

Index

- accessible feedback on language, 147–50
 active inaction, 213
 activity theory, 28
 activity-based peer feedback, in online forums, 196–202
 adoption, appropriation and, 67
 affective engagement
 with feedback, 11, 250, 256–7
 alignment in, 259–60
 emotional regulation in, 256–7
 social mediation in, 258–9
 students' perspectives on, 259
 affective feedback strategies, 293
 alignment, 259–60
 apprenticeship
 doctoral learning and, 220
 cognitive apprenticeship, 206
 research, in supervisory feedback, 207
 appropriation, 13
 collaboration and, 72
 deep participation in, 68, 74
 dialogic models of, 66, 78
 direct quotation, 67
 feedback and, 70–8
 hidden polemic and, 67, 73–4
 L2 writing scholarship and, 66–7
 language theory and, 66–7
 mentoring relationships and, 77–8
 monologic definitions of, 65–6
 myths of, 64–5
 ownership and, 69–70
 parody and, 67
 procedural displays in, 68, 74
 research on, 73–5, 78–80
 artifact-mediation, in SCT, 32
 asymmetrical peer interactions, 31
 asynchronous feedback, 300
 attitude markers, 235–6
 attrition rates, with doctoral learning, 207
 authoritative discourse, by teachers, 75
 authority, in teacher written feedback, 177–8
 Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) systems, 10, 14, 126–8, 133–4, 138–9
 classroom use of, 135–8
 effects on writing, 132–5
 in EFL, 133–4
 through feedback, 133–4
 feedback for, 131–5, 137–8
 range of genres in, 135
 GMAT, 126
 GREs, 126
 historical development of, 125–8
Intelligent Essay Assessor, 125–6
 LMSs and, 127
 MOOCs and, 127
 NAPLAN and, 128
 public controversies over, 128–42
 scoring systems for, 126, 130–1, 138
 for summative assessment purposes, 127
 Test of English as a Foreign Language test, 126
 Turnitin Feedback Studio, 127
 Autopractan, 92
 AWE systems. *See* Automated Writing Evaluation systems
 behavioural engagement
 with feedback, 11
 with WCF, 250, 255–6
 deletions in, 255–6
 students' perceptions of teachers in, 256
 substitutions in, 255–6
 bioecological theory, of human development, 51
 Bitchener, John, 14
 CALL. *See* Computer Assisted Language Learning
 CF. *See* corrective feedback
 classrooms, AWE systems in, 135–8
 CMC. *See* Computer-mediated communication

