

## Index

- abolition movement, 128, 129  
 accessibility, 52, 56–58, 60, 67, 70–71  
   and comparative fit work in tandem, 58  
 adaptive traits, 53–54, 65–66, 71–72, 81, 87  
   *see also* natural selection  
 aggregation problems  
   ecological inference problem, 38–39  
   Modifiable Areal Unit Problem (MAUP),  
     159–162  
   scale, 41, 91  
 Allport, Gordon, 5, 34, 47–49, 70, 75–77, 123,  
   165, 224, 232, 236, 237  
 Ananat, Elizabeth, 43  
 Anglos  
   Arizona Question, 115–121  
   commuters in Boston, 110–117  
   Latino interaction in Arizona, 227–245  
 anti-immigrant politics/policies  
   Arizona, 117–119, 127, 234  
   Boston train commuters, 113, 126, 129–130  
   California, 232  
   Europe, 240  
 anti-Muslim Google searches, 40  
 Arabs  
   in Cambridge, 83  
   in Israel, 16, 171–196  
 arbitrary sets, 116  
 arc of intergroup interactions, 31–32, 232–238  
 areal units, 20, 216, *see also* aggregation  
   problems, 273  
 Arizona, *see also* Phoenix, *see also* Tucson  
   demographic change, 117, 227–229, 233  
   Gadsden Purchase, 234, 235  
   Gila River boundary, 234, 235  
   North/South divide, 236  
   percent Hispanic, 235  
 Arizona Question, 115–121, 128–139  
   attitudes in Arizona, 118–120  
   reason for, 117–118  
 Arpaio, Joe, 117, 234  
 Auburn Gresham, 8, 165, 222  
 Axelrod, Robert, 171, 174, 176  
 Baldwin Hills, Los Angeles, 158, 203, 208,  
   212  
 Bet Shemesh, 178, 182  
 between-group difference, 57  
 bias, group-based, *see also* prejudice  
   arc of intergroup interactions, 232–238  
   continuum of, 27  
   demographic change and, 211–212  
   Dictator Game, 105–106  
   hiring and, 27  
   low-status groups in Los Angeles, 206–208  
   negative attitudes, 36–37  
   perception versus experience, 74–77  
   Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190,  
     193, 196  
   segregation and, 37–41, 47, 105–106  
   terminology, 25–29  
   violence, 27  
   voting and ideology in Arizona, 236–237  
   voting behavior in Chicago, 150–157  
 bias, group-based  
   identities and, 28–29  
 Blue Hill Avenue, 136–138, *see also* Boston  
 Bogardus, Emory, 190

