

THE POLITICO-LEGAL DYNAMICS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW

Comparative scholarship on judicial review has paid a lot of attention to the causal impact of politics on judicial decision-making. However, the slower-moving, macro-social process through which judicial review influences societal conceptions of the law/politics relation is less well understood. Drawing on the political science literature on institutional change, *The Politico-Legal Dynamics of Judicial Review* tests a typological theory of the evolution of judicial review regimes – complexes of legitimating ideas about the law/politics relation. The theory posits that such regimes tend to conform to one of four main types – democratic or authoritarian legalism, or democratic or authoritarian instrumentalism. Through case studies of Australia, India, and Zimbabwe and a comparative chapter analyzing ten additional societies, the book then explores how actually existing judicial review regimes transition between these types. This process of ideational development, Roux concludes, is distinct both from the everyday business of constitutional politics and changes to the formal constitution.

Theunis Roux is Professor of Law at UNSW Sydney. He was the founding director of the South African Institute for Advanced Constitutional, Public, Human Rights and International Law and is a former Secretary General of the International Association of Constitutional Law. He is the author of *The Politics of Principle* (Cambridge University Press, 2013) and coeditor, with Rosalind Dixon, of a recent anthology of papers assessing the triumphs and disappointments of postapartheid South African constitutionalism.

COMPARATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND POLICY

Series Editors

Tom Ginsburg *University of Chicago*
 Zachary Elkins *University of Texas at Austin*
 Ran Hirschl *University of Toronto*

Comparative constitutional law is an intellectually vibrant field that encompasses an increasingly broad array of approaches and methodologies. This series collects analytically innovative and empirically grounded work from scholars of comparative constitutionalism across academic disciplines. Books in the series include theoretically informed studies of single constitutional jurisdictions, comparative studies of constitutional law and institutions, and edited collections of original essays that respond to challenging theoretical and empirical questions in the field.

Books in the Series

The Invisible Constitution in Comparative Perspective edited by Rosalind Dixon and Adrienne Stone

Constituent Assemblies edited by Jon Elster, Roberto Gargarella, Vatsal Naresh, and Bjorn Erik Rasch

Judicial Review in Norway Anine Kierulf

The DNA of Constitutional Justice in Latin America: Politics, Governance, and Judicial Design Daniel M. Brinks and Abby Blass

The Adventures of the Constituent Power: Beyond Revolutions? Andrew Arato

Constitutions, Religion and Politics in Asia: Indonesia, Malaysia and Sri Lanka Dian A. H. Shah

Canada in the World: Comparative Perspectives on the Canadian Constitution edited by Richard Albert and David R. Cameron

Courts and Democracies in Asia Po Jen Yap

Proportionality: New Frontiers, New Challenges edited by Vicki C. Jackson and Mark Tushnet

Constituents Before Assembly: Participation, Deliberation, and Representation in the Crafting of New Constitutions Todd A. Eisenstadt, A. Carl LeVan, and Tofiqh Maboudi

Assessing Constitutional Performance edited by Tom Ginsburg and Aziz Huq

Buddhism, Politics and the Limits of Law: The Pyrrhic Constitutionalism of Sri Lanka Benjamin Schonthal

Engaging with Social Rights: Procedure, Participation and Democracy in South Africa's Second Wave Brian Ray

Constitutional Courts as Mediators: Armed Conflict, Civil–Military Relations, and the Rule of Law in Latin America Julio Ríos-Figueroa

Perils of Judicial Self-Government in Transitional Societies David Kosař

Making We the People: Democratic Constitutional Founding in Postwar Japan and South Korea Chaihark Hahm and Sung Ho Kim

Radical Deprivation on Trial: The Impact of Judicial Activism on Socioeconomic Rights in the Global South César Rodríguez-Garavito and Diana Rodríguez-Franco

Unstable Constitutionalism: Law and Politics in South Asia edited by Mark Tushnet and Madhav Khosla

Magna Carta and Its Modern Legacy edited by Robert Hazell and James Melton

Constitutions and Religious Freedom Frank B. Cross

International Courts and the Performance of International Agreements: A General Theory with Evidence from the European Union Clifford J. Carrubba and Matthew J. Gabel

