

#### CAMBRIDGE LIBRARY COLLECTION

Books of enduring scholarly value

#### Classics

From the Renaissance to the nineteenth century, Latin and Greek were compulsory subjects in almost all European universities, and most early modern scholars published their research and conducted international correspondence in Latin. Latin had continued in use in Western Europe long after the fall of the Roman empire as the lingua franca of the educated classes and of law, diplomacy, religion and university teaching. The flight of Greek scholars to the West after the fall of Constantinople in 1453 gave impetus to the study of ancient Greek literature and the Greek New Testament. Eventually, just as nineteenth-century reforms of university curricula were beginning to erode this ascendancy, developments in textual criticism and linguistic analysis, and new ways of studying ancient societies, especially archaeology, led to renewed enthusiasm for the Classics. This collection offers works of criticism, interpretation and synthesis by the outstanding scholars of the nineteenth century.

## Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb

William W. Goodwin (1831–1912) was Eliot Professor of Greek at Harvard from 1860 to 1901, and was the first director of the American School in Athens. This, his most important book, was written for nineteenth-century American students to make available to them the latest European developments in the understanding of Greek syntax, as well as his own original material. It went through several editions between 1860 and 1890, and remains an invaluable resource for scholars of the Greek language. This is a reissue of the 1867 edition, published in Cambridge Massachusetts by Sever and Francis. It presents a detailed and well organized discussion of moods, tenses, infinitive, participles and verbal adjectives. Goodwin includes a large collection of examples taken from a wide range of major Greek writers to illustrate every variety of each construction. An index of these examples is also provided for easy reference.



Cambridge University Press has long been a pioneer in the reissuing of out-of-print titles from its own backlist, producing digital reprints of books that are still sought after by scholars and students but could not be reprinted economically using traditional technology. The Cambridge Library Collection extends this activity to a wider range of books which are still of importance to researchers and professionals, either for the source material they contain, or as landmarks in the history of their academic discipline.

Drawing from the world-renowned collections in the Cambridge University Library, and guided by the advice of experts in each subject area, Cambridge University Press is using state-of-the-art scanning machines in its own Printing House to capture the content of each book selected for inclusion. The files are processed to give a consistently clear, crisp image, and the books finished to the high quality standard for which the Press is recognised around the world. The latest print-on-demand technology ensures that the books will remain available indefinitely, and that orders for single or multiple copies can quickly be supplied.

The Cambridge Library Collection will bring back to life books of enduring scholarly value (including out-of-copyright works originally issued by other publishers) across a wide range of disciplines in the humanities and social sciences and in science and technology.



# Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb

WILLIAM W. GOODWIN





#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paolo, Delhi, Dubai, Tokyo

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108011761

© in this compilation Cambridge University Press 2009

This edition first published 1867 This digitally printed version 2009

ISBN 978-1-108-01176-1 Paperback

This book reproduces the text of the original edition. The content and language reflect the beliefs, practices and terminology of their time, and have not been updated.

Cambridge University Press wishes to make clear that the book, unless originally published by Cambridge, is not being republished by, in association or collaboration with, or with the endorsement or approval of, the original publisher or its successors in title.



# SYNTAX

OF

# THE MOODS AND TENSES

OF THE

# GREEK VERB.

В¥

WILLIAM W. GOODWIN, PH. D.,
ELIOT PROFESSOR OF GREEK LITERATURE IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

THIRD EDITION, REVISED.

CAMBRIDGE:
SEVER AND FRANCIS,
BOOKSELLERS TO THE UNIVERSITY.
1867.



Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1860, by  $W.\quad W.\quad G\ O\ O\ D\ W\ I\ N\ ,$  in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.



## PREFACE

#### TO THE SECOND EDITION.

In the first edition of the present work, published in 1860, I attempted to give a plain and practical statement of the principles which govern the relations of the Greek Moods and Tenses. Although many of these principles were established beyond dispute, there were others (and these often the most elementary) upon which scholars had long held the most opposite opinions. Upon many of these latter points I presented new views, which seemed to me to explain the phenomena of the language more satisfactorily than any that had been advanced. The favorable opinion of scholars has confirmed my belief, that some such attempt as I have made was demanded by the rising standard of classical scholarship in this country, and has given me reason to hope that my labor has not been entirely a thankless one.

The progress in grammatical science in this century has been made step by step, like that in every other science; and so it must long continue to be. He who imagines that every important principle of Greek and Latin syntax is as well understood and as clearly defined as the rules for addition and multiplication in Arithmetic, has not yet begun to learn. It is no disparagement of even the highest scholars, therefore, to say that they have left much of the most important work to be done by their successors.

