

Index

- A. C. Gopalan v. State of Madras*, 168
A. D. M. Jabalpur v. Shivkant Shukla,
 164–165, 166
A. K. Gopalan v. State of Madras, 151, 188
 Abbas, Mahmoud, 265, 266
 Abdel Nasser, Gamal, 260
 Ackerman, Bruce, 40
 African National Congress (ANC), 25, 77, 200,
 208, 255, 290, 317
 African Union (AU), 233, 238
 Chair, 195
 Agamben, Giorgio, 71
Air Force Officers case, 217
Almira Patel v. Union of India, 181
 ANC. *See* African National Congress (ANC)
 Argentina, 28
 Asia, constitutional courts, 28
 Asmal, Kader, 208
 Asshiddiqie, Chief Justice Jimly, 31,
 251–252, 275
 association, implied freedom of, 132
 Austin, Granville, 152, 160
 Australia, 46
 Bill of Rights, absence of, 91, 93, 123
 Chifley ALP government, 112
 constitutional culture, 91, 93, 94, 105, 107,
 143, 145, 243
 constitutional democracy, 47
 constitutional law doctrine, 92
 constitutional politics, 92
 constitutionalism, 95, 124
 rights-based, 121, 144
 Convention Debates, 141, 142
 democratic legalism, 47, 81, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95,
 107, 109, 115, 118, 129, 133, 135, 141, 143,
 145, 243, 245–246, 282, 290, 302
 democratic politics, 91, 105
 doctrine of proportionality, 19
 Engineers case. *See* *Engineers case*
 federalism, 129
 High Court. *See* High Court of Australia
 judicial review regime, 47, 80, 94,
 140–145, 243
 consolidation, 26, 270, 302
 evolution, 290
 “exogenous shock,” 94, 144, 282
 federalist, 91
 implied political values, enforcement
 of, 246
 increasing-returns path, 270
 threat to institutionalization, 99
 transformation, 133–134, 241, 282
 law/politics relation, 11, 91, 93,
 94, 100, 107, 122, 135, 141, 144, 145,
 243, 290
 legal independence from Britain, 93
 legalism, 107, 139
 Dixonian consolidation, 114–120
 Liberal Party, 101, 112, 113, 116
 native title, 128
 political values underlying democratic
 system, 134
 representative and responsible government,
 139, 140, 282, 290
 separation of law and politics, 93, 95, 105, 243
 separation of powers, 134, 145
Australia Acts 1986, 123, 144
Australian Broadcasting Corporation v. Lange,
 282, 290
Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd
v. Commonwealth, 125, 126, 127, 130, 131,
 133, 137

- Australian Communist Party v. Commonwealth*, 101, 113, 116
- Australian Constitution, 47, 91, 127, 245, 270, 272
- federalist nature, 94, 141, 145
 - foundation, 81
 - framers' intentions, reflection of, 142
 - implied freedoms, 120, 131–132
 - political communication, 125, 126, 129, 133, 134, 138–140, 145, 290
 - voting, 131, 138
 - interpretation, 102
 - limited purpose, 98
 - referendum, 111
 - repatriation of, 123
 - “representative government” doctrine, 126
 - rights, 81
 - s 109, 98
 - s 24, 130, 131
 - s 51 heads of power, 96
 - s 51(xxix), 107
 - s 51(xxxv), 103, 106, 125
 - s 7, 130, 131
 - s 81, 111
 - s 92, 101, 110, 113
 - s 96, 109
 - unwritten political values, 63
- Australian Labor Party (ALP), 100, 101, 107, 108, 110, 116
- High Court of Australia, conflict with, 94
 - socialization program, 99, 101, 104, 110
- Australian National Airways Pty Ltd v. Commonwealth*, 110, 112, 116
- authoritarian constitutionalism, 78
- authoritarian instrumentalism, 3, 50, 51, 83–84, 87, 88, 256, 261–269
- authoritarian legalism, transformation to, 89
 - conceptual ground zero, 88
 - crisis management tool, 262
 - democratic elections and, 84
 - democratic instrumentalism, transformation to, 89
 - democratic legalism, transformation from/to, 87, 308
 - durability, 305
 - Egypt, in, 279
 - judicial review under, 83, 262, 263
 - judiciary, role of, 83
 - law, role of, 83, 262
 - law's subordination to politics, 233, 261, 263
 - managerial response to insubordinate judicial review, as, 262
 - Myanmar, in, 266, 293, 305
 - nature of, 3
 - Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT), in, 293, 305
 - politically subordinated judiciary, 305
 - power-holders' claim to authority, 84
 - pro-democracy groups in, 327
 - property rights, impact on, 233
 - stability, 233
 - subordination of partially independent judiciary, 305
 - substantive benefits, 88
 - subtypes, 262–263
 - summary, 269
 - Zimbabwe, in, 231, 232, 262, 263, 305
- authoritarian legalism, 3, 50, 81–83, 87, 88, 89, 257–261, 305
- authoritarian instrumentalism, transformation to, 89
- Chile, in, 288
- consolidation of regime, 275
 - democratic instrumentalism, transformation to/from, 308
 - democratic legalism passing through, 327
 - democratic legalism, transformation from, 87, 317
 - distinguishing feature, 82
 - Egypt, in, 260–261
 - Hungary, in, 251, 274
 - India, in, 166
 - judicial review under, 82, 233, 262
 - judiciary, role of, 82
 - law/politics separation, 51
 - multi-faceted judicial review regime, 233
 - national security threats as trigger, 288
 - nature of, 3, 257
 - political opposition shifts, vulnerability to, 224
 - power-holders' claim to authority, 81
 - separation of law and politics, distorted view of, 257
 - Singapore, in, 259
 - South Africa, in, 303
 - stabilization, 87–88
 - summary, 269
 - Zimbabwe, in, 48, 206, 218, 224, 233, 239, 240, 257, 263, 283, 301, 308
- authoritarianism, 327
- competitive, 77

- authoritarianism (cont.)
 electoral, 77
 Indonesia, in, 275
 judicial capitulation to, 317
 judicial review and, 295, 327
 contribution of, 311
 Zimbabwe, in, 196, 197, 205, 209, 218, 223,
 225, 233, 234
 authority, concept of, 6
- BALCO Employees Union v. Union of
 India*, 180
- Banana, Canaan, 201
- Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India*, 172
- Bangladesh, 160
- Bank of New South Wales v. Commonwealth*,
 110, 112, 113, 116
- Barwick, Sir Garfield, 119, 120, 133
- Baxi, Upendra, 163, 166
- Bellamy, Richard, 309, 313, 315, 316, 321
- Bhagwati, Justice P. N., 146, 148, 149, 161, 166,
 168, 170, 172, 175, 188, 190, 256, 282
- Bhanu Mehta, Pratap, 183
- Bill of Rights, 14, 47, 79, 105, 119, 306
 Australia, absence in, 91, 93, 123
 constitutional, 125
 Hungary, in, 250
 Indonesia, in, 251
- Blyth, Mark, 300
- Boilemakers* principle, 126
- Bolivia
 redistributive economic reforms, 76
- Brandt, Willie, 291
- Brennan, Chief Justice, 130, 131
- Breyer, Justice, 17
- British South Africa Company, 198
- Brown v. Board of Education*, 60, 117
- Canada, 24
 ‘weak-form’ judicial review, 14
- Carolene Products* case, 60
- Carstensen, Martin, 301
- Carver, Richard, 210
- Cepeda, Manuel, 277
- Chandrachud, Chief Justice, 166, 175
- Chavez, Rebecca Bill, 28, 34
- Chavunduka, Mark, 222
- Cheda, Justice Misheck, 229, 230
- Cheesman, Nick, 266
- Chidyausiku, Chief Justice Godfrey, 193, 197,
 221, 228, 229, 230, 239
- Chile
 authoritarian legalism, 288
 constitutional politics, 41
 constitutional rights, 288
 constitutionalism, 260
 deferential rights review, 81, 307
 democracy, 260
 democratic legalism, 279, 288, 317
 judicial review regime, 11, 243, 259–260, 307
 consolidation, 279
 transformation, 284, 287, 288, 294
 law/politics relation, 260
 legalism, 81
 military junta, 81, 260, 288
recurso de protección, 260, 288
 rights review, 81
 rule of law, 259
- Chilean Constitution, 260
- Chilean Supreme Court, 259, 260, 288
- China
 communism, 304
 ZANLA, support of, 200
- Chitepo, Herbert, 199
- Chng Suan Tze v. Minister for Home Affairs*, 291
- Choto, Ray, 222
- Clarke v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation*,
 135, 137–138
- Classical Legal Thought
 challenges to, 232
- Clayton, Cornell, 39, 40
- coalition politics
 India, in, 177
- Coleman v. Power*, 138
- Colombia
 constitutional culture, 247
 constitutional rights, 287
 constitutionalism, 260
 democracy, 313
 democratic legalism, 278, 287, 306
 democratic politics, 248
 judicial review regime, 11, 243, 247–248,
 307, 313
 consolidation, 277–278
 transformation, 284, 287, 299
 law/politics relation, 277, 287
 liberal-conservative power-sharing, 287
 separation of law and politics, 247
tutela action, 277, 287
- Colombian Constitution, 247, 277, 287, 306
- Colombian Constitutional Court, 4, 247, 277,
 287, 313, 322