- border communities, Arizona/Mexico fluidity  
 of, *see also* Nogales
- border communities, Arizona/Mexico fluidity  
 of, 236, 241
- Boston, 79, 229
- abolition movement, 128, 129
  - counterfactual Hispanic distribution, 134
  - cultural institutions, 245
  - maps in head, 82
  - outgroup less salient, 230
  - percent Black, 137
  - percent Hispanic, 132
  - psychological identification, 246
  - racial division and crimes, 3, 27, 135–138
  - racism, 128–138
  - segregation, 131–133
  - social geography/behavior causality, 231
- Boston Celtics, 129
- Boyle Heights, 197–199, 201, 205, 224
- brain cells for navigation, 64–65
- Brooklyn, 130, 212, 229
- Brown, Claude, 197, 241
- Brown, John, 128
- Buckner, Melanie, 160
- Byrne, Jane, 146–147, 155, 164, 207
- Cabrini-Green, Chicago, 145, 155, 157–158,  
 167–170, 172, 200, 217
- California, 18–19, 115–116, *see also* Los  
 Angeles, *see also* Merced
- anti-immigrant politics, 126, 140, 232
- Cambridge, Massachusetts, 81–84, 129, 245
- campaign activity, segregated areas, 43
- Canarsie, Brooklyn, 130, 212, 223
- Carroll, James, 68
- categorization
- accessibility and fit, 52
  - association with attributes, 59–63
  - basic cognitive process, 28, 231
  - faces survey, 88–100
  - importance of space, 63–71
  - natural selection and heuristics, 52–54
  - neighborhood experiment, 100–105
  - people, 54–63
  - salience, 11–12, 55–63, 90, 95–96
  - social identity/self concept, 25
  - survival advantage, 52
  - Tajfel experiment, 95–97
- causality, *see also* Fundamental Problem of  
 Causal Inference
- Arizona Question, 118–120
  - context and behavior, 42–43
  - ethnic violence, 27–28
  - segregation and behavior, 6
  - voting behavior in Chicago, 150–159, 217,  
 219, 230
- Celaya, Chris, 101, 107
- Census Tracts, 91
- Charlestown, 135, 138
- cheap talk/costly behavior, 100–107,  
 182–190
- Chicago
- assigning place on a large scale, 144–146
  - Black percentage, 10
  - class and voting behavior, 157–159
  - comparative fit, 157, 158, 165
  - contact, 155–156
  - counterfactual Black distribution, 11
  - demolition of public housing, 142–146
  - Gold Coast, 142, 145, 155, 157, 166
  - housing projects, 142–170
  - near North Side, 157, 166–167, 175
  - outgroup salience, 150–159
  - outgroup size, 156
  - parallel trends in voting, 151
  - poverty and voting, 157–159
  - proximity, 155–157
  - psychological distance, 156
  - race and voting behavior, 157–159
  - racial politics, 146–147
  - Red Line, 1–9, 79
  - segregation, 157
  - selection problem, 150
  - social geographic impact, 143
  - social geography, 162–167
  - social geography/behavior causality, 230
  - State Street Corridor, 142–143, 168
  - urban and demographic past, 227
  - voter turnout change, 150–159
  - voting behavior, 144, 153
- chronic accessibility, 57, 62, 66
- cities, psychological identification with,  
 245–246
- city planning and zoning, *see* zoning
- civic participation, 242–243
- class, effect on voting behavior in Chicago,  
 157–159
- Clinton, Hillary, 153, 217
- closed boundaries of a group, 68–69
- clustering
- Blacks in Chicago, 8, 10
  - Lake Yosemite, 18–19
  - segregation and, 22
  - ultra-Orthodox Jews, 177

## Index

295

- cognition  
 cognitive priming, 220  
 effect of immigration on, 113–114  
 spatial and outgroups, 65–66  
 cognitive psychology, 71–72, 220–222, *see also*  
 evolutionary psychology, *see also*  
 psychology  
 cohesiveness of groups, 16, 22, 58, 68, 69  
 collective action, problem of, 4, 166–167, 171,  
 173–177, 196  
 college students as research subjects, 101  
 community councils, 166, 175  
 comparative fit, 52  
 Boston trains, 133  
 faces experiment, 99  
 measuring, 88  
 psychological impact, 63, 67  
 race and, 158, 165  
 salience of categories, 55–58  
 segregation and, 68–71, 95, 157, 165, 231  
 Compton, California, 202, 204, 205, 207,  
 214–215, 220  
 construal abstraction, 83, 241  
 contact, 13–16, *see also* arc of intergroup  
 interactions, *see also* repeat contact  
 Allport's theory, 47–49  
 bias and, 74–77  
 Blacks/Latinos in Los Angeles, 203, 211  
 perpetually transitioning neighborhood,  
 222–224  
 policies promoting, 247–249  
 segregation and, 90  
 context, *see also* plane of context  
 causal effect on behavior, 42–43  
 contextual effect, 119–120  
 effect of assigning, 122  
 problems studying, 159–162  
 study of, 92–95  
 continuity across space, 68–69  
 convergence of boundaries, 158, 163, 240, 245  
 cooperation  
 Blacks and Latinos, 225  
 Israel, 171–196  
 lack of, 166–167  
 Los Angeles neighborhoods, 222–224  
 Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190,  
 193, 196  
 public goods allocation, 45–46, 173–177,  
 182–190, 206–207, 212–214  
 costly behavior, 105–106, 189–190  
 counterfactuals  
 Boston, 131, 133, 134  
 Chicago, 8, 9, 11, 25, 33, 69, 80, 147,  
 157–158, 170  
 selection/contextual theories, 120  
 countries, homogeneous/diverse, 45–46, 173  
 creating a neighborhood study, 100–107  
 Crenshaw Boulevard, Los Angeles, 197–226  
 contact, 222–224  
 defending neighborhood, 211–212  
 demographic change, 205–206  
 diversity, 224–226  
 exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216  
 group-based bias, 206–208  
 low-riders, 208  
 maps in heads, 209–211  
 priming social geographic impact, 220–222  
 proximity and voting, 216–219  
 public goods, 212–214  
 criminal sentencing, systematic bias, 105  
 cultural institutions, lack of in polycentric  
 cities, 245  
 culture, group bias and, 118  
 curvilinear effect of outgroup size, 49–50, 76,  
 186, 190  
 Dallas, 229, 241  
 data, technology use in research, 159–162  
 death of distance, 36, 77  
 Dedham, Massachusetts, 131  
 demagogues  
 mechanism of, 51–52  
 space as, 34–50  
 Democratic Primary election (2008),  
 217–219  
 demographic change  
 Boston, 108–111  
 Chicago, 7–10  
 differing responses in Los Angeles, 204–206  
 exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216  
 Merced, 18, 115–116  
 Phoenix, 227–229, 233–234  
 USA, 117  
 demographic inaccuracy, 86  
 Denmark, 46, 173  
 Designated Media Market (DMA), 37  
 Detroit, 25, 206, 245  
 Dictator Game, 105–106, 189–190  
 discrimination, 105, 115  
 Dissimilarity Index, 22, 23  
 distance decay function, 70  
 diversity, 4, 5, 18  
 Crenshaw community, 224–226  
 diverse societies, 175

