

INDEX

Abbreviations used in the index

ACHPR (African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (1981) (Banjul Charter))
 ACtHPR (African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights)
 AU (African Union) (formerly Organization of African Unity (OAU))
 CAT (UN Committee against Torture)
 CCJ (Community Court of Justice (ECOWAS))
 CERD (Convention on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination)
 ECOWAS (Economic Community of West African States/ECOWAS Treaty (1993))
 ICCPR (International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966))
 ICJ (International Court of Justice/ICJ Statute)
 ILC(SR) (International Law Commission Articles on State Responsibility)
 ITLOS (International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea/ITLOS Statute)
 OPEC (Occidental Exploration and Production Company)
 PCIJ (Permanent Court of International Justice/PCIJ Statute)
 PM Asia (Philip Morris Asia Ltd)
 ROC (Rules of Court)
 UDHR (Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948))
 UNC (UN Charter (1945))
 UNCAT (UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984))
 UNCITRAL Rules (UN Commission on International Trade Law Arbitration Rules)
 UNCLOS (UN Law of the Sea Convention (1982))
 UNSC (UN Security Council)
 VCLT (Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969))

abuse of rights/process as breach of good faith obligation 543

admissibility: *see* Torture Committee (CAT) (UNCAT Part II), admissibility

African Union (AU) (formerly Organization of African Unity (OAU)), "international court" (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10), whether 42

arbitral award

failure to deal with every question/argument submitted, relevance 531-2
 precedent (other tribunals), exclusion/tribunal's right to consider decisions 532

aut dedere aut punire/judicare: *see also* *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)*

customary international law, obligation to prosecute, whether 164-5, 178-9, 199-203

Belgium

passive personality principle (crime against national abroad), 75, 169, 204-6, 294-7, 334-7: *see also* *Habré, Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)*
 universal jurisdiction

Belgium (*cont.*)

Law of 16 June 1993 (punishment of grave breaches of international humanitarian law) (as amended in 1999 to provide for universal jurisdiction in case of crimes against humanity) *Note*: the Law of 1993 as amended in 1999 and 2003 was repealed by the Law of 5 August 2003 and its provisions distributed between the Criminal Code and the Criminal Procedure Code repeal (2003), effect 295-7

CAT: *see* Torture Committee (CAT) (UNCAT Part II); Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT)

compulsory jurisdiction (Optional Clause) (ICJ 36(2)), “any question of international law” (ICJ 36(2)(b))/customary international law 193-8, 328, 333-4

costs (UNCITRAL Rules)

jurisprudence

Guaracachi America 541-2

International Thunderbird Gaming 541-2

Les Laboratoires Servier 541-2

OPEC 541-2

PM Asia (costs) 527-55

costs (UNCITRAL Rules (2010))

allocation of costs (UNCITRAL 42)

1976 Rules distinguished 542

tribunal’s discretion “if apportionment is reasonable [in the] circumstances of the case” 542-6

abuse of right, relevance 543, 545

complexity/importance of issues 545-6

effort spent on major disputed issues 543, 544-6

procedural conduct of parties 544

request for unusual procedural approach 544-5

“unsuccessful party” status 543

work occasioned by heavily disputed points 544

amount (UNCITRAL 40(2)) 546-55

“costs” (UNCITRAL 40(2)) 551-2

arbitration costs 554

parties’ legal and other costs to the extent reasonable (UNCITRAL 40(2)(e)) 552-4
 text 551-2

ECOWAS (CCJ)

admissibility (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10)

“legality of an action in relation to any community text”, limitation to Member States, Council of Ministers and the Executive Secretary (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(b)) 51

“same matter has been instituted before another international Court” (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(d)(ii)) 41-2

“shall not be anonymous” (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(d)(i)) 41-2

applicable law (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 19) 43

jurisdiction (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9)

human rights violations (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9(4)) 40-1

in case of reasonable and convincing evidence of risk of future violation 54-6

hypothetical cases/examination of law as such, exclusion 54-6

inadmissibility of arguments relating to the merits 39-40

- procedure for determining 40-1
 - “same matter has been instituted before another international Court” (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(d)(ii)) 41-2
- ECOWAS (CCJ), Rules of Procedure (2002)**
 - 34 (service of application on defendant) 29
 - 87(1) (preliminary procedure: preliminary plea not going to the substance) 29, 42-3
 - 87(2) (preliminary procedure: application requirements) 29, 42-3
 - 87(3) (preliminary procedure: written observations on preliminary objections) 29
 - 87(4) (oral proceedings) 29
 - 89 (intervention) 45
- ECOWAS Protocol on Democracy and Good Governance (2001)** 27, 50-1
- effective remedy before national authority**, right of appeal and right to an effective remedy distinguished 51-2
- Enrica Lexie (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(1)))**
 - admissibility
 - parties’ positions (India) 670-3
 - parties’ positions (Italy) 670, 672-3
 - Tribunal’s analysis
 - differences between UNCLOS 290(5) and UNCLOS 290(1) requests 674
 - obligation to exchange views (UNCLOS 283(1)) 669-70
 - Tribunal’s finding 674
 - UNCLOS 290(1) request as “first such request” 673-4
 - UNCLOS 290(1) and UNCLOS 290(5) procedures distinguished 673
 - background (factual)
 - Enrica Lexie* incident 661-2
 - questions from Tribunal/parties’ responses 662-8
 - background (procedural)
 - constitution of Tribunal 656-7
 - institution of the proceedings (26 June 2015) 654
 - Italy’s request for provisional measures pending the constitution of the Tribunal (UNCLOS 290(5)) (“ITLOS Request”) 655-6
 - parties’ reports on compliance 656
 - parties’ final submissions (India) 661
 - parties’ final submissions (Italy) 660
 - proceedings (UNCLOS 290(1) request) 657-60
 - Tribunal’s right to prescribe measures different in whole or in part from those requested (ROC 89(5)) 685
 - due process considerations
 - parties’ positions (India) 684
 - parties’ positions (Italy) 683-4
 - Tribunal’s analysis 685
 - measures prescribed/*dispositif*
 - assurance of return of accused person if so required by Tribunal’s decision on merits 687-8
 - cooperation between Italy and India to achieve relaxation of bail conditions for considerations of humanity 685-7
 - preservation of the respective rights of the parties
 - parties’ positions (India) 680-1
 - parties’ positions (Italy) 678-80
 - Tribunal’s analysis 681-3
 - considerations of humanity 681-2
 - imminent risk of irreparable prejudice test 681
 - prima facie jurisdiction

Enrica Lexie (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(1))) (cont.)