- Colombian Supreme Court, 277, 287
 communism, 304
 China, in, 304
 Hungary, in, 249
 Compagnon, Daniel, 223
 comparative constitutional studies, 4, 6, 12
 CCL Type 1 research, 16–17
 CCL Type 2 research, 17–18
 CCL Type 3 research, 18–19, 35
 development of, 7
 comparative historical analysis (CHA), 36,
 42–43
 tools, 43
 competitive authoritarianism, 77
*Conciliation and Arbitration (Electricity
 Industry) Act 1985* (Cth), 136
Conciliation and Arbitration Act 1904
 (Cth), 106
 constitution
 liberal-democratic, 318
 constitutional adjudication
 extra-legal values, 133, 144
 impartiality, public faith in, 124
 India, in, 189
 judicial ideology, role of, 314
 politics, influence of, 13, 33, 34, 61, 84
 shared community values, role of, 282
 constitutional change
 formal, producing ideational change, 302
 Zimbabwe, in, 301
 constitutional coups, 320
 constitutional court
 abuse of power, 321
 Colombia, in. *See* Colombian
 Constitutional Court
 consolidation of democracy, role in, 31–32
 democracy, promotion of, 321–323
 democracy-building role, 5
 democratic instrumentalist regimes, 316
 dysfunctional democracy, role in, 321
 Egypt, in. *See* Egyptian Supreme
 Constitutional Court (SCC)
 forcefulness, 26, 27, 31
 forum for “public reason,” 73
 Germany, in. *See* German Federal
 Constitutional Court (FCC)
 Hungary, in. *See* Hungarian Constitutional
 Court
 India, in. *See* Indian Constitutional Court
 Indonesia, in. *See* Indonesian
 Constitutional Court
 institutional legitimacy, 22, 26, 30
 Israel, in. *See* Israeli Constitutional Court
 judicial motivations, 21
 meaning, 15
 power, morphing of, 322
 role, in national politics, 5
 South Africa, in. *See* South African
 Constitutional Court
 tripartite classification, 32
 Zimbabwe, in. *See* Zimbabwe
 Constitutional Court
 constitutional culture, 7, 18, 292
 Australia, in, 91, 93, 94, 105, 107, 143, 145, 243
 change, 318
 Colombia, in, 247
 comparative typology, 20
 comparative variable study, 20
 comparison, 13
 development and change, 19
 doctrine of proportionality and, 19
 Germany, in, 66, 243
 Hungary, in, 249
 India, in, 149, 150, 177, 182, 190
 influence of, 16, 18
 judicial review and, 12, 300
 law/politics relation, 3, 6, 8, 50, 61, 65, 66
 legalism, 316
 United States, in, 66, 183, 281
 Zimbabwe, in, 198
 constitutional decision-making
 law and politics, influence of, 22
 constitutional democracy
 Australia, in, 47
 Hungary, in, 274
 India, in, 167
 Indonesia, in, 253
 South Africa, in, 253
 constitutional design, 303, 318–323
 amendment procedure, 320, 321
 aspects of, 318
 illiberalism, prevention of, 320–321
 judicial review, provision for, 318, 324
 law/politics relation, 323
 preferable option, 319
 constitutional identity, 20, 21, 35
 constitutional interpretation
 community values, consideration of, 124
 “living constitutionalist” approach, 124
 constitutional judges
 political actors, as, 52
 constitutional justice, 72, 73

- constitutional law
 - comparative, 4
 - “generic,” 18
 - legal culture, influence of, 19
- constitutional politics, 2, 32, 49, 67, 85, 296, 298, 323
 - Australia, in, 92
 - Chile, in, 41
 - ideational development of judicial review, distinction, 301
 - ideational dimension of judicial review, distinction, 299
 - India, in, 148, 149, 158, 178, 182
 - United States, in, 50, 58
 - Zimbabwe, in, 198
- constitutional rights, 74, 80
 - Chile, in, 288
 - Colombia, in, 287
 - deferential enforcement, 306
 - Germany, in, 254
 - implied, 86
 - South Africa, in, 254, 255, 278
 - United States, in, 256
 - Zimbabwe, in, 197
- constitutionalism
 - “acquiescent” models, 20
 - Australia, in, 95, 121, 124, 144
 - authoritarian. *See* authoritarian constitutionalism
 - Chile, in, 260
 - Colombia, in, 260
 - comparative, 16
 - comparative research implications, 296, 298
 - comparative study, 12
 - Costa Rica, in, 260
 - Germany, in, 20, 63, 246, 291
 - global spread, 12, 13, 16, 21, 22
 - judicially-enforced, 4, 47, 263
 - judicially-led style, 260
 - liberal. *See* liberal constitutionalism
 - liberal-democratic. *See* liberal-democratic constitutionalism
 - “militant” models, 20
 - rights-based. *See* rights-based constitutionalism
 - United States, in, 40
- continuing mandamus
 - remedy of, 171, 172
- Coppedge, Michael, 42
- Costa Rica
 - constitutionalism, 260
- curial power, 322
 - democracy, balance between, 34, 320
 - democratic politics, balance between, 192, 318
 - normatively inflected conception, 321
- Czech Republic, 249
- Dahl, Robert, 54
- Daly, Tom, 5
- Dawson, Justice, 126, 131
- de Tocqueville, Alexis, 42
- Deane, Justice William, 121, 125, 126, 131
- deferential rights review
 - Chile, in, 81, 307
 - democratic legalism, 245, 279, 288, 306
 - Germany, in, 285, 307
 - Hungary, in, 250
 - United States, in, 256
- democracy, 75, 76
 - Chile, in, 260
 - Colombia, in, 313
 - constitutional. *See* constitutional democracy
 - constitutional courts, promotion by, 321–323
 - curial power, balance between, 34, 320
 - dominant-party, 87
 - dysfunctional, 313, 321
 - India, in, 186, 282, 313
 - judicial review, impact of, 5, 295
 - multi-party, 75, 76, 77, 84, 197, 205, 206–207, 247
 - Myanmar, in, 266, 267, 279
 - promotion under judicial review, 314
 - role of law, 88
 - Russia, in, 28
 - social movements in, 326–327
 - South Africa, in, 290
 - Ukraine, in, 28
 - vacillation of quality, 310
 - Zimbabwe, 238
- democratic accountability, 291
 - India, in, 186, 191
- democratic activism, 295
- democratic constitutionalism
 - Myanmar, in, 268
- democratic elections, 76, 84
 - authoritarian instrumentalism and, 84
 - safeguard on legislative activity, 127
 - Zimbabwe, in. *See* Zimbabwe democratic experimentalism, 73

