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The Harleian Manuscript, No. 3634, from which the present chronicle is printed, came under my notice during a systematic examination of the historical MSS. in the British Museum. That it has hitherto escaped the attention of historians is doubtless owing to the fact that it is incorrectly described and indexed in the Catalogue of the Harleian MSS. as the Hypodigma Neustriae of Thomas Walsingham. The volume consists of the chronicle here printed, from A.D. 1328 to 1388, written on vellum towards the close of the 14th century, and supplemented in the 16th century with additions, written on paper, from the Hypodigma and the Historia Anglicana of Walsingham, so as to make up a continuous history from the year 1273 to 1422. It is only with the original part that we have to deal.

The interest of the chronicle is twofold. In the first place, it gives us, in a perfect form, and in its original Latin dress, the circumstantial history of the close of

---

1 "Thome de Walsingham Hypo-
   podigma Neustriae. Codex anti-
   quus, membranaceus et serio
   manu super chartâ suppletus.
   Additamenta principiūm et finem
   occupant; et interpelantur etiam,
   in parte antiquiore, quaedam a
   Ranulphio Cestensi, et fortè alia.
   Transmittitur, continuatoris manu,
   aut etiam recentiori, Historia
   brevis Thome de Walsingham.
   Hypodigma Neustriae Historiam
   Normanorum continet, ab urup-
   tione eorum in Neustriam, usque
   ad annum tertiam Henrici quinti.
   Non, ut speram, dicitur in
   primo codicis folio, ab anno tan-
   tum 1273 ad 1422.
   Codicis pars posterior desumpta
   est ex Historia brevi Thome de
   Walsingham, quæ edita est An.
   1574 in fol. Aliæ sunt in codicè
   hoc variationes (et defectus),
   que inscriptiœ sunt obvia, sed
   in Catalogo vix possunt enume-
   rari." Cat. of Harl. MSS., vol.
   iii. p. 47. See the description
   of the MS. below, p. xxv.
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Edward III's reign, written at St. Alban's abbey, which has hitherto been considered lost; and secondly, it brings to light the methods used in the compilation of the history known as Walsingham's Historia Anglica, and at the same time sets on a proper footing the connexion of that work with other contemporary chronicles.

It has been long recognised that John Stow, the historian of the 16th century, had materials for his history of the end of the reign of Edward III., the sources of which were not known. The account given in his "Chronicle of England" of what took place at the meeting of the parliament of 1376, known as the Good Parliament, and of the subsequent events up to the king's death, is so exact and full of detail, that it could not be doubted that he derived his knowledge from contemporary authorities. Indeed, actual proof of this was not wanting; for among his papers, now preserved in the Harleian Library, was found a chronicle, in English, of the period in question, 1376–7, which was clearly the one from which Stow had drawn his history of the time, and which bears internal evidence of being a translation from a Latin original. ¹

This translation now forms part of the Harleian M.S. 6217, ff. 3–12, with the exception of the first leaf which

¹ The former existence of a Latin original for the translation has been generally admitted by historians. The only writer who has thrown any doubts upon it is the late Professor W. W. Shirley, in his edition of the Fasciculi Zizaniorum in this series. The translation being one of the authorities brought forward in support of a tradition that Wycliffe held the wardenship of Canterbury Hall at Oxford, Mr. Shirley rejects its testimony on the ground of its being a compilation of the 16th century. He remarks that "the language of the fragment has been observed to bear a close resemblance to that of Fabian's chronicle, which was printed in 1512. Probably, therefore, the date [in the title, i.e. 1516] should be 1516." He, however, admits that "the author has had before him one, or perhaps two, contemporary authorities, which he has indolently interwoven with his narrative, without changing one even of those expressions which most clearly reflect the "image of passing events."" Pp. 520, 521.
at some time got astray and is now bound up in the Harleian MS. 247, f. 173. It is written principally in one hand, but some of the sheets are by other writers, one of them being Stow himself, who has re-written one page and also connected the separate sheets with catchwords, etc. He has also written at the head of the first page the following title:—

John

Liber S. Alban., prior of Robart’s bridge, after abbot of Boxley, in anno 1216.