- parties' positions (India) 668-9
- parties' positions (Italy) 668
- Tribunal's analysis
 - Annex VII arbitration in absence of UNCLOS 287(1) declaration (UNCLOS 287(3) and (5)) 669
 - existence of dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (UNCLOS 288(1)) 669
- urgency as UNCLOS 290(1) requirement
 - parties' positions (India) 675-6
 - parties' positions (Italy) 675
 - Tribunal's analysis
 - preservation of the respective rights of parties, link with 678
 - review of jurisprudence 676-8

Enrica Lexie (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(5)))

- admissibility/requirements
 - abuse of process claim (UNCLOS 294(1)) (Italy's recourse to international proceedings) 573-4
 - Lucky J on 636
 - Tribunal's conclusion 574
- exhaustion of local remedies (UNCLOS 295) 572-3
 - Lucky J on 645
 - Tribunal's conclusion 573-82
- obligation to exchange views (UNCLOS 283(1)) 571-2
 - Tribunal's conclusion 572
- prima facie jurisdiction/dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (UNCLOS 288(1)) 569-71
 - Bouguetaia VP on 603-5
 - Jesus J on 597-9
 - Lucky J on 634-43
 - Ndiaye J on 613-23
 - parties' agreement on existence of a dispute 571
 - parties' arguments (India) 570-1
 - parties' arguments (Italy) 569-70
 - Tribunal's conclusion 571
- UNCLOS 95(5) read in conjunction with UNCLOS 290(1) requirement 574
- urgency/real and imminent risk of irreparable harm or prejudice 575-80
 - Bouguetaia VP on 605-8
 - Chandrasekhara Rao J on 605-8
 - Francioni, Judge ad hoc on 595-7
 - Jesus J on 599-600
 - Kateka J on 583-5
 - Lucky J on 643-5
 - Ndiaye J on 624-30
 - parties' arguments (India) 576-8
 - parties' arguments (Italy) 575-7
 - Tribunal's conclusion on need for measures 578
- costs (ITLOS 34) 582
- measure prescribed (suspension by both parties of all court proceedings) 581-2
 - non-anticipation of the merits 581
 - Paik J on 585-9
 - waiver of claims/admission of claims of other party, preclusion 581
- measures appropriate to preserve the rights of the parties (UNCLOS 290(1)) 574-5, 578-81

- parties' arguments (India) 574-5, 579-80
- parties' arguments (Italy) (including request for removal of restrictions on the two marines/considerations of humanity) 574, 579
 - Chandrasekhara Rao J on 608-13
 - Francioni, Judge ad hoc on 590-7
 - Jesus J on 599-600
 - Kelly J on 589-90
 - Paik J on 586-8
 - preservation of parties' rights and 601-2
- Tribunal's conclusions
 - plausibility test 575
 - preservation of the respective rights of the parties requirement 580
 - status of Italian marines as matter relating to jurisdiction 579, 581
 - Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different in whole or in part from those requested (ROC 89(5)) 580
- procedural matters 564-9
 - appointment of judge ad hoc (ITLOS 17(2)/ROC 8) 564, 566
 - Italy's request for provisional measures 567-8
 - non-disclosure of confidential information relating to medical matters 564-7
 - parties' submissions (India) 568
 - parties' submissions (Italy) 568
- prompt compliance obligation (UNCLOS 290(6))
 - notification of compliance (ROC 95(1)) 582
 - Tribunal request for information on implementation of measures (ROC 95(2)) 581-2
- separate opinions and declarations
 - Bouguetaia VP (dissenting) 602-8
 - prima facie jurisdiction 603-5
 - urgency 605-8
 - Chandrasekhara Rao J (dissenting) (urgency/real and imminent risk of irreparable harm or prejudice/considerations of humanity) 608-13
 - Francioni, Judge ad hoc (Italy's request for removal of restrictions on the two marines/considerations of humanity) 590-7
 - urgency 595-7
 - Heidar J 645-50
 - ICJ/PCIJ judgment, request for interpretation (ICJ 60 and ROC 98/PCIJ 60 and ROC 79), plausibility test 649-50
 - UNCLOS 290(1) (*pendente lite*) and UNCLOS 290(5) proceedings (pending constitution of Annex VII tribunal) distinguished 647
 - Jesus J (separate opinion) (Italy's request for removal of restrictions on the two marines/considerations of humanity) 597-602
 - preservation of parties' rights and 601-2
 - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice 600
 - urgency 599-600
 - Kateka J (declaration) (urgency) 583-5
 - Kelly J (declaration) (Italy's request for removal of restrictions on the two marines/considerations of humanity) 589-90
 - Lucky J (dissenting) 630-45
 - abuse of process (UNCLOS 294(1)) 636
 - exhaustion of local remedies 645
 - existence of a dispute 634-6
 - prima facie jurisdiction/dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (UNCLOS 288(1)) 637-43
 - urgency 643-5

Enrica Lexie (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(5))) (cont.)

- Ndiaye J (dissenting) 613-30
 - existence of a dispute 613-23
- Paik J (declaration) (Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different from those requested) 585-9
 - Italy's request for removal of restrictions on the two marines 586-8
 - suspension of all court proceedings 586

erga omnes obligations, UNCAT obligations 169-70, 204-8, 250-2, 297-300, 309-12

erga omnes obligations, jurisprudence

- Application of the Genocide Convention* 298
- Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 298
- Barcelona Traction* 169-70, 206, 258-9, 297-8, 337-8
- Construction of a Wall* 298
- East Timor* 298
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 169-70, 190-2, 204-8, 241-52, 297-300, 309-12, 331-2, 337-44
- Reservations to the Genocide Convention* 169-70, 206, 337-8

exhaustion of local remedies (UNCLOS 295), *Enrica Lexie* (Provisional Measures) 572-3, 645

good faith and abuse of rights/pacta sunt servanda (UNCLOS 300)

- abuse of process, tribunal's right to determine *ex proprio motu* (UNCLOS 294(1)) 573-4, 590-1
- recourse to international proceedings in parallel with domestic proceedings 573-4, 636

Guengung (alleged breach of UNCAT 5(2) and UNCAT 7(1) aut dedere aut punire obligation): see also Habré (ECOWAS)

- admissibility
 - Committee's decision 12-13
 - matter not being examined under another international procedure (UNCAT 22(5)(a)) 12
 - "subject to its jurisdiction" requirement (UNCAT 22(1)) 12-13
 - universal jurisdiction principle 13
 - complainants' comments 10-12
 - State party's observations 10
- alleged breach of UNCAT 5(2) (*aut dedere aut punire/judicare*)
 - Committee's considerations 19-20
 - complainants' observations 16-18
 - State party's observations 14-15
- alleged breach of UNCAT 7 (establishment of universal jurisdiction)
 - Committee's considerations
 - failure to submit case to competent authorities 20
 - refusal to extradite 20
 - complainants' observations 18
 - complaint 8-9
 - State party's observations 15-16
- Committee's finding of violation of UNCAT 5(2) and UNCAT 7 (UNCAT 22(7)) 20
- facts as submitted by the complainants 4-6
- judicial proceedings/judicial independence (Senegal), State party's observations 13-14
- procedural background
 - complainants 4
 - notification of communication to State party 4
 - Senegal's UNCAT 22 declaration 4

remedies

- Committee's recommendations 21
- complainants' observations 18
- complainants' requests 9-10
- State party's obligation to report on compliance measures 21
- State party's observations 16

Habré (ECOWAS): *see* *Guengueng, Habré* (ECOWAS) (merits); *Habré* (ECOWAS) (preliminary objections)

Habré (ECOWAS, background (procedural)) (in date order)

- political asylum in Senegal (1990) (expired) 28
- proceedings in Senegalese and Belgian courts and CAT (2000) 28
- Belgian international arrest warrant/Dakar Court of Appeal's dismissal for want of jurisdiction (2005) 28
- AU Assembly's mandate to Senegal to prosecute Habré (2006) 28-9
- ECOWAS application (October 2008) 26-7, 44-5
- application to intervene (December 2008) 45-6

