

Murder in the Shenandoah

On July 4, 1791, the fifteenth anniversary of American independence, John Crane, a descendant of prominent Virginian families, killed his neighbor's harvest worker. *Murder in the Shenandoah* traces the story of this early murder case as it entangled powerful Virginians and addressed the question that everyone in the state was heatedly debating: what would it mean to have equality before the law – and a world where "law is king"? By retelling the story of the case, called *Commonwealth v. Crane*, through the eyes of its witnesses, families, fighters, victims, judges, and juries, Jessica K. Lowe reveals how revolutionary debates about justice gripped the new nation, transforming ideas about law, punishment, and popular government.

Jessica K. Lowe teaches at the University of Virginia School of Law.





See the Studies in Legal History series website at http://studiesinlegalhistory.org/

Studies in Legal History

EDITORS

Sarah Barringer Gordon, University of Pennsylvania Holly Brewer, University of Maryland, College Park Michael Lobban, London School of Economics and Political Science Reuel Schiller, University of California, Hastings College of the Law

Other books in the series

Martha S. Jones, Birthright Citizens: A History of Race and Rights in Antebellum America

Cynthia Nicoletti, Secession on Trial: The Treason Prosecution of Jefferson Davis Edward James Kolla, Sovereignty, International Law, and the French Revolution Assaf Likhovski, Tax Law and Social Norms in Mandatory Palestine and Israel Robert W. Gordon, Taming the Past: Essays on Law and History and History in Law

Paul Garfinkel, Criminal Law in Liberal and Fascist Italy

Michelle A. McKinley, Fractional Freedoms: Slavery, Intimacy, and Legal Mobilization in Colonial Lima, 1600–1700

Mitra Sharafi, Law and Identity in Colonial South Asia: Parsi Legal Culture, 1772–1947

Karen M. Tani, States of Dependency: Welfare, Rights, and American Governance, 1935–1972

Stefan Jurasinski, The Old English Penitentials and Anglo-Saxon Law

Felice Batlan, Women and Justice for the Poor: A History of Legal Aid, 1863–1945

Sophia Z. Lee, The Workplace Constitution from the New Deal to the New Right

Michael A. Livingston, The Fascists and the Jews of Italy: Mussolini's Race Laws, 1938–1943





The Valley of the Shenandoah, from Jefferson's Rock, 1838, by William Henry Bartlett



Murder in the Shenandoah

Making Law Sovereign in Revolutionary Virginia

JESSICA K. LOWE

University of Virginia





CAMBRIDGEUNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108421782

DOI: 10.1017/9781108377812

© Jessica K. Lowe 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Lowe, Jessica K., author.

Title: Murder in the Shenandoah : making law sovereign in revolutionary Virginia / Jessica K. Lowe, University of Virginia.

Description: Cambridge [UK]; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2019.

Series: Studies in legal history | Includes index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018003790 | ISBN 9781108421782 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Crane, John, Jr. –1792 – Trials, litigation, etc. | Trials (Murder) – Virginia. |

Murder - Virginia - Shenandoah - History - 18th century. | Equality before the law -

United States - History. | LCGFT: Trial and arbitral proceedings.

Classification: LCC KF223.C685L69 2019 | DDC 345.755/02523-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018003790

ISBN 978-1-108-42178-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> To Mom and Dad with thanks, and to the Honorable Robert B. King, for introducing me to West Virginia history



Contents

List of Figures		page x
Ac	knowledgments	xi
Int	roduction: July 4, 1791	I
Ι	The Facts of the Fight	16
2	The Making of a Republican Judge	30
3	Examination: Class, Procedure, and Local Courts in Crane's Virginia	51
4	The Bloody Code and the Logic of Legal Reform	70
5	Indictment: Power Shifts and Power Continuities in Virginia's Courts	89
6	Crane's Trial and Its "Imperfect" Verdict	106
7	"That Stigma on My Character": Judges, Judicial Review, and "Republican" Interpretation of the Laws	122
8	Murder or Manslaughter? Crane's Special Verdict at the General Court	142
9	Pardon Request: Mercy and Crane's "Lunatic Fits"	163
Conclusion: Making Law Sovereign in Revolutionary Virginia		178
Inc	dex	203