Reputation and Judicial Tactics: A Theory of National and International Courts Shai Dothan

Social Difference and Constitutionalism in Pan-Asia edited by Susan H. Williams

Constitutionalism in Asia in the Early Twenty-First Century edited by Albert H. Y. Chen

Constitutions in Authoritarian Regimes edited by Tom Ginsburg and Alberto Simpser

Presidential Legislation in India: The Law and Practice of Ordinances Shubhankar Dam

Social and Political Foundations of Constitutions edited by Denis J. Galligan and Mila Versteeg

Consequential Courts: Judicial Roles in Global Perspective edited by Diana Kapiszewski, Gordon Silverstein, and Robert A. Kagan

Comparative Constitutional Design edited by Tom Ginsburg

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42542-1 — The Politico-Legal Dynamics of Judicial Review
Theunis Roux
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

The Politico-Legal Dynamics of Judicial Review

A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

THEUNIS ROUX

University of New South Wales, Sydney



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42542-1 — The Politico-Legal Dynamics of Judicial Review
Theunis Roux
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108425421

DOI: [10.1017/9781108340977](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108340977)

© Theunis Roux 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United States of America by Sheridan Books, Inc.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

NAMES: Roux, Theunis, author.

TITLE: The politico-legal dynamics of judicial review : a comparative analysis / Theunis Roux, University of New South Wales, Sydney.

DESCRIPTION: Cambridge [UK] ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, [2018] | Includes bibliographical references and index.

IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2018012357 | ISBN 9781108425421

SUBJECTS: LCSH: Judicial review. | Judicial review – Political aspects. | Constitutional law.

CLASSIFICATION: LCC K3175 .R68 2018 | DDC 347/.012–dc23

LC record available at <https://lccn.loc.gov/2018012357>

ISBN 978-1-108-42542-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

For Hannah and Sarah

Cambridge University Press
978-1-108-42542-1 — The Politico-Legal Dynamics of Judicial Review
Theunis Roux
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>page</i> xii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiv
Introduction	1
1 Preliminaries	12
1.1 Institutional Scope	13
1.2 Inattention to Ideational Questions	15
1.3 This Study's Approach	34
1.4 Methods and Case Selection	44
2 A Typological Theory of JR-Regime Change	49
2.1 Law's Autonomy: Legal-Cultural Ideal Not Empirically Testable Claim	52
2.2 JR-Regime Transformation during the <i>Lochner</i> Era	58
2.3 Generalizing the <i>Lochner</i> Story	62
2.3.1 The Core Concept: JR Regimes	64
2.3.2 The Evolution of JR Regimes	66
2.3.3 The Key Independent Variables: Changing Conceptions of Legal and Political Authority	68
2.4 Four Ideal-Typical JR Regimes	78
2.4.1 Democratic Legalism	78
2.4.2 Authoritarian Legalism	81
2.4.3 Authoritarian Instrumentalism	83
2.4.4 Democratic Instrumentalism	84
2.5 Consolidation, Transformation, and Incremental Change	85
2.6 Conclusion: Refining and Testing	89