Habré (ECOWAS) (merits) 44-58

costs 58

Court's analysis of alleged breaches

ECOWAS Protocol (Democracy and Good Governance)

applicant's claim 50-1

"legality of an action in relation to any community text", limitation to Member States, Council of Ministers and the Executive Secretary (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(b)) 51

effective remedy (ICCPR 2(3)/Senegalese Constitution 74)

applicant's claim 51

right of appeal and right to an effective remedy distinguished 51-2

equality before the law, *res judicata* and fair trial obligations 50obligation to respect *res judicata* 58

parties' arguments (applicant) 37

non-retroactivity of criminal law (UDHR 11(2)/ACHPR 7(2)), Court's consideration of Senegal's position (legislation in compliance with mandate of an international organization/international obligation) 54-7

action going beyond requirements of mandate 57

criminality of act according to general principles of law at time committed exception (ICCPR 15(2)) 56-7

non-retroactivity of criminal law (UDHR 11(2)/ACHPR 7(2)), parties' arguments

applicant 53-4

Senegal 54

reasonable and convincing evidence of risk of future violation 54-6

separation of powers/judicial independence (ECOWAS Protocol (Democracy and Good Governance) 1(a)), status as a human right 52

parties' arguments (applicant) 33-6

Court's decision 57-8

facts according to the parties

applicant 46-8

Senegal 48

parties' arguments

applicant 48-9

Senegal 49-50

- Habré (ECOWAS) (preliminary objections)** 26-44: *see also* ECOWAS (CCJ)
 admissibility
 Court's analysis 41-2
 "same matter has been instituted before another international Court" 41-2
 "shall not be anonymous" (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 10(d)(i)) 41-2
 Court's decision 42
 parties' submissions
 applicant 38-9
 Senegal 38
 costs (reservation) 44
 Court's decision 42-4
 jurisdiction (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9), Court's analysis
 decision 41
 inadmissibility of arguments relating to the merits 40-3
 procedure for determining jurisdiction 40-1
 jurisdiction (ECOWAS Protocol (CCJ) 9), parties' submissions
 applicant's replies to Senegal's arguments on legislation 33-7
 convergence principle
 applicant 27
 Senegal 31-3
 effective remedy (UDHR 8/ICCPR 2(3)(a))
 applicant 26-7
 Senegal 30-1
 equality before the law (UDHR 7 and UDHR 10/ICCPR 14(1) and ICCPR 26/
 ECOWAS 3/Senegalese Constitution 7(4))
 applicant 27
 Senegal 31
 fair trial (UDHR 10 and UDHR 11/ICCPR 14/ECOWAS 7(1))
 applicant 27
 applicant's replies 37
 Senegal 30-1
 non-retroactivity of criminal law (UDHR 11(2)/ACHPR 7(2))
 applicant 27
 Senegal 30
res judicata, application 27
 separation of powers/judicial independence (ECOWAS Protocol (Democracy and
 Good Governance) 1(a)), application 27
**Hague Convention IV on the Laws and Customs of Law on Land (1907)/Hague
 Regulations (1907)**
 initiation of ECOWAS proceedings 29
 Hague Regulations (1907), amendments to Senegalese Criminal Code (2007) 28-9
human rights obligations 228-31
- ICJ judgment, request for interpretation (ICJ 60 and ROC 98)**
 admissibility, time limits, absence 368, 437
 Court's role (clarification of meaning and scope of judgment) 369-70, 478-9
 exclusion of matters not addressed in original judgment 489
 finality/*res judicata*/binding force of judgment as limitation 363, 475-6, 478-9
 reasoning inseparable from the operative part, admissibility 363-4, 467-8, 479,
 492-3
non ultra petita principle 479

- relevance of
 - conduct of parties 480
 - judgment headnote (1946 Rules of Court, Article 74(1)) 479-80
- existence of “dispute as to meaning or scope of judgment” requirement 363-6, 451-3, 466-74
 - “*contestation*” vs “*différend*” (ICJ 36(2) and ICJ 60) 436, 451-2, 467
 - “dispute” for purposes of ICJ 36(2) distinguished 363, 451-2, 467
 - formulation of dispute, Court’s right to determine 479
 - responsibility for determining 451-2
- finality of judgment and 363, 475-6
- jurisdiction
 - ICJ 60 as autonomous basis 363, 466-7
 - limited nature 437-45
- jurisprudence
 - Asylum Case* 369-70, 438
 - Avena* 363-4, 367, 369-70, 438, 446, 451-2, 466-8
 - Interpretation of Judgments 7 and 8 (Factory at Chorzów)* 363, 438, 442, 467-8, 479-80, 492-3
 - Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria) (Request for Interpretation)* 363-4, 467-8, 475-6, 492
 - Temple of Preah Vihear*: see *Temple of Preah Vihear*
 - Tunisia/Libya* 369-70, 467, 475-6
- provisional measures (ICJ 41(1)): see also *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Provisional Measures)
 - admissibility of provisional measures under ICJ 60 436
 - connection with dispute before the Court 366-7, 369-70, 439-41
 - expedited procedure alternative 436-7
 - ICJ 36 boundary dispute cases distinguished 441-5
 - limited nature of ICJ 60 jurisdiction and 375, 382-7, 431-5, 437-48, 453-4
 - plausibility of requested rights 366-8
- VCLT rules, applicability 480
- ICJ jurisdiction (general)**
 - multiple/parallel bases, jurisprudence
 - Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 326-7
 - Electricity Company of Sofia and Bulgaria* 326
 - Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria)* 326-7
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 326-7
 - Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 326
- ICJ Rules of Court (1946) by rule**, 74(1) (Court’s judgment: required elements) 479-80
- ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule**
 - 38(4) (provision of copy of application to respondent) 77, 361
 - 42 (transmission of copies of application) 78, 361
 - 53(2) (availability of documents to the public) 146, 457
 - 61(4) (Court’s indication of points to be addressed by parties: timing of replies) 457
 - 72 (oral proceedings: written reply to question/evidence or explanation) 146, 457
 - 73 (request for interim protection) 145, 455-6
 - 73(2) (request: required information) 361, 456
 - “shall specify the reasons therefor” (post-1978) 77
 - 74 (request for interim measures) 145

ICJ Rules of Court (1978 as variously amended) by rule (*cont.*)

- 74(3) (interim protection: date for oral hearing) 77-8, 361, 438
- 75 (provisional measures) 145
- 75(2) (provisional measures: Court's right to prescribe measures other than those requested) 374-5
- 75(3) (rejection of request for provisional measures: party's right to make new request) 92
- 95(1) (Court's judgment: required elements) 479-80
- 98(1) (request for interpretation of judgments) 438, 455-6
- 98(3) (request for interpretation by application: requirements) 456
- 98(4) (request for interpretation: opportunity for written or oral explanations) 457

interest (arbitration costs) 555

international crimes, classification as, torture, UNCAT 4 15

Italy, collision in foreign territorial sea, jurisdiction 571-2

ITLOS procedure

- Guidelines concerning the Preparation and Presentation of Cases before the Tribunal, para. 14 566
- judge ad hoc, appointment (ITLOS 17(2)/ROC 8) 564
- non-disclosure of confidential information relating to medical matters 564-7