- democratic institutions
 judicial review, impact on functioning, 311, 312, 313, 319
- democratic instrumentalism, 3, 45, 50, 51, 84–85, 87, 255–257, 303, 314–316
 authoritarian instrumentalism,
 transformation to, 89
 authoritarian legalism, transformation to/
 from, 308
 conceptual logic, 86
 consolidation, 255
 constitution, ideologically motivated
 understandings of, 315
 constitutional courts, role of, 316
 constitutional interpretation, 315
 democratic legalism distinguished, 84
 diagonal movement to, 88
 ideologically motivated decision-making,
 dependence on, 256
 India, in, 47, 177, 182, 191, 256
 judicial ideology shifts, effect of, 177
 judiciary selection, 85
 legal reasoning, 314–316
 nature of, 3, 255, 303
 normative worry, 186
 principal appeal, 314
 requirement for growth, 304
 risks, 316
 shifts in judicial ideology, effect of, 182
 stability, 304
 strengths and weaknesses, 46
 summary, 269
 United States, in, 182, 281
- democratic legalism, 3, 45, 50, 78–81, 82, 86, 87, 88, 129, 245–255, 285
 Australia, in, 47, 81, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 107, 109, 115, 118, 129, 133, 135, 141, 143, 145, 243, 245–246, 282, 290, 302
 authoritarian instrumentalism
 transformation to, 87
 authoritarian instrumentalism,
 transformation to/from, 308
 authoritarian legalism
 transformation from, 327
 authoritarian legalism, transformation to, 87
 authority of law, 51
 Chile, in, 279, 288, 317
 Colombia, in, 278, 287, 306
 common dynamics in regimes, 306
 deferential rights review, 245, 279, 288, 306
 deferential rights review and, 81
 democratic instrumentalism
 distinguished, 84
 democratic legitimacy, 46
 downsides, 316–317
 “exogenous shock,” 86
 forms, 80
 Germany, in, 243, 246–247, 285
 Hungary, in, 274
 India, in, 154, 191, 271
 judicial review regime, sub-type
 transformation, 306–308
 law, authority of, 84
 nature of, 3, 245
 reversion to dominant type, 279
 rights exclusion, 245, 306
 South Africa, in, 278, 303, 317
 stabilization, 86
 substantive legalism, 245, 246–247, 279, 306
 democratic instrumentalism
 distinguished, 255
 Germany, in, 246–247
 Hungary, in, 249
 South Africa, in, 254
 subtypes, 245
 summary, 269
 United States, in, 256
 vulnerability, 317
- democratic politics, 58, 306, 312
 Australia, in, 91, 105
 Colombia, in, 248
 curial power, balance between, 192, 318
 India, in, 177, 186, 191, 192
 Indonesia, in, 275
 judicial intrusion into, 312
- Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC),
 220, 222
- dialogue theory, 1, 74
- Dietrich v. The Queen*, 128–129, 134
- Dixon, Sir Owen, 94, 103, 111, 113, 114, 124, 134, 135, 143
- Dongo* case, 217, 222, 223, 225
- Dongo, Margaret, 217, 229, 239
- Dred Scott* decision, 40
- “dual characterisation” principle, 105
- Dumbutshena, Chief Justice, 213, 214, 215, 216
- Ebrahim, Justice Ahmed, 228
- Economic Structural Adjustment Program (ESAP), 220

- Egypt, 233, 327
 authoritarian instrumentalism, 279
 authoritarian legalism, 260–261
 judicial review regime, 11, 243
 consolidation, 279
 transformation, 284, 287, 294
- Egyptian Constitution, 261
- Egyptian Supreme Constitutional Court (SCC), 261, 279, 287
- elections
 Malaysia, 75
 Singapore, 75
- electoral authoritarianism, 77
- Engineers* case, 92, 94, 114, 115,
 126, 130, 131, 140, 142, 143, 145, 270
 Commonwealth legislative powers, 94
 constitutional interpretation, 94
 criticism of, 97, 103
 doctrinal and policy consequences, 103
 doctrinal aspect, entrenchment of, 105
 focus, 95
 impact of, 100, 101, 118
 implied immunity of instrumentalities
 doctrine, 95, 100, 103
 influence, 104
 law/politics relation, 94
 legalism, 100, 103, 105
 levels of operation, 94, 95–108
 reasoning methods, 102, 103
 reserved state powers doctrine, 96,
 103
 responsible government, 97
 rhetorical dimension, 102
- environmental rights
 India, in, 147, 176, 179, 180–182, 191
- Epstein, Lee, 29, 30
- Estonian Supreme Court, 32
- ethnic nationalism
 Hungary, in, 249, 274, 275
- Evatt, Dr H. V., 107, 112, 113
- federalism, 116, 134
 Australia, in, 129
 High Court of Australia, role of, 125
- Fieldsend, Sir John, 202
- First Pharmaceutical Benefits* case, 112
- First Uniform Tax* case, 108, 109
- Flower, Ken, 210
- Free Law School
 Germany, in, 286
- Freedom Charter, 25
- French, Chief Justice Robert, 137–138
- Friedman, Barry, 40
- Galligan, Brian, 99, 100, 101, 113
- Gandhi Election* case, 162, 163
- Gandhi, Indira, 147, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160,
 161, 162, 163, 166, 167, 170, 174, 175, 190
- Gandhi, Rajiv, 170, 177, 178
- Garg* case, 180
- Gaudron, Justice Mary, 121
- German Constitution, 247, 286
- German Federal Constitutional Court (FCC),
 65, 140, 246, 247, 274, 277, 286, 291, 315
- German State High Court, 284
- Germany, 65, 278
 Association of German Scholars of State
 Law, 285
 Basic Law 1949, 246, 277, 286
 constitutional culture, 66, 243
 constitutional rights, 254
 constitutionalism, 20, 63, 246, 291
 deferential rights review, 285, 307
 democratic legalism, 243, 285
 substantive legalism, 246–247
 doctrine of proportionality, 19
- Free Law School, 286
- Interest Jurisprudence, 286
- judicial review regime, 11, 243, 307
 consolidation, 276, 277
 “exogenous shock,” 286
 rights adjudication, 243
 transformation, 284–286, 299
- law/politics relation, 243, 277, 286, 291
- legalism, 63, 277
- multi-party democracy, 247
- National Socialism, 246, 277, 285
- positivism, 285
- proportionality jurisprudence, 139, 277
- rights violations, proportionality and, 246
- separation of law and politics, 243, 286
- Social Democratic Party (SDP), 291
- State High Court, 285
 substantive rights review, 81
- Weimar Constitution, 284, 285, 307
- Weimar Republic, collapse of, 285
- welfare state, 246
- Gibbs, Sir Harry, 119, 120
- Gillman, Howard, 39
- Ginsburg, Tom, 22, 23, 28, 29, 32, 34, 318
- Gleeson, Chief Justice Murray, 132–133
- Global Political Agreement (GPA), 234

- Goldsworthy, Jeffrey, 101
Gopalan v. State of Madras, 153
 governance
 judicial review, impact of, 296
 Graber, Mark, 27, 40
 Grosskopf, Anke, 31
 Grover, Justice, 160, 161
 Gubbay, Chief Justice, 197, 228
 Gummow, Justice, 131
- Hailbronner, Michaela, 20, 35, 63, 277, 286
- Hall, Peter, 300
- Hegde, Justice, 159, 160, 161
- hegemonic preservation theory, 22, 24–25
- Helgadottir, Oddný, 300
- Higgins, Justice, 95, 142
- High Court of Australia
 Australian Labor Party, conflict with, 94
 autonomy from politics, 109
 composition, 112
 Constitution, interpretation of, 94
 constitutional jurisdiction, expansion of, 134
 federalism matters, 125
 Gleeson Court, 132–133
 implied political values, enforcement of, 187
 institutional legitimacy, 118
 judiciary, selection of, 104
 legalism
 approach to, 132
 challenge to, 121
 freedom under, 139
 legalist reasoning methods, 114, 245
 Mason Court, 93, 94, 120–134, 144
 revolution, 41, 63, 68, 135, 145, 270, 282, 290, 316
 post-war cases, court-packing plan and, 108–113
 power, 91
 proportionality analysis, 139
 proportionality, structured test for, 290
 termination of appeals to Privy Council, 123
 United States legal precedents, influence of, 97, 98, 103, 106, 117, 245
- Hilbink, Lisa, 41, 260, 317
- Hirschl, Ran, 15, 22, 24–26, 34, 48
- historical institutionalist approach, 35, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42
- CHA, combined with, 43
- comparative research, use in, 36
- “punctuated equilibrium” model of development, 38
- Holmes, Justice Oliver Wendell, 60
- Holmes, Stephen, 325
- Horwitz, Morton, 64, 281
- Hungarian Constitution, 249, 250
- Hungarian Constitutional Court, 248–249, 250–251, 274, 325
- Hungary
 authoritarian legalism, 251, 274
 Bill of Rights, 250
 communism, 249
 constitutional culture, 249
 constitutional democracy, 274
 constitutional history, 4
 deferential rights review, 250
 democratic legalism, 274
 substantive legalism, 249
 ethno-nationalism, 249, 274, 275
 Fidesz, 250, 251, 274, 325
 illiberalism, 320
 judicial review regime, 11, 243, 248–251, 274, 302
 consolidation, 274–275
 liberal constitutionalism, 249, 274
 liberal-democratic constitutionalism, 249
 rule of law, 249
- Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar*, 172, 173
- I. C. Golaknath v. State of Punjab*, 148, 150, 155, 156, 157, 158, 160, 172
- ideational institutions, 300
- identity politics, 326
- implied immunity of instrumentalities doctrine, 135–136
- In re Special Reference No 1 of 1998*, 184, 187
- India, 46
 1975–1977 State of Emergency, 47, 48, 63, 133, 146, 149, 159, 161, 162, 282, 308
 Allahabad High Court, 162, 163
 authoritarian legalism, 166
 Bharatiya Janata Party, 177, 178, 179
 Bihar, 162
 bonded labor, 172
 British colonial rule, 150
 coalition politics, 177
 Complaints Scrutiny Panel, 186
 Congress Party, 147, 148, 150, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 159, 160, 162, 163, 164, 166, 167, 169, 170, 177, 178, 179, 190

India (cont.)