The date is in paler ink than the rest of the title, and appears to have been a subsequent addition; and it is so obviously written by mistake, that it need not be regarded. As to the probability of the authorship by John prior of Robertsbridge, all that need be said is, that not one word about that monastery occurs in the whole course of the piece, while St. Alban’s is frequently mentioned; and that therefore, if we are to accept him as the writer, we must suppose this John to have been a monk of the latter house, and to have written the chronicle before being transferred to Robertsbridge. Such transference, however, would in itself be unlikely, although not impossible, at so late a time as the 14th century, St. Alban’s being a Benedictine, and Robertsbridge a Cistercian, monastery. I think that the whole of the title, excepting the three first words, must be rejected as a mere blunder. The very way in which it is written makes it doubtful. Instead of being written off in a single line, it is built up as if from information obtained at intervals.¹ Stow made ample use of the translation. He not only worked the substance of it

¹ It is, however, worthy of notice that there was a John, abbat of Boxley, living at the close of the 14th century. He appears as a party to a bond, (Add. Charter 16,487, Brit. Mus.) dated 18 Ric.II. [A.D. 1382], not far from the period of the writing of the chronicle.
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into his Chronicle, but often borrowed its very words and in so doing introduced into his text the faults of mistranslation.\(^1\) From this it may be fairly presumed that he never saw the original Latin. And indeed it will be presently shown that the translation was made from two MSS, so that we may conclude that it was sent to him in separate portions by perhaps two different persons. This supposition will further explain the disconnected way in which the translation is written by different hands.

In 1828 Mr. Thomas Amyot communicated Stow’s translation to the Society of Antiquaries, and it was printed in the 22nd volume of the Archaeologia (pp. 204–284), in 1829.\(^2\) Mr. Amyot in his introductory letter notices the use made of the history by Fox in his “Acts and Monuments,” and by Bishop Lowth in his “Life of William of Wykeham.” Lowth made use of Stow’s translation, but Fox had access to a Latin original. He uses it in his account of Wycliffe, and refers to it as “Chronicon Monasterii Albani,” and by other titles, and in one place he gives the name of the owner: “Historia monachi D. Albani, ex accommodato D. Matth. Archiepisc. Cantuar.”\(^3\) The manuscript then belonged

\(^1\) For example, the passage “Quod ut asservât, in tempore Willelmi Ruf, fuit in Anglia practizatum,” “Pro quo, sicut pro allis regibus Anglia, non obstante quod tuit bona ecclesiae, orat ecclesiae Angliss ; quœ, si talia fecit ille, bene fecit orando pro ipso, si ille ille, errat : quod diceré est absurdum” (p. 116 below), is translated, “That (as he affirmed) in the tyne of William Rufus yt was practised in Englande, for whom, as for other kynges of the realme (notwithstandinge he took away the church goodes) the church of England prieth, which yt she doe lawfully, then she dothe wel in prayynges for the bishopp, and to say she doth yt unlawfully were absurde.” Stow copies the translation word for word, except “in prayynges for the bishopp.”

\(^2\) Transcript of a Chronicle in the Harleian Library of MSS., No. 6317, entitled ‘An Historie of Englande,’ call Relation of certain passages about the end of King Edward the Third, and of his Death.”
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xiii
to Archbishop Parker, and the proper place to look for it was the library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, where Parker's collection of MSS. was deposited. Lowth accordingly, although he did not recognise in the MS. quoted by Fox the original of the translation which he himself used, seems to have made some enquiries, but fell into the error of supposing that Malverne's Chronicle in continuation of the Polychronicon had been the one used, since it contained certain incidents also related in the "Acts and Monuments." 1 Mr. Amyot again instituted a search for the missing MS. both at Cambridge and in the British Museum, but without any satisfactory result. We are now so fortunate as to have recovered not only a single copy of the long lost Latin original, but also to have a second one, in a fragmentary state it is true, but still of great value. And, strange to say, both the MSS. once belonged to Archbishop Parker.

