COLONIZATION AND SUBALTERNITY IN CLASSICAL GREECE

In this book, Gabriel Zuchtriegel explores the unwritten history of Classical Greece – the experience of nonelite colonial populations. Using postcolonial critical methods to analyze Greek settlements and their hinterlands of the fifth and fourth centuries BC, he reconstructs the social and economic structures in which exploitation, violence, and subjugation were implicit. He mines literary sources and inscriptions, as well as archaeological data from excavations and field surveys, much of it published here for the first time, that offer new insights into the lives and status of nonelite populations in Greek colonies. Zuchtriegel demonstrates that Greece’s colonial experience has far-reaching implications beyond the study of archaeology and ancient history. As reflected in foundational texts such as Plato’s “Laws” and Aristotle’s “Politics,” the ideology that sustained Greek colonialism is still felt in many Western societies.

Gabriel Zuchtriegel holds a PhD degree in Classical Archaeology from University of Bonn. He has been fellow of Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes, the German Archaeological Institute and the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation and has conducted fieldwork in southern Italy and Sicily. He has taught courses at University of Bonn (Germany) and at University of Basilicata (Italy). He has worked for the Soprintendenza di Pompei and is currently in charge of the Museum and Archaeological site of Paestum. Published works include a monograph on ancient Gabii (Latium), edited volumes, journal papers, and articles in newspapers and popular science magazines.
CONTENTS