ITLOS Rules

- 8 (judges ad hoc) 564
- 9 (judges ad hoc: declaration under ITLOS 11) 566
- 45 (consultation with parties on procedure) 564-6
- 67(2) (public availability of pleadings and documents) 566
- 68 (initial deliberations) 566
- 73(2) (consultation with parties) 564-5
- 74 (public hearing in absence of decision to the contrary) 566-7
- 76(3) (judges' right to ask questions) 567
- 89(5) (Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different from those requested) 580, 585-9
- 90(2) (date of hearing) 565
- 95(1) (notification of compliance) 582
- 95(2) (Tribunal request for information on implementation of measures) 582

judge ad hoc (ICJ 31(2) and (3))

- appointment (examples)
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 78, 145
 - Temple of Preah Vihear (Request for interpretation of 1962 judgment)* 361, 456
- equality of parties and, equalization of situation when there is no judge with the nationality of any of the parties (ICJ 31(3)) 78

role and purpose

jurisprudence

Application of the Genocide Convention 136-7

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) 136-7, 139

national representative distinguished 139

***jus cogens*/peremptory norm (VCLT 53) including torture/inhumane treatment**

(State/head of State immunity considerations), jurisprudence,

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (Cançado Trindade J) 241-50

legal dispute, need for/classification as/requirements (including ICJ 36)

- critical date/date arising
 - date of application 84-5, 161-2
 - date of court's decision 142-3
 - events arising after/continuing events 85
 - events during the proceedings, relevance 195-8
- critical date/date arising, jurisprudence
 - Application of the Genocide Convention* 196-7
 - Border and Transborder Armed Actions (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 84-5
 - Georgia v. Russia* (CERD) 197-8
 - Lockerbie* 84-5
 - Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions* 196-7
 - South West Africa* cases 84-5
- "dispute as a disagreement on a point of law or fact, a conflict of legal views or interests between two persons" (*Mavrommatis*)/express difference/positive opposition, need for, jurisprudence
 - Georgia v. Russia* (CERD) 161-2
 - Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania* 161-2
 - Northern Cameroons* 451-2
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 84-5, 97-9, 142-3, 161-5, 316-17
 - South West Africa* cases 97, 142-3, 161-2
- mootness 96-7

legal dispute, need for/existence of/obligation to indicate subject matter, jurisprudence,

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) 82-7, 316-17

negotiation as means of dispute settlement

- exhaustion of established processes/duty to negotiate in good faith
- "exhaustion", futility/deadlock, determination of 166-70, 317-24
- jurisprudence
 - Application of CERD* 317
 - Georgia v. Russia* (CERD) 166
 - Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions* 319
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 85-6, 166-7, 283-7, 317-21, 332-3
 - South West Africa* cases 166-7
 - US Diplomatic and Consular Staff in Tehran* 319-20

non ultra petita rule (ICJ)

- Arrest Warrant Case* 479
- Avena* (Request for Interpretation) 479
- Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation) 479

nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege non-retroactivity (ICCPR 15)

- criminality of act according to general principles of law at time committed exception (ICCPR 15(2)) 56-7
- Habré* 53-7

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal): see *Guengueng*; *Habré* (ECOWAS); *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* (background (factual and procedural) (in date order)); *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* (Merits); *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* (Provisional Measures)

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (background (factual and procedural) (in date order))

- Habré's alleged violation of human rights/political asylum in Senegal (1982-90) 150
- indictment by Dakar *Tribunal régional hors classe* (3 February 2000) 150
- annulment of proceedings against Habré for want of jurisdiction (18 February 2000) 150
- filing of complaints with Belgian investigating judge (30 November 2000-11 December 2001) 151
- issue of letters rogatory to Senegal and Chad requesting information/judicial cooperation (19 September/3 October 2001) 151
- international arrest warrant/extradition requests (22 September 2005) 152
- Dakar Court's refusal to consider extradition request on immunity grounds (25 November 2005) 152
- referral to AU (26 November 2005)/AU mandate (July 2006) 152
- Belgian–Senegal Notes on implications of AU proceedings for extradition request (30 November/23 December 2005) 152-3
- Belgian Notes seeking response on extradition request (11 January/9 March 2006) 153
- CAT decision in *Guengueng* case (17 May 2006) 154
- Belgian Note proposing arbitration (20 June 2006) 153-4
- Senegalese legislative reforms (2007) 155
- Senegalese Note on non-retroactivity (21 February 2007) 155-6
- Belgian Note on arbitration (8 May 2007) 156
- exchanges on judicial cooperation (8 May-17 January 2012) 156
- Senegalese legislative reforms (2008) 156-7
- filing of complaint with Dakar Appeal Court (2008) 157
- Belgian application to ICJ (9 February 2009) 74-6, 144-5, 157
 - appointment of judge ad hoc 78, 145
 - request for provisional measures (9 February 2009) 77, 145
- ACtHPR ruling on *Yogogombaye* (15 December 2009) 157
- ECOWAS CCJ ruling on potential of Senegalese legislative reforms to violate Habré's human rights (18 November 2010) 157-8
- AU Assembly's confirmation of 2005 mandate to Senegal (January/July 2011) 158
- CAT reminder to Senegal of compliance obligation (12 January/24 November 2011) 159
- second Belgian request for extradition/dismissal by Dakar courts (15 March 2011) 158-9
- third Belgian request for extradition/dismissal by Dakar courts (5 September 2011) 159
- fourth Belgian request for extradition/Senegal's response (January 2012) 159
- AU Assembly's observation on Rwanda's willingness to organize Habré trial (January 2012) 159-60

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (Merits)

- admissibility
 - Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations (UNCAT) 169-70
 - parties' arguments
 - Belgium 169
 - Senegal 169
- alleged violations of UNCAT 5(2), UNCAT 6(2) and UNCAT 7(1) 171-3
 - Court's analysis and finding (delay in amending legislation as impediment to fulfilment of obligations) 172-3
 - parties' arguments
 - Belgium 171
 - Senegal 171

- alleged violation of UNCAT 6(2) (obligation to make preliminary enquiry immediately) 173-5
 - Court's analysis
 - 2008 complaint against Habré 175
 - Members' choice of means 175
 - nature of enquiry 174-5
 - purpose of enquiry 174
 - standards of evidence (UNCAT 7(2)) 174
 - Court's finding 175
 - parties' arguments
 - Belgium 173-4
 - Senegal 174
- alleged violation of UNCAT 7(1) *aut dedere/aut punire* obligation 175-82
 - implementation of UNCAT 7(1) obligation, time limits (Court's analysis) (factors considered)
 - ECOWAS CCJ decision of 18 November 2010 181
 - internal law (VCLT 27) 182
 - object and purpose as determining factor (as soon as possible/without delay) 182
 - Senegal's financial difficulties 181-2
 - implementation of UNCAT 7(1) obligation, time limits (Court's finding) 182
 - limitation of UNCAT obligations to acts subsequent to entry into force 178-9
 - implementation of UNCAT 7(1) obligation, time limits (parties' arguments)
 - Belgium 180-1
 - Senegal 181
 - nature and meaning
 - Court's analysis (relevance of extradition option) 177
 - parties' arguments (Belgium) 176-7
 - parties' arguments (Senegal) 177
 - temporal scope 177-80
 - applicability to events prior to applicant Belgium's accession 179-80
 - customary international law/*jus cogens* prohibition of torture 178
- application (text) 74-6, 146-7
- background: *see Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* (background (factual and procedural) (in date order))
- Court's findings 184-5
- jurisdiction 160-8
 - "cannot be settled through negotiation" requirement (UNCAT 30(1))/futility, determination of 166-7
 - CAT 30(1)/ICJ 36(2) as basis 160-1
 - Court's conclusion 168
 - existence of a dispute (Court's analysis)
 - CAT 5(2) obligations 162-3
 - CAT 6(2) and CAT 7(1) 163-4
 - critical date (date of application) 161-5
 - customary international law obligation to bring criminal proceedings against Habré 164-5
 - existence of a dispute (parties' arguments) 161
 - "shall be submitted to arbitration" (UNCAT 30(1)) 167-8
 - "unable to agree on the organization of the arbitration" 168
 - "within six months" 168
- parties' arguments (oral proceedings)
 - Belgium 148-9

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (Merits) (cont.)