Among the MSS. of the Cottonian Library which were burnt in the disastrous fire at Ashburnham House, in 1731, was the MS. marked Otho C. ii. This volume contained originally a short chronicle of a few pages, followed by the Flores Historiarum of Matthew of Westminster, with a continuation to the year 1379. 2

It is only in recent times that the charred remains of the burnt MSS. were collected, the fragments of leaves separated and inlaid, and such as could be identified restored to their places. Of the MS. Otho C. ii., a large portion of the Flores Historiarum was recovered, and fortunately the greater part of the continuation. The end of this continuation now consists of twenty-one

1 Lowth, Life of Wykeham, 1759, p. 145 note.
2 It is thus described in the Appendix to the Report on the Cottonian Library, 1732: -
Otho C. ii. 1. Brevis descriptio Angliae et Chronicum Regum usque ad Edw. 3. f. 1.
3. Continuatio ejusdem Matthaei usque ad annum 1379; non impressa. f. 224.

b
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leaves, written in a different hand from the rest of the piece, and beginning with the meeting of the Good Parliament in 1376. These leaves were identified by Mr. Bond as containing the original Latin of Stow’s translation. The MS. had, however, suffered so much from the effects of the fire, the leaves being shrunk and burnt round the edges and in many places blackened and rendered illegible, that it was useless for the purpose of a satisfactory restoration of the text. And this was to be the more regretted, as the history extended in date beyond the translation, and contained notices of incidents not to be found in other chronicles of the period. Its value was also enhanced by the fact of its being written in a hand of the 14th century, close to the time of its compilation. Parker’s handwriting appears in numerous notes written in the margins of the volume.¹

I now return to the Harleian MS. 3634. This MS. also belonged to Parker, being easily identified by the hand in which the enumeration of the leaves is written, and by other peculiarities, such as red pencil marks; these being similar to such as are found in the archbishop’s MSS. But in addition, the record of such a volume as this having been in Parker’s library is still to be found in the Catalogus Historicorum of John Josceline, his secretary, thus: “Anno Domini 1388 claruit “quidam Monachus, ut appareat, Sancti Albani innomi-” natus, cujus extat historia ab anno Domini 1380 ² “ad annum Domini 1388. Habet eam Archiepiscopus “Cantuariensis. In ea multa continentur de Wicliffo, “Papali Scismate, et de magna Rusticorum rebellione, “quæ facta fuit per id tempus. Incipit: Rex Ed- “wardus fecit tres Comites.”³

If further proof were wanting it might be sought in

---

¹ For a detailed description of the MS. see p. xxvii.
² He should have said, 1329.
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the Cottonian MS. Vitellius E. xiv. (a volume which also narrowly escaped destruction by fire), in which, at ff. 131–147, are extensive extracts, taken, to all appearance, from this same Harleian MS., in the handwriting of Josceline. They are chiefly drawn from those portions of the chronicle which relate to Wycliffe. For critical purposes they have proved to be of no value, Josceline having turned the obscure passages apparently by phrases of his own composition.

The chronicle in the Harleian MS. proceeds regularly from 1328 to 1370, but owing apparently to a fault of the scribe the history for the years 1371–1375 has been omitted. Immediately after the death of Sir John Chandos in 1370, the text proceeds with the chapter “De quodam in carcere strangulato,” etc., which takes us at once into the midst of the events of the year 1376, as narrated in Stow’s translation, and thence it continues without break to 1388. Here at length, then, is the Latin original (or rather the greater part of it) in an uninjured form.

The satisfaction experienced at the discovery of this historical piece in a serviceable form was much diminished when a comparison with the translation and with the fragments preserved in the MS. Otho C. ii. showed that some chapters had been omitted at the beginning. The Otho MS. could indeed supply those chapters, but only in a very fragmentary and imperfect shape. Under these circumstances there were but small hopes of having the means of restoring the early chapters in their original words. However the occurrence of the running title “Liber VII.,” at the top of some of the leaves of the MS., indicated that they had originally formed a part of some continuation to Higden’s Polychronicon, it being the practice to incorporate all such continuations into the last, or seventh, book of that

---

1 P. 68 of this volume.  
2 P. 81.
work. An examination of all copies of Higden in the British Museum and other libraries followed, and by rare good fortune the search resulted in the discovery, at Oxford, of five out of six of the missing chapters. They were found at the end of a copy of the Polychronicon in the Bodleian MS. 816. In addition, the handwriting of the whole of this MS. proved to be the same as that of a large portion of the Harleian chronicle, and a more minute examination finally showed that the two MSS. were originally one.