3	Australian Democratic Legalism: Constant Cultural Cause or Path-Dependent Trajectory?	91
3.1	The Three Levels of <i>Engineers</i>	95
3.2	The Postwar Cases and the Failed Court-Packing Plan	108
3.3	The Dixonian Consolidation of Legalism	114
3.4	The Mason Court's Challenge	120
3.5	The Contemporary Australian JR Regime	134
3.6	Conclusion	140
4	From Democratic Legalism to Instrumentalism: India's Constitutional-Cultural Transformation	146
4.1	The Establishment Period: 1950–1967	149
4.2	From <i>Golknath</i> to <i>Shukla</i> : 1967–1977	155
4.3	The Court's Rehabilitation: 1977–1989	165
4.4	The Modern Era: 1989–2015	176
4.5	Conclusion	187
5	The Postcolonial Adaptation of Authoritarian Legalism in Zimbabwe	193
5.1	Law and Politics in Zimbabwe before Independence	198
5.2	Building on the Colonial Legacy: 1980–1998	204
5.2.1	ZANU-PF's Illiberalism	206
5.2.2	Continuities between the Pre- and Postindependence Regimes	209
5.2.3	ZANU-PF's Response to Assertive Judicial Decisions	213
5.3	The Instrumentalist Interlude: 1999–2008	218
5.3.1	The Causes of the Transition	218
5.3.2	The Assault on the Judiciary	225
5.3.3	Assessing the Character of the Post-2000 Regime	230
5.4	Recovery and Return: 2009–2013	233
5.5	Reconsolidation: 2013 to Date	239
5.6	Conclusion	240
6	Testing the Typological Theory: A Medium-N Comparative Study of JR-Regime Change	242
6.1	Populating the Typology	245
6.1.1	Democratic Legalism	245
6.1.2	Democratic Instrumentalism	255
6.1.3	Authoritarian Legalism	257

Contents

xi

6.1.4	Authoritarian Instrumentalism	261
6.1.5	Summary	269
6.2	Consolidation	269
6.3	Transformation	281
6.4	Within-Regime Incremental Change	290
6.5	Conclusion	293
7	Findings and Implications	295
7.1	Main Findings	296
7.1.1	Findings Specific to Democratic and Authoritarian Instrumentalism	303
7.1.2	Subtype Transformation under Democratic Legalism	306
7.1.3	Vertical and Horizontal versus Diagonal Transformation	308
7.2	Normative Implications	309
7.3	Practical Implications	318
7.3.1	Constitutional Design	318
7.3.2	Judicial Practice	323
7.3.3	Social Activism	325
7.4	Conclusion	328
	<i>Bibliography</i>	329
	<i>Index</i>	352

Figures

7.1 Most likely trajectories of JR-regime transformation *page 309*

Tables

2.1	Typology of JR regimes	<i>page</i> 79
6.1	Populated typology of JR regimes	269
6.2	Provisional list of four pathways to JR-regime consolidation	273
6.3	Four pathways to JR-regime consolidation – additional cases	280
6.4	Preliminary assessment of conditions for JR-regime transformation	283
6.5	Conditions for JR-regime transformation (with additional examples)	289

Acknowledgments

Australia is a place where writing a scholarly treatise is generally thought to be a missed opportunity to do other, more important things, like investing in the property market or hitting a red ball across a green field. If you foolishly admit over the barbecue flame to being an academic, the look of puzzled confusion on your interlocutor's face can incapacitate you for a week.

If this is true of Australia generally, it is also true of its tertiary education sector in particular. The neoliberal, managerialist assault on the humanities and social sciences, which British universities have had the good sense to attenuate and elite American universities the private funding to ignore, is in the Antipodes still a topic of anxious conversation. Australian universities' usually very admirable desire to prove their international standing has made them particularly susceptible to the cult of the rankings lists, as though something as intrinsically important as the pursuit of truth could be reduced to a SNIP index. In this measurable-outcomes-oriented world, the writing of a scholarly treatise, and especially one that takes four years to complete, is generally seen as being akin to playing test cricket when all the money and crowd support is in the shorter versions of the game.

The word "generally," however, implies that there are exceptions, and it is in the community of the exceptional that a book like this gets written. First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the support of my home institution, UNSW Law, which has fearlessly defended its research culture in the face of serious challenges. From the time of his appointment in 2016, George Williams has been a brave and exemplary Dean and the most committed democrat one could ever wish for. Carolyn Penfold and Andrew Lynch, as successive Heads of School, have been friendly puncturers of my surly disposition. My extraordinarily gifted colleague, Rosalind Dixon, has honored me with a sustained interest in my work. Her standing in the comparative

constitutional law community has ensured a steady flow of international visitors to UNSW Law that has made up for my tendency to plough my own furrow – sometimes, it seems, on my own planet.