- diversity (*cont.*)  
 experiment on, 110  
 functioning of countries, 45–46
- Dorchester, Boston, 24, 201, 202
- Downs, Anthony, 73
- Duke, David, 160
- East Los Angeles, 197, 199, 203
- ecological inference problem, 38–39
- elites, 27  
 group bias and, 118, 119, 130
- Ellis Island, 241
- Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 128
- Englewood, Chicago, 8, 164, 165
- ethnic violence, 27–28
- ethnically motivated voting, 28
- evolution, *see* natural selection
- evolutionary psychology, 52–54, 63–65, *see also*  
*also* cognitive psychology, *see also*  
 psychology  
 maps in our heads, 81–86, 209–211
- exit or voice, 208–209, 214–216
- experiments, *see also* trains experiment, Boston  
 “Robbers Cave”, 62  
 “Stanford Prison”, 62  
 creating neighborhood, 100–107  
 external validity, 144–145  
 faces, 88–90, 98–100  
 lines, 60, 95–97  
 neighborhood in laboratory, 100–107  
 RCTs, 94–95  
 squares, 97–98  
 Tajfel’s, 60, 95–97  
 voter turnout in Los Angeles, 220–222
- Eyes on the Prize, 147
- faces  
 comparative fit, 88–90, 98–100  
 salience of categories, 55–56
- Fiske, Susan, 51
- fluidity of Mexico/US border, 236, 241
- focus groups, 199, 207–216, 224
- Fundamental Problem of Causal Inference, 80,  
 92–100, 120–121
- Gadsden Purchase, 234, 235
- games, allocating public goods, 183–185
- gender segregation, ultra-Orthodox Jews, 178,  
 179
- genocide, 27
- geographic/social boundaries, 79
- ghettos, 43, 66, 158, 165, 201
- Gidron, Noam, 45, 182
- Gila River, 234–237
- Giles, Michael, 160
- Glendale, Arizona, 241, 246
- globalization, 36
- Gold Coast and the Slum, The, 142, 166
- Gold Coast neighborhood, Chicago, 145, 155,  
 157, 166
- “Golden Rule” of group attitudes, 36, 39,  
 189
- Google searches, 37–40
- government policy  
 aid to segregation, 195–196  
 shaping diversity, 225  
 shaping social geography, 167–170
- graffiti, 168, 169
- Grapes of Wrath, The, 115
- Greater Grand Crossing, Chicago, 165, 222,  
 237
- Greene, Joshua, 175
- group bias, *see* bias, group-based
- groups, *see also* bias, group-based, *see also*  
 cohesiveness of groups, *see also* ingroup,  
*see also* outgroup  
 definition, 25  
 measuring size, 23
- Habyarimana, James, 176, 185, 193
- Hahn, James, 204, 207
- Hahn, Kenneth, 204
- Hairston, Leslie, 108, 110
- Haredim, *see* ultra-Orthodox Jews
- Harlem, 70–71, 241
- Harvard  
 abolition movement, 128, 129  
 creating neighborhood study, 100–107, 189,  
 190  
 Kramer’s research, 165–166  
 “heat map” Cambridge, 83
- Herfindahl Index, 244
- Herodotus, 4
- heterogeneous societies, inefficiency of, 176
- heuristics, 54, 59, 97, 114  
 group-based, 105, 176  
 space as, 64–65, 71–72  
 voting and, 74  
 white voters in Chicago, 154
- higher/lower-level personal characteristics, 57,  
 69
- hiring, group-based bias, 27, 105
- Hirschman, Albert O., 214