ix



Figures

0.1	Map of Crane's Virginia, c. 1791 (by Rick Britton)	page 5
0.2	Map of Berkeley and Frederick Counties, c. 1791	
	(by Rick Britton)	6
2.1	St. George Tucker, by Asher Durand, c. 1785	
	(courtesy of the Bermuda National Trust)	38
2.2	Frances Bland Randolph Tucker, copy of portrait by	_
	Asher Durand, likely by William James Hubard, c. 1785	
	(courtesy of Erich Kimbrough)	43
5.1	Daniel Morgan, by Charles Wilson Peale, c. 1794–1797	
_	(courtesy of the Virginia Historical Society)	94
5.2	Indictment of John Crane for Murder, 1791	
_	(courtesy of the Library of Virginia)	104
6.1	John Crane's Petition to be Tried, 1791	·
	(courtesy of the Library of Virginia)	108
6.2	Charles Lee, by Cephas Thompson, c. 1810–1811	
	(courtesy of the National Portrait Gallery, Smithsonian	
	Institution)	III
8.1	John Marshall, by Charles Balthazar Julien Févret de Saint-	
	Mémen, 1808 (courtesy of the National Portrait Gallery,	
	Smithsonian Institution)	144

X



Acknowledgments

Many people have contributed to this manuscript. In seminars, at conferences, in personal conversations, and on social media, numerous friends, colleagues, mentors, and family members have shared their knowledge and insights.

A few individuals merit special mention. Along with my advisor Dirk Hartog, the other members of my dissertation committee – Dan Rodgers, Bill Nelson, Stan Katz, and Peter Onuf – all engaged substantially with the manuscript well beyond the confines of the defense. Dan Rodgers asked a critical question at my very first presentation of Crane's story in 2009, one that I came back to over and over at every stage of the manuscript's development. Bill Nelson, an outside reader, read the full manuscript twice, and provided copies of his related in-progress work to help mine along. Stan Katz gave extensively of his own time and wisdom, serving as a constant example of scholarly generosity. Peter Onuf, who had previously been my undergraduate advisor (making me an incredibly fortunate undergraduate), spent valuable time coaching me through the final stages of completing the dissertation; it was he who suggested that I give Tucker a larger role in the book. Each of these distinguished and very senior scholars made me feel like a colleague, for which I am deeply grateful. They are models not just of good scholars but of good people.

Several other people also made important contributions to this story and its author. My wonderful graduate school colleagues, especially Annie Twitty, spent hours – years, really – discussing John Crane and St. George Tucker, legal history, the South, and much else. These friends – Annie Twitty, Dael Norwood, Chris Moses, Laura Weinrib, Sarah Milov, Lo Faber, and more – made graduate school some of the most fun I've ever had. William Chester Jordan, despite a failed quest to make me a medievalist, nonetheless loyally listened to at least five presentations of the Crane material, and offered helpful thoughts about moving forward. Sean Wilentz enthusiastically attended more than his share of Crane talks, managed to appear interested at each one, and gave valuable

хi



xii Acknowledgments

advice and encouragement, especially about writing narrative history. Barbara Oberg and the staff of the Jefferson Papers at Princeton were always supportive and provided helpful feedback on drafts and presentations. Through Princeton's Program in Law and Public Affairs, Kim Lane Scheppele provided abundant forums for graduate student work and invested much time in our progress.

At Virginia, my colleagues in the Law School and in the Corcoran Department of History enthusiastically offered their expertise from the very first presentation. Risa Goluboff believed in me and in the project, and worked to open doors for both. Her careful and attentive feedback on my written work took each version of the project to a new level. Cynthia Nicoletti, a longtime friend and classmate and now colleague, gave me fantastic comments and support, and engaged in long discussions of the book and field of legal history in general. Ted White, Dick Howard, Julia Mahoney, Max Edelson, Chuck McCurdy, Paul Halliday, and more, all at various points lent me their valuable time and wisdom. Brandon Garrett read three separate introduction drafts, offering a fresh eye when I very much needed one. Alan Taylor read a late draft of the book and offered critical advice for the final manuscript. My fantastic faculty assistant, Delores Clatterbuck, provided expert help at every stage. And portions of the book benefited from previous editing for publication in Law and Social Inquiry, under the direction of Howard Erlanger, and in Sally Hadden and Patti Minter's Signposts: New Directions in Southern Legal History.

