

INDEX

- ACHPR. *See* African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights
 ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights
 ACtHPR. *See* African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights
 activism. *See* civil society activism
ad hoc tribunals
 in Australia, 103–4
 ICs and, 95
 advocates general, 74–7
 Africa Legal Aid, 30
 African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACHPR), 245
 African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights (ACtHPR), 118
 Agrikoliansky, Éric, 147
Airey v. Ireland, 145
 Alien Tort Statute (ATS), US, 27
 corporate liability under, 28–9
 criticism of, 30–1
 human rights violations under, 28, 29–30, 33–4
 support for, 30–1
 American Convention on Human Rights (ACHR), 22–3
 Amnesty International, 30, 145
 Andean Tribal Justice (ATJ), 21–2
 Argentina, 26
 ATJ. *See* Andean Tribal Justice
 ATS. *See* Alien Tort Statute
 Australia
 ad hoc tribunals in, 103–4
 complementarity in, 93–4, 103–9, 111–12
 expansion of, 107–8
 with ratification of ICC, 103–9
 Genocide Convention Act 1949, 108
 ICC jurisdiction in, 18
 conservative approach to, 108
 Howard on, 106–7
 implementation of, 108–9
 JSCOT and, 104–5
 opposition to, 105–7
 ratification of, 103–9
 Migration Amendment (Excision from Migration Zone) Act, 105–6
 WTO rulings and, 55
 autocracies, IC judgments in, 15–16
 Aziz, Abdul, 213
 Badinter, Robert, 146, 147
 Ballin, Hirsch, 241–2
 Bellamy, Richard, 326
 Berger, John, 23
 bicameral parliamentary systems, 186–7
 Bill of Rights (1689), UK, 143
 bills of rights, domestic, 232, 247
 Blair, Tony, 90
Borgers v. Belgium, 71–2, 75
 Brighton Declaration, 151
 Brunner, Toni, 272–3
 Bush, George W., 24, 26, 33
 Canada
 constitutional rights in, 133
 FiT programme, 60–1, 62–4
 legal deterrence in, 57
 solar energy markets in, 60–3, 68
 Canadian European Trade Agreement, 57
 Cassese, Sabino, 221
 Cassin, René, 139–40, 143. *See also* Universal Declaration of Human Rights

- CAT. *See* Convention against Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
- CEDAW. *See* Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
- Cheney, Dick, 26
- Chile, 26
- civil law systems, 327
- civil rights, in UK, 143
- civil society activism, in rule of law democracies, 20–2
- civil universal jurisdiction, 31–4
 in EU, 31–2
 liability in, 27
 liberalism and, 32–4
 in the Netherlands, 31–2
 pluralism and, 32–4
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
- CoE. *See* Council of Europe
- Cold War
 ECHR during, 144
 international human rights during, development of, 144
- commissaires du government, 74–7, 85
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, OP-ICESCR, 119
- common law systems, international human rights treaties in, 6
- Communist Party, 146–7
- comparative law, Israel legal order and, 303–6, 307
 IL as influence on, 306–9
- complementarity
 in Australia, 93–4, 103–9, 111–12
 expansion of, 107–8
 with ratification of ICC, 103–9
 in ICC, 93
 margin of appreciation approach to, 112
 national implementation of, 96–103
 national implementation of, 96–103
 admissibility factors in, 98
 criteria for, 100
 features of, 97
 state-centered priority in, 96–7, 99–100
 unwillingness of state in, assessment of, 98–9
 in national laws, 93–4
 state sovereignty and, 103–9
- compliance, judicial, 164
- compulsory jurisdiction, in P-A theory, 122–3
- Conant, Lisa, 103–4
- Concluding Observations (COs) of UN human rights treaties bodies.
 See UN human rights treaties bodies
- Conservative Manifesto, 23–4
- constitutional democracies, 326
- constitutional pluralist theory, 172–2
- constitutionalism
 ICs influenced by, 1–2, 11, 14
 IL influenced by, 130
 international human rights and, in France, 154
 transnational, 130
 world, 130
- Convention against Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), 25, 229. *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), 229. *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD), 229, 297. *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 229. *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
- COs. *See* UN human rights treaties bodies
- Council of Europe (CoE), 22
 COs and, 248
 ECtHR and, 72
 Parliamentary Assembly of, 227

