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(5) In so far as there will be liability for water pollution (§ 30 Abs 2) on the basis of para 1 to 4, it is presumed that such damage was caused by those who come into question according to their location and the sewage (impact) composition; this presumption can be rebutted by proving the unlikeliness of causation. Several persons are liable solidarily if the damage was caused intentionally or with gross negligence. Otherwise they will only be liable for their contribution to the resulting damage. If contributions cannot be determined, several persons will be liable in equal shares.”

Allgemeines Sozialversicherungsgesetz (General Social Insurance Act, ASVG)³

§ 333 (1): “The employer will only be liable to compensate the insured for harm resulting from personal injury suffered due to a work accident or a work-related disease if the work accident (the work-related disease) was caused intentionally. This limitation of liability also applies in relation to the insured’s surviving dependents if the former’s death can be attributed to the personal injury suffered as a consequence of the work accident or the work-related disease.”

Bulgaria⁴

Obligations and Contracts Act

Article 45: “Every person must redress the damage he has guiltily caused to another person. In all cases of tort guilt is presumed until proven otherwise.”

Article 50: “The owner of a chattel and the person under whose supervision the said chattel is shall be liable jointly and severally for the damage caused by the chattel. If the damage is caused by an animal,

² Translation by Barbara C. Steininger. ³ Translation by Barbara C. Steininger. ⁴ Translation of the main Bulgarian laws is available at www.lexadin.nl/wlg/legis/nofr/eur/ lxwebul.htm.
the above persons shall also be liable when the animal has run away or has been lost.”

Article 51: “Compensation shall be due for all damages that are a direct and immediate consequence of the tort. This compensation may be payable as a lump sum or in scheduled payments.

If the person suffering the damage has contributed to its occurrence, the compensation may be reduced.

Where compensation for impaired capacity to work has been awarded, it may be reduced or increased if the injured person’s capacity to work changes in connection with the damages caused.”

Article 53: “Where the damage is caused by several persons, they shall be liable jointly and severally.”

Czech Republic

Civil Code 2012

§ 2900: “Everyone must act so as to avoid unreasonable harm to freedom, life, health or ownership of another person, if the circumstances of the case or customs of private life so require.”

§ 2909: “A tortfeasor who causes harm to a victim by an intentional breach of good morals has the duty to provide compensation for it; however, if the tortfeasor was exercising his right, he has the duty to provide compensation for the damage only if his main purpose was to harm another.”

§ 2910: “A tortfeasor who is at fault for breaching a statutory duty, thereby interfering with an absolute right of the victim, shall provide compensation to the victim for the harm caused. A tortfeasor also becomes obliged to provide compensation if he interferes with another right of the victim by a culpable breach of a statutory duty enacted to protect such a right.”

§ 2915: “(1) If several tortfeasors are obliged to provide compensation for damage, they shall do so jointly and severally; if any of the tortfeasors has the duty under another statute to provide compensation only up to a certain limit, he is obliged jointly and severally with the other tortfeasors within that scope. This also applies where several persons have committed separate unlawful acts, each of which may have caused a harmful consequence with a high degree of certainty, and if the person who caused the damage cannot be ascertained.

(2) Where there are reasons deserving special consideration, a court may decide that the tortfeasor shall provide compensation for the damage in proportion to his participation in the harmful consequences; if the participation cannot be determined accurately, account is taken of the degree of probability. Such a decision may not be made if a
tortfeasor knowingly participated in causing the damage by another tortfeasor, or instigated or supported it, or if the entire damage can be attributed to each tortfeasor, even where they acted independently, or if the tortfeasor is to pay for the damage caused by a helper where the helper also incurred the duty to provide compensation."
§ 2918: "If the damage occurred or was increased as a consequence of circumstances which are assigned to the injured party, the wrongdoer’s duty to provide damages is reduced proportionally."
§ 2924: “A person who operates an enterprise or another facility intended for gainful activities shall provide compensation for the damage resulting from the operations, whether it was caused by the actual operating activities, by a thing used in these activities or by the impact of the activities on the environment. The person is released from this duty if he proves that he has exercised all care that can be reasonably requested to prevent the damage.”
§ 2952: “The actual damage and what the victim lost (lost profit) is paid. If the actual damage consists in the creation of a debt, the victim has the right to be released from the debt or provided with compensation by the tortfeasor.”
§ 2956: “Where a tortfeasor incurs a duty to compensate an individual for harm to his natural right protected by the provisions of Book One of this Act, he shall compensate the damage as well as non-pecuniary harm thus caused; compensation of the non-pecuniary harm shall also include mental suffering.”