- Constitution (Ninety-Ninth Amendment)
 - Act 2014, 187
- Constitution (Seventeenth Amendment)
 - Act 1964, 156
- constitutional adjudication, 189
- constitutional culture, 149, 150, 177, 182, 190
- constitutional democracy, 167
- constitutional politics, 148, 149, 158, 178, 182
- constitutional-cultural transformation, 63
- Delhi, 162
- democracy, 186, 282, 313
- democratic accountability, 186, 191
- democratic instrumentalism, 47, 177, 182, 191, 256
- democratic legalism, 154, 191, 271
- democratic politics, 177, 186, 191, 192
- Directive Principles of State Policy, 152, 158, 159, 161, 171
- electoral politics, 178
- environmental rights, 147, 176, 179, 180–182, 191
- free-market strategies, 177, 178
- Gujarat High Court, 161, 174
- Gujarat student uprising, 162
- Hindu nationalism, 178
- inequalities, 167
- Janata Party, 167–168, 169
- Judges (Declaration of Assets and Liabilities) Bill 2009, 186
- judicial independence, 149, 152
- judicial over-reach, 321
- judicial review of election of sitting Prime Minister, 163
- judicial review regime, 47–48, 191, 256, 313
 - consolidation, 270
 - critique of, 183–186
 - evolution, 7
 - “exogenous shock,” 149, 281, 282
 - transformation, 241, 281–282, 294
- Judicial Standards and Accountability Bill 136 of 2010, 186
- law/politics relation, 11, 149, 154, 171, 176, 182, 187, 188, 189, 190, 271, 272
- legalism, 149, 152, 153, 188, 189
- Lok Sabha, 150, 160, 162, 177, 184, 271
- Maintenance of Internal Security Act, 164
- National Democratic Alliance, 177, 187
- National Judicial Appointments Commission, 187
- National Judicial Oversight Committee, 186

- neo-liberalism, 176, 178, 179
- New Delhi, 181
- Protection of Women against Sexual Harassment at the Workplace Act, 184
- Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act 1953, 156
- Punjab uprising, 190
- separation of powers, 159, 184, 191
- Swatantra Party, 157
- United Progressive Alliance, 177, 187
- zamindari* abolition laws, 47, 146, 153, 165
- Indian Constitution, 150, 270
 - amendment, 179
 - Article 13, 158
 - Article 13(2), 154, 159
 - Article 14, 164, 168
 - Article 19, 168
 - Article 19(2), 151
 - Article 21, 151, 164, 168, 169, 171, 172, 173, 175, 176, 188
 - Article 22, 164
 - Article 222, 175
 - Article 224, 174
 - Article 226, 164
 - Article 29(2), 152
 - Article 31(2), 157
 - Article 32, 169
 - Article 32(1), 172
 - Article 323A, 176
 - Article 368, 150, 159
 - Article 37, 152
 - “basic structure” doctrine, 158, 159, 163, 171, 176, 187, 191, 320
 - Forty-Second Amendment, ss 4 and 55, 170
 - implied social and economic rights, 173
 - Preamble, 159
 - Twenty-Fifth Amendment, 158
 - Twenty-Fourth Amendment, 158
- Indian Constitutional Court, 20
- Indian High Court, 186
 - judges, appointment and selection of, 174–175
- Indian Supreme Court, 147
 - ad hoc decision-making, 182
 - anti-poor bias, 182
 - commissioners and experts, appointment of, 173
 - compartmentalization, 184
 - constitutional amendment, 154, 155
 - constitutional decision-making, 256
 - contemporary judicial attitudes, 176, 179

- decision-making, transformation, 248
 democracy, effect on, 186
 dysfunctional democracy, effect of, 316
 “epistolary jurisdiction,” 169, 171
 establishment period 1950–1967, 149–155
Golaknath to Shukla 1967–1977, 155–165
 “governance,” participation in, 189
 ideological decision-making, 183
 ideological re-orientation, 179, 180
 independence, 190
 influence, 48
 institutional history, 146, 148
 interventionist role, 149, 169, 183, 184–186,
 188, 190, 191–192, 282
 judicial appointments, 256
 judicial decision-making, 184
 judicial review, 149, 150, 153
 judicial review power, 178
 jurisprudence, quality of, 183
 legitimacy, 186, 191
locus standi, reform of, 171, 175
 modern era 1989–2015, 176–187
 national security cases, 151
 political branches, powers vis-à-vis, 174
 political environment, influence of, 189
 political influence, 165, 177, 182
 post-1977 revival, 161, 166
 post-1980 jurisprudence, facets of, 170
 power, 313, 322
 property rights decisions, 152, 153, 154,
 165, 271
 Public Interest Litigation (PIL) doctrines,
 146, 170, 171, 172, 175, 176, 181, 189, 190
 public support, 189, 190, 191
 rehabilitation 1977–1989, 165–176
 reputational change, 63
 supersession, 161
 supervisory jurisdiction, 185
 transition of focus, 173
 individual rights, limiting, 3
 Indonesia
 1998–2002 constitutional reform process, 275
 authoritarianism, 275
 Bill of Rights, 251
 civil-law tradition, 275
 constitutional democracy, 253
 democratic politics, 275
 judicial over-reach, 321
 judicial review regime, 11, 243,
 251–253, 274
 consolidation, 275–276
 liberal constitutionalism, 251
 rule of law, 251
 Indonesian Constitutional Court, 31, 251–253
 Indonesian Supreme Court, 251
Industrial Relations Act 1988 (Cth), 125
 institutional change, 299
 dynamics, 36
 “punctuated equilibrium” model, 38,
 299, 300
 insurance theory
 judicial empowerment, of, 22–24, 28, 318
 Interest Jurisprudence
 Germany, in, 286
 Ireland, 20
 Isaacs, Justice, 95, 96, 142
 Israel, 24
 Israeli Constitutional Court, 20
 Issacharoff, Samuel, 5, 31, 291, 339,
 340, 345

 Jackson, Michael, 185
 Jacobssohn, Gary, 22, 35
 Japan, 20
*Jealousy Mbizvo Mawarire v. Robert Mugabe
 N. O and 4 Others*, 238
 judges. *See also* judiciary
 political power, checking abuse of, 2
 judicial activism
 United States, in, 58, 59
 judicial agency, 27, 29
 judicial decision-making, 295
 apolitical, whether, 79
 appellate, “categories of illusory
 reference,” 122
 extra-legal values, intrusion of, 123
 ideologically-driven, 182
 Indian Supreme Court, 184
 legal and political factors, influence of, 32
 legal reasoning, conformance with, 66
 link with dominant political coalition, 54
 politicality of, 56
 politics, causal influence of, 8
 presentational style, 6
 rationalizing, 1
 “strategic approach,” 29, 30
 judicial empowerment
 tolerance interval theory, 29, 30
 judicial independence, 64
 assault on, effects of, 262
 decline, 161
 India, in, 149, 152