The copy of the Polychronicon in the Bodleian MS. ends at f. 150, and the chapters in question follow immediately, beginning with the one entitled, “De parliario mento facto Londoniis,” 1 and terminating abruptly at, the end of f. 151 b, with the words, “de diversis regni provinciis tam.” 2 Then follows a chronicle of English history, from 1328 to 1388, the text of which is to some extent the same as that printed in this volume. To create a fictitious connexion between the preceding fragment and this chronicle, the original catchword at the foot of f. 151 b has been erased, and replaced with “ Rex Edwardus,” the opening words of the latter. But there is also a note written with the plummet, “reverte ad tale signum ☞,” which is all important. Now turning to the Harleian MS., the leaf numbered 137, and beginning with the words, “ domini quam populares lares viri,” is found to fit on exactly to f. 151 b of the Bodleian MS., the writing of both MSS. also, at this point, being by the same hand. And finally there is the corresponding mark ☞ at the top of the page. 3

Here, then, we have two manuscripts made up out of a single one within the 14th century, and therefore not long after the compilation of the history. The writer

---

1 P. 68 of this volume.
2 P. 82.
3 See the facsimile at the beginning of this volume. It may be further added that the original signatures of the quires of the two MSS. also correspond.
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of the original manuscript, after finishing the Polychronicon, proceeded with a history beginning with the meeting of the Good Parliament, which he probably intended to continue to the year 1388. But when he had reached the year 1382 he stopped, and rejecting nearly the whole of the latter work, completed the Bodeliean MS., as it now stands, with the chronicle of 1328–1388.1 The accident of the opening chapters of the rejected chronicle being left in the MS. is no doubt owing to the fact of their having been written on the last pages of the last quire of the Polychronicon, from which they could not be conveniently detached, at least without cutting the vellum. The plummet reference was probably written only for temporary purposes. The rejected portion was then taken in hand by a scribe some years later, who prefixed to it a transcript, as far as 1370, of the 1328–1388 chronicle, and the opening of the chapter, “De quodam in carcere strangulato,” which was wanting to connect his additions with the original rejected leaves, and added at the end the history for the years 1382–1388 from the same chronicle. Thus was produced the 1328–1388 chronicle of the Harleian MS.

The motives of the original scribe for thus re-arranging his work could now be only conjectured, were it not that the record of the name of the original owner of the Bodeliean MS. affords means for solving the question. This owner was Thomas of Woodstock, duke of Gloucester, sixth son of Edward III., and younger brother of John of Gaunt.

Now it can hardly be supposed that Thomas of Woodstock would order a manuscript to be written for his own use, containing such securilous attacks upon, and such grave charges against, his brother John of Gaunt, as the Harleian chronicle does. Nor, may it be presumed, would the writer of such a manuscript care to risk the displeasure of the two princes by disposing of

1 For a detailed description of the Bodd. MS. see p. xxvi.
such a work to one of them. It seems therefore more than probable that the scribe, discovering the compromising nature of the chronicle which he was copying, stopped short in his work from fear of the consequences, and replaced the objectionable piece by one of a more harmless nature.

After the recovery of the portion in the Bodleian MS, there remained only one chapter of the history in Stow’s translation to be accounted for; and here the burnt Cottonian MS. was happily of use. The chapter is printed¹ from that MS., which at this particular place, had escaped great damage, only a few words, and those easily replaced, having been reached by the fire.

Of the two texts recovered in the Cotton and Bodleian MSS., that of the former is the older. It is rather more detailed in some places, and even more outspoken in its abuse of the duke of Lancaster. The titles of the chapters are also different. Which of the two MSS. belonging to Parker, the Cottonian or Harleian, it was that Fox borrowed cannot now be accurately determined.