This book was also made possible by some amazing archivists, librarians, and other specialists. The University of Virginia Law School library staff is unparalleled, and the reference librarians, especially Kent Olson, bent rules and beat bushes to find what I needed. Their assistance, including results of their research work (especially by Cathy Palombi and Kristin Glover), is evident throughout these pages. Loren Moulds and Jim Ambuske took on image gathering and permissions like the book was their own, and Jim first discovered the map that became the cover image. Rick Britton created two stunning, meticulous maps. Outside of the University of Virginia community, the Berkeley County Historical Society's late Don Wood gave me my start on uncovering Crane's story. The staffs at the Library of Virginia, the Handley Regional Library in Winchester, the West Virginia Culture Center, and Charles Town Library were always ready to help, as were the many West Virginians I met along the way, including the Honorable Thomas Steptoe, who offered his knowledge of the Crane family. At the Tucker-Coleman Papers at the College of William and Mary, Susan Riggs and Margaret Cook were generous with their vast knowledge of the papers and incredibly supportive of my work, as was Chuck Hobson, editor of Tucker's law papers. Chuck discovered Tucker's heated exchange with Charles Lee early in my research and brought it to my attention, changing the direction of the book. And his truly comprehensive knowledge of the early Virginia legal profession answered many of my questions along the way. Erich Kimbrough generously gave me permission



Acknowledgments xiii

to reproduce Frances Bland Randolph Tucker's portrait from his personal collection, and he and Deborah Llewellyn used their own time and resources to create an appropriate digital image. Bill Beiswanger offered me access to his amazing collection of books on early national Virginia, which allowed me to locate the John Marshall image used in Chapter 8. And my friend Mary Carlson cheerfully offered her time and skills to help design the cover.

Many, many people have read drafts of Crane-related material, or provided feedback at seminars or conferences. I have presented versions of the Crane story at the McNeil Center for Early American Studies, the Virginia Forum, the Law and Society Association, the Hurst Summer Institute in Legal History, Mount Holyoke College, the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture, the Society for Historians of the Early American Republic (SHEAR), the St. George Tucker Society, and the Golieb Seminar at the New York University School of Law. Philip Morgan and Tom Green read early drafts of a Crane-related article. Various forums at Princeton University (the Modern America Workshop, the Program in Law and Public Affairs, and the Center for Human Values especially) helped to shape and improve the Crane story. Once I returned to my alma mater, the University of Virginia, to teach in 2012, audiences both at the Law School and at the Early American Seminar raised meaningful questions and provided important advice.

At the University of Virginia School of Law, several research assistants also made key contributions. John Gunter, Sarah Rafie, Sarah Ulmer, and Wes Sudduth all helped at critical moments. Amelia Nemitz's comprehensive, thoughtful research shows up throughout the book, and especially in the chapter on pardons. Over at least three years, Joseph Stuart checked sources at the Library of Virginia, copyedited, formatted, and checked all my footnotes, and photographed hundreds of documents to aid my research. In all this, he provided outstanding assistance.

At Cambridge, Holly Brewer, Sally Gordon, and Debbie Gershenowitz enthusiastically took on the project and helped it through the submission and publication processes. Having Holly's scholarly expertise as lead editor was especially invaluable, and her feedback made this a much better book.

Dirk Hartog has lived with this project – and my need to think out loud about it – since at least 2009. He first suggested looking at Tucker in 2006, during my first year at Princeton. In all the time since, he has listened to lofty dreams, quasi-rants about historiography, and excited recitations of dry genealogical finds, all with plausible enthusiasm. His scholarly example, thoughtful guidance, and deep caring for his students have been a privilege to experience.

Finally, this manuscript would not have been possible without my parents. When personal circumstances made it necessary, they came out of retirement to help on research and conference trips, and during one rainy day even spent a few hours in the archive themselves, using their considerable genealogy skills to help track down the people of *Commonwealth v. Crane*. I am grateful for their love and support.