## Index

297

- Hispanics  
 2016 presidential election, 138–141  
 Gadsden Purchase and, 234, 235  
 immigration and Black racism in Boston, 135  
 Los Angeles voters, 198  
 projected US population, 117  
 segregation Boston, 131–134  
 segregation Phoenix, 242  
 Hmong people, 18, 19, 116  
 Hobbes, Thomas, 174  
 Holocaust, 212, 238  
 Houston, 229, 241, 246  
 human mind, 54, 63–65, *see also* psychology
- ideal experiment, 121–127  
 ideological space between groups, 73  
 immigrants/immigration, *see also*  
 anti-immigrant politics/policies  
 Arizona Question, 115–121, 126–127  
 Boston's racism and, 128–138  
 changing nature of, 239  
 future of social geography, 239–241  
 homogeneity of Latinos, 239  
 Latinos in Arizona, 227–237  
 reason for backlash against, 113–114  
 trains experiment, Boston, 109–114, 126–127, 144–145  
 underclass status of Mexican, 239  
 inclusion/exclusion, shifting lines, 116  
 India, 46  
 Inglewood, California, 199, 205, 211, 216, 217  
 ingroup  
 cognitive priming, 222  
 comparative fit, 88–90  
 cooperation/sharing/trust, 174–175, 182, 190  
 ideal neighborhood, 191–192  
 immigration identities, 239–240  
 neighborhood experiment, 100–107  
 salience and group-based bias, 59–63  
 terminology, 25  
 valence ranking, 27  
 institutions, 16–18, 223, 244–246, *see also*  
 public goods  
 instrumental variables, 43  
 intelligence, survey responses, 39  
 interactions, *see* arc of intergroup interactions  
 international border, psychological impact, 240
- internet  
 Google searches, 36–40  
 use in surveys, 85  
 interpersonal contact, *see* contact  
 Israel, 16, 106, *see also* Jerusalem, *see also*  
 Jews, *see also* Palestinians, *see also* Tel Aviv, *see also* ultra-Orthodox Jews  
 lab in field, 182–192  
 lessons for public policy, 194–196  
 lessons for researchers, 193–194  
 occupied West Bank, 172, 195–196
- Jacobs, Jane, 15, 243  
 Jerusalem, 171–196, 270  
 as public good, 181–182  
 Church of the Holy Sepulchre, 181  
 group-based bias, 230  
 old city, 172, 173  
 self-segregation, 179  
 Temple Mount, 181  
 Jews, *see also* ultra-Orthodox Jews  
 group-based bias in Europe, 238  
 secular/ultra-Orthodox distinction, 173, 177–194
- Key, V.O., 42, 48, 74, 77, 91–93, 121, 127, 153, 159–161, 163, 164, 166–167, 202  
 Keyes, Alan, 154  
 Kramer, Bernard, 165–167, 222, 237
- L train, *see* Red Line  
 labeling, 60, *see also* categorization  
 laboratories, *see also* experiments  
 in the field, 173, 182–183  
 internet/university campus, 81  
 Lake Yosemite, Merced, California, 18–19, 115  
 language, train experiment, Boston, 108–114  
 Latané, Bibb, 67  
 Latinos  
 Anglos contact Boston, 108–141  
 Phoenix intergroup interactions, 227–249  
 voting behavior and Blacks in Los Angeles, 197–226  
 Lego task, 187, 189, 193  
 levels of construal, 59  
 liberal dilemma, 44–47, 167, 180, 196  
 becomes personal, 200  
 Little Sicily, 166–167, 201  
 local environment, 20–22  
 creating neighborhood, 100–106