- la Cour de Cassation*, 147–8, 212
 Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), 13. *See also* judicial trust
 constitutional pluralist theory about, 172–2
 COs and, 230
 domestic courts and, 18–19, 20
 economic crisis of 2007/2008, 155
 EU law influenced by, 160–2
 European Judicial Training Network and, 173
 FIDE and, 173
 in Germany, 25
 German Constitutional Court and, 175
 in Italy, 25
 legal implications of, 160
 national courts and, 18–19, 20
 constitutional conflicts between, 173–3
 P-A theory and, 123
 Scandinavia and, 322, 323, 339
 TFEU and, 161
 as trust-enhancing institution, 171–3
 US and, 24
 courts. *See* family courts; interaction between courts; international courts; national courts; regional courts
 CRC. *See* Convention on the Rights of the Child
 credibility mechanisms, in legal deterrence, 56–8
 Czech Republic, international human rights treaties and
 ECHR and, 190
 empirical research on, 186–204
 dataset for, 186
 governmental manifestos in, 188–9, 194–202
 historical overview of national commitment, 189–94
 foreign policy goals and, 184–6
 research design for, 184–6, 203–4
 aims of, 185–6
Delcourt v. Belgium, 75
 Delmas-Mary, Mireille, 140–1
 democracies
 constitutional, 326
 ECHR in, 23
 ECtHR and, national courts influenced by, 88–9
 human rights in, 23–5
 IC judgments in, 15–16
 majoritarian, 326
 in Scandinavia, theoretical framework for, 324–7
 transitional, human rights in, 22–3
 Denmark. *See* Scandinavia
 d'Estaing, Giscard, 146–7
 deterrence, legal
 across borders, 46
 in Canada, 57
 channels of, 53–8
 through beliefs about subsequent rulings, 55–6
 credibility mechanisms, 56–8
 through domestic mobilisation, 54–5
 conceptual development of, 45–50
 defined, 46
 in ECJ cases, 50–1
 in ECtHR judgments, 50–1
 in financial markets, 58–63, 67–8
 empirical analysis of, 63–7
 FiT programme, 60–1, 62–4
 in private firms, 59
 in solar energy markets, 60–3, 65, 67, 68
 through ICC, 51
 by ICs, 50–63
 long-term implications for, 67–9
 methodological research on, 48–50
 in New Zealand, 52–3
 purpose of, 46
 through WTO, 52–3
 with dispute settlement understanding, 47
 ICJ rulings and, 48, 52
 in solar energy markets, 60–3, 65, 67, 68
 developing countries, ECtHR and, courts influenced by, 88–9

- dispute settlement mechanisms
 - in legal deterrence, through WTO, 47
 - third-party, in escalation of law of state immunity, 214
- domestic bills of rights. *See* bills of rights
- domestic courts. *See* national courts
- domestic politics
 - ICs influenced by, 7–8, 11–14, 34–5
 - in autocracies, 15–16
 - in democracies, 15–16
 - IL influenced by, 7–8, 13, 34–5
 - law of state immunity and, 207
 - harmonisation of domestic policies as factor in, 224–5
 - international judicial
 - interpretations and, domestic support for, 223–4
 - national constitutional norms as factor in, 224
 - unified domestic actors and, 224
- Downer, Alexander, 104
- Dreyfus Affair, 146
- Dutch Medical Research (Human Subjects) Act, the
 - Netherlands, 243
- Dworkin, Ronald, 325
- ECHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights
- ECJ. *See* European Court of Justice
- economic crisis of 2007/2008, 155
- ECPT. *See* European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment
- ECRI. *See* European Commission on Racism and Intolerance
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
- environmental NGOs, legal
 - mobilisation by, 21
- escalation, of law of state immunity, 208, 211–16
 - la Cour de Cassation* and, 212
 - NGOs and, 215
 - process-based approach to, 208–9
 - third-party dispute settlement mechanisms in, 214
- EU. *See* European Union
- EU law. *See* European Union law
- Eurolegalism, 21
- European Arrest Warrant, 163
- European Commission, 163
- European Commission on Racism and Intolerance (ECRI), 244
- European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment (ECPT), 190, 249
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - Brighton Declaration and, 151, 154
 - during Cold War, 144
 - criticism of, 322
 - Czech Republic and, 190
 - democracies' acceptance of, 23
 - ECtHR and, incompatibility with, 72
 - in EU law, 149–50
 - France and, 149–52
 - international human rights and, 140
 - ratification by, 145–6
 - role in development of, 140, 144
 - national courts and, 18–19, 262
 - reform of, 151, 154
 - Slovakia and, 190
 - Switzerland and, 263–4
 - accession to, 264–5, 272
 - compliance by, 270–1
 - Constitution influenced by, 274–5
 - criticism by, 273–4
 - on democratic legitimacy of ECHR, 272
 - judicial interpretation by, 265–6
 - UK exit from, 3
- European Convention on State Immunity, 210
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR). *See also* Switzerland
 - Borgers v. Belgium*, 71–2, 75
 - Brighton Declaration and, 151, 154
 - CoE and, 72
 - COs and, 230