306 *Index*

- co-authoring, in collaborative writing, 146, 153
 - responsibilities of, 145
- Coffin, Caroline, 15
- cognitive apprenticeship, 206
- cognitive engagement
 - with feedback, 11
 - with WCF, 252–5
 - communicative goals of, 253
 - depth of processing, 252
 - metacognitive operations in, 252
 - students' understanding of, 254–5
- cognitive processing, in WCF
 - beyond initial episode, 91–4, 100–2
 - within initial episode, 88–94, 98–100
- cognitive stages of regulation, in SCT, 29–32
- collaboration
 - appropriation and, 72
 - dual mode, of L2 writing, 297–9
 - sustained collaboration, 297
 - in SCT research study, 32, 40
- collaborative scaffolding, 289–95, 299–300
- collaborative writing
 - co-authoring in, 146, 153
 - responsibilities of, 145
 - definition of, 143–5
 - as dialogic view of writing, 144–6
 - in EAP classes, 146
 - with EFL, 156
 - for first language, 149
 - with Google Docs, 148–9
 - with L2 writing, 156
 - linguaging in, 146
 - linguistic knowledge in, 153
 - in online platforms, 154–5
 - as peer feedback, 143, 145–53
 - research on, 156
 - scaffolding in, 150
 - collective, 150–1, 154
 - text composition in, 145
 - theoretical foundations of, 144
- collective scaffolding techniques, 150–1, 154
- community of practice models, 220
- comprehensive feedback. *See* unfocused feedback
- Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL), 9
- Computer-mediated communication (CMC), 9–10
 - through mobile technologies, 9
 - through Track Changes feature, 9
- Computer-mediated feedback, 9–10
 - AWE systems, 10
 - CALL, 9
 - CMC, 9–10
- conferences
 - feedback within, 184–5
 - with NNS students, 184–5
 - with NS students, 184–5
- consolidation phase, of WCF, 101
- content area learning, 275
- contingent responsivity, in scaffolding techniques, 35
- contingently responsive feedback, 150–1
- corrective feedback (CF). *See also* Written Corrective Feedback
 - future research on, 118–19
 - SLA and, 85
 - student application of, 120–1
 - teacher variables in, 119–20
- creativity, AWE systems and, 137–8
- Criterion*, 130
- critical dialogue, 208
- criticism, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
- cultural perspective, in peer feedback, 46–61
 - bioecological theory of human development and, 51
 - as construct, 51–4
 - critics of, 50
 - encultural theory and, 51
 - among ESL students, 46–7
 - essentialism and, 53
 - meso cultures, 53, 55–9
 - micro cultures, 53, 57–9
 - narrative inquiry in, 60
 - as pedagogical activity, 46, 58–9
 - quantitative methodologies for, 60–1
 - as received view, 49–50
 - reconceptualization of, 51–4, 59–60
 - scale in, 52–3
- cultural situatedness, in peer revision, 40–1
- culturally relevant practices, 286
- deep participation, in appropriation, 68, 74
- dialects, 69–70
- dialogic models, of appropriation, 66, 78
- dialogic view, of writing, 144–6
- digital technology, in higher education.
 - See also* online forums and platforms
- integration of, 184
- direct feedback, 96, 109–10
 - in doctoral learning, 212

- direct quotation, in appropriation, 67
 direct WCF, 96, 251–2
 disciplinary communication, role of writing
 in, 272–3
 discourse coherence, 132
 doctoral learning about writing
 apprenticeship and, 206, 220
 community of practice models and, 220
 through critical dialogue, 208
 direct feedback in, 212
 EAL students and, 209, 215–16, 218–22
 expressive feedback in, 211–12
 indirect feedback in, 212, 216, 219
 intercultural feedback in, 212–13
 as invisible instruction, 206
 joint authoring and, feedback through,
 216–18
 language feedback in, 215–16
 long-term implications of, 220–3
 monologic feedback in, 220
 referential feedback in, 210–11
 scaffolding in, 211–12, 216–18
 scope of, 206
 socialisation as aspect of, 206
 supervisory feedback in, 206–7, 213–15,
 218–20, 222–3
 writing teachers as part of, 207–9, 217,
 220–1
 through written supervisory feedback,
 case studies on, 209–16, 222–3
 dual mode collaboration, of L2 writing,
 297–9
 sustained, 297
 dual mode group writing
 initiation of feedback in, 290–3
 patterns of, 290
 scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
 synchronous hands-on strategies in, 296–7
 Dynamic Written Corrective Feedback
 (DWCF), 14, 114–18
 design approach to, 115
 development of, 114–15
 future research on, 119
 IEP and, 115
 steps in, 115
 student perceptions about, 116–17
 studies in, 115–17
 EAL. *See* English as an additional language
 students
 EAP. *See* English for Academic Purposes
 editing. *See* peer editing
 Education Testing Services, 125–6
 EFL. *See* English as Foreign Language
 ELA classrooms. *See* English Language Arts
 classrooms
 emotional regulation, 256–7
 enculturation theory, 51
 engagement, with feedback, 10–11, 15–16.
See also learner engagement
 affective, 11, 250, 256–60
 behavioural, 11, 250, 255–6
 cognitive, 11, 252–5
 positive academic outcomes, 11
 student participation in, 15–16
 through WCF, 11
 English as an additional language (EAL)
 students
 doctoral learning and, 209, 215–16, 221–2
 mentoring as part of, 218
 sociopragmatic failure for, 219
 supervisory feedback on, 218–20
 English as Foreign Language (EFL), 128,
 133
 collaborative writing with, 156
 teacher written feedback for, 165
 English as Second Language (ESL) students
 American students, 48–9
 Chinese students, 47–8
 cultural influences on peer feedback
 among, 46–7
 error correction for, 4
 German students, 48–9
 learner engagement for, 248
 teacher written feedback for, 167–8
 English for Academic Purposes (EAP), 78–80
 collaborative writing in, 146
 teacher feedback in, 268
 English for Specific Purposes (ESPJ), 228
 English Language Arts (ELA) classrooms,
 287–8
 E-rater, 125–6, 131
 error correction
 applications in, 110–14
 contextual factors in, 110–14
 for grammar instruction, 112–14
 individual factors in, 110–14
 student self-assessment in, 112–14
 in peer feedback, 3–4
 for ESL students, 4
 WCF research, 106–10. *See also* Dynamic
 Written Corrective Feedback