- local environment (*cont.*)  
maps and, 81–86, 209–211  
size, 23, 70–71  
London, 24  
Loop, the, 1–3, 8, 33, 142, *see also* Red Line  
Los Angeles, 197–226, *see also* Compton, *see also* Crenshaw Boulevard  
Anglos, 197, 198, 201, 203–206, 208, 211, 215, 216, 225  
Black/Hispanic voters, 198  
Black/Latino proportions, 203–204  
demographics, 197–199  
growth of Latino community, 197–199, 205–206, 211–212  
Koreatown, 223  
polycentric city, 243  
segregation, 199–205  
Venice, 225  
lynching, 27
- Manchild in the Promised Land, 197  
maps  
Arizona, 235  
Black/Latino voters Los Angeles, 216–219  
Boston segregation, 131–137  
in our heads, 81–86  
Israel, 184  
priming experiment, 220–222  
segregation in Chicago, 8  
Maricopa County, Arizona, 117, 229, 234, 237, 241  
mass communication and culture, 35  
Matthews, Dylan, 138  
McCain, John, 139, 153  
McGovern, George, 129  
memory champions, 64  
mental processing power, 54  
Merced, California, 18, 115–116, 131  
meta-contrast principle, 57  
Mexico/United States border, 227–229, 240–241  
Michigan, psychological identification, 245  
minimal groups, 61, 101–105, 173, 175  
mobility, difference for whites and blacks, 204–206  
Moving to Opportunity experiments, 122  
muddling, effects of contact and social geography, 126  
Muslims, 4, 6  
anti-Muslim Google searches, 40  
group identities, 28  
Jerusalem, 181  
on airplane, 51–52, 90  
West Bank, 172, 195–196
- natural selection, 52–54, *see also* adaptive traits  
Nature of Prejudice, The, 5, 48, 165  
near North Side, Chicago, 166–167, 175, *see also* Cabrini-Green  
“Negrophobia”, 165, 166  
negative affect, 65, 209  
negative stereotypes, 40, 59, 65–66  
Blacks, 34, 70–71  
Muslims, 51–52  
negative stimuli, remembering the location of, 65  
neighborhoods, *see also* individual place names listed in index  
designing, 191–192  
experiment to create, 100–107  
sharing, 190  
New York, ultra-Orthodox Jews, 182  
“nigger”, 36–38  
Nixon, Richard, 238  
Nogales, Arizona, 228, 234, 240,  
noise, separating signal from, 81, 106, 107  
nongeographic spaces, 63–65  
North Lawndale, Chicago, 201  
Northern Ireland, 3
- Obama, Barack, 4, 34, 35, 41–44, 62, 73, 113, 128, 153–154, 163, 217–219  
Google searches and, 37  
observational research, 93–95, 121, 126, 191  
occupied West Bank, 172, 195–196  
Ohio, 17, 40, 131, 232, 233, 241  
Old Testament, 5  
Oliver, J. Eric, 242  
outgroup, *see also* Arizona Question, *see also* ingroup  
category salience, 60–63  
cooperating with, 173–177, 182–190  
dispersal of, 143–167  
increased presence of immigrants, 109–114, 227–249  
negative attitudes, 36–39  
outgroup proportion, 47  
raised salience, 138–141  
size and bias, 47–50  
spatial cognition and, 65–71