- criticism of, 322
Delcourt v. Belgium, 75
 ECHR and, incompatibility with, 72
 France and, 139, 145–7, 149–52
 ignoring of case judgments by, 148–9
 individual petitions by, 140
 methodological approach to, 141–2
 ratification by, 145–6
 Selmouni v. France, 148–9
 human rights protections influenced by, 182
 judicial design agenda of, 73–90
 with advocates general, 74–7
 with commissaires du government, 74–7, 85
 for judicial discipline, 79–84
 with judicial officers, 74–7
 for military courts, 77–9, 86
 judicial reforms through, 72–3
 through judicial councils, 83
Kress v. France, 76
 law of state immunity and, 207–8, 218
 legal deterrence in, 50–1
Martinie v. France, 76
Morris v. UK, 77–8
 national courts and, 18–19, 84–90, 149–50, 262
 in developing countries, 88–9
 in established democracies, 88–9
 implementation of ECtHR judgments, 84–5
 separation of powers in, 88
 soft law standards for, 86–7
 uniformity of, 85–6
Olujic v. Croatia, 80–1
Özpınar v. Turkey, 81–2
Pitkevich v. Russia, 80
 reform of, 151, 154
Reinhardt and Slimane-Kaïd v. France, 76
 Russia and, 151
 Scandinavia and, 332, 339
 UHJC and, 82–3
 UK and, 3
 critique by, 151
 withdrawal from, 118
Volkov v. Ukraine, 82
 European Court of Justice (ECJ), 3
 legal deterrence in, 50–1
 European Judicial Training Network, 173
 European Social Charter, 190
 European Union (EU). *See also specific countries*
 civil universal jurisdiction in, 31–2
 European Union (EU) law. *See also specific courts*
 CJEU influenced by, 160–2
 ECHR applied to, 149–50
 IL as influence on, 19–20
 family courts, 300
 federalism, Swiss judges and, 268–9
 Fédération Internationale pour le Droit Européen (FIDE), 173
 feed-in tariff (FiT) programme, 60–1, 62–4
 FIDE. *See* Fédération Internationale pour le Droit Européen
 Fillon, François, 152, 153
 financial markets, legal deterrence in, 58–63, 67–8
 empirical analysis of, 63–7
 FiT programme, 60–1, 62–4
 in private firms, 59
 in solar energy markets, 60–3, 65, 67, 68
 FiT programme. *See* feed-in tariff programme
 Foreshore and Seabed Act (2004), the Netherlands, 251
 Former Yugoslavia. *See* Yugoslavia
 France
 Communist Party in, 146–7
 ECHR and, 149–52
 international human rights and, 140
 ratification of, 145–6
 role in development of, 140, 144
 ECtHR and, 139, 145–7, 149–52
 ignorance of case judgments, 148–9
 individual petitions to, 140

- France (cont.)
 methodological approach to, 141–2
 Selmouni v. France, 148–9
 international human rights in, 142–4, 147–9, 152–6
 constitutionalist view of, 154
 la Cour de Cassation, 147–8
 development of, 139–41, 143–4
 ECHR, 140
 during 1970s, 145–7
 sovereignism and, 153–4
 against torture, 148–9
 UDHR, 139–40
 Socialist Party in, 146–7
- Garzon, Baltasar, 26
- Genocide Convention Act (1949), Australia, 108
- German Constitutional Court, 175
- Germany
 CJEU and, 25
 law of state immunity and, 211–13, 219
 governmental manifestos, 188–9, 194–202
- Habeas Corpus Acts (1640 and 1679), UK, 143
- Hammarberg, Thomas, 87
- Helander, Petri, 237
- Helsinki-Process, 145
- Howard, John, 106–7
- HRC. *See* Human Rights Council
- human rights. *See also* international human rights; international human rights treaties
 under ATS, 28, 29–30, 33–4
 development of, theoretical processes in, 131–2
 ECtHR as influence on, 182
 in Latin America, 145
 in long-standing democracies, 23–5
 in newly independent states, 132
 theoretical overview of, 181–4
 in transitional democracies, 22–3
 in US, in state courts, 29–30
- Human Rights Act, UK, 3
- Human Rights Council (HRC), 305–6
- IACHR. *See* Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
- IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- ICC. *See* International Criminal Court
- ICCPR. *See* International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- ICERD. *See* Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
- ICESCR. *See* International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice
- ICs. *See* international courts
- ICTY. *See* International Criminal Tribunal of the Former Yugoslavia
- ideal judiciary, 88
- IL. *See* international law
- ILA. *See* International Law Association
- IMF. *See* International Monetary Fund
- immunity. *See* law of state immunity
- independence movements, 132
- India, solar energy markets in, 65, 67
- interaction between courts, in law of state immunity, 208, 216–22
 case timelines in, 217–18
 harmonisation in, 222
 hybridisation in, 222
 key decision-makers in, 217
 process-based approach to, 208–9
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR), 23.
 See also UN human rights treaties bodies
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR), 22–3
- Israel Supreme Court and, 308–9
- jurisdiction limits of, 118
- Sawhoyamaya Indigenous Community v. Paraguay*, 133
- inter-judiciary competition theory, 164, 175