308 *Index*

- error correction (cont.)
 in academic literature, 106–7
 on “the big question,” 107–8
 criticisms of, 108–10
 post-2006, 106–10
 between 2006–2018, 110
 in written feedback, 3–4
 for ESL students, 4
 ESL students. *See* English as Second Language students
 ESPJ. *See* English for Specific Purposes
 essentialism, 53
 evaluative language, 231–3, 239
 expressive feedback, 211–12
- feedback. *See also specific topics*
 affective aspects of, 6–7
 affective strategies, 293
 appropriation in, 13, 70–8
 asynchronous, 300
 computer-mediated, 9–10
 within conferences, 184–5
 as constructive judgment of text, 1
 context of, 11–16
 contingently responsive, 150–1
 correction-free approach, 3–4
 direct, 96, 109–10, 212
 expressive, 211–12
 historical context for, 2–3
 indirect, 96, 109–10, 212, 216, 219
 interactionist theories, 2, 5
 intercultural, 212–13
 IRF, 187
 language, 215–16
 monologic, 220
 negotiating of, 14–15
 process approach to, 2
 referential, 210–11
 relational aspects of, 6–7
 teacher-stance and, 5
 through writing conferences, 5–7
- Ferris, Dana, 14
 first language (L1)
 collaborative writing for, 149
 in student-initiated feedback, 294–5
 focused feedback, 96–7
 focused WCF, 96–7
- generic scoring systems, for AWE systems, 130
 GMAT. *See* Graduate Management Admission Test
- Google Docs, 148–9
 student-initiated feedback through, 285–8
- Graduate Management Admission Test (GMAT), 126
- Graduate Record Examinations (GREs), 126
 grammar instruction, with error feedback, 112–14
 grammar rules, in collaborative writing, 147–8
- GREs. *See* Graduate Record Examinations
- group texts, synchronous creation of, 295–7
 cooperative revisions in, 295–6
 hands-on strategies in, 296–7
 main writer strategies, 295
 parallel writing in, 295–6
- Guangwei Hu, 13
- Guerrero, Maria de, 13
- Han, Ye, 16
- Hewings, Ann, 15
 hidden polemic, 67, 73–4
 human development, bioecological theory of, 51
- Hyland, Fiona, 15–16
- Hyland, Ken, 15–16
- idealization, appropriation and, 67
- IEP. *See* intensive English program
- indirect feedback, 96, 109–10
 in doctoral learning, 212, 216, 219
 indirect WCF, 96, 251–2
- initiation-response-feedback (IRF), 187
- IntelliMetric*, 125–6
- intensive English program (IEP), 115
- intentionality, in scaffolding techniques, 33–4
- intercultural feedback, 212–13
- interpersonality, in teacher written feedback, 166
 development of, 168–70
 positive learning context through, 168–9
 student interpretation of, 179–81
- intersubjectivity, 293–4
 in scaffolding techniques, 35–6
- interview-based studies, 213–16
- invisible instruction, 206
- IRF. *See* initiation-response-feedback
- joint authoring, feedback through, 216–18
 scaffolding in, 216
- Journal of Second Language Writing* (JSLW), 89–105, 107