- judicial independence (cont.)
 political competition, correlation with,
 28, 33
 Zimbabwe, in, 198, 211, 213, 216, 218, 224, 229,
 240, 308
- judicial legislation, 73
- judicial over-reach, 97, 312, 325
 India, in, 321
 Indonesia, in, 321
- judicial power, 13
 global expansion, explaining, 4
 separation of, 134
- judicial reasoning
 democratic instrumentalism, 314–316
 extra-legal values, 133
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 315
 law as constraint, 316
 United States Supreme Court, 315
- judicial review
 adoption of system, consequences of, 49
 authoritarian instrumentalism, under, 83,
 262, 263
 authoritarian legalism, under, 82, 233, 262
 authoritarian rule, contribution to, 311
 authoritarianism and, 295, 327
 comparative politics literature, deficiencies
 in, 33–34
 comparative research implications, 296, 298
 comparative work on, 7
 constitution, provision in, 318
 constitutional culture, impact on, 12
 constitutional ethnographic' approach, 41
 curial power and democracy, balance
 between, 34, 320
 curial power and democratic politics,
 balance between, 192, 318
 democracy
 impact of, 295
 promotion of, 314
 democratic institutions, impact on
 functioning, 311, 312, 313, 319
 democratic legalism, under, 3
 democratic objection, 69
 federalist, 80, 92, 245
 global spread, 21, 22
 governance, impact on, 296
 historical development, 3, 43
 ideational dimension, 16, 295, 299
 individual freedom, protecting, 6
 law/politics relation. *See* law/politics
 relation
- legalism, 69
 legitimacy under, 107
- legitimacy, 6
- material resources, distributing, 6
- merits and demerits, normative evaluation
 of, 34
- moral justifiability, 46, 295, 309, 310, 311,
 312, 314
- negative impacts, 312
- object, 12
- political action, influence on, 296
- political authority, 3, 51
 claims to, 5, 35
 legitimation of, 79
- political dynamics, 298
- political origins, 22, 26
- political rationale, 5
- politico-legal dynamics, 4, 13, 24, 33, 148, 242,
 243, 296
- provision under constitution, 324
- purpose, 6
- rights-based, 80, 92, 277
- rule-based, 80
- safety-valve, as, 312, 318
- “separation-of-powers games,” 1
- social activism, 325–328
- sociological effects, 314
- “strong-form,” 1, 288
- tension between law and politics, 296
- typological theory of change, 44
- United States conception, 298
- “weak-form,” 14–15
- judicial review regimes
 actually-existing, 4, 44, 45, 46, 50, 65, 69, 85,
 90, 242, 243, 293
 Australia, in. *See* Australia
 authoritarian and democratic societies,
 differences between, 300
 authoritarian instrumentalism. *See* authori-
 tarian instrumentalism
 authoritarian legalism. *See* authoritarian
 legalism
 authoritarian states, in, 304
 authority of law, 51
 change, 52, 297
 conditions for, 4
 Chile, in. *See* Chile
 classification, 242
 Colombia, in. *See* Colombia
 comparative study, 3
 conceptual ground zero, 88

- conceptual logic of typology, purpose of, 86
 consolidation, 4, 10, 46, 49, 85, 86, 143, 241,
 242, 244, 269–281, 293, 311, 312
 adaptation, 272
 adaptive continuity, 271, 274,
 276, 278, 293
 causal mechanism, 270, 271, 272, 274,
 276, 297
 counter-reaction, 271, 272, 279
 law/politics relation, rival approaches, 275
 new regime formation, 271, 272, 274,
 275, 276
 provisional pathways, 270, 271, 279
 reversion to dominant type, 272, 293
 constitutional culture, aspect of, 300
 constitutional disagreement, effect of, 296
 default, 240
 democratic instrumentalism. *See* demo-
 cratic instrumentalism
 democratic legalism. *See* democratic
 legalism
 dynamic quality, 292
 Egypt, in. *See* Egypt
 environmental changes, adjustment to, 292
 evolution, 10, 34, 51, 66–68, 85, 89, 143,
 297, 308
 “exogenous shock,” 52, 140, 243, 281, 282, 283,
 284, 286, 288, 294, 297, 299, 300, 307,
 308, 327
 “formal institutions” contrasted, 299
 Germany, in. *See* Germany
 Hungary, in. *See* Hungary
 ideal types, 3, 44, 46, 50, 78, 85, 89, 242, 243,
 244, 293, 297
 ideal-typical, 11, 78
 ideational power, 294, 301
 incremental change, 11, 244
 incremental development, 3, 49, 86, 292, 297
 India, in. *See* India
 Indonesia, in. *See* Indonesia
 inertial quality, 293
 Korea, 23
 law/politics accommodation, 44, 45, 78, 84,
 85, 90, 141
 legal and political authority
 changing conceptions of, 68–78
 claims, 45, 46, 50, 313
 conceptions, 51
 legal and political authority claims, 67
 legitimating ideologies, 299
 legitimization logic, 300
 Mongolia, 23
 Myanmar, in. *See* Myanmar
 nature of, 300
 “new,” 272
 Occupied Palestinian Territories, in. *See*
 Occupied Palestinian
 Territories (OPT)
 political transitions and constitutional
 changes, survival during, 241
 resistance to change, 89, 293
 Singapore, in. *See* Singapore
 sources of information about character, 65
 South Africa, in. *See* South Africa
 Taiwan, 23
 transformation, 10, 46, 49, 85, 86, 87–89, 241,
 242, 243, 244, 281–290, 293, 294, 297,
 303, 307
 causal mechanism, 4, 232, 241, 281, 282,
 283, 284, 286, 287, 288, 303, 307
 conditions, 283, 284, 289, 302
 “exogenous shock,” 297
 exogenous trigger and agency, 300
 ideational reconstruction, 281, 283
 sub-types, under democratic legalism,
 306–308
 trajectories, 309
 vertical, horizontal and diagonal, 294,
 303, 308–309
 wholesale, 11, 288, 294, 303
 typological approach, 46
 typological theory of change, 10, 35, 44, 47,
 48, 89, 95, 242, 244, 269, 293, 328
 United States, in. *See* United States (US)
 within-regime incremental change, 11, 46,
 243, 290–293, 294
 Zimbabwe, in. *See* Zimbabwe
 judiciary. *See also* judges
 authoritarian instrumentalism, role in, 83
 authoritarian legalism, role in, 82
 constraints on, 30
 impartiality, 55
 power to strike down legislative and
 executive conduct, 6
 public confidence in, 55, 56
 selection under democratic
 instrumentalism, 85
*Jumbunna Coal Mine NL v. Victorian Coal
 Miners’ Association*, 106
 Kabila, Laurent, 220
 Karekwaivanane, George, 203

- Kaunda, Kenneth, 199
Kesavananda Bharati Sripadagalvaru v. State of Kerala, 158–160, 163, 170, 176, 191
 Khanna, Justice, 163, 164
 Klare, Karl, 290, 316
 Knight, Jack, 29, 30
 Knox, Chief Justice, 96
 Korea
 judicial review regime, 23
 Kramer, Larry, 310
 Kring, William, 300
 Krishna Iyer, Justice V. R., 146, 148, 149, 161, 162, 166, 168, 169, 170, 188, 190, 256, 282
- Landau, David, 247
Lange v. Australian Broadcasting Corporation, 68, 131, 138, 270
 Latham, Sir John, 107, 109, 111, 143
- law
 anti-politics, as, 253, 254
 authoritarian instrumentalism, role in, 83, 262
 “autonomous law,” 53, 54, 304
 claim to authority, 2, 29, 72, 276, 278, 294, 298
 change of scope, 308
 changing nature, 6
 competing understandings, 10
 legalism and, 108, 113, 306
 legitimation, 276
 political authority, relationship with, 3
 premise, 3, 73, 74, 256, 275
 rival understandings, 270
 shift in basis, 74
 South Africa, in, 278
 declaratory theory, 122
 democracy, role in defining, 88
 democratic legalism, authority in, 51, 84
 determinacy of, 9
 instrumentalist conception, 74
 judicial reasoning, constraint on, 316
 judicial review regimes, authority in, 51
 politicization, 13
 politics, relation to. *See* law/politics relation
 rule of. *See* rule of law
 subsystem of politics, 52
 law/politics relation, 43, 44, 274, 294, 297, 303, 326
 adaption to new judicial review regime, 276
 adjustments in conceptions, 292
 appropriateness, 323
- Australia, in, 11, 91, 93, 94, 100, 107, 122, 135, 141, 144, 145, 243, 290
 boundary, defining, 324
 Chile, in, 260
 Colombia, in, 277, 287
 colonial conceptions, 217, 233, 241, 257, 258, 272
 competing conceptions, 6, 8
 conceptions, impact of judicial review, 311
 conceptualizing, 2
 consolidation of judicial review regimes, 144
 constitutional codification, 302
 constitutional conflicts, 49
 constitutional culture, 3, 6, 8, 50, 61, 66
 constitutional design, impact of, 323
 democratic legalist conception, 149
 destabilization, 281
 downplaying variations in conceptions, 33
Engineers case, 94
 evolution, 4, 12, 34
 extant conceptions, 297
 Germany, in, 243, 277, 286, 291
 idiosyncratic traditions of societies, 2
 India, in, 11, 149, 154, 171, 176, 182, 187, 188, 189, 190, 271, 272
 judicial resolution of political questions, 2
 judicial review, 2, 5
 law autonomous from politics, 2, 6, 8, 24, 29, 49, 50, 52–58, 61, 69, 86, 88, 277
 law’s social-systemic distinctiveness from politics, 6
 legalist conception, 145
 Myanmar, in, 266
 public attitudes, 65
 recurrent patterns, 4
 separation of law and politics, 51, 80, 81, 82, 93, 95, 105, 134, 154, 243, 247, 253, 255, 257, 260, 286, 305, 306
 societal conceptions, 6, 11, 16, 21, 34, 52, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 85, 90, 187, 189, 295, 297
 tension, 296
 traditional conceptions, 294
 transformation during *Lochner* era, 50
 United States, in, 7, 141, 232
 Zimbabwe, in, 11, 48, 198, 217, 218, 231, 233, 240, 272
- legal authority
 changing conceptions, 68–74
 legal positivism, 271