- International Court of Justice (ICJ), 297
 law of state immunity and, 207–8, 219
 international courts (ICs). *See also* law of state immunity; Principal-Agent theory; Scandinavia; universal jurisdiction
ad hoc tribunals and, 95
 constitutionalist influences on, 1–2, 11, 14
 contingent effects of, 5–6
 domestic politics as influence on, 7–8, 11–14, 34–5
 in autocracies, 15–16
 in democracies, 15–16
 theoretical expectations about, 14–16, 17
 establishment of, 1–2
 expansion of, 296–7
 international human rights and, 153–4
 as international judicial bodies, 118–19
 Israel Supreme Court influenced by, 18
 law of state immunity in, 207–8
 legal deterrence by, 50–63
 legitimacy of, 319–20
 liberal theorist approaches to, 11–12
 domestic courts and, 17–18
 methodological approach to, 3–5
 research agenda in, 8
 national judges in, 16
 pluralist approach to, 11–12, 16
 domestic courts and, 17–18
 proliferation of, 11–12
 as quasi-judicial bodies, 118–19
 realist approaches to, 11, 14
 in rule of law democracies, 2–3, 12–13
 SCCED, 110
 sovereignty and, 153–4
 Swiss legal order and, 275
 TDR and, 128–9
 U.S. Supreme Court influenced by, 18
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), 229.
See also UN human rights treaties bodies
 creation of, 124–5
 Israel Supreme Court and, 304–6
 OP-ICCPR, 120
 P-A theory and, 124–5
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
 creation of, 124–5
 OP-ICESCR, 119, 125–6
 constitutionalism and, 132–3
 P-A theory and, 127
 pathways to ratification of, 127–33, 134–5
 in rights-protecting courts, 130–1
 socialisation and, 127–33
 TDR and, 128–9
 P-A theory and, 124–5
- International Criminal Court (ICC)
 Australia and, 18
 conservative approach in, 108
 Howard on, 106–7
 implementation of legislation in, 108–9
 JSCOT and, 104–5
 opposition to, 105–7
 ratification by, 103–9
 complementarity in, 93
 margin of appreciation approach to, 112
 national implementation of, 96–103
 compromise as facet of, 109–10
 establishment of, 95–6
 legal deterrence in, 51
 Rome Statute of, 95
 national implementation of, 101
 SCCED and, 110
 US opposition to, 106
 withdrawal from, 118
- International Criminal Tribunal of the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY), 96–7

- international human rights
 - contemporary theories of, 182–3
 - commitment motivation of states
 - in, 183
 - development of, 139–41, 143–4, 145–7
 - in France, 142–4, 147–9, 152–6
 - constitutionalist view of, 154
 - la Cour de Cassation*, 147–8
 - development of, 139–41, 143–4
 - ECHR and, 140
 - during 1970s, 145–7
 - sovereignism and, 153–4
 - against torture, 148–9
 - UDHR, 139–40
 - ICs and, 153–4
 - in Scandinavia, 320–3
- international human rights treaties. *See also* UN human rights treaties
 - bodies; *specific covenants*;
 - specific treaties*
- in bicameral parliamentary systems, 186–7
- classification of, 188
- in common law countries, 6
- empirical research on, 186–204
 - dataset for, 186
 - governmental manifestos in, 188–9, 194–202
 - historical overview of national commitment, 189–94
- increase in, 181
- ratification of, 183, 187–8
- research design for, 184–6, 203–4
 - aims of, 185–6
- international judicial bodies
 - defined, 118–19
 - P-A-theory and, 118–19
 - quasi-judicial bodies compared to, 119
- international law (IL). *See also* Israel Supreme Court; universal jurisdiction
 - in civil law systems, 327
 - constitutionalization of, 130
 - contingent effects of, 5–6
 - COs and, 230–1
 - domestic courts influenced by, 17–20
 - EU law and, 19–20
 - liberal theory on, 17–18
 - pluralist theory on, 17–18
 - domestic politics as influence on, 7–8, 13, 34–5
 - establishment of, 1–2
 - Israel comparative law influenced by, 306–9
 - P-A theory and, 118
 - in Scandinavia, 324
 - false-negatives in, 329
 - judicial dialogue thesis for, 324
 - legal precedents, 327–9
 - Swiss legal order influenced by, 269–72
 - Trump campaign against, 3
 - U.S. Supreme Court influenced by, 18
- International Law Association (ILA), 229–30
- International Monetary Fund (IMF), 15
- international relations (IR)
 - COs and, 230–1
 - P-A theory and, 118
 - Scandinavia and, 320–1
- international tribunals. *See also* International Criminal Court
 - ad hoc* international courts and, 95
 - historical development of, 94–6
 - hybrid courts and, 95
 - at Nuremberg, 94
- IR. *See* international relations
- Ireland v. the United Kingdom*, 145
- Israel Supreme Court, 18
 - domestic comparative law and, 303–6, 307
 - IL as influence on, 306–9
 - family courts and, 300
 - HRC and, 305–6
 - IACtHR and, 308–9
 - ICCPR and, 304–6
 - IL as influence on, 298–303, 306–15
 - on binding law, 303–6
 - data on, 300–3
 - domestic law and, 306–9
 - through ECtHR judgments, 302
 - through ICTR judgments, 308