List of Illustrations page vi
List of Tables ix
Preface xi

1 PLACES OF DARKNESS: COLONIAL SETTLEMENTS AND THE HISTORY OF CLASSICAL GREECE 1

2 HUTS AND HOUSES: A QUESTION OF IDEOLOGY? 46

3 TOMBS: VISIBILITY AND INVISIBILITY IN COLONIAL SOCIETIES 75

4 FIELDS: COLONIAL DEFINITIONS OF EQUALITY 105

5 FARMS: THE END OF EQUALITY? 141

6 MOUNTAINS: THE LIMITS OF GREEKNESS AND CITIZENSHIP 164

7 WORKSHOPS: BANAUSOI IN THE COLONY 197

8 CLASSICAL GREECE FROM A COLONIAL PERSPECTIVE 216

Bibliography 237

Index 263
ILLUSTRATIONS

1.1 Greek colonization 480–330 BC.  
1.2 The Ionian Coast in the fifth century BC.  
1.3 Thurii: Reconstruction of the urban center on the basis of archaeological data and literary sources (Mertens 2006: fig. 64).  
2.1 Heraclea in Lucania: Urban center.  
2.2 Heraclea, Area A, from northwest. (Courtesy of F. Giulietti & M. Turci: Laboratorio di Meccanica del Volo, Università di Bologna.)  
2.3 Heraclea, Area A: Hypothetical house plots.  
2.4 Heraclea, Area A: Hypothetical house plots.  
2.5 Heraclea (modern Policoro): Aerial photo, 1964. (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio della Basilicata.)  
2.6 Heraclea, Area A ("Scavi banche"): Classical street flanked by rubble walls (fifth century BC).  
2.7 Heraclea, Area A: House types, early walls in black.  
2.8 Heraclea, Area A: Obliterated wall of the early type.  
2.9 Heraclea, Area A: Obliterated walls.  
2.10 Heraclea, Area B: House types; early walls in black.  
2.11 Late fifth-century pottery from Heraclea (Giardino 2012: fig. 6).  
2.12 Amphipolis: Map of the site (drawing by R. Marino, Parco Archeologico di Paestum, based on Lazaridis 1997).  
2.13 Heraclea, Area A: Aboveground sewers in a Hellenistic habitation.  
2.14 Heraclea, sanctuary of Demeter: Manumissio inscription (?) and iron chains (Gertl 2012: fig. 10).  
2.15 Conca d’Oro: Demeter and Artemis Bendis figurines (Osanna, Prandi, Siciliano 2008: fig. 42).  
2.16 Road from Heraclea to Conca d’Oro with votive deposit HE25.  
3.1 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Cremation burial with red-figure amphora (tomb 53 in via Avellino) (Pianu 1990: pl.VI 1–2).  
3.2 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Crouched burial without grave goods (Lanza 2015: fig. 12).  
3.3 Inhumation burial from Tarentum, fifth century BC (Dell’Aglio 2015: fig. 7). (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio delle Province di Brindisi, Lecce e Taranto.)  
3.4 Heraclea: Vases from the Tomb of the Policoro Painter (elaboration G. Gramegna, based on Degrassi 1967).
3.5 Heraclea, Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Reconstruction drawing (Degrassi 1967: fig. 57).
3.6 Heraclea: Tomb of the Policoro Painter (T1) and neighboring tombs (Degrassi 1967: fig. 46).
3.7 Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Vase no. 11, side A (Degrassi 1967: pl. 66).
3.8 Tomb of the Policoro Painter: Vase no. 11, side B (Degrassi 1967: pl. 67).
3.9 Paestum: Underground chamber in the agora. (Courtesy of Parco Archeologico di Paestum.)
3.10 Paestum, underground chamber in the agora: Bronze hydriai and black-figure amphora. (Photo F. Valletta/G. Grippo, courtesy of Parco Archeologico di Paestum.)
3.11 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb clusters. (Drawing based on Lanza 2012; 2015.)
3.12 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb clusters in via Umbria (Lanza 2012: fig. 5).
3.13 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb cluster in via Salerno (Crupi, Pasquino 2015: fig. 3 & 4).
3.14 Heraclea, South Necropolis: Tomb 52 in via Umbria (Lanza 2012: fig. 8).
4.3 The territory of Heraclea in the early fourth century BC.
4.4 Density of rural sites at Heraclea and Metapontum, 450–300 BC.
4.5 Piano Sollazzo: Terracotta pinakes (Crupi, Pasquino 2015: fig. 4).
4.6 Walking distances from Heraclea, c. 375 BC.
4.7 Kamarina (Mertens 2006: fig. 625).
4.8 Schematic illustration of the Classical land division around Chersonesus. (Courtesy of J.C. Carter.)
4.10 Walking distances from Metapontum and sites around Policoro, c. 500 BC.
5.1 The territory of Heraclea, c. 325 BC.
5.2 Find distribution on early Hellenistic habitation sites in a portion of the surveyed area, 2012–2013.
5.3 Oil press from site HE3.
5.4 Pottery assemblages from site HE7.
5.5 Excavated farmsteads in the territory of Heraclea.
5.6 Hypothetical reconstruction of the schoinos mentioned in the Heraclea Tablets.
5.7 Hypothetical location of the lands of Athena and Dionysus mentioned in the Heraclea Tablets.
5.8 Walking distances from Heraclea, c. 325 BC.
5.9 Case coloniche in the area of Policoro (ancient Heraclea), around 1960. (Courtesy of Comune di Policoro.)
ILLUSTRATIONS