- legal realism
 formalist models of legal reasoning, critique
 of, 9
 United States, in, 60, 61, 256, 281
- legal reasoning
 different traditions, 56
 evolution, 57
 political influence on, 61
 political values, 81
 political values, intrusion of, 316
- legal sociology, 8
- legalism, 69
 Australia, in, 107, 139
 authoritarian. *See* authoritarian legalism
 authoritarian societies, in, 70–71
 Chile, in, 81
 constitutional culture, 316
 constructs, 288
 democratic. *See* democratic legalism
 Dixonian consolidation, 114–120
Engineers case, 100, 103, 105
 Germany, in, 63, 277
 High Court of Australia
 approach, 132
 challenge, 121
 India, in, 149, 152, 153, 188, 189
 legitimacy of judicial review, 107
 legitimating ideology, as, 133, 142
 “political” reasoning methods and, 108
 political strategy, as, 101
 precedent, adherence to, 316
 separation of law and politics, 154
 set of reasoning methods, as, 106
 “strict and complete,” 114, 116, 124, 134
 vulnerabilities, 71–72
- legitimacy, concept of, 6
- Leiter, Brian, 61
- Levy v. Victoria*, 131
- liberal activism
 United States, in, 256
- liberal constitutionalism
 democratically-driven retrenchment,
 320
 Hungary, in, 249, 274
 Indonesia, in, 251
 Zimbabwe, in, 257, 263
- liberal democracy
 basis, 327
 power, enhancing, 321
- liberal-democratic constitution, 318
 human freedom, promoting, 25
- liberal-democratic constitutionalism, 25, 319,
 323, 325
 Hungary, in, 249
- liberal-progressivism
 United States Supreme Court, 182, 183, 281
- locus standi*
 Indian Supreme Court reform, 171, 175
- Luhmann, Niklas, 53, 54
- Lüth* case, 246, 277, 286
- M. C. Mehta v. Union of India*, 181, 185
- Mabo v. Queensland (No 2)*, 128, 134
- Madhav Rao Scindia v. Union of India*, 157,
 160
- Mahfud, Chief Justice Mohammad, 252, 276
- Mahoney, James, 37
- Malaba, Justice Luke, 229, 230
- Malaysia
 elections, 75
- Mandela, Nelson, 278
- Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, 168–169,
 173, 188–189
- March, James, 37
- Marx, Karl, 42, 53
- Mason, Sir Anthony, 68, 93, 120–134, 136–137,
 145, 270, 282, 290
- Mate, Manoj, 189
- Maveety, Nancy, 31
- Mawarire, Jealousy, 194
- Mbeki, Thabo, 232, 255, 291
- McCloy v. New South Wales*, 19, 135, 139
- McCulloch v. Maryland*, 106
- McGinty v. Western Australia*, 131
- McHugh, Justice, 130, 131
- McNally, Justice Nick, 228, 230
- McTiernan, Justice, 107, 111
- Meierhenrich, Jens, 41, 203
- Melbourne Corporation* principle, 126,
 135–137, 138
- Menzies, Robert, 115, 118
- Mietzner, Marcus, 31
- Minerva Mills v. Union of India*, 169–170, 175,
 176, 191
- Minister of Health v. Treatment Action
 Campaign (No 2)*, 255, 291
- Mongolia
 judicial review regime, 23
- Moustafa, Tamir, 260
- Mozambique, 200, 202
- Mubarak, Hosni, 261, 288
- Muchechete, Justice, 230

- Mugabe, Robert, 193, 194, 196, 198, 199–200, 201, 206, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228, 230, 232, 234, 237, 238, 239, 271
- Mukherjea, Justice, 159
- Murphy, Chief Justice Lionel, 119–120, 133
- Mutambara, Arthur, 195
- Muzorewa, Bishop Abel, 201
- Myanmar, 254
 - authoritarian instrumentalism, 266, 293, 305
 - British Raj, 266
 - constitutional negotiations, 24
 - democracy, 266, 267, 279
 - democratic constitutionalism, 268
 - hegemonic ethnic identity, maintenance of, 76
 - judicial review regime, 11, 243, 266–269, 279, 293, 304, 305
 - consolidation, 276
 - introduction of, 267
 - practical functioning, 268
 - law/politics relation, 266
 - National League for Democracy (NLD), 268, 279
 - pro-democracy activism, 327
 - rule of law, 268
- Myanmar Constitution, 267, 268, 279, 293
- Myanmar Constitutional Tribunal, 267–268, 279
- Nagab, Chief Justice Fathi, 261
- Narain, Raj, 162, 163
- Narayan, Jayaprakash, 162
- Nasser, President, 287
- National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*, 65
- National Party of South Africa, 201
- national security, 51, 257
 - Indian Supreme Court cases, 151
 - threats, 3, 71, 76, 288, 317
- National Socialism
 - Germany, in, 246, 277, 285
- Nationwide News Pty Ltd v. Wills*, 125, 127, 130, 133
- native title
 - Australia, common law recognition in, 128
- Ncube, Welshman, 211
- Ne Win, General, 266
- Nehru, Jawaharlal, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 167, 191
- neo-liberalism
 - India, in, 176, 178, 179
- New Zealand, 24, 310
 - “weak-form” judicial review, 14
- Nkomo, Joshua, 199, 200, 208, 222
- Nonet, Phillippe, 53, 54, 57, 87, 283, 304
- Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT)
 - authoritarian instrumentalism, 293, 305
 - Basic Law, 264, 265
 - Declaration of Principles, 264
 - Fatah, 264, 265, 266, 279, 293, 304
 - free democratic competition, 305
 - Gaza Strip, 265
 - Hamas, 264, 265, 266, 304
 - High Constitutional Court (HCC), 264, 265
 - illiberalism, 321
 - judicial review regime, 11, 243, 263–266, 293, 302, 304
 - consolidation, 276, 279
 - Supreme Constitutional Court Law, 321
 - West Bank, 264, 266, 279
- Olga Tellis v. Bombay Municipal Corporation*, 173–174
- Olsen, Johan, 37
- Organization of African Unity, 200
- Orren, Karen, 38, 39
- P. Sambamurthy v. Andhra Pradesh*, 176
- Pakistan, 32, 65, 160
- Palestine. *See* Occupied Palestinian Territories (OPT)
- Palestinian High Court, 264, 265
- Palestinian Legislative Council, 264
- Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), 263, 279
- parliamentary democracy, 46
- parliamentary sovereignty, 81, 150, 271
- party-political structure
 - influence of, 86
- Patel, Chimanbhai, 162
- path-dependency theory, 37, 104
- Pharmaceutical Benefits* cases, 111, 112, 113, 116
- Pierce, Jason, 41
- Pierson, Paul, 37
- Pinochet, General Augusto, 81, 260, 288, 317
- Poland
 - illiberalism, 320
- Polish Constitutional Court, 323