- through ICTY judgments, 302, 307–8
- legitimacy of, 309–13
- Palestinian conflict cases, 297–9
 - non-democratic rule of occupied territories, 314
- regional courts as influence on, 299
- Italian Constitutional Court, 219–22
- Italy
 - CJEU in, 25
 - law of state immunity and, 219–22
- Japan, WTO rulings in, 52–3, 55
- Joint Standing Committee on Treaties (JSCOT), 104–5
- Jones v. UK*, 213–14, 222–3
- JSCOT. *See* Joint Standing Committee on Treaties
- judges, national
 - CJEU judgments and, 16
 - compliance by, 164
 - empowerment of, 164
 - in European Judicial Training Network, 173
 - in ICs, 16
 - inter-judiciary competition theory and, 164, 175
 - in Switzerland, 267–8
 - ECHR interpretation of, 265–6
 - ECtHR interpreted by, 265–6, 276–89
 - federalism of, 268–9
 - semi-direct democracy as influence on, 269, 278
 - Supreme Court judges, 268, 284–5
 - trust in, 161. *See also* judicial trust
- judicial councils, 83
- judicial deference, 164
- judicial design agenda, of ECtHR, 73–90
 - with advocates general, 74–7
 - with commissaires du gouvernement, 74–7, 85
 - for judicial discipline, 79–84
 - with judicial officers, 74–7
 - for military courts, 77–9, 86
- judicial dialogue thesis, 324
- judicial reforms, through ECtHR, 72–3
 - through judicial councils, 83
- judicial trust
 - citizens' trust compared to, 167
 - in CJEU, 161, 162–71, 173–4
 - conceptualisation of, 166–71
 - in cooperation system, 165, 175
 - by country, 170
 - defined, 166
 - European Commission and, 163
 - national legal systems as factor for, 171
 - variables of, 168–9
 - national judges' distrust of, 166–7
- judicial trust, inter-judiciary
 - competition theory and, 164, 175
- jurisdiction. *See also* civil universal jurisdiction; universal jurisdiction
 - compulsory, in P-A theory, 122–3
 - of IACtHR, limits of, 118
- Jurisdictional Immunities* case, 211–13, 221, 222–3
- Kaul, Hans-Peter, 102
- Kierulf, Anine, 323
- Klaus, Václav, 202
- Kress v. France*, 76
- labor unions, legal mobilisation by, 21
- Latin America, human rights
 - movement in, 145. *See also specific countries*
- law. *See* international law; legal regimes
- law of state immunity, 207–8
 - domestic politics and, 207
 - harmonisation of, 224–5
 - international judicial
 - interpretations and, support for, 223–4
 - national constitutional norms as factor in, 224
 - unified actors in, 224
- ECtHR cases and, 207–8, 218
- escalation of, to international courts, 208, 211–16
- la Cour de Cassation* and, 212