**Denmark**

*Damages Act*

§ 1: “Any person who is liable for injury to another person must pay compensation for lost earnings, medical and rehabilitation expenses and any other loss caused by the injury as well as remuneration for pain and suffering. Where the injury has had permanent consequences, remuneration for permanent impairment and compensation for loss of earning capacity will also be payable. The value of work in the home is treated as earned income.”

*The Occupational Injuries Act*

§ 5: “Under occupational injuries in the meaning of this Act fall accidents according to § 6 and occupational illnesses according to § 7, which are caused by the occupation or by the conditions under which the occupation has taken place.”
§ 6: (1) “An accident under this Act is understood as personal injury which occurs due to an incident or impact which takes place suddenly or within 5 days.”

§ 7: “Occupational illness under this Act consists of:
Illnesses, which according to medical documentation are caused by specific influences that certain groups of people, due to their occupation, are more subjected to than groups of people which do not share that occupation. Also illness with a live born child which has been incurred before birth due to the mother’s occupation during the pregnancy is included. The Director of the National Board of Industrial Injuries provides, after negotiation with the Occupational Illnesses Board cf. § 9, a list of which illnesses are considered to qualify under this provision.
Other illnesses, including illnesses with a live born child which were incurred before birth, if it is proven whether that the illness according to the latest medical documentation satisfies the requirements under § 7.1(1) or that the illness solely or primarily must be seen to be caused by the particular nature of the occupation.”

*Complaint and Compensation in the Health Service Act*

§ 20: “Compensation is provided if the injury is most likely to be caused in one of the following ways:
If it must be assumed that an experienced specialist within the given area under the circumstances given would have acted differently during the examination, treatment, etc. thus avoiding the injury.
If the injury is caused by technical errors or malfunctioning of the technical apparatus, tools or other equipment which is being used in or in connection with the examination, treatment, etc.
If the injury based on a subsequent evaluation could have been avoided using a different treatment or method which was available and was based on a medical evaluation which would have been just as efficient in the treatment of the patient’s illness, or
If, as a consequence of examination, including diagnostic procedures, or treatment, injury occurs in the form of infections or other complications which are more extensive than what the patient reasonably should endure. In assessing what the patient should endure regard is to be had partially to the severity of the injury, partially to the illness and general health of the patient as well as to the rarity of the injury and the possibility of taking the risk of its occurrence into account beforehand.”
France

Code civil

Article 1231–2 (former Art. 1149): “Damages due to a creditor are, as a rule, for the loss which he has suffered and the profit which he has been deprived of, subject to the exceptions and modifications below.”

Article 1231–3 (former Art. 1150): “A debtor is liable only for damages which were foreseen or which could have been foreseen at the time of the contract, where it is not through his own intentional breach that the obligation is not fulfilled.”

Article 1231–4 (former Art. 1151): “Even in the case where the non-performance of the agreement is due to the debtor’s intentional breach, damages may include, with respect to the loss suffered by the creditor and the profit which he has been deprived of, only what is an immediate and direct consequence of the non-performance of the agreement.”

Article 1240 (former Art. 1382): “Any act whatever of a man, which causes damage to another, obliges the one by whose fault it occurred, to compensate for it.”

Article 1241 (former Art. 1383): “Everyone is liable for the damage he causes not only by his intentional act, but also by his negligent conduct or by his imprudence.”

Article 1242 (former Art. 1384): “A person is liable not only for the damages he causes by his own act, but also for that which is caused by the acts of persons for whom he is responsible, or by things which are in his custody.

However, a person who possesses, regardless of the basis thereof, all or part of a building or of movable property in which a fire has originated is not liable towards third parties for damages caused by that fire unless it is proved that the fire must be attributed to his fault of to the fault of persons for whom he is responsible.