- political authority
 - bipartite classification, 78
 - conceptions of, 49, 74–78
 - democracy and, 75, 77
 - democratic mandate, 2, 78
 - “hybrid regime,” 77
 - judicial review legitimating, 79
 - judicial review regimes, 313
 - law’s authority and, 312
 - legitimation of, 69
 - meaning, 74–75
 - non-democratic bases, 76
 - power-holders’ basis, 76–78
- political communication
 - implied freedom, 125, 126, 129, 133, 134, 138–140, 145, 290
- political decision-making
 - judicial review legitimating, 27
- political fragmentation thesis, 28, 30, 31, 33
- political legitimacy, 74, 75
- political science, 36, 52
 - behaviourist and rational choice approaches, 16
 - judicial review, theorizing on, 21
 - large-N quantitative research, disadvantage of, 303
- politics
 - identity, 326
 - judicial review, influence of, 296
 - judicialization of, 5, 189, 298
 - general theorization, 298
 - hegemonic preservation theory, 22, 24–25
 - law as subsystem, 52
 - law, relation to. *See* law/politics relation
 - transformational role, 326
- Popova, Maria, 28
- positivism
 - Germany, in, 285
- Posner, Richard, 64
- Pound, Roscoe, 121
- President of the Union v. Pyidaungsu Hluttaw*, 268
- Printz v. United States*, 17
- Privy Council
 - High Court of Australia, termination of appeals from, 123
- procedural justice, 73–74
- proportionality, doctrine of, 19
- “prospective over-ruling” doctrine, 157
- public policy
 - judiciary, decision-making power of, 1
- qualified privilege
 - modified common-law defense, 130
- Queensland Electricity Commission v. Commonwealth*, 136–137
- R v. Burgess; Ex parte Henry*, 107
- R. C. Cooper v. Union of India*, 157, 160
- Radbruch, Gustav, 285
- Rajagopal, Balakrishnan, 184
- Rajamani, Lavanya, 183
- Ramakatsa v. Magashule*, 291
- Rao, Narasimha, 178
- rational choice institutionalism, 37
- Rawls, John, 73
- Ray, Justice A. N., 160
- regime politics, 27, 28, 33
- representative and responsible government
 - Australia, in, 139, 140, 282, 290
- representative government, 126
 - constitutional doctrine, 126
 - “free-standing principle,” 130
- responsible government, 126
- revolutionary nationalism
 - Zimbabwe, in, 197, 210, 217, 231, 240
- Rhodesia, 202, *See also* Southern Rhodesia
 - guerrilla campaign, 200
 - rule of law, 203
- Rhodesian African Rifles, 210
- Rhodesian Front, 199, 201, 203, 206, 209, 210
- Rich, Justice, 96, 111
- rights-based constitutionalism
 - Australia, in, 121, 144
- Roberts, Justice Owen, 59, 62, 65
- Romesh Thappar v. State of Madras*, 151
- Roosevelt, Franklin, 59, 62, 112
- Rosencranz, Armin, 185
- rule of law
 - Chile, in, 259
 - Hungary, in, 249
 - Indonesia, in, 251
 - legitimation of authoritarian power-holder, 225
 - Myanmar, in, 268
 - Rhodesia, in, 203
 - Singapore, in, 258, 259, 278
 - South Africa, in, 254
 - “unqualified human good,” 53
 - Zimbabwe, in, 217, 305
- Russia
 - democracy, 28

- S. P. Gupta v. President of India*, 172, 174–176
S. P. Sampath Kumar v. Union of India, 176
 Sachs, Albie, 208
 Sadat, Anwar, 261, 267, 287
Sajjan Singh v. Rajasthan, 154, 157
Sambamurthy v. Andhra Pradesh, 176
 Sandura, Justice, 230
 Schauer, Frederick, 66
 Scheppele, Kim Lane, 21, 41
 Schmidt, Vivien, 301
 Selznick, Philip, 53, 54, 57, 87, 283, 304
 Sengupta, Arghya, 183
 separation of powers
 Australia, in, 134, 145
 India, in, 159, 184, 191
 Shankar, Shiv, 174
 Shastri, Lal Nahdur, 155
 Shelat, Justice, 160, 161
 Shvetsova, Olga, 29, 30
 Sikri, Chief Justice, 159, 160
 Singapore, 65
 authoritarian legalism, 259
 elections, 75
 Group Representative Constituencies, 259
 Internal Security Act (ISA), 291
 judicial review regime, 11, 243, 257–259, 302
 consolidation, 276
 “performance legitimacy,” 259
 People’s Action Party (PAP), 75, 258, 259,
 278, 292
 rule of law, 258, 259, 278
 Singapore Constitution
 amendment, 292
 Singapore Court of Appeal, 291
 Singh, Charan, 169
 Singh, V. P., 178
 Sinha, Justice, 162
 Sithole, Ndabani, 199
 Skocpol, Theda, 42, 243
 Slatter, Air-Vice Marshall, 215
 Smith, Adam, 42
 Smith, Ian, 199, 201, 202, 214, 215, 217
 Smith, Justice, 222
 Smith, Rogers, 21
 social activism, 325–328
 social justice, 73
 social movements
 democracy and, 326–327
 social revolutions, 42
 “socialist legality,” 304
 sociology, 8, 36, 52
 Sólyom, László, 248, 250
 Somers, Margaret, 243
 South Africa, 20, 24
 apartheid, 201, 215, 253, 303
 authoritarian legalism, 303
 Chaskalson Court, 278
 constitutional democracy, 253
 constitutional negotiations, 23
 constitutional rights, 254, 255, 278
 democracy, 290
 democratic legalism, 278, 303, 317
 destabilization during 1980s, 225
 Freedom Charter, 208
 judicial review regime, 11, 243, 253–255
 consolidation, 278
 legal culture, 253
 National Party, 237
 political authority, 77
 rule of law, 254
 separation of law and politics, 253, 255
 substantive legalism, 254
 transition to democracy, 25
 white minority rule, 215, 216
 South African Constitution, 25, 207, 236, 237,
 254, 278, 291
 South African Constitutional Court, 254–255,
 290, 317
 South Korea, 32
 Southall, Roger, 207
 Southern African Development Community
 (SADC), 195, 232,
 233, 235, 238
 Southern Rhodesia, 198, 199, *See also* Rhodesia
 United Federal Party, 199
 Soviet Union, 200
*Sri Sankari Prasad Singh Deo v. Union of
 India*, 154, 157, 159
 Starke, Justice, 96, 111
*State Government Insurance Commission
 v. Trigwell*, 121
*State of Madras v. Srimathi Champakam
 Dorairajan*, 151
State of West Bengal v. Bela Bannerjee, 152
Stephens v. West Australian Newspapers Ltd,
 130, 131
 Stone Sweet, Alec, 41, 189
 Stone, Adrienne, 92
 Stone, Julius, 93, 121, 122, 124, 127, 144
 Streeck, Wolfgang, 39
 Subba Rao, Chief Justice K, 157, 160
 substantive justice, 74

- Suharto, President, 251, 275
Sunil Batra v. Delhi Administration, 169, 171, 173
Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Association v. Union of India, 184, 187
 supreme-law federalism, 81
 Suu Kyi, Daw Aung San, 268
- Taiwan, 32
 judicial review regime, 23
 Tate, Michael, 127
 terrorism, 76
 Thailand, 32
 Thelen, Kathleen, 39, 284, 292, 299
Theophanous v. Herald & Weekly Times Ltd., 130, 131
 Thompson, E. P., 53, 70
 Todd, Garfield, 199
 Tomana, Johannes, 239
 Toohey, Justice John, 121, 125, 126, 127
 Tredgold, Chief Justice Robert, 203
 Tsvangirai, Morgan, 195, 219, 232, 234
 Tushnet, Mark, 310
- Ukraine
 democracy, 28
 Unger, Roberto Mangabeira, 53, 54
Union of India v. Bhanudas Krishna Gawde, 164
 United African National Congress, 201
United Democratic Movement v. President of the Republic of South Africa, 255
 United Kingdom
 House of Lords, 42
 “weak-form” judicial review, 14
 United States (US)
 constitutional culture, 66, 183, 281
 constitutional politics, 50, 58
 constitutional rights, 256
 constitutionalism, 40
 deferential rights review, 256
 democratic instrumentalism, 182, 281
 democratic legalism, 256
 higher law-making system, 41
 judicial activism, 58, 59
 judicial review regime, 256, 298, 308
 adoption in South Africa, 254
 consolidation, 26, 281
 evolution, 7, 39
 Lochner era, 45, 50, 58–64, 256, 297, 302
 transformation, 232, 281, 294
 law/politics relation, 7, 141, 232
 legal precedents, influence on High Court of Australia, 97, 98, 103, 106, 117, 245
 legal realism, 60, 61, 256, 281
 liberal activism, 256
 New Deal program, 59
 Republican party, 256
 transformation of law, 64, 68
 welfare state, 59
 United States Constitution, 256
 Fourteenth Amendment due process clause, 58, 59, 61, 151
 rival ideological understandings, 316
 United States Supreme Court, 65, 312
 adjudication models, 32
 Burger Court, 183, 281
 legal reasoning, 315
 liberal-progressivism, 182, 183, 281
 Lochner era. *See* United States (US): judicial review regime
 Rehnquist Court, 183, 281
 Roberts Court, 183
 Warren Court, 60, 182, 256
 University of Sydney Law School, 122
- Venezuela
 redistributive economic reforms, 76
Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan, 184
 Vorster, B. J., 199
 voting
 implied right, 131, 138
- Waldron, Jeremy, 9, 185, 309, 310, 312, 313, 315, 316, 319, 321, 325
 Walls, General Peter, 210
 Weber, Max, 42, 52, 54, 76
 welfare state
 Germany, in, 246
 United States, in, 59
West Coast Hotel v. Parrish, 68
 Whitlam, Gough, 119, 133
 Whittington, Keith, 40
 Widner, Jennifer, 205
 Williams, Justice, 111
- Xiaoping, Deng, 304
- York Brothers* case, 214, 217
- Zimbabwe, 46
 anti-colonial project, 240, 257