- law of state immunity (cont.)
 NGOs and, 215
 process-based approach to, 208–9
 third-party dispute settlement
 mechanisms in, 214
 European Convention on State
 Immunity and, 210
 evolution of, 210, 223
 Germany and, 211–13, 219
 ICJ cases and, 207–8, 219
 interaction in, between courts and
 domestic actors, 208, 216–22
 case timelines in, 217–18
 harmonisation in, 222
 hybridisation in, 222
 key decision-makers in, 217
 process-based approach to, 208–9
 Italy and, 219–22
Jones v. UK, 213–14, 222–3
Jurisdictional Immunities case,
 211–13, 221, 222–3
 methodology of research on,
 210–11
 outline of, 209–10
 overview of, 206
pluralism ordonné and, 209, 222
 state acceptance of, 223
 UK and, 213–14
 LDH. *See la Ligue des Droits de
 l'Homme et du Citoyen*
 Le Pen, Marine, 152
 legal deterrence. *See* deterrence
 legal mobilisation. *See also* civil society
 activism
 by environmental NGOs, 21
 Eurolegalism, 21
 in Europe, 21
 by labor unions, 21
 legal access as factor in, 21–2
 in UK, 21
 legal regimes
 conceptual development of, 117–18
 structuring power of, 6–7
 liability, in criminal universal
 jurisdiction, 27–8
 civil, in US, 27
 corporate, 28–9
 individual, 28
 liberalism
 civil universal jurisdiction and, 32–4
 ICs and, 11–12
 domestic courts and, 17–18
*la Ligue des Droits de l'Homme et du
 Citoyen* (LDH), 146
 Magna Carta (1215), 143
 majoritarian democracies, 326
Martinie v. France, 76
 Maxwell-Fyfe, David, 140
 May, Theresa, 3
 Conservative Manifesto and, 23–4
 Mayoral, Juan, 16
 McCormack, Tim, 110
 Members of Parliament (MPs), 227
 COs and, 236, 239–42, 251–2
 in New Zealand, 253
 Migration Amendment (Excision from
 Migration Zone) Act (2001),
 Australia, 105–6
 military courts
 ECtHR design agenda for, 77–9,
 86
 in UK, 86
 Mitterrand, François, 146, 147
 MNCs. *See* multi-national corporations
Morris v. UK, 77–8
 Most Similar System Design
 (MSSD), 330
 MPs. *See* Members of Parliament
 MSSD. *See* Most Similar System Design
 multi-national corporations (MNCs),
 under universal jurisdiction,
 31, 32–3
 national courts
 CJEU and, 18–19, 20
 constitutional conflicts between,
 173–3
 complementarity in, 96–103
 admissibility factors in, 98
 criteria for, 100
 features of, 97
 state-centered priority and, 96–7,
 99–100
 unwillingness of state towards,
 assessment of, 98–9

- criminal universal jurisdiction in, 26
 - in US courts, 27, 29–30
- ECHR and, 18–19, 262
- ECtHR and, 18–19, 84–90,
 - 149–50, 262
 - in developing countries, 88–9
 - in established democracies, 88–9
 - implementation of ECtHR judgments, 84–5
 - separation of powers in, 88
 - uniformity influenced by, 85–6
- IL as influence on, 17–20
 - EU law interpretations, 19–20
 - liberal theory on, 17–18
 - pluralist theory on, 17–18
 - soft law standards for, 86–7
 - in Switzerland, 275
- national laws, complementarity
 - in, 93–4
- the Netherlands
 - criminal universal jurisdiction
 - in, 31–2
 - Dutch Medical Research (Human Subjects) Act, 243
 - Foreshore and Seabed Act, 251
- New World Order, 325
- New Zealand
 - Foreshore and Seabed Act and, 251
 - legal deterrence in, 52–3
 - MPs in, reference to COs by, 253
 - WTO rulings and, 52–3, 55
- nongovernmental organisations (NGOs)
 - COs and, 230, 232–3, 248–9
 - environmental, 21
 - in escalation of law of state immunity, 215
 - parliamentary systems and, 228
 - in Scandinavia, 338–9
- Nordic countries. *See* Scandinavia
- Nordic Paradox, 321
- Norway, 321. *See also* Scandinavia
- Nuremberg Tribunal, 94
- Obama, Barack, 33–4
- Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 235
- Olujic v. Croatia*, 80–1
- OP-ICCPR. *See* Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- OP-ICESCR. *See* Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (OP-ICCPR), 120
- Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (OP-ICESCR), 119, 125–6
 - constitutionalism and, 132–3
 - P-A theory and, 127
 - pathways to ratification of, 127–33, 134–5
 - in rights-protecting courts, 130–1
 - socialisation and, 127–33
 - TDR and, 128–9
- Özpınar v. Turkey*, 81–2
- P-A theory. *See* Principal-Agent theory
- Palestinian conflict, in Israel Supreme Court, 297–9
 - non-democratic rule of occupied territories, 314
- parliamentary systems. *See also* UN human rights treaties bodies
 - bicameral, 186–7
 - civil society organisations and, 228
 - in CoE, 227
 - ECtHR judgments and, 227–8
 - MPs, 227, 236
 - NGOs and, 228
- Peace Movement Aotearoa, 249
- Pelc, Krzysztof, 328
- Pinochet, Augusto, 26
- Pitkevich v. Russia*, 80
- pluralism
 - civil universal jurisdiction and, 32–4
 - constitutional pluralist theory, 172–2
 - ICs and, 11–12, 16
 - domestic courts and, 17–18
- pluralism ordonné*, 209, 222
- Pollack, Mark, 23