Senegal 149

parties' arguments (written proceedings)

Belgium 147

Senegal 147-8

remedies (speedy compliance with UNCAT obligations) 182-3

separate and dissenting opinions and declarations

Abraham J (separate opinion) 193-204

jurisdiction (customary international law claims (ICJ 36(2))) 193-8

jurisdiction (customary international law obligations) 199-203

jurisdiction (existence of a dispute) 195-8

Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 209-82

Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations 250-2

human rights obligations as obligations of result 228-31

limitation of UNCAT obligations to acts subsequent to entry into force, criticism of Court's position 273-7

questions put to parties by Cançado Trindade J 220-8

violations of customary international law obligations, admissibility (UNCAT 30(1)) 264-7

Donoghue J (declaration) 307-13

Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations 309-12

extradition option (UNCAT 7(1)), relevance 308-9

nature and meaning of the *aut dedere aut punire/judicare* obligation (UNCAT 7(1)) 307-13

Owada J (declaration) 185-92

admissibility (Court's failure to address Belgium's standing as an injured State (ILC (SR) 42(b)(i))) 190-2

interdependence of UNCAT 5(2), UNCAT 6(2) and UNCAT 7(1) 186-90

Sebutinde J (separate opinion) 314-24

"cannot be settled through negotiation" requirement (UNCAT 30(1)) 317-21

compulsory jurisdiction (Optional Clause) (ICJ 36(2)) as basis of jurisdiction 324-8

existence of a dispute 316-17

jurisdiction (customary international law claims (ICJ 36(2))) 328

jurisdiction (UNCAT 30(1)) 314-24

multiple/parallel bases of jurisdiction 326-7

"shall be submitted to arbitration" (UNCAT 30(1)) 321-4

Skotnikov J (separate opinion) 204-9

admissibility (Court's failure to address Belgium's standing as an injured State (ILC (SR) 42(b)(i))) 204-8

Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations 204-8

existence of dispute 208-9

passive personality principle (UNCAT 5(1)(c)) 204-6

Sur, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) 328-48

admissibility (Court's failure to address Belgium's standing as an injured State (ILC (SR) 42(b)(i))) 338-40

Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations 331-2, 337-44

"cannot be settled through negotiation" requirement (UNCAT 30(1)) 332-3

existence of a dispute/subject matter 329-32

implementation of UNCAT 7(1) obligation, time limits 345-7

jurisdiction (customary international law claims (ICJ 36(2))) 333-4

obligation to establish jurisdiction over offences where alleged offender is in the territory (UNCAT 5(2)) 345

- obligation to make preliminary enquiry immediately (UNCAT 6(2)) 344
- passive personality principle (UNCAT 5(1)(c)) 334-7, 342-4
- Xue J (dissenting opinion) 294-307
 - Belgium's standing/enforcement of *erga omnes* obligations (UNCAT) 297-300
 - date for determining nationality, changes to the law 295-7
 - financial difficulties, relevance 305-7
 - interdependence of UNCAT 5(2), UNCAT 6(2) and UNCAT 7(1) 300-3
 - nature and meaning of the *aut dedere aut punire/judicare* obligation (UNCAT 7(1)) 303-5
 - passive personality principle (crime against national abroad) (UNCAT 5(1)(c)) 294-7
 - referral to AU, relevance 305-7
- Yusuf J (separate opinion) 282-94
 - "cannot be settled through negotiation" requirement (UNCAT 30(1)) 283-7
 - nature and meaning of the *aut dedere aut punire/judicare* obligation (UNCAT 7(1)) 291-4
 - obligation to make preliminary enquiry immediately (UNCAT 6(2)) 288-91
 - "unable to agree on the organization of the arbitration" (UNCAT 30(1)) 287-8
- Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (Provisional Measures)*** 143
 - Court's decision 92
 - jurisdiction on the merits as a separate question 92
 - measure requested (requirement for Habré to be kept under the control and surveillance of the Senegalese judicial authorities) 77
 - parties' arguments
 - Belgium 79, 81, 88-91
 - Senegal 79-82, 88, 90-1
 - prima facie jurisdiction requirement/existence of dispute (UNCAT 30(1))
 - "cannot be settled through negotiation" requirement (UNCAT 30(1)) 85-6
 - continuing dispute 85
 - Court's analysis 82-7
 - critical date (date of application) 84-5
 - parties' arguments
 - Belgium 83-4
 - Senegal 84
 - plausibility test 88
 - procedural history 74-82
 - appointment of judge ad hoc 78
 - request for provisional measures (9 February 2009) 77
 - purpose/requirements (preservation of parties' rights) (ICJ 41)
 - connection with real subject matter of dispute/merits 87-9
 - serious/imminent risk of irreparable prejudice test 89-92
 - undertaking not to take action identified as posing risk, effect 91-2
 - separate and dissenting opinions/declarations
 - Al-Khasawneh and Skotnikov JJ (joint separate opinion) (existence of a dispute (UNCAT 30(1))) 96-9
 - express disagreement, need for 97-9
 - mootness 96-7
 - Cançado Trindade J (dissenting opinion) 99-136
 - appropriate provisional measures 126-31
 - background to Habré-related cases 111-16
 - future role of provisional measures 131-6
 - history and rationale, overview 101-10
 - legal nature of the right to be preserved 123-6

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) (Provisional Measures)
 (*cont.*)

- urgency 118-21
- Koroma and Yusuf JJ (joint declaration) 93-5
 - prohibition of impunity, recognition of AU and Senegalese respect for 95
 - undertaking not to take action identified as posing risk, effect 94-5
- Sur, Judge ad hoc (separate opinion) 136-43
 - binding force/compliance obligation 138-9
 - Court's failure to acknowledge significance of Belgium's amendment of request 137-8
 - existence of dispute, critical date 142-3
 - manifest absence of jurisdiction test 139-41
 - plausibility test 138-9
 - role of judge ad hoc 136-7, 139

pacta sunt servanda/good faith observance of treaties (VCLT 26), municipal/internal
 law as justification for non-observance, exclusion (VCLT 27) 182

passive personality principle (crime against national abroad)

- as accepted practice, critical date for determination of nationality 295-7
- torture (UNCAT 5(1)(c)) 200, 204-6, 294-7, 334-7, 340-4

Philip Morris: see PM Asia (costs)

PM Asia (costs)