6.1 Lucania during the fourth century BC. 167
6.2 Bronze belt and helmet from tomb 1188 at Policoro (Bottini, Lecce 2015: fig. 8). 171
6.3 Fortification wall of Serra di Vaglio. (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio della Basilicata.) 173
6.4 Viewshed from Mt. Coppolo with Early Hellenistic settlement sites. 178
6.5 Mt. Coppolo: Tower on the southern side of the city wall (partly reconstructed). 179
6.6 The Early Hellenistic countryside around Mt. Coppolo. 180
6.7 Timpa della Bufaliera: Late Classical/Early Hellenistic fortress (Quilici 1967: fig. 169). 182
6.8 Roof types at Early Hellenistic sites around Heraclea and Mt. Coppolo. 185
6.9 Heraclea, Area B: Loom weight types (Meo 2015: fig. 2). 186
6.10 Early Hellenistic habitation sites in the hinterland of Heraclea. 188
6.11 Loom weight types at Early Hellenistic habitation sites around Heraclea and Mt. Coppolo. 189
6.12 Sant’Arcangelo: Female burials Cicchelli T. 33 (a) and Mastroisone T. 63 (b) (Mandić, Vita 2015; pl. 7). 191
6.13 The Valley of the River Sinni with Piano Sollazzo (center) and Mt. Coppolo (right) in the background, from northeast (site HE86 near Anglona). 196
7.1 Heraclea: Pottery workshops, second half of the fourth century/third century BC. 200
7.2 Heraclea, Castello Hill, Area A: Pottery workshop in insula 4, rooms 6 and 7. (Courtesy of Archivio fotografico della Soprintendenza Archeologia, Belle Arti e Paesaggio della Basilicata.) 201
7.3 Heraclea, Castello Hill, Area A: Late Hellenistic mint in insula I (excavations 2014). 207
7.4 Heraclea: City wall on the southern side of the Castello Hill, excavations around 1965 (Neutsch 1967: pl. 8.1). 208
7.5 Heraclea, Castello Hill: Stone marks on blocks from the fortification wall (Neutsch 1967: fig. 9). 209
TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Table Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Greek colonies and cleruchies 480–330 BC</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>The Tomb of the Policoro Painter</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Walking distances and carrying capacity at Heraclea</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Urban and rural spaces and demography at Chersonesus</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Heraclea Tablets: plot sizes, rents, and yields</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Heraclea Tablets: vineyards and yields in the lands of Athena</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREFACE

The painted stone slab on the cover is one of hundreds of examples from “Lucanian” tombs from Paestum in southern Italy. It dates to the second quarter of the fourth century BC. From the second half of the fifth century, new burial customs were introduced to Paestum, and in the fourth century official documents at Paestum were written in Oscan, an Italic language. At first glance, the slab may not seem ideally suited to illustrating the cover of a book dealing with “Classical Greece.” Yet Greek-speaking people continued to live in Paestum, as graffiti and inscriptions demonstrate. We have no idea whether the person buried in the tomb on the cover identified herself/himself as “Greek” or “Lucanian,” and what this really meant in this period. Similarly, the identity and origin of the artists are also unknown.

However, the way in which the figures on the slab are portrayed can be viewed as a product of Greek colonial practices and ideologies. While the rider corresponds to the ideal of the victorious youth as known from Athenian and Tarantine grave monuments, the two prisoners shown behind him, especially the one on the left, are clearly presented as non-Greeks or “barbarians.” And while the woman who is greeting the rider has a distinctive headdress that might be of a local type, the vessels she is holding belong to the Greek tradition.

The tomb painting clearly depicts “Greek culture” from a specific standpoint, just as this book attempts to look at Classical Greece from an alternative perspective. By looking at social and economic structures, exploitation, violence, and subjugation in the colonies, it aims to paint a different picture of Classical Greece. Greek settlements of the fifth and fourth centuries BC and their hinterland are analyzed using approaches from the fields of postcolonial criticism and subaltern studies. Archaeological data from excavations and field surveys, part of which is published for the first time here, is combined with literary sources and inscriptions to shed more light on the lives and status of nonelite populations in the colonies.

My original project was far less wide-ranging. When I started fieldwork at Heraclea Lucaniae in southern Italy in 2012, I had no idea of the direction this book would take, nor, I suppose, did those who helped me along the way. I am
aware that not everyone will be persuaded by my arguments, but I hope that my work will at least help stimulate further discussion.
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Rossella Pace, Francesca Silvestrelli, and Stéphane Verger, with whom I had the privilege to conduct excavation work in Policoro, were always ready to discuss new ideas and problems with me, and I owe much to their expertise and generosity. The city of Policoro, thanks to the mayor Rocco Luigi Leone and his team, provided logistical support for our fieldwork campaigns.
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