- Zimbabwe (cont.)
 authoritarian instrumentalism, 231, 232, 262, 263, 305
 authoritarian legalism, 48, 206, 218, 224, 233, 239, 240, 257, 263, 283, 301, 308
 authoritarianism, 196, 197, 205, 209, 218, 223
 black majority rule, 207, 209, 241
 Central Intelligence Organization, 210
 civilization versus disorder, legitimating ideology of, 209
 colonial settler regime, independence from, 76
 constitutional change, 301
 constitutional culture, 198
 Constitutional Parliamentary Select Committee, 235
 constitutional politics, 198
 Constitutional Review Commission, 221
 constitutional rights, 197
 Criminal Procedure and Evidence Act, 239
 currency devaluation, 220, 232
 de facto executive rule, 202
 democracy
 multi-party, 197, 205, 206–207
 transition to, 238
 dualist legal order, 203
 Economic Structural Adjustment Program (ESAP), 219
 elections, 193–197, 201, 205, 206, 209, 217, 219, 221, 223, 227, 230, 231, 232, 234, 238, 240
 Electoral Act s 158(1), 223
 Emergency Powers (Maintenance of Law and Order) Regulations, 210
 Emergency Powers Act, 202
 Entumbane, 210
 ethnic division, 208
 executive prerogatives, reviewability of, 214
 Fast-Track Land Reform Program, 196, 227, 228, 230, 231, 232, 234
First Chimurenga, 198
 free democratic competition, 305
 Global Political Agreement (GPA), 194, 233, 235
 guerrilla war, 202, 207
Gukurahundi massacres, 196, 197, 222, 237
 Harare South constituency challenge, 217
 Human Rights Commission, 238
 human rights, judicial decisions on, 214
 illiberalism, 321
 Inclusive Government, 194, 195, 196, 197, 234, 236, 237, 240
 independence, 201
 judicial independence, 198, 211, 213, 216, 218, 224, 229, 240, 308
 judicial review regime, 48, 240, 263, 301, 302, 304, 305
 consolidation, 271
 “exogenous shock,” 231, 283, 302
 post-2000 character, assessment of, 230–233
 re-consolidation, 239–240
 transformation, 218–225, 232, 283, 294
 Judicial Service Commission, 238
 judiciary, 204–206, 211–213
 “Kariba draft Constitution,” 235
 Lancaster House Agreement, 209
 Lancaster House Constitution, 228
 Lancaster House negotiations, 201, 207
 Land Apportionment Act 1931, 198, 202
 Land Tenure Act 1970, 198
 Law and Order (Maintenance) Act, 202, 203, 210
 law and politics, pre-independence, 198–204
 law as legitimating device, 197
 law/politics relation, 11, 48, 198, 217, 218, 231, 233, 240, 272
 liberal constitutionalism, 257, 263
 Matabeleland, 206
 MDC-M, 194, 234, 239
 MDC-T, 194, 232, 234, 239
 Midlands, 206
 Movement for Democratic Change (MDC), 195, 220, 221, 222, 223, 225, 230, 231, 232, 234, 235, 236, 238, 240, 257, 263, 271, 283, 308
Murambatsvina, 196
 National Constitutional Assembly (NCA), 219, 220, 221, 235, 236, 239
 national liberation project, 226
 National Prosecutions Authority, 237
 national revolutionary project, 227, 229, 230, 231
 “nationalist historiography” tradition, 230
 Ndebele people, 208
 operation *Gukurahundi*, 206
 Parliamentary Committee on Standing Rules and Orders, 238
 party-political system, fluidity in, 239
 “patriotic history,” 231
 PF-ZAPU, 196, 201, 202, 206, 213, 221

- political authority, 77
- pre- and post-independence regimes,
 - continuities between, 209–213
- referendum, 219, 221, 238
- revolutionary nationalism, 197, 210, 217, 231, 240
- rule of law, 217, 305
- Shona people, 208, 209
- state of emergency, 202, 211
- “Take Charge” campaign, 235
- Third Chimurenga*, 227
- uncompensated land expropriation, 221
- Unilateral Declaration of Independence, 199, 203
- Unity Accord 1987, 218, 220, 222
- Unlawful Organisations Act, 202
- War Victims Compensation Fund, 220
- whipping, adult and juvenile, 214
- white farm invasions, 225–227
- white minority rule, 205, 209
- white settlement, 199
- Zimbabwe African National Union (ZANU), 199, 200, 201, 209
- Zimbabwe African National Union-Patriotic Front (ZANU-PF), 77, 194, 197, 204, 205, 207, 238, 257, 258, 271
- 1980 elections, 201
- 1995 elections, 217
- 2000 elections, 221
- 2008 elections, 232, 239
- 2013 elections, 195
- ANC distinguished, 208
- authoritarian rule, 196, 205, 209, 218, 223, 225, 233, 234, 240
- bargaining power, 237
- conception of democracy, 207
- constitution drafted by, rejection of, 197
- constitution-making process, manipulation of, 235
- corporate ownership, indigenizing, 235
- DRC civil war, involvement in, 220
- election conduct, 196
- Fast Track Land Reform Program, validation of, 227
- forceful decision-making, tolerance for, 205
- Global Political Agreement (GPA), signing of, 233
- goal, 206, 208
- ideology, 217, 229, 230, 232, 240, 263
- illiberalism, 206–209
- inherited legal order, 202
- internal dissent, 221
- judicial decisions, response to, 213–218
- judicial independence, approach to, 198, 211, 224, 229, 240, 308
- judicial review regime, adaptation of, 302
- judiciary, approach to, 212, 218, 219, 223, 225, 230, 232
- judiciary, assault on, 212, 219, 222, 225–229, 232, 263
- legitimacy, 229, 239, 283
- MDC parties, outmanoeuvring of, 234
- monopoly on power, end of, 233
- multi-party democracy, commitment to, 197, 205, 206–207
- national liberation movement, as, 207
- national revolutionary project, custodian of, 231
- opposition to, 219, 222, 225
- opposition to rule, 219
- political authority, claim to, 231
- political manipulation, 240
- political negotiations, 236, 305
- political predecessor, 199
- Rhodesian Front, relations with, 210, 211
- rule of law, defiance of, 216, 227, 228, 229
- ruling style, 197
- security interests, 214
- Shona support base, 209, 221
- splinter parties, 239
- support base, 234, 238
- violence, use of, 210
- white farm invasions, approach to, 226
- Zimbabwe African People’s Union (ZAPU), 199, 200, 201, 209, 212
- Zimbabwe Commercial Farmers Union, 226
- Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions (ZCTU), 219, 220, 221, 235
- Zimbabwe Constitution, 196, 207, 271, 301
 - amendment, 214
 - Chapter 12, 237
 - constitution-making process, 235–238
 - Kariba draft, 237
 - liberal-democratic basis, 241
 - notional strengths, 237
 - presidential powers, 237
 - presidential terms, 237
 - referendum support, 238
 - s 58, 193, 194
 - s 63, 193, 194
 - s 98, 237

- Zimbabwe Constitutional Court, 193, 237, 238, 239–240
- Zimbabwe Defense Force, 222
- Zimbabwe Electoral Commission (ZEC), 238
- Zimbabwe High Court, 204, 213, 214–215, 226, 227
- Zimbabwe House of Assembly, 215
- Zimbabwe Law Society, 228
- Zimbabwe National Liberation Army (ZANLA), 200, 201, 208
- Zimbabwe National Liberation War Veterans Association (ZNLWVA), 220
- Zimbabwe People’s Revolutionary Army (ZIPRA), 200, 208, 231
- Zimbabwe Supreme Court, 204, 213–214, 216, 222, 223, 225, 238, 240
 judicial appointment, 228
 land reform cases, 230
 land reform program, role in, 231
 war veteran invasion, 197, 227
- Zines, Leslie, 106
- Ziyambi, Justice Vernanda, 229, 230