- allocation of costs (UNCITRAL 42(1)) (tribunal's discretion "if apportionment is reasonable [in the] circumstances of the case")
 - parties' requests
 - claimant 533-8
 - respondent 538-42
- Tribunal's analysis 542-6
 - abuse of right, relevance 543, 545
 - complexity/importance of the issues 545-6
 - jurisprudence 543
 - procedural conduct of parties 544
 - request for unusual procedural approach 544-5
 - text (UNCITRAL 42(1)) 542
 - "unsuccessful party" status 543
 - work occasioned by heavily disputed points 544
- Tribunal's conclusions
 - Admission Objection 545-6
 - claimant's reimbursement obligations 554-5
 - decision on allocation 546
 - Timing Objection 545
- amounts claimed (UNCITRAL 40(2)) (parties' positions)
 - claimant 546-7
 - respondent 547-51
- amounts claimed (UNCITRAL 40(2)) (Tribunal's analysis and decision)
 - arbitral costs 554
 - "costs" (UNCITRAL 40(2)) 551-2
 - decision in relation to UNCITRAL 40(2)(a), (b), (c) and (f) 552
 - text 551-2
 - parties' legal and other costs to the extent reasonable (UNCITRAL 40(2)(e)) 552-4
 - interest 555
 - procedural background

- applicable law (UNCITRAL 42(1)) 532-3
- definitions 528
- parties
 - claimant 529
 - respondent 529
- PM Asia* (jurisdiction and admissibility) Award
 - key events subsequent to 530-1
 - summary 529-30
- Tribunal's approach to Award (costs)
 - non-binding effect of awards of other tribunals/consideration of decisions 532
 - omission of party's argument, relevance 531-2
- Tribunal's decision 555
- provisional measures (ICJ 41/PCIJ 41)** *Note:* For discussion of matters related specifically to ICJ 60 requirements: *see Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Provisional Measures)
- binding force/compliance obligation
 - Armed Activities (Congo v. Rwanda)* 377
 - LaGrand* 138-9, 377, 382
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 138-9
 - Temple of Preah Vihear* 377, 382
- cessation of military action/withdrawal of military forces 361-2
- Court's power to act "if it considers that circumstances so require" (ICJ 41(1)) (inherent power/discretionary nature) 431-5
- "any" measure 432
- Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 374-5, 431-5
- fresh request on basis of new facts (ROC 75(3)) 92
- as "incidental proceedings" 379-86, 431-45
- jurisdiction on the merits as a separate question 92
- measures requested/ordered
 - control and surveillance of the judicial authorities over potential absconder 77
 - refraining from action which might prejudice rights/aggravate or extend dispute 361-2
 - withdrawal of armed forces/compliance with ceasefire agreement 375-82, 384-6
- prima facie jurisdiction/admissibility on the merits
 - compliance with terms of consent to jurisdiction/*compromis* 82-7
 - manifest absence of jurisdiction/outside the purview of the court test 139-41
 - threshold 88
- provisional measures (including ICJ 41/PCIJ 41), jurisprudence:** *see also Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* (Provisional Measures); *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Provisional Measures)
 - Aegean Sea Continental Shelf* 433-4
 - Application of the Genocide Convention* 87, 431-2, 435, 443
 - Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo* 374, 431-2
 - Avena* (Request for Interpretation) 435, 443-4
 - Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area* 366-7, 374, 380-2, 432, 435, 444
 - Certain Criminal Proceedings in France (Congo v. France)* (Provisional Measure) 89
 - Frontier Dispute (Burkina Faso/Mali)* 380-2, 431-4, 453-4
 - Georgia v. Russia* 87, 89, 431-2, 435
 - LaGrand* 382

provisional measures (including ICJ 41/PCIJ 41), jurisprudence (cont.)

Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon/Nigeria) (Provisional Measures) 87, 373, 374, 380-2, 384-6, 432-4, 441-2

Legal Status of the South-Eastern Territory of Greenland 433-4

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite 435

Passage through the Great Belt 89

Pulp Mills (Provisional Measures) 89

provisional measures, purpose/requirements *Note:* for discussion specifically related to UNCLOS 290, *see also* provisional measures (UNCLOS 290)

connection with dispute before Court 87-9, 435

ICJ 60 (request for interpretation proceedings) and 367, 369-70

non-anticipation of decision on merits 368, 377, 433-4, 581

plausibility of requested rights 88, 139, 366-8, 575, 649-50

prevention of aggravation or extension of dispute 374-5, 444-5

prevention of irreparable damage or prejudice

probability of irreparable damage 121-3

serious/imminent risk test 89-92

undertaking not to take action identified as posing risk, effect 91-2, 94-5

urgency 118-21, 370-4

continuing clashes/ceasefire 373-4

jurisprudence

Avena 370

Certain Activities Carried Out by Nicaragua in the Border Area 370, 677

Temple of Preah Vihear 370-4

Timor-Leste v. Australia 677-8

real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 370

provisional measures (UNCLOS 290): *see also* *Enrica Lexie* (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(1))); *Enrica Lexie* (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(5)))

admissibility/requirements

dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (UNCLOS 288(1)) 569-71, 613-23

prima facie jurisdiction: *see* prima facie jurisdiction *below*

UNCLOS 290(5) in conjunction with UNCLOS 290(1) 574

urgency: *see* urgency *below*

measures requested/ordered

removal of restrictions on liberty of detained persons 586-97, 599-600, 605-13

suspension of court proceedings 581-2

Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different from those requested (ITLOS ROC 89(5)) 586

Orders

24 August 2015 *The Enrica Lexie* (UNCLOS 290(5) request) 564-82

Enrica Lexie (UNCLOS 290(1) request) 654-88

pending constitution of Annex VII arbitral tribunal (UNCLOS 290(5)): *see also* *Enrica Lexie* (Provisional Measures (UNCLOS 290(5)))

provisional measures *pendente lite* (UNCLOS 290(1)) distinguished 647, 673

prima facie jurisdiction (UNCLOS 290(5))

failure to identify relevant articles 637-8

standard/burden of proof 639-41

prompt compliance obligation (UNCLOS 290(6)), waiver of claims/admission of claims of other party, preclusion 581

purpose (UNCLOS 290(1)), preservation of the respective rights of the parties 574-5, 578-81, 601-2, 681-3

- relevant factors
 - assurances relating to return of accused person if so required by Tribunal's decision on the merits 681-3, 687-8
 - considerations of humanity 574, 579, 589-602, 681-2, 685-8
 - due process considerations 683-5
- Tribunal's right to prescribe measures different in whole or in part from those requested (ROC 89(5)) 580, 585-9, 685
- UNCLOS 290(1) (*pendente lite*) and UNCLOS 290(5) proceedings (pending constitution of Annex VII tribunal) distinguished 647
- urgency 575-80, 599-600, 646-9
 - restrictions on personal liberty and 595-7, 599-600, 605-8
 - UNCLOS 290(1) requirement, whether/link with respective rights of the parties
 - Enrica Lexie* 676-8
 - Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 677
- retroactive legislation:** *see also nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity (ICCPR 15)
 - legislation in compliance with mandate of an international organization/international obligation 53-7
 - action going beyond requirements of mandate 53-7
 - legislation implementing UNCAT (1984) 53-7
- Senegal:** *see also Habré* (ECOWAS) (merits); *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* (Belgium v. Senegal)
 - compulsory jurisdiction (Optional Clause) (ICJ 36(2)), reservation 160-1, 324
 - Constitution 1976 by article
 - 9(2) (non-retroactivity principle) 156-7
 - 9(3) (non-retroactivity principle: exception) 156-7
 - 74 (right to see declaration of law as unconstitutional) 51-2
 - Criminal Code 1999 by article, 431-6 (trial for offences against general principles of international law whenever committed) 155
 - Criminal Procedure Code by article
 - 664bis (nationality principle) 155
 - 669(7) (prosecution of aliens) (as amended) 155
 - Extradition Law 1971 by article
 - 9 (documentation showing evidence of institution of criminal proceedings) 158-9
 - authenticity requirement 159
 - 13 (transmission of documentation to Procureur Général/interrogation: time limits) 158-9
 - nullum crimen nulla poena sine lege*/non-retroactivity (ICCPR 15): *see also Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* (Belgium v. Senegal) (Merits)
 - Habré* 53-7
 - Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT): *see Guengueng* (alleged breach of UNCAT 5(2) and UNCAT 7(1) *aut dedere aut punire* obligation)
- State responsibility, invocation (ILC(SR) 42-8)**
 - invocation by injured State (ILC(SR) 42)
 - invocation by State other than injured State (ILC(SR) 48), customary international law, whether 338-40
 - jurisprudence, *Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite* (Belgium v. Senegal) (separate opinions) 190-2, 204-8, 338-40