- Principal-Agent (P-A) theory, 117,
 121–6
 CJEU and, 123
 compulsory jurisdiction in, 122–3
 ICCPR and, 124–5
 ICESCR and, 124–5
 IL and, 118
 international judicial bodies and,
 118–19
 IR and, 118
 non-state actor activation in, 123
 quasi-judicial bodies and, 118–19
 states' zone of discretion in, 121,
 122–4
 enforceability of judgments, 124
 interpretative authority and, 123
 trustee courts and, 122
 private firms, 59
- quasi-judicial bodies
 defined, 118–19
 international judicial bodies
 compared to, 119
 P-A theory and, 118–19
- realist theory, ICs and, 11, 14
 regional courts, in Israel, 299
 regional human rights systems, 248
Reinhardt and Slimane-Kaïd
 v. France, 76
 rights. *See* civil rights; human rights;
 international human rights
- Robertson, Sue, 110
 Rome Statute, of ICC, 95
 national implementation of, 101
- rule of law democracies
 civil society activism in, 20–2
 ICs in, 2–3, 12–13
- Russia, ECtHR and, 151
- Rwanda, criminal universal jurisdiction
 in, 25
- SAF. *See* *Syndicat des Avocats de France*
Sawhoyamaza Indigenous Community
 v. Paraguay, 133
- Scandinavia, ICs and
 CJEU and, 322, 323, 339
 ECtHR and, 332, 339
- IL in, 324
 false-negatives in, 329
 judicial dialogue thesis for, 324
 legal precedents, 327–9
 international human rights in, 320–3
 in IR literature, 320–1
 methodology for, 330–4
 citations in, measurement of,
 331–4, 335
 MSSD in, 330
 quantitative content analysis in,
 331–4
 results, 334–7
 NGOs and, 338–9
 Nordic Paradox for, 321
 research on, future perspectives for,
 338–9
 Supreme Courts in, 331–4
 theoretical framework for, 324–7
 types of democracy as factor in,
 324–7
- SCCED. *See* Special Criminal Court for
 Events in Darfur
- Selmouni v. France*, 148–9
- semi-direct democracy, in Switzerland,
 264, 267–8
 judges influenced by, 269, 278
- separation of powers, 88
- Slaughter, Anne-Marie, 325
- Slovakia, international human rights
 treaties and
 ECHR and, 190
- empirical research on, 186–204
 dataset for, 186
 governmental manifestos in,
 188–9, 194–202
 historical overview of national
 commitment, 189–94
 foreign policy goals and, 184–6
 research design for, 184–6, 203–4
 aims of, 185–6
- SM. *See* *Syndicat de la Magistrature*
- Socialist Party, 146–7
- soft law standards, 86–7
- solar energy markets
 in Canada, 60–3, 68
 in India, 65, 67
 WTO and, 60–3

- sovereignism, 153–4
- Special Criminal Court for Events in Darfur (SCCED), 110
- state sovereignty, complementarity and, 103–9
- states' zone of discretion, in P-A theory, 121, 122–4
 - enforceability of judgments, 124
 - interpretative authority and, 123
- Strasbourg Court. *See* European Court of Human Rights
- Sudan, SCCED in, 110
- Supreme Courts, national. *See also* Israel Supreme Court
 - in Scandinavia, 331–4
 - in Switzerland, 268, 284–5
 - in US
 - ATS suits and, 27
 - ICs as influence on, 18
 - IL as influence on, 18
- Sutton, Jim, 53
- Sweden. *See* Scandinavia
- Swiss People's Party, 273
- Switzerland
 - ECHR and, 263–4
 - accession to, 264–5, 272
 - compliance with, 270–1
 - Constitution influenced by, 274–5
 - criticism of, 273–4
 - democratic legitimacy of, 272
 - judicial interpretation of, 265–6
 - ECtHR and, 263–7
 - judicial interpretation of, by Swiss judges, 265–6, 276–89
 - judges in, 267–8
 - ECHR interpreted by, 265–6
 - ECtHR interpreted by, 265–6, 276–89
 - federalism of, 268–9
 - semi-direct democracy as
 - influence on, 269, 278
 - in Supreme Court, 268, 284–5
- legal order in
 - ICs and, 275
 - IL as influence on, 269–72
 - judicial interpretation, 267–8
 - national courts and, 275
 - semi-direct democracy in, 264, 267–8
 - judges influenced by, 269, 278
 - VCLT and, 279–80, 282–3
- Syndicat de la Magistrature* (SM), 146
- Syndicat des Avocats de France* (SAF), 146
- Tadić, Dusko, 96–7
- TDR. *See* triadic dispute resolution
- Teitgen, Pierre-Henri, 140
- TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
- Thatcher, Margaret, 90
- torture, 148–9
 - Jones v. UK*, 213–14
- transitional democracies, human rights in, 22–3
- transnational constitutionalism, 130
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 161
- triadic dispute resolution (TDR), 128–9
- tribunals. *See* international tribunals
- Trump, Donald, 3
- trustee courts, 122
- Tyrer v. the United Kingdom*, 145
- UDHR. *See* Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- UHJC. *See* Ukrainian High Justice Council
- UK. *See* United Kingdom
- Ukrainian High Justice Council (UHJC), 82–3
- UN human rights treaties bodies (COs),
 - parliamentary systems and, 227, 228, 229–31
 - boomerang effect and, 232
- CJEU and, 230
- CoE involvement as factor in, 248
- defensive reactions by, 243–5
- domestic bills of rights and, 232, 247
- ECtHR and, 230
- effectiveness of, 245–6
 - consensus against, 242–3
 - MPs role in, 239–42
 - parliaments as drivers of, 238–45
 - parliaments as obstacles for, 242–5