## Index

299

- terminology, 25
- valence ranking, 27
- overlapping boundaries, 69
  
- Pacific Palisades, Los Angeles, 197, 199, 224
- Palestinians, 62, 171–172, 195–196, *see also* Israel, *see also* occupied West Bank
- Parks, Bernard, 204, 207
- participation, voting, 73–74, 214–216
- partisan identification, 163
- Pennsylvania, 17, 139, 232, 233
- perception versus experience, 74–77
- Persian Empire, 4
- Phoenix, 229–249, *see also* arc of intergroup interactions, *see also* Arizona, *see also* Tucson
  - Anglo/Latino segregation, 242
  - lack of psychological identification, 246
  - percent Hispanic, 235
  - polycentric city, 243–244, 247–248
  - population growth, 229, 233
  - transportation, 243
  - urban development/growth, 241–242
- plane of context, 30–125, 143, 155, 173, 176–177, 182–184, 193, 203, 230, 234, 243, 254
- Point of Subject Equality (PSE), 88–90, 240
- political decisions, 71–72
- political distance/space, 13
- political participation, 214–216
- politicians, 16, 27–29, 118, 119, 130, 139–141, 204, 207–208, 233
- politics, 72
  - racial in Chicago, 146–147
- polycentric cities, 241–246
- population growth/change, *see* demographic change
- post-distance urban space, 246
- potential outcomes framework, 92
- poverty, effect on voting behavior in Chicago, 157–159
- prejudice, *see also* bias, group-based
  - Allport's study, 5, 47–49, 75–76, 165
  - as a cognitive phenomenon, 206
  - contact theory and, 47–49
  - governments and, 167
  - negative stereotypes and, 51–52, 90
  - segregation and, 17
- presidential elections, 151, 152
  - (2008), 4, 41, 77, 81, 139, 153, 154, 163, 217–218
  - (2012), 42, 139
  - (2016), 138–141
- Prisoner's Dilemma game, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
- prototype, 59, 99
- proximity
  - effect of in Chicago, 155–157
  - group-based voting among Latinos, 217–219
  - interactive nature with size and segregation, 70–71
  - priming for, 220–222
  - size and, 67–68
- psychology, *see also* cognitive psychology, *see also* evolutionary psychology
  - psychological distance, Chicago, 156
  - psychological identification with cities, 245–246
  - psychological presence of outgroup, 65–66, 164
  - psychological space, 1–4, 229–232
  - psychological traits, 52–54
  - psychological underpinning of discrimination, 116–117
- public good(s)
  - allocating, 183–190
  - cooperative challenge, 173–177
  - games, 183–185
  - Jerusalem as, 181–182
  - roads as, 174–175, 214
  - schools as, 212–214
  - sharing, 190, 206–207
- public housing
  - Boston, 135–138
  - Cabrini-Green, 167–170
  - demolition of in Chicago, 9–10, 142–146
  - State Street Corridor, 142–143, 146, 168
- public policy
  - and segregation, 244, 248–249
  - Arizona Question, 115–119
  - lessons from Israel study, 194–196
- public transportation
  - polycentrism in Phoenix, 243
  - use in Boston train study, 124
- Putnam, Robert, 5, 35, 45, 49
  
- race
  - Boston racial history, 128–131
  - discrimination in hiring, 105
  - effect on voting behavior in Chicago, 157–159
  - politics, Chicago, 146–147