- knowledge about writing
 development of, 276–9
 metaknowledge, 208, 220–1
 Kurzer, Kendon, 14
- L1. *See* first language
- L2 writing. *See* second language writing
- language accuracy, 269–71
- language feedback, 215–16
 accessibility in, 147–50
 evaluative, 231–3, 239
 in peer reviews, in academic journals,
 237–8
- language instruction. *See also* EAL students;
 English as Foreign Language; English
 as Second Language students;
 English Language Arts classrooms
 in L2, 121
 shared language-learning issues, 191
Language Learning, 106–7
- language rights, 69–70
 dialects and, 69–70
 vernacular-based voices, 69–70
- language theory, appropriation and, 66–7
- linguaging, 146
- learner engagement, with WCF
 componential framework for, 248
 development of, 248–50
 direct WCF, 251–2
 educational research on, 247–8
 in ESL classrooms, 248
 indirect WCF, 251–2
 long-term implications for, 261–2
 metacognitive strategies for, 249–50, 252
 SCT perspective on, 249
 sociocognitive perspective on, 249–50,
 257–61
 for students, in case studies, 250–7
 studies of, 248
 teachers and, 251–2
- learners. *See also* learner engagement
 types of, 100
- Learning Managements Systems (LMSs),
 127
- Leontiev, A. N., 28
- linguistic realisations in arguments,
 198–200
- literary practices, in online forums,
 186–7
- LMSs. *See* Learning management systems
- longitudinal-oriented studies, 222
- markers. *See* attitude markers; stance
 markers
- Massive Open On-line Courses (MOOCs),
 127
- mentoring
 appropriation and, 77–8
 in doctoral learning, for EAL students,
 218
- meso cultures, in peer feedback, 53, 55–9
- metacognitive reflection, 197–9
- metacognitive strategies, for learner
 engagement, 249–50
 cognitive engagement and, 252
- metaknowledge, 208, 220–1
- micro cultures, in peer feedback, 53, 57–9
- microgenesis, in scaffolding techniques, 28
- missing teacher variable, 5
- mitigation strategies, in teacher written
 feedback, 170, 176, 180–1
- modality markers, in peer feedback, 195
- monologic definitions, of appropriation,
 65–6
- monologic feedback, 220
- MOOCs. *See* Massive Open On-line
 Courses
- motivated peer feedback, 151–2
- multilingual writers, 69
- mutual scaffolding, 294–5
- MY Access!*, 130–1
- NAPLAN. *See* national literacy and
 numeracy assessment
- narrative inquiry, 60
- national literacy and numeracy assessment
 (NAPLAN), 128
- native speaker submissions, in academic
 journals, 235, 238
- native speaking (NS) students, 184–5
- negative teacher written feedback, 168–9
- NNS students. *See* non-native speaking
 students
- non-interface position, in WCF, 91
- non-native speaker submissions, in
 academic journals, 234–6, 238
- non-native speaking (NNS) students, 184–5,
 200
- Noticing Hypothesis, 88
- NS students. *See* native speaking students
- online forums and platforms
 collaborative writing in, 154–5