But from the internal evidence of some of his expressions I think it more probable that he made use of the Cottonian MS. Indeed it is very doubtful whether Parker had possession of the Harleian MS. at the time that Fox wrote his work; for he did not use it for his edition of Walsingham’s History published as late as 1574, and it can hardly be supposed that he would have neglected so important a manuscript, had it been in his library at the time.

With regard to Stow’s translation, the first part seems to be made from the Cottonian text; but it falls in with the Harleian MS., as soon as it takes up the story. Indeed, in some places the translation follows the latter text so closely in the rendering of corrupt or imperfect passages, that one would be inclined to believe that it was made from this very manuscript, were it not that

¹ P. 74.
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there are certain discrepancies which cannot well be reconciled.\(^1\) It is therefore more probable that a transcript of the Harleian MS. was used. The translation itself has no great merit. There has been scarcely any attempt made to extract the meaning of involved or difficult sentences; and punctuation is disregarded to the utter confusion of intelligibility. It will be noticed that the vision of Thomas de la Hoo, a member of the Good Parliament, which is omitted in the translation, is given at length in the text.

The very great scarcity of manuscripts containing this most interesting piece of contemporary history cannot be a matter for surprise when we consider its character. The violence of the attacks contained in it on John of Gaunt and his party would be sure some day to bring down the vengeance of the Lancastrians upon the luckless monastery that might happen to have such compromising works in its library. A careful search for other MSS. of this class has brought scarcely anything to light; for, not taking account of Josceline's comparatively modern extracts, I have found only one MS. containing a small portion of this history. The Additional MS. 12,118 in the British

\(^1\) The following are instances of close rendering:—Lord Latimer's character is thus drawn, "In warres he was nothyng worth, for his bodye was impatient of hunger, and of greate chillishnes upon curthe, and he never durst, neither yet colde, keape watch," etc.; the Latin of Harl. MS. being, "Ad bella nihil validum, nam corpus ejus impatiens indita et algors excitit. In terra et inter hostes vigilias agere nec audebat nec potuit," in which the scribe has carelessly omitted the word "hos-til" after "terra," (p. 84 below). Again, the words "fructuosum re sponsum" (for "fructuosum") are translated "doubtful answerre" (p. 86); "susquam est hostis (i.e. ietes) temperibus tuta fides," "at no tyne the faith of the enemye ys to be trusted" (p. 106); "a galeis (i.e. Gallia) intercepti," "intercepted by the galleys" (p. 141). On the other hand, the translation omits one or two sentences in the Harl. MS., although this might be by accident; but it also states that Sir Peter de la Mare was sent prisoner to "Newercke," while the text reads Nottingham, and it gives the name of Thomas Wynton o one of the duke of Lancaster's retainers, whom the Harl. MS. calls Thomas Swintone.
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Museum, containing the *Polychronicon* with one of the ordinary continuations to the year 1377, and written in the 15th century, has incorporated into its text the chapter on the death of the Black Prince.

Very few MSS. of it seem to have come to light after the dissolution of the monasteries; for most of the writers of the 16th century, who have occasion to notice any of the facts put forward in the chronicle, refer to Fox’s quotations. Some few however may have seen an original MS.; and Thomas Martin, the author of a Life of Wykeham, was certainly acquainted with more than one. He often quotes the “Liber Albanus,” “Codex Albanus,” and in one place seems to indicate the Cottonian and Harleian MSS.: “At hic specta, queso, quorundam ex nostratibus historicorum fidem, qui, ut commentum hoc triplicem autorem præ se ferret, quasique tricipiti Cerbero communiretur, chronicon illud Albanense nunc Floris historiarum, nunc Raphalphi Polychronico appenderint.”¹ It will be remembered that the Cottonian text is appended to the *Flores Historiarum* of Matthew of Westminster, and the Bodley-Harleian text to the *Polychronicon* of Ralph Higden.

The scandalous chronicle was in fact suppressed at St. Alban’s, the place of its birth; and we probably owe the existence of the only copies of it that we have to the accident of their speedy removal beyond the reach of the revision which afterwards took place. By the fortunate circumstance of so many of the MSS. of St. Alban’s Abbey having descended to us, we are enabled to disclose very completely the history of this revision, or rather suppression.