The vague notions so often expressed on the Greek Moods, even by scholars of otherwise high attainments, are in strange contrast with the accuracy demanded by scientific scholarship in other departments. If the study of language is to retain its present place (or indeed any prominent place) in the mental



ii PREFACE.

discipline of youth, it must be conducted on strictly scientific principles, and above all with scientific accuracy. On no other ground can we defend the course of elementary grammatical training, which is the basis of all sound classical scholarship. An elementary grammar should be as short as the best scholar can make it, but it should be as accurate as a chapter in Geometry. To those who cannot appreciate the importance of accuracy in scholarship, or even distinguish it from pedantry, to those who cannot see the superiority of the Greek in this respect over Chinese or Choctaw, it is useless to speak; but surely no scholar can fail to see that an accurate knowledge of the uses of the Greek Verb, with its variety of forms, each expressing its peculiar shade of meaning, must be indispensable to one who would understand the marvellous power of the Greek language to express the nicest distinctions of thought.

One great cause of the obscurity which has prevailed on this subject is the tendency of so many scholars to treat Greek syntax metaphysically rather than by the light of common sense. Since Hermann's application of Kant's Categories of Modality to the Greek Moods, this metaphysical tendency has been conspicuous in German grammatical treatises, and has affected many of the grammars used in England and America more than is generally supposed. The result of this is seen not merely in the discovery of hidden meanings which no Greek writer ever dreamed of, but more especially in the invention of nice distinctions between similar or even precisely equivalent expressions. A new era was introduced by Madvig, who has earned the lasting gratitude of scholars by his efforts to restore Greek syntax to the dominion of common sense. Madvig is fully justified in boasting that he was the first to give full and correct statements on such elementary matters as the meaning of the Aorist Optative and Infinitive, and the construction of one and &s in oratio obliqua; although Professor Sophocles distinctly recognized the same principles in his Grammar, published later in the same year with Madvig's (1847). I can hardly express my great indebtedness to Madvig's Syntax der griechischen Sprache, and to his Bemerkungen über einige Puncte der griechischen Wortfügungslehre (in a supplement to the Philologus, Vol. II.). The works of this eminent scholar have aided



PREFACE.

iii

me not only by the material which they have afforded as a basis for the present work, but also by the valuable suggestions with which they abound.

Next to Madvig, I must acknowledge my obligations to Krüger's Griechische Sprachlehre, which has everywhere supplied me with important details and most excellent examples. I have been frequently indebted to the other grammarians, who need not be specially mentioned. Bäumlein's Untersuchungen über die griechischen Modi reached me after the printing of the first edition was begun. I have often been indebted to his valuable collection of examples, and have derived many hints from his special criticisms; I regret that I cannot agree with the general principles to which he refers the uses of each mood, especially as his criticisms of the prevailing German theories on this subject are most satisfactory and instructive. I am indebted to the personal advice and suggestions of my learned colleague, Professor Sophocles, in the preparation of both editions, for information which no books could have supplied.

I must acknowledge the following special obligations. notes on the tenses of the Indicative in Chapter II. are based mainly on Krüger, § 53. The chapters on the Infinitive and Participle are derived chiefly from Madvig's Syntax (Chapters V. and VI.), and partly from Krüger, § 55, § 56. The note on the Future Optative after  $\tilde{o}_{\pi\omega s}$ , &c. (§ 26, Note 1) contains the substance of Madvig's Bemerkungen, pp. 27-29; and the account of the various constructions that follow verbs of hindrance and prevention (§ 95, 2 and 3) is based on the same work, pp. 47 - 66. The statement of the principles of indirect discourse (Chapter IV. Section IV.) was written in nearly its present form before Madvig's Syntax reached me; and I was strongly confirmed in the views there expressed, by finding that they agreed almost exactly with those of Madvig. anticipated by him in my statement of the occasional use of the Present Optative to represent the Imperfect, and in my quotation of Dem. in Onet. I. 869, 12 to illustrate it. I am entirely indebted to him, however, for the statement of the important principle explained in § 74, 2.

It remains to state what new material the present work professes to offer to scholars. The most important and most



iv PREFACE.

radical innovation upon the ordinary system will be found in the classification of conditional sentences (§ 48), with its development in the rules that follow. I have explained the grounds of this classification at some length in the Proceedings of the American Academy, Vol. VI. p. 363, and will therefore merely allude to them here. The great difficulty (or rather the impossibility) of defining the force of the Subjunctive in protasis as distinguished from the Present Indicative, has arisen from neglect of the distinction between particular and general suppositions. When this is recognized, the distinction between the Subjunctive and the Present Indicative is seen to be entirely one of time; whereas all the common distinctions based on possibility, certainty, &c. will apply only to select examples, which of course are easily found to illustrate them. In the first edition, I could not persuade myself to abandon the old doctrines so completely as to exclude the common distinction between the Subjunctive and the Optative in protasis, - that the former implies a "prospect of decision," while the latter does not. Subsequent experience has convinced me that there is no more distinction between έαν τοῦτο ποιή and εί τοῦτο ποιοίη than between the English if he shall do this and if he should do this; and I think every one must see that here there is no distinction but that of greater or less vividness of expression. The simple fact that both could be expressed by the Latin si hoc faciat is a strong support of this view.