310 *Index*

- online forums and platforms (cont.)
 conferences
 with NNS students, 184–5
 with NS students, 184–5
 peer feedback within, 184–5
 literary practices in, 186–7
 NNS students, 200
 conferences with, 184–5
 peer feedback in, 186
 scaffolding in, 185
 shared language-learning issues in, 191
 online learning
 dialogue and, 185–9
 educational benefits of, 186
 ontogenesis, in scaffolding techniques, 28
 open questions, 191–5
- Page, Ellis, 125–6
 Paltridge, Brian, 15
 parallel writing, 295–6
 parody, appropriation and, 67
 past tense, in WCF, 97
 pedagogy
 cultural perspectives in peer feedback
 and, 46, 58–9
 in peer feedback, implications of, 41–2,
 45–61
 for teachers, 42
 peer challenges, through feedback, 195, 197
 peer critiquing, 45–61
 peer editing, 45–61, 297
 peer feedback, 2–3, 7–8
 asymmetrical interactions, 31
 collaborative writing as, 143, 145–53
 cultural situatedness in, 40–1
 error correction and, 3–4
 for ESL students, 4
 interactions in, 8
 mediated assistance in interactions, 32–9
 motivated, 151–2
 in online platforms, 186
 pedagogical implications of, 41–2, 45–61
 for teachers, 42
 positive attitudes toward, 8
 research for, 7–8
 social-cognitive dimensions of, 25
 student trust of, 7
 symmetrical interactions in, 31
 teacher implementation of, 8
 peer response, 45–61
 peer review, 45–61
 in academic journals, 226, 228–38
 as process, 226–8
 ESPJ, 228
 evaluative language in, 231–3, 239
 stance markers in academic writing in,
 230–1
 stance markers in reviewers' reports in,
 234–6
 peer tutoring, 45–61
 PEG. *See* Project Essay Grade
 personality. *See* interpersonal
 Phakiti, Aik, 14
 plagiarism, 178–9
 polemic. *See* hidden polemic
 praise, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
 procedural displays, in appropriation, 68,
 74
 Project Essay Grade (PEG), 125–6
 prompt-specific scoring, for AWE systems,
 130
 psychological differentiation, in scaffolding
 techniques, 35
- questions. *See* open questions
- recruiting mechanisms, in scaffolding
 techniques, 33
 referential feedback, 210–11
 reflection. *See* metacognitive reflection
 reflective case studies, 210–13
Revision Assistant, 130
- scaffolding techniques, 1
 in collaborative writing, 150
 collective, 150–1, 154
 in doctoral learning, 211–12, 217–18
 in joint authoring feedback, 216
 in joint authoring, 216
 in online forums, 185
 in SCT, 27–8, 33–6
 in student-initiated feedback, 289–95
 collaborative, 289–95, 299–300
 in dual mode group writing, 289–95
 instructing in, 292–3
 L1 resources, 294–5
 mutual, 294–5
 patterns of, 290
 task regulation, 292
 in writing conferences, 6
 scoring systems, for AWE systems, 126,
 130–1

- accuracy of, 131
 - generic, 130
 - human scoring compared to, 130–1
 - limitations of, 138
 - prompt-specific, 130
 - reliability of, 131
- SCT. *See* sociocultural theory
- second language acquisition (SLA)
 - CF and, 85. *See also* Written Corrective Feedback
 - historical development of, 86–7
 - goals of, 109–10
 - teacher written feedback and, 179
 - second language writing (L2 writing). *See also* learner engagement; student-initiated feedback; Written Corrective Feedback
 - appropriation and, 66–7
 - AWE systems and, 136–8
 - collaborative writing with, 156
 - cultural perspectives for, 50, 54–8
 - macro cultural influences on, 54–5, 58–9
 - meso cultural mediation of, 55–7
 - micro culture and, 57–8
 - dual mode collaboration of, 297–9
 - sustained, 297
 - goals of, 109–10
 - language instruction in, 121
 - self-mediation, in SCT research, 32
 - skill acquisition theories, 91–2
 - skills, development of, 276–9
 - SLA. *See* second language acquisition
 - social harmony, 166
 - social media, student-initiated feedback through, 285
 - culturally relevant practices and, engagement with, 286
 - synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
 - social mediation, 258–9
 - sociocognitive perspective, on learner engagement
 - as dynamic process, 258
 - in university contexts, 258
 - sociocognitive perspectives, on learner engagement, 249–50, 257–61
 - sociocultural theory (SCT). *See also* scaffolding techniques
 - activity theory and, 28
 - components of, 26
 - defined, 25–6
 - internalization of mediational means, 26–7
 - in learner engagement, 249–50
 - peer feedback in, 25
 - scope of, 25–6
 - ZPD in, 27, 38–9
 - sociopragmatic failure, for EAL students, 219
 - solution modeling, in scaffolding techniques, 34–5
 - Soobin Yim, 16
 - stance markers
 - in academic writing, 230–1
 - in reviewers' reports, 234–6
 - Starfield, Sue, 15
 - Stevenson, Marie, 14
 - Storch, Neomy, 14
 - student-initiated feedback
 - affective feedback strategies in, 293
 - asynchronous feedback as part of, 300
 - for dual mode collaboration, of L2 writing, 297–9
 - sustained, 297
 - dual mode group writing
 - initiation of feedback in, 290–3
 - patterns of, 290
 - scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
 - synchronous hands-on strategies in, 296–7
 - through Google Docs, 285–8
 - initiating in, 285
 - long-term implications, 299–300
 - responding in, 285
 - scaffolding behaviours in, 289–95
 - collaborative, 289–95, 299–300
 - in dual mode group writing, 289–95
 - instructing, 292–3
 - L1 resources, 294–5
 - mutual, 294–5
 - task regulation, 292
 - through social media, 285
 - culturally relevant practices and, engagement with, 286
 - synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
 - in synchronous creation of group texts, 295–7
 - cooperative revisions in, 295–6
 - hands-on strategies in, 296–7
 - main writer strategies, 295
 - parallel writing in, 295–6