¹ “Historica Descriptio, compleetans viram ac res gestas beatissimi viri Guillelmi Wiscami qui est domi Vintonicensi Episcopi.” By Dr. Thomas Martin, chancellor of the diocese of Winchester. First printed in 1597; and reprinted by Dr. Nicholas, warden of Winchester, 1690. Ed. 1690, p. 124.
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It will be seen that the text of the present chronicle very soon falls into agreement with that of Walsingham’s *Historia Anglicana*. Mr. Riley, the editor of that history in the present series, correctly traced back the origin of the work, as it is printed, to the Old Royal MS. 13 E. ix. in the British Museum; a large portion of that volume being occupied by a text from which was derived the Arundel MS. No. vii., in the College of Arms, the foundation of Mr. Riley’s edition. The Royal MS. is a large folio written at St. Alban’s at the beginning of the 15th century, and contains, among other matter, various chronicles compiled at that monastery down to the end of the 14th century. It is this MS. which furnishes us with the key to the history of the suppression of the more compromising portions of the present chronicle.

The agreement is complete between the texts of the Harleian and Royal MSS., beginning at the point where they fall in with Walsingham’s History, to the year 1369. But under that year, in the account of the duke of Lancaster’s expedition into France, there are certain alterations in the text of the Royal MS., which a reference to the Harleian text at once explains. For it is found that reflections, cast upon the conduct of the duke and his officers in the older copy, are toned down in the altered text. This is the first place in which John of Gaunt comes prominently forward in the history, and from this point the corrections in the Royal MS. are numerous. The next alteration is more decisive, and points out clearly the motives for the revision. The year 1373 opens with the duke’s second invasion of France: “Johannes dux Lancastriae, filius regis et “frater principis,” etc. The last five words are written over an erasure, and a warning note in the margin, in the hand of the reviser, “cave quia offendiculum,” tells us

1 For a description of this MS. see p. xxix.
2 See p. 63.
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that the alteration was not made to correct an ordinary error. As already stated, the Harleian MS. omits the history of the years 1371–1375, and we must therefore seek elsewhere for the original reading of the erased passage. For this we have two texts ready at hand.

The Bodleian MS., as I have already stated, contains a perfect chronicle for 1328–1388, a great part of which is the same as the one in the Harleian MS. The Cottonian MS. Faustina B. ix., also contains the same chronicle, but beginning imperfectly at the year 1360, in a slightly modified form. Both these copies supply the history of 1371–1375, and, not having been tampered with, give the original reading of the passage in question as, "cuic hastenus non arriit fortuna bellica." ¹

For 1376–7, the compiler of the Royal MS. has substituted an account of a mild form in place of that followed by the Harleian MS., and Stow's translation; ² but at the beginning of Richard II.'s reign, the

¹ As the history for the years 1371–1375 is not printed in the following pages, the text of the MSS. being that followed by Walsingham, I have given the corrected passages of the Royal MS. within that period, accompanied with the original readings, supplied from Bodl. MS. 316, and Faust. B. ix.—(A.D. 1373.) "Sed in hac ex pellitno medicum vel nulnum " "damnum Franciscus intulit* nisi " "quia redemptionem diversis villis " et locis imposiit." ² "Tantem ingressus est Aquitan- " nium maxust de infortunio, et sic; " perveniit Burdegaliam. Nam qui " in ingressu Franci apud Kalem- " sium trivit et amplius milla "equorum in suo comitatu habu- "erat, perpaucus,* ut furtur, equos "Burdegaliam secum vivos ad- "ductit." (Wals. Hist. i. 315.) (A.D. 1375.) "Johannes dux "Lancastriæ ordinatus fuit per con- "cilium ad eundum in Finisiam "ad tractandum de pace." (Ibid. i. 317.)

² The following alterations occur in the text of the Royal MS. for these two years:—(A.D. 1376.) "In progressu vero plura fuerunt delata de rege "familiorum." ³ "Wals. Hist. i. 320.) "Qui illico contra statuta parlia- "menti permisit § regem recipere in "quadranginta, B.; quinquagenista, F. "semel opulenta, B. F. "de deuce Lancastria, B.; de regis consiliarii, F. "septem, B.