- race (*cont.*)
- politics, Los Angeles, 207–208
  - racial covenants, Los Angeles, 212
  - racial violence Boston, 135–138
- racism, anti-Black
- Boston, 135–138
  - Google searches and, 36, 39
- railroad tracks, 43, 137, 167
- randomized controlled trials (RCTs), 44, 94, 124–127, 151, 158
- rationality, 71–72
- Red Line (“L” train), 1–33, 79, 142–143
- religion
- categorizing people, 57–58
  - Jerusalem as public good, 181–182
  - riots in Kaduna, Nigeria, 17
- repeat contact, 123–127, *see also* contact
- ideal experiment, 123–124
  - measuring effect of, 124–126
  - missing from most intergroup experiments, 123
  - repeat interactions of groups, 174–176
- Rieder, Jonathan, 212, 223
- Roache, Francis (Mickey), 135–136
- “Robbers Cave” experiment, 62
- roads, as public good, 174–175, 214
- Romney, Mitt, 139
- route knowledge, *see* spatial memory
- routinization, *see* repeat contact
- Russell, Bill, 129
- saliency
- categories, 55–58
  - definition, 11–12
  - group-based bias and, 59–71, 114
  - politicians raising outgroup, 28, 138–141
  - priming racial identity, 62
  - size and proximity, 67–68
- Sampson, Robert J., 95, 122
- San Antonio, 229, 241
- scale, contextual research and, 144–146, 160–162
- Schattschneider, E.E., 74
- Schelling, Thomas, 199–205, 216, 224, 232, 237
- schema, 53, 64–65
- schools
- as public good, 212–214
  - Blacks/Latinos in Los Angeles, 206–207
  - Chicago segregated, 224
- scientific inference, general problem, 118–120
- Scottsdale, Arizona, 241
- segregation, *see also* Dissimilarity Index
- accuracy in map test, 85–86
  - Anglo/Latino in Phoenix, 242
  - Blacks in Boston, 131–133
  - block level (small scale), 216–217
  - boxed face, 98–100
  - causes, 17
  - definition, 22
  - dependent/independent variables, 17
  - effect in Chicago, 155–157
  - effect on white voters, 35
  - group bias increases, 68–70
  - high Black/White in US, 237–238
  - interactive with size and proximity, 70–71
  - Jerusalem, 171–173
  - low-status groups, 201–203
  - measuring effect on ambiguous faces, 98–100
  - measuring effect with colored squares, 97–98
  - measuring effect with lines, 95–97
  - proximity and, 155–157
  - Schelling’s model, 199–205
  - self-segregation of ultra-Orthodox Jews, 179
  - United States, 23–24
  - variation, 24–26
- selection
- arc of intergroup interactions, 232
  - Arizona Question, 118–121
  - problem of bias, 50, 93–95, 183, 191–192
  - problem overcome in Chicago experiment, 150, 159
  - randomized controlled trials, 94–95
  - Schelling segregation, 199–201
- Self-Categorization Theory, 56
- settlements, Jewish, 172, 195–196
- “shadow of the future”, 174, 176
- sharing
- ingroup/outgroup, 105–106, 189–190
  - neighborhoods and nation, 190
  - psychology against, 245
  - public goods, 173–177, 183–190
  - resources, 244–245
- Sherif, Muzafer, 62
- Sidanius, James, 75, 116
- Sides, Josh, 225
- Singapore, 46
- size
- accessibility of category, 51–52
  - Chicago projects, 155–157
  - curvilinear relationship with group-based bias, 49–50