This provision may not apply to the landlord and tenant relationship, which remains governed by Articles 1733 and 1734 of the Civil Code.

The father and mother, in so far as they exercise ‘parental authority’, are jointly and severally liable for the damage caused by their minor children who live with them.

Masters and employers, for the damage caused by their servants and employees in the functions for which they have been employed;

Teachers and craftsmen, for the damage caused by their pupils and apprentices during the time when they are under their supervision. The above liability exists, unless the father and mother or the craftsmen prove that they could not prevent the act which gives rise to that liability.

As to teachers, the faults, imprudence or negligent conduct invoked against them as having caused the damaging act must be proved by the plaintiff at the trial, in accordance with the general law."

Article 1245 (former Art. 1386–1): “A producer is liable for damages caused by a defect in his product, whether he was bound by a contract with the injured person or not.”

Article 1382 (former Art. 1353): “The presumptions which are not established by a statute are left to the insight and carefulness of the judges, who shall only admit serious, precise and concurrent presumptions, and in the cases only where statutes admit the proof by all means.”

**England**

*Compensation Act 2006*

Section 3: “(1) This section applies where—

(a) a person (‘the responsible person’) has negligently or in breach of statutory duty caused or permitted another person (‘the victim’) to be exposed to asbestos,

(b) the victim has contracted mesothelioma as a result of exposure to asbestos,

(c) because of the nature of mesothelioma and the state of medical science, it is not possible to determine with certainty whether it was the exposure mentioned in paragraph (a) or another exposure which caused the victim to become ill, and

(d) the responsible person is liable in tort, by virtue of the exposure mentioned in paragraph (a), in connection with damage caused to the victim by the disease (whether by reason of having materially increased a risk or for any other reason).

(2) The responsible person shall be liable—

(a) in respect of the whole of the damage caused to the victim by the disease (irrespective of whether the victim was also exposed to asbestos—

(i) other than by the responsible person, whether or not in circumstances in which another person has liability in tort, or

(ii) by the responsible person in circumstances in which he has no liability in tort), and

(b) jointly and severally with any other responsible person.
XXXIV BASIC PROVISIONS

(3) Subsection (2) does not prevent—
(a) one responsible person from claiming a contribution from another, or
(b) a finding of contributory negligence.

(4) In determining the extent of contributions of different responsible persons in accordance with subsection (3)(a), a court shall have regard to the relative lengths of the periods of exposure for which each was responsible; but this subsection shall not apply—
(a) if or to the extent that responsible persons agree to apportion responsibility amongst themselves on some other basis, or
(b) if or to the extent that the court thinks that another basis for determining contributions is more appropriate in the circumstances of a particular case.

(5) In subsection (1) the reference to causing or permitting a person to be exposed to asbestos includes a reference to failing to protect a person from exposure to asbestos.

(6) In the application of this section to Scotland—
(a) a reference to tort shall be taken as a reference to delict, and
(b) a reference to a court shall be taken to include a reference to a jury.

(7) The Treasury may make regulations about the provision of compensation to a responsible person where—
(a) he claims, or would claim, a contribution from another responsible person in accordance with subsection (3)(a), but
(b) he is unable or likely to be unable to obtain the contribution, because an insurer of the other responsible person is unable or likely to be unable to satisfy the claim for a contribution.

(8) The regulations may, in particular—
(a) replicate or apply (with or without modification) a provision of the Financial Services Compensation Scheme;
(b) replicate or apply (with or without modification) a transitional compensation provision;
(c) provide for a specified person to assess and pay compensation;
(d) provide for expenses incurred (including the payment of compensation) to be met out of levies collected in accordance with section 213(3)(b) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (c. 8) (the Financial Services Compensation Scheme);
(e) modify the effect of a transitional compensation provision;
(f) enable the Financial Services Authority to amend the Financial Services Compensation Scheme;
(g) modify the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 in its application to an amendment pursuant to paragraph (f);
(h) make, or require the making of, provision for the making of a claim by a responsible person for compensation whether or not he has already satisfied claims in tort against him;