

## CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	page ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxiv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Conceptual Framework	3
1.1.1 Traditional and Contemporary Accounts of the Interaction between Domestic and International Law	3
1.1.2 The Orthodox Approach to Domestic Law and the Interpretation of International Law	6
1.1.3 The Concept of Comparative Reasoning and Scope of This Book	8
1.2 The Structure of This Book	12
2 The Limits of the Vienna Convention	15
2.1 The Evaluative Dimension of Rules	15
2.2 The Evolution of the Rules of Interpretation	22
2.2.1 <i>L'Institut de Droit International</i>	23
2.2.2 The 1964 ILC Debates	31
2.2.3 The 1966 ILC Debates	37
2.2.4 The Vienna Conference	39
2.3 The Purpose of Codification	44
2.4 The Thin Evaluative Dimension of the VCLT	46
3 Domestic Law in the Jurisprudence of the International Court of Justice	49

3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Jurisprudence of the International Court	51
3.2.1	<i>Anglo-Iranian Oil</i>	54
3.2.2	<i>Aegean Sea Continental Shelf</i>	59
3.2.3	<i>Fisheries Jurisdiction (Spain v. Canada)</i>	65
3.3	The Interpretation of Unilaterally Drafted Instruments	74
4	The Interpretation of Schedules of Commitments in the WTO	83
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Schedules of Commitments as Multilateral Acts of a Special Character	87
4.3	Domestic Law as a Circumstance of Conclusion	90
4.3.1	<i>EC-Chicken Cuts</i>	92
4.3.2	Reasonable Reliance	95
4.4	Domestic Law as Agreement: Special Meaning	98
4.4.1	<i>Mexico-Telecoms</i>	100
4.4.2	The Utility of Domestic Law under Article 31(4) of the VCLT	103
4.5	Security and Predictability in International Trade	104
4.6	Domestic Law under the Rubric of the VCLT	106
5	International Investment Law and the Public Law Analogy	107
5.1	Introduction	107
5.2	The Public Law Analogy: Rationales, Function and Legal Basis	110
5.3	Comparative Law in the Case Law of Investment Tribunals	119
5.3.1	Fair and Equitable Treatment as Derived from a General Principle of Law	119
5.3.2	Comparative Public Law as Auxiliary Reasoning	124

## CONTENTS

vii

5.4	Engaging with Practice	127
5.4.1	The Interpretation of Standards	128
5.4.2	The Selection of Jurisdictions and Methodological Diversity	133
5.5	The General Principles Fallacy	137
6	Consensus Doctrine in the European Court of Human Rights	140
6.1	Introduction	140
6.2	The Consensus Doctrine	143
6.3	Standards of Conduct and the Use of Domestic Law	146
6.3.1	Explicit Standards	147
6.3.2	Proportionality in Express Limitations	148
6.3.3	Proportionality in Implied Limitations	150
6.3.4	Defining Absolute Rights	151
6.4	No Consensus on Consensus	154
6.4.1	Methodological Criticisms	155
6.4.2	Principled Criticisms	157
6.4.3	Defences of Consensus	163
6.5	Standards of Conduct (Revisited)	167
6.5.1	Structuring Discretion	167
6.5.2	The Importance of Shared Values	170
6.6	The Function of the Consensus Doctrine	177
7	Domestic Law and System Building in the ICTY	178
7.1	Introduction	178
7.2	A Brief History of the ICTY	181
7.3	The Development of the Crime of Rape	185
7.3.1	The Evolution of the Crime of Rape	185
7.3.2	Interpretation of Rape by the ICTY	187
7.3.3	The Legacy of the ICTY Approach: The ICC Elements of Crimes	192

7.4	Interpreting Imported Legal Institutions	195
7.4.1	Elaborating the Guilty Plea	195
7.4.2	Interpreting Subpoena Powers/Binding Orders	199
7.5	An Affront to the Principle of Legality?	201
7.6	Methodological Failings and the Specificity of International Criminal Law	206
7.7	Contextualising the Tribunal's Reasoning	210
7.8	Domestic Law and the Development of International Criminal Law	213
8	Conclusion	214
8.1	The Use of Domestic Law as an Indication of the Intention of a State	215
8.2	The Use of Domestic Law to Substantiate Conventional Standards	217
8.3	The Use of Domestic Law as an Auxiliary Means of Interpretation	218
8.4	The Comparative Method and the Question of the Appropriate Methodology	220
	<i>Bibliography</i>	222
	<i>Index</i>	249