- Omar's new shoes case (Vogel), 65n13
- parity reasoning. *See* lottery intuition; parity reasoning explanation of
 penetration vs. transmission. *See* transmission; vs. penetration
 Plantinga, Alvin, 12
 Plantinga warrant (P-warrant), 82, 93, 148, 150
 pragmatic encroachment. *See* interest-relative invariantism
 Pritchard, Duncan, 80n16, 103n24, 149, 167
 probability
 and Dretske cases, 86–89, 116n3
 and evidence. *See* evidence and probability and underdetermination, 53–54
 propositional justification. *See* doxastic vs. propositional justification
 Pryor, James, 95n13, 117
- red barn case (Kripke), 65, 66n16
 Reichenbach's vindication of induction, 152
 reliabilism. *See* transmission; and reliabilism
 reliability of the senses case, 108, 223
 reliability, conditional, 82–83, 85, 214
 reliability, consequential vs. inconsequential, 154–55
 retraction, 10, 27–28, 169, 193–94
 Roush, Sherrilyn, 2n6, 4n15, 6n18
 Rule of Sensitivity, 171
 Ryan, Sharon, 143n49
- safety
 and buck-passing. *See* buck-passing; and safety
 double-safety (DeRose). *See* double-safety account (DeRose)
 and the lottery intuition. *See* lottery intuition; safety explanation of
 safety/sensitivity hybrid, 101. *See also* expanded relevant alternatives
 salmon case (Hawthorne), 66n16
 SCA. *See* lottery intuition; subjunctive conditionals account explanation of
 SCA-M. *See* lottery intuition; method-relative SCA explanation of
 second-order skepticism. *See* skepticism; first-order vs. second-order
 sensitive moderate invariantism.
See interest-relative invariantism
 sensitivity
 and closure, 2
 and NIFN. *See* No Inevitable False Negatives; and sensitivity
 and the lottery intuition. *See* lottery intuition; subjunctive conditionals account of
 Sharon, Assaf & Spectre, Levi, 116n3
 silver detector case, 109–11
 simple skepticism, 65–66
 skeptical arguments
 skeptical closure argument, 33, 38, 45, 47, 49, 52, 120
 skeptical front-loading argument, 51, 92, 120
 skeptical underdetermination argument, 55, 120
 skeptical hypotheses
 Gettier versions, 192
 piecemeal vs. wholesale, 35–45, 53, 70, 103–4, 119, 121, 152, 157, 161–62
 retraction of. *See* retraction
 skepticism
 and abominable conjunctions. *See* abominable conjunctions; and skepticism
 and assumptions. *See* assumptions; and skepticism
 and background information, 119–22
 and classical moderate invariantism.
See abominable conjunctions; and classical moderate invariantism
 vs. closure denial, 32
 and contextualism. *See* contextualism; and skeptical hypotheses
 and downgrading, 33
 and entitlement, 149–50. *See also* entitlement; warrant by
 and epistemic circularity, 223–24
 first-order vs. second-order, 201
 and front-loading. *See* buck-passing
 and interest-relative invariantism (IRI).
See abominable conjunctions; and interest-relative invariantism
 and justification, 228–32
 and NIFN, 111
 and parity reasoning, 131
 and safety, 100–5
 and transmission, 73–76
 and warrant infallibilism, 138

246

skepticism (cont.)
 and warrant preservation (WP).
 See buck-passing; and warrant preservation
 Sosa, Ernest, 2n8, 36–37, 102
 spreading problem, 208–11
 Stalnaker, Robert, 61
 strategic entitlement. *See* entitlement; strategic
 subject-sensitive invariantism.
 See interest-relative invariantism (IRI)
 subjunctive conditionals account. *See* lottery
 intuition; subjunctive conditionals
 account (SCA) explanation of

 Thelma, Louise, and Lena case (DeRose),
 177n19
 transmission
 vs. closure. *See* closure vs. transmission
 and contextualism. *See* contextualism; and
 transmission
 and evidentialism, 85–89
 of knowledge, 12
 and reliabilism, 81–85
 and retraction, 193–94
 vs. penetration, 15–18, 30n30
 and safety, 79
 of warrant. *See* warrant; transmission of (WT)
 transmission failure
 front-loading explanation of, 94, 106–12
 NIFN explanation of, 111–12
 truetemp case (Lehrer), 84n27

 underdetermination
 and front-loading, 53–54

Index

and the skeptical closure argument,
 46–47
 skeptical argument. *See* skeptical argument;
 skeptical underdetermination argument

 Vogel proposition, 25–28, 33, 36, 104–5, 122,
 128, 130, 133–34, 136, 147. *See also*
 lottery proposition
 Vogel, Jonathan, 24

 Warfield, Ted & David, Marian, 39
 warrant
 circularity. *See* circularity; warrant
 closure of (WC), 13, 76
 by default, 30, 37, 49, 148, 148n2, 167. *See*
 also entitlement; warrant by
 definition, 12–13
 direct warrant, 30, 48, 114–17
 enabling conditions of, 4–5
 by entitlement. *See* entitlement; warrant by
 Plantinga warrant (P-warrant). *See* warrant;
 definition
 transmission of (WT), 13, 15, 57–60, 64,
 71–77
 WC. *See* warrant; closure of (WC)
 Williamson, Timothy, 1n3, 8, 22n8, 42–43, 58,
 85, 89n40, 231n41
 Williamson's insight, 8, 32, 41, 49, 57–60, 64,
 89, 91, 100–1, 112
 Wittgenstein, Ludwig, 37, 102, 148,
 167
 Wright, Crispin, 12, 14, 92–94, 148–52,
 155–56, 160–67, 205
 WT. *See* warrant transmission (WT)