In the section of these acknowledgments that I might have given the subheading “camaraderie-cum-collegiality,” I should mention first the friendship of Martin Krygier, who has stuck with me through dark times, for only some of which he bears responsibility. As this book was nearing completion, Adam Czarnota welcomed me into his home, fed me flavorful Polish food, and reminded me what devotion to a life of the intellect means. Simon Halliday shared his advice on how to make the most of cooking for one, and occasionally roused himself from his Scottish Presbyterian bleakness to think of good names for cycling teams. To these three mates, who also read and commented on my work, I shall be eternally grateful.

Lastly, to my daughters, Hannah and Sarah, to whom this book is dedicated, I am sorry for what I have put you through, but I hope that reading this book, or just leafing through it, you will get some sense of the madness that possessed me.

Various iterations of this book have been presented at seminars in Australia, India, and the United States. The typology on which this study is based was first presented in a different form at a comparative constitutional law symposium organized by Rosalind Dixon at UNSW Law in November 2013. I presented an early draft of the Australian case study chapter at the Australian Political Studies Conference in Sydney in September 2014. In April 2016, Kevin Walton kindly invited me to present what turned out to be an initial version of Chapter 2 at the Julius Stone Institute at the University of Sydney. In October of that year, Erin Delaney and Rosalind Dixon hosted a Conference on Comparative Judicial Review at Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law at which I again presented the theoretical framework for this study. I presented the redrafted paper I eventually wrote for Erin and Rosalind’s edited anthology at the Centre for Comparative Constitutional Studies *Constitutional Theory Workshop* at the University of Melbourne in July 2017. Chapter 6 was presented in near-final form at the UNSW Law *Comparative Constitutional Law Roundtable* in August 2017 (again organized by Rosalind Dixon) and at the Australian National University *Troubling the Rule of Law* Conference at Kioloa, New South Wales, in September 2017 (organized by Nick Cheesman). In November 2017, Alexander Fischer of Jindal Global Law School organized a workshop at which the Indian case study chapter was presented. Finally, in December 2017, Rosalind Dixon helped me to organize a book manuscript review workshop at UNSW Law at which I presented the penultimate draft of this book. I am grateful to the

organizers of these events for the opportunity to discuss my work and to the commentators for their feedback: Madhav Khosla, James Stellios, David Landau (three times), Tom Daly, Bjoern Dressel, Raul Sanchez-Urribarri, Javier Couso, Mark Graber, Michaela Hailbonner, Rehan Abeyratne, Heinz Klug, and Gabrielle Appleby. Aside from these formally designated commentators, Jeffrey Goldsworthy sent me extremely helpful comments on the Australian case study chapter, Pratap Bhanu Mehta and Satya Prateek helped with the Indian chapter, Jason Brickhill corrected various oversights and oversimplifications in the Zimbabwe chapter, and Sharon Cowan picked up some errors and inconsistencies in the first two chapters.

In the course of writing this book, I tested out parts of the argument in published papers. Some passages from these papers have been republished in this volume, as indicated in parentheses after the citation: “Reinterpreting the Mason Court Revolution: An Historical Institutionalist Account of Judge-Driven Constitutional Transformation in Australia” (2015) 43 *Federal Law Review* 1 (a couple of paragraphs from Chapter 4); “Trajectories of Curial Power: The Rise, Fall and Partial Rehabilitation of the Indonesian Constitutional Court” (2016) 17 *Australian Journal of Asian Law* 1 (sections of Chapter 7); “Comparative Constitutional Studies: Two Fields or One?” (2017) 13 *Annual Review of Law & Social Science* 123 (parts of Chapter 1); “How, When and Why Does Faith in Law’s Autonomy Decline? A Comparative Constitutional-Cultural Analysis” in Erin F. Delaney and Rosalind Dixon (eds.), *Comparative Judicial Review* (Edward Elgar, forthcoming) (small portions of Chapter 6); and “In Defence of Empirical Entanglement: The Methodological Flaw in Waldron’s Case against Judicial Review” in Ron Levy and Graeme Orr (eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Deliberative Constitutionalism* (Cambridge University Press, 2018) 203 (some of the argument in Chapter 7). I am grateful to the editors and publishers of these papers for permission to republish the relevant extracts here.