- Temple of Preah Vihear***: see *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) (Merits) (jurisdiction and admissibility); *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) (Provisional Measures) (jurisdiction and admissibility); *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (factual background/procedural history) (in date order); *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Merits); *Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Provisional Measures)
- Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) (Merits) (jurisdiction and admissibility)**
- admissibility
 - Court's analysis and conclusion (finality/*res judicata*/binding force of judgment (ICJ 60/ROC 98) as limitation) 475-6
 - parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 475
 - Thailand 475
 - Court's conclusion 476
 - "dispute as to meaning or scope of judgment" requirement (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) 466-74
 - "dispute" for purposes of ICJ 36(2) distinguished 467
 - existence of dispute
 - Court's analysis 470-2
 - conclusion (provisional measures Order) 468
 - parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 469-70
 - Thailand 470
 - ICJ 60 as autonomous basis 466-7
 - subject matter of dispute
 - Court's analysis
 - binding effect of decision based on Annex I map 473
 - nature of Thailand's obligation to withdraw 474
 - "vicinity on Cambodian territory" 473
 - parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 472, 474
 - Thailand 472-4
- Temple of Preah Vihear* (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) (Provisional Measures) (jurisdiction and admissibility)**
- existence of "dispute as to meaning or scope of judgment" requirement (ICJ 60 and ROC 98) 363-6, 451-3
 - Court's conclusion 365-6
 - "dispute" for purposes of ICJ 36(2) distinguished 363
 - dispute relating to reasoning inseparable from the operative part 363-4
 - parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 364-5
 - Thailand 365
 - ICJ 60 as autonomous basis 363
 - subject matter of dispute
 - Court's analysis
 - binding effect of decision based on Annex I map 365-6
 - nature of Thailand's obligation to withdraw 365-6
 - "vicinity on Cambodian territory" 365-6

Temple of Preah Vihear (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (factual background/procedural history) (in date order)

- 1904 Treaty (France [Cambodia]-Siam [Thailand] Treaty (1904))/establishment of Mixed Commission 461-2
- 1907 (Mixed Commission's maps including Dangrek map (Annex I map)) 461-2
- 1959 (Cambodia's seisure of the ICJ) 462
- 1961 (ICJ decision on jurisdiction) 462-3
- 1962 (ICJ decision on the merits), Cambodia's reliance on Annex I map 462-4
- 1962-3 events 464
- 1997 (establishment of Thai-Cambodian Joint Commission on Demarcation for Land Boundary (Joint Commission)) 464
- 2000 (Joint Commission's Memorandum of Understanding) 464
- 2007 (Cambodia's request to UNESCO for inscription of the Temple's site on the World Heritage List) 464-5
- Thailand's challenge to Cambodia's map 465
- 2008 (inscription of Temple on World Heritage List) 465
- 2011 (UNSC call for permanent ceasefire to border incidents following listing) 465
- 2011 (request for interpretation of 1962 judgment) 455-6, 465-6
 - ICJ summary of Cambodia's application 358-61, 457-8
 - request for provisional measures 361-2, 455-6
- 2011 (Order of 18 July indicating provisional measures) 456
- appointment of judges ad hoc 361, 456
- location of Temple 461

Temple of Preah Vihear (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Merits)

- application 457-8
- Court's analysis
 - inscription on World Heritage List, effect 491
 - interrelationship between operative paragraphs 489-91
 - key features of 1962 judgment
 - limitation to sovereignty in the "region of the Temple of Preah Vihear" 482-3
 - role of Annex I map 481-2
 - as sovereignty dispute vs boundary demarcation 480-1
 - operative paragraph 1 of 1962 judgment (situation of Temple in territory under sovereignty of Cambodia) 483
 - operative paragraph 2 (withdrawal from "the Temple, or its vicinity on Cambodian territory") 483-9
 - 1962 evidence regarding the locations of Thai military/police forces 485-6
 - Court's conclusion on correct interpretation of "vicinity on Cambodian territory" 486
 - Court's reasoning 486-9
- Court's conclusions 491
- Court's decision 491-2
- Court's role (ICJ 60)
 - clarification of meaning and scope of judgment 478-9
 - exclusion of matters not addressed in original judgment 489
 - finality/*res judicata*/binding force of judgment as limitation
 - dispositif*/operative clause, limitation to 451-3, 466-74
 - "reasoning inseparable from the operative part" 467-8, 479
 - formulation of dispute, Court's right to determine 479
 - non ultra petita* principle 479
 - oral proceedings 460-1

Temple of Preah Vihear (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Merits) (cont.)

- relevance of
 - judgment headnote (1946 Rules of Court, Article 74(1)) 479-80
 - parties' conduct 480
 - written proceedings 458-9
- parties' arguments (Cambodia), summary 476-7
- parties' arguments (Thailand)
 - oral proceedings 461
 - summary 477-8
 - written proceedings 459-60
- separate opinions and declarations
 - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 493-517
 - compliance with provisional measures Order, parties' submissions 506-7
 - conclusions 515-17
 - dispositif* "reasoning inseparable from the operative part" 509-15
 - incidents leading to request for provisional measures and interpretation of 1962 judgment 500-4
 - prohibition of use of force/peaceful settlement obligation 507-9
 - review of 2011 provisional measures Order 504-6
 - review of parties' positions 495-8
 - "vicinity"/"withdraw" 498-500
 - Cot, Judge ad hoc (declaration) ("in the vicinity of the Temple") 521-4
 - Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) ("in the vicinity of the Temple") 517-21
 - Owada, Bennouna and Gaja JJ (joint declaration) ("reasons inseparable from the operative part") 492-3

Temple of Preah Vihear (Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 15 June 1962) (ICJ 60/ROC 98) (Provisional Measures)