312 *Index*

- student-initiated feedback (cont.)
 in synchronous group writing, 287–9
 in ELA classrooms, 287–8
 initiation of feedback in, 290–3
 intersubjectivity in, 293–4
 through peer editing, 297
- students. *See also* English as Second Language students
 affective engagement and, perspectives on, 259
 behavioural engagement and, perceptions of, 256
 CF by, 120–1
 cognitive engagement and, understanding of, 254–5
 doctoral learning and, case studies on, 215–16
 DWCF and, perceptions about, 116–17
 engagement with feedback, 10–11
 affective, 11
 behavioural, 11
 cognitive, 11
 positive academic outcomes, 11
 through WCF, 11
 error feedback and, self-assessment in, 112–14
 learner engagement for, in case studies, 250–7
 content analysis of, 251
 data analysis of, 251
 with writing tasks, 251–2
 peer feedback and, student trust of, 7
 relationship with teachers, 279–81
 on teacher feedback, perceptions about, 266–7
 dissatisfaction as part of, 266
 pedagogic intention and, 267
 teacher written feedback for
 conceptualisation of student needs in, 172–4
 student interpretation of, 179–81
 textual ownership for, 69
 student-teacher relationship, 279–81
Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 107
 stylization, appropriation and, 67
 suggestion, in teacher written feedback, 168–70
 supervisory feedback
 components of, 206–7
 in doctoral learning, 206
 attrition rates influenced by, 207
 identity formation through, 207
 NSW Ombudsman's office and, 207
 research apprenticeship and, 207
 socialising through, 207
 supervisory feedback, in doctoral learning, 206, 222–3
 active inaction in, 213
 analysis of, 213
 attrition rates influenced by, 207
 for EAL students, 218–20
 four-part classification of, 214–15
 in interview-based studies, 214–15
 survey-based studies, 213–16
 symmetrical power structures, in peer feedback, 190–1
 synchronous collaborative writing, 286–7
 synchronous creation, of group texts. *See* group texts
 synchronous group writing, 287–9
 in ELA classrooms, 287–8
 initiation of feedback in, 290–3
 intersubjectivity in, 293–4
 through peer editing, 297
 synchronous hands-on strategies, in dual-mode writing, 296–7
- Tardy, Christine, 13
 targeted feedback. *See* focused feedback
 task regulation, in scaffolding techniques, 34
 in student-initiated feedback, 292
 teacher feedback
 context for, 267–8
 in EAP courses, 268
 limitations of, 281–2
 long-term implications of, 281–2
 messages in, 269–81
 for development of knowledge and skills, 276–9
 for disciplinary communication, role of writing in, 272–3
 for language accuracy, 269–71
 through student-teacher relationship, 279–81
 for writing in learning, 273–6
 participants in, 268
 procedures in, 268–9
 scope of, 265
 self-perception of teachers with, 266–7
 student perceptions about, 266–7
 dissatisfaction as part of, 266
 pedagogic intention and, 267