- UN human rights treaties bodies (cont.)
 - empirical results of, 237–45
 - existing domestic constitutional rights committees and, 246–7, 248
 - explanatory factors for, 245–53
 - government information about, 253
 - IL and, 230–1
 - ILA and, 229–30
 - impact of, 237–8, 245–6
 - domestic, 250
 - IR and, 230–1
 - judicial review of, 247–8
 - media coverage as influence on, 251
 - MPs and, 236, 239–42, 251–2
 - in New Zealand, 253
 - NGOs and, 230, 232–3, 248–9
 - overview of, 229
 - regional human rights systems and, involvement in, 248
 - research on
 - country selection in, 234–6
 - design of, 231–7
 - methodology for, 236–7
 - purpose of, 230–1
 - theory review in, 231–3, 234
 - self-righteous actions by, 243–5
- United Kingdom (UK)
 - Bill of Rights in, 143
 - Brighton Declaration, 151, 154
 - Conservative Manifesto in, 23–4
 - ECHR and, exit from, 3
 - ECtHR and, 3
 - critique of, 151
 - withdrawal from, 118
 - Habeas Corpus Acts in, 143
 - Human Rights Act, 3
 - human rights in, development of, 143–4
 - ICs and, 3
 - law of state immunity and, 213–14
 - legal mobilisation in, 21
 - Magna Carta in, 143
 - military courts in, 86
- United States (US)
 - ATS, 27
 - corporate liability under, 28–9
 - criticism of, 30–1
 - human rights violations under, 28, 29–30, 33–4
 - support for, 30–1
 - CJEU judgments and, 24
 - criminal universal jurisdiction in
 - in state courts, 29–30
 - in US courts, 27, 29–30
 - ICC support by, 106
 - state courts in
 - criminal universal jurisdiction in, 29–30
 - human rights cases in, 29–30
 - Supreme Court
 - ICs as influence on, 18
 - IL as influence on, 18
 - Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), 139–40, 143
 - universal jurisdiction, criminal, 25–34
 - civil, 31–4
 - in EU, 31–2
 - liability, in US, 27
 - liberalism and, 32–4
 - in the Netherlands, 31–2
 - pluralism and, 32–4
 - criticism of, 30–1
 - in Former Yugoslavia, 25
 - liability in, 27–8
 - civil, in US, 27
 - corporate, 28–9
 - individual, 28
 - limits of, 28–9
 - MNCs and, 31, 32–3
 - in national courts, 26
 - in US courts, 27, 29–30
 - in Rwanda, 25
 - in state courts, 29–30
 - for human rights cases, 29–30
 - supporters of, 30–1
 - US. *See* United States
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 279–80, 282–3, 297
- Volkov v. Ukraine*, 82
- Wildhaber, Luzius, 86
- Wind, Marlene, 104, 154

world constitutionalism, 130	
World Trade Organization (WTO)	
Australia and, 55	
in Japan, 52–3	
Japan and, 52–3, 55	
legal deterrence through, 52–3	
with dispute settlement	
understanding, 47	
ICJ rulings and, 48, 52	
	in solar energy markets, 60–3, 65,
	67, 68
	New Zealand and, 52–3, 55
	Yugoslavia, Former. <i>See also</i>
	International Criminal
	Tribunal of the Former
	Yugoslavia
	criminal universal jurisdiction in, 25