- Court's decision 377-9
- measures prescribed
 - Court's decision 375-7
 - binding force/compliance obligation 377, 382
 - Court's right to indicate measures other than those requested (ROC 75(2)) 374-5
 - prevention of aggravation or extension of dispute and 374
 - provisional measures incidental to main proceedings 379-84
 - demarcation of provisional demilitarized zone for purposes of, whether 382-7, 431-5, 446-8
 - withdrawal of armed forces/compliance with ceasefire agreement/respect for UNC 2(4) 375-7
- measures requested
 - ban on all Thai military activity in the Temple area 361-2
 - immediate and unconditional withdrawal of Thai forces in Temple area 361-2
 - Thai refraining from action interfering with rights of Cambodia or aggravating the dispute 361-2
- object/purpose of request for interpretation (ICJ 60) (clarification of meaning and scope of judgment) 369-70
- purpose/requirements (ICJ 41(1)) (connection with dispute before the Court) 366-70
 - Court's analysis and conclusion 368
 - absence of time limits for requests for interpretation 368
 - continuing nature of Thailand's obligations under the 1962 judgment 368
 - plausibility/prima facie test, sufficiency 368

- parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 367, 369
 - Thailand 367-9
- purpose/requirements (ICJ 41(1)) (non-anticipation of the merits) 368, 377
- purpose/requirements (ICJ 41(1)) (urgency) 370-4
 - Court's analysis
 - continuing clashes/failure of ceasefire 373-4
 - real and imminent risk of irreparable prejudice requirement 370
 - parties' arguments
 - Cambodia 371-2
 - Thailand 372-3
- separate and dissenting opinions and declarations
 - Al-Khasawneh J (dissenting) (status of provisional demilitarized zone) 386-7
 - Cançado Trindade J (separate opinion) 387-430
 - interests of the population vs territory and property 402-12, 422-35
 - prohibition of use or threat of force/peaceful settlement obligation (UNC 2(4)) 413-17
 - protection of the World Cultural Heritage 417-21
 - time and the law 389-402
 - Cot, Judge ad hoc (dissenting) 448-54
 - "*contestation*" vs "*différend*" (ICJ 36(2) and ICJ 50) 451-2
 - existence of "dispute as to meaning or scope of judgment" requirement 451-3
 - request for revision of decision (ICJ 61) vs interpretation (ICJ 60) distinguished 449-51
 - status of provisional demilitarized zone 453-4
 - Donoghue J (dissenting) (provisional measures as incidental to main proceedings) 435-45
 - admissibility of provisional measures under ICJ 60 436
 - conclusion 445
 - "*contestation*" vs "*différend*" (ICJ 36(2) and ICJ 50) 436
 - expedited procedure alternative 436-7
 - ICJ 60 cases and ICJ 36 boundary dispute cases distinguished 441-5
 - limited nature of ICJ 60 jurisdiction 437-45
 - non-aggravation measures, appropriateness 444-5
 - Guillaume, Judge ad hoc (declaration) (status of provisional demilitarized zone) 446-8
 - Koroma J (declaration) (status of provisional demilitarized zone) 384-6
 - Owada P (dissenting) (provisional measures as incidental to main proceedings) 379-84
 - binding force/compliance obligation 382
 - demarcation of provisional demilitarized zone for purposes of 382-6
 - Xue J (dissenting) (status of provisional demilitarized zone) 431-5
 - Court's power to act "if it considers that circumstances so require" (ICJ 41(1)) (inherent power/discretionary nature) 431-5
- torture**
 - customary international law 178
 - prohibition and obligation to prosecute distinguished 178-9
 - as international crime, relevance of UNCAT 4 15
 - jurisdiction: *see* torture, criminal jurisdiction (including UNCAT obligations)
 - as *jus cogens*/peremptory norm 241-50
- Torture Committee (CAT) (UNCAT Part II)**
 - admissibility

Torture Committee (CAT) (UNCAT Part II) (cont.)

- matter not being examined under another international procedure (UNCAT 22(5)(a)) 12
- “subject to its jurisdiction” requirement (UNCAT 22(1)), relevant factors/national 12-13
- universal jurisdiction principle 13
- compliance mechanisms 299-300

Torture Convention (1984) (UNCAT)

- customary international law and, prohibition of torture and UNCAT obligations distinguished 178-9, 199-203
- derogation, exclusion (UNCAT 2(2)) 339-40
- dispute settlement clause (UNCAT 30(1)) as basis for submission to ICJ
 - “cannot be settled through negotiation” requirement (UNCAT 30(1)) 85-6, 166-7, 283-7, 317-21, 332-3
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 82-7, 89-92, 160-8, 186-90
 - “shall be submitted to arbitration” (CAT 30(1)) 160-8
 - “unable to agree on the organization of the arbitration” 168, 287-8, 321-4
 - “within six months” 168
- violations of customary international law obligations, admissibility 264-7
- erga omnes* nature of obligations 169-70, 190-2, 204-8, 250-2, 297-300, 309-12, 331-2, 337-44
- implementing legislation, non-retroactivity principle 53-7
- object and purpose (preamble) (“to make more effective the struggle against torture”) 182
- temporal scope 177-80
 - applicability to events prior to applicant State’s accession 179-80
 - jurisprudence
 - Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)* 177-80
 - OR, MM and MS 179
 - limitation of UNCAT obligations to acts subsequent to entry into force 178-9, 312
- travaux préparatoires* 175-6

torture, criminal jurisdiction (including UNCAT obligations)

- aut dedere aut punire/judicare* (UNCAT 5(2)/UNCAT 7(1)) 175-82: *see also Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal)*
- extradition option, relevance 177, 308-9
- factors of possible relevance
 - decisions of other judicial/semi-judicial authorities 181, 305-7
 - financial difficulties 181-2, 305-7
- implementation of UNCAT 7(1) obligation, time limits 180-2, 345-7
 - as soon as possible/without delay 182
- internal law (VCLT 27) 182
- nature and meaning of the obligation 177, 291-4, 303-5, 307-13
- obligation to establish jurisdiction over offences where alleged offender is in the territory (UNCAT 5(2)) 345
- as part of package of UNCAT obligations 176
- obligation to make preliminary enquiry immediately (UNCAT 6(2)) 173-5, 288-91, 344
 - choice of means 175
 - nature of enquiry 174-5
 - standards of evidence (UNCAT 7(2)) 174
- passive personality principle (UNCAT 5(1)(c)) 200, 204-6, 294-7, 334-7, 340-4

travaux préparatoires as supplementary means of interpretation (VCLT 32), in respect of UNCAT 7(1) 175-6

UNCITRAL Rules (2010)

40(2) ("costs") 551-2

text 551-2

40(2)(e) (parties' legal and other costs to the extent reasonable) 552-4

42(1) (costs: allocation of: unsuccessful party/reasonable apportionment)

532-46: *see also* costs (UNCITRAL Rules (2010))

text 542

42(2) (costs: amount) 546-55

UNCLOS dispute settlement (Part XV: Section 2 (compulsory procedures entailing binding decisions: jurisdiction (UNCLOS 288)))

choice of procedure (UNCLOS 287)

Annex VII arbitration in absence of UNCLOS 287(1) declaration 669

Annex VII arbitration in case of parties' choosing different procedures (UNCLOS 287(5)) 669

dispute concerning the interpretation or application of the Convention (UNCLOS 288(1))

Enrica Lexie 571

failure to identify relevant articles 637-8

parties' agreement on the existence of a dispute 571

universal jurisdiction

alternative bases of jurisdiction, passive personality principle 133

jurisprudence

Arrest Warrant Case 201

Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite (Belgium v. Senegal) 199-203

State practice 199-203

Torture Convention (1984): *see* torture, criminal jurisdiction (including UNCAT obligations)

World Heritage List, Temple of Preah Vihear and 417-21, 491