- teacher written feedback
- academic issues as focus of, 178
 - construction of, 171–5
 - authority in, 177–8
 - conceptualisation of student needs in, 172–4
 - focus factors in, 175–9
 - protocols in, 177–8
 - context for, 171–5
 - in conceptualisation of student needs, 172–4
 - pedagogic, 174–5
 - criticism in, 168–70
 - for EFL learners, 165
 - for ESL students, 167–8
 - indirectness in, dangers with, 181
 - as informational, 165
 - interpersonality in, 166
 - development of, 168–70
 - positive learning context through, 168–9
 - student interpretation of, 179–81
 - mitigation strategies in, 170, 176, 180–1
 - negative, 168–9
 - oral elements of, 171
 - plagiarism issues and, 178–9
 - praise in, 168–70
 - purpose of, 165–6
 - research on
 - data in, 167–8
 - participants in, 167–8
 - SLA research and, 179
 - social harmony with, 166
 - suggestion in, 168–70
 - ZPD and, 165
- teachers. *See also* teacher feedback; teacher written feedback; writing teachers
- appropriation and, 65–6, 78–80
 - authoritative discourse by, 75
 - internal persuasiveness by, 75
 - reproduction of academic power structures through, 66
 - AWE systems and, 136
 - CF and, variables for, 119–20
 - in feedback interactionist theories, 5
 - learner engagement with, 251–2
 - missing teacher variable, 5
 - peer feedback and, implementation of, 8
 - pedagogical implications of, 42
 - relationship with students, 279–81
 - self-perception of, for teacher feedback, 266–7
 - in writing conferences, 5–6
 - ZPD and, role in, 41–2
- teacher-stance, feedback and, 5
- Test of English as a Foreign Language, 126
- text composition, 145. *See also* group texts
- text-based asynchronous forums. *See* conferences
- textual negotiation strategies, through appropriation, 68–9
 - for multilingual writers, 69
 - for students' textual ownership, 69
- Track Changes feature, in computer-mediated feedback, 9
- translanguaging, 138
- Turnitin Feedback Studio, 127
- unfocused feedback, 96–7
- vernacular-based voices, 69–70
- Villamil, Olga, 13
- Warschauer, Mark, 16
- WCF. *See* Written Corrective Feedback
- Write to Learn*, 130
- writing. *See also* collaborative writing; dual mode group writing; synchronous group writing
- as autonomous skill, 271
 - AWE systems and, 132–5
 - in EFL, 133–4
 - feedback for, 133–4
 - dialogic view of, 144–6
 - disciplinary communication in, 272–3
 - in learning, 273–6
 - parallel, 295–6
 - synchronous collaborative, 286–7
 - writing conferences, feedback through, 5–7
 - scaffolding, 6
 - for teachers, 5–6
 - writing teachers, doctoral learning and, 207–9, 217
 - metaknowledge and, 208, 220–1
 - Written Corrective Feedback (WCF), 3–4, 14. *See also* learner engagement; supervisory feedback
 - Autopractan and, 92
 - direct, 96, 251–2
 - DWCF, 14, 114–18
 - error feedback and, 106–10
 - in academic literature, 106–7
 - on “the big question,” 107–8

314 *Index*

- Written Corrective Feedback (WCF) (cont.)
 criticisms of, 108–10
 post-2006 studies, 106–10
 2006–2018 studies, 110
 feedback engagement through, 11
 focus of, 110
 focused, 96–7
 goals of, 109–10
 indirect, 96, 251–2
 L2 development and, 87–102
 cognitive processing beyond initial episode, 91–4, 100–2
 cognitive processing within initial episode, 88–94, 98–100
 during consolidation phase, 101
 individual difference factors in, 94–102
 interface position in, 91
 moderating effect of factors on OUTPUT, 94–8
 with new knowledge, 92–4
 non-interface position in, 91
 past tense in, 97
 pedagogical options on OUTPUT, 94–102
 post-test design, 88
 research evidence on, 87–8
 treatment design, 88
 type of learner and, 100
 Noticing Hypothesis and, 88
 skill acquisition theories and, 91–2
 unfocused, 96–7
 written feedback, error correction and, 3–4
 for ESL students, 4
 zone of proximal development (ZPD), 41
 in SCT, 27, 38–9
 teacher written feedback and, 165
 teachers' role in, 41–2