## Index

301

- definition of, 23
- Google searches, 37–38
- interactive nature with proximity and segregation, 70–71
- outgroup and negative attitudes, 44, 113–114
- proximity and, 67–68
- social impact (Latané), 67
- slavery, *see* abolition movement
- social cognition, 65
- social desirability bias/problem, 37, 39, 42
- Social Distance Scale, 190
- social distance/space, 12, 206–207
- social geographic impact
  - implications, 71–77
    - Latinos in Boston, 109–138
  - persistence of, 138, 164–167
  - priming for, 220–222
  - reduced in Chicago, 151–152
- social geography
  - across cultures, time and space, 227–229
  - and cooperative behavior, 185–190
  - power and persistence of in Chicago, 162–167
  - role of government, 167–170
  - tied to behavior and attitudes, 66
  - universalness, 229–232
  - voting behavior and, 73–74
- social identity groups, definition, 25
- social-geographic impact
  - definition, 15
  - extremes in Israel, 182
- Southie (South Boston), 24, 33, 79, 82, 87, 128, 130, 135
- space
  - and group-based bias, 65–66
  - as a heuristic, 64–65, 71–72
  - comparing dimensions of, 24–25
  - four types, 12–14
  - geographic, 6–7
  - in the human mind, 63–71
  - psychological space, 1–4, 229–232
- Spanish language, 108–113, 116, 124, 197, 214, 228
- spatial memory, 63, 65
  - route knowledge, 81, 83, 102
  - survey knowledge, 82, 83, 85, 95, 102
- “Stanford Prison” experiment, 62
- State Street Corridor, Chicago, 142–143, 146, 168
- Steinbeck, John, 115
- Stephens-Davidowitz, Seth, 40
- stereotypes, 8, 12, 18–19, 51–52, 55, 99
  - levels of construal, 59
- suburbanization, 195, 242–243
- Sumner, Charles, 129, 130
- survey knowledge, *see* spatial memory
- surveys
  - Boston commuters on immigration, 111
  - Cambridge map, 82
  - social desirability problem, 39
  - technology of, 85
- Sweden, 27, 45
- Tajfel, Henri, 60, 61, 95, 101, 175
- technology and data collection, 85, 161–162, 183
- Tel Aviv, 182, 186–188, 190, 270
- Tempe, Arizona, 241
- Tesler, Michael, 62
- “Third World”, 176
- threat
  - Arizona Question, 119
  - Boston’s racial culture, 130
- Thurmond, Strom, 49
- trains experiment, Boston, 108–141
  - “confederates”, 108–126, 150
  - Arizona Question, 115–121, 128–138
  - compared to presidential elections, 138–141
  - learning from, 126–127
  - Phoenix compared to, 233
  - reason for experiment, 114
  - repeat contact, 123–127
- trains experiment, Boston
  - ideal experiment, 121–127
- Trump, Donald, 17, 40, 230, 232, 233, 241
  - vote shift and Hispanic growth, 138–141
- trust, Prisoner’s Dilemma, 171, 185–190, 193, 196
- Tucson, Arizona, 234–237, 247
- Uganda, 176, 193, 194
- ultra-Orthodox Jews, 171–196
  - characteristics, 177–179
  - parallels with other minority groups, 179
  - poster, 178
  - public goods game, 183–190
  - self-segregation, 179
- uniforms, 57
- valence attributes, 61
- valence ranking, 27
- Valley of the Sun, *see* Phoenix

- Venice, Los Angeles, 225  
 Villaraigosa, Antonio, 207, 208, 214  
 Voss, Stephen, 160  
 voter(s)/voting  
   Black and Hispanic/Latino in Los Angeles,  
     197–199, 213  
   change of behavior in Chicago, 146–159  
   geographic impact in Los Angeles, 214–216  
   group size and, 41–42, 157, 163  
   group-based bias in Los Angeles, 207–208  
   heuristics and, 72  
   Key's research, 42, 77, 160–161, 164  
   Latino/Black for Obama, 218, 219  
   obtaining data from files of, 41, 161–162,  
     216, 218–219  
   precincts, 41, 218–219  
   priming social geographic impact, 220–222  
   segregation and, 34–35, 43, 48–49, 104–105  
   social geography and, 73–74  
   Trump, 17, 138–141, 230, 232, 233, 241  
   turnout, 42, 104–105, 150–159, 231  
   value of vote, 73–74, 104–105  
   voting decisions, 72  
   whites for Obama, 4, 34–35, 41–43, 62, 81  
 Vox, 138  
 Washington DC, 244  
 Washington, Harold, 146–147, 207  
 Watts, Los Angeles, 202, 207  
   Riot, 214  
 white supremacy, 74  
 Wilcox, Mary Rose, 234  
 Williams, Ted, 129  
 Wilson, Pete, 232  
 within-group difference, 57–58, 68, 88, 95, 97,  
   104  
 xenophobia, 4  
 Yiddish, 177, 183, *see also* ultra-Orthodox  
   Jews  
 Zambia, 46, 173  
 Zimbardo, Philip, 62  
 ZIP codes, use in surveys, 85–86  
 zoning, 133, 167, 205, 226, 244  
 Zorbaugh, Harvey Warren, 142, 166–167,  
   175, 201