The principles of conditional sentences being first settled, I have attempted to carry out the analogy between these and conditional relative sentences more completely. It seems to me that it is only by adopting the classification of conditional sentences which I have given, that the true nature of the analogous relative sentences can be made clear. (See § 60, § 61, § 62.) Upon a right classification of conditional sentences depends also the right understanding of the forms used to express a wish (§ 82, § 83).

The frequent use of the Subjunctive with  $\tilde{\imath}\nu a$ ,  $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$ , &c., after past tenses, instead of the Optative, of which I had never seen a satisfactory explanation, is here explained on the principle of oratio obliqua. (See § 44, 2; § 77, 2.) The construction of the Infinitive with verbs like  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota$ , forming



PREFACE.

V

an apodosis, is explained in the present edition on a new principle, which (it is hoped) will remove many of the difficulties which the old explanation did not reach. (See § 49, 2, Note 3 and Remarks.) In the first edition, the usual distinction between the constructions that follow où  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  was adopted with hesitation, including Elm-ley's punctuation, by which the second person of the Future in prohibitions with où  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is made interrogative. In this edition both constructions are explained more satisfactorily upon the same principle. (See § 89, 1 and 2, with Notes and Remarks.) It is hoped that the new statement of the force of the Perfect Infinitive, in § 18, 3, (a) and (b) of this edition, will meet the difficulties which that tense presents. The statement in the former edition was very defective.

It may seem strange to some that no general definitions of the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative are attempted in the first chapter. I have rather taken warning from the numerous unsuccessful attempts that have been made to include all the uses of these moods in comprehensive definitions, and have preferred merely to illustrate their various uses by simple examples at the outset, leaving the explanations to their proper place in the book. For one, I am not ashamed to admit that I cannot propose a definition comprehensive enough to include all the examples in § 1, § 2, or § 3, which shall still be limited enough to be called a definition.

Besides the special changes already mentioned, the work has been subjected to a thorough revision, so that in many parts the new edition might claim to be an entirely new work.\*\*

The following additions have been made in the second edition: - § 49, 2, N. 6 (b); § 50, 1, Rem. 2; § 52, 2, Rem.; § 53, N. 4; § 64, Rem. 2; § 65, 3, N. 2; § 65, 4; § 69, 5; § 71, Rem. 2; § 89, 2, Rem. 1 and 2; § 92, 2, N. 1; § 95, 3, Rem.; § 108, N. 4 (b); § 112, 1, Rem.; § 112, 2, Rem.; § 113, Rem. after N. 10. The following have been materially changed in subject or in substance in the second edition: - § 25, 1, N. 5 (b); § 41, N. 4; § 43, Rem.; Remarks 1 and 2 after § 49, 2, N. 3; § 82, Rem. 2; § 89, 2, Notes 1 and 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Notwithstanding the changes in the second edition, very few alterations have been made in numbering the paragraphs or notes. The following are the only changes (except a few omissions) which can affect references already made to the first edition: — § 18, 3 is subdivided into (a) and (b); § 18, 3, Rem. takes the place of § 18, 4, Note; § 24, Notes 1 and 2 are rearranged; § 37, Note 2 is omitted, and N. 3 is changed to N. 2; § 45, N. 2 is subdivided into (a) and (b); in § 49, 2, N. 3, the present divisions (c), (d), and (e) were included in (b); § 64, 1 and 2 are rearranged; in § 92, 2, Note 1 is changed to Remark; § 109, N. 9 was included in N. 3.



vi preface.

Especially, the collection of examples has been revised and greatly enlarged, with the object of illustrating every variety of each construction from as wide a range of classic authors as possible. An index to these examples (more than 2,300 in number) is added to this edition. This index includes those which are merely cited, as well as those actually quoted, many of the former being quite as important as the latter. In the new edition, the matter printed in the two larger types has been reduced, and made as concise as was consistent with accuracy, while that printed in the smallest type has been greatly increased. It should be understood that only the firstmentioned portion of the work is intended for use as a grammatical text-book, while the notes and remarks in the smallest type are intended only for reference: with this view, the latter are often extended to a greater length than would otherwise be justifiable.

The Dramatists are cited by Dindorf's lines, except the fragments, which follow the numbers in Nauck's edition; Plato, by the pages of Stephanus; and Demosthenes, by Reiske's pages and lines. In the Index to the Examples, however, the sections of Bekker's German editions of Demosthenes have been added in each case, to facilitate reference. Other citations will be easily understood.

CAMBRIDGE, June, 1865.

In the third edition many typographical errors have been corrected, and several verbal changes have been made. The only changes which materially affect the sense will be found in § 45, Note 6 (a) and foot-note to Note 8; § 49, 2, Note 3 (e); § 52, 1, Remark; § 86, Note 1 (b); and § 109, Note 7 (b). A few lines of § 10, 1 are omitted, and § 10, 1, Remark is added in their place.

February, 1866.



# CONTENTS.

### CHAPTER I.

#### GENERAL VIEW OF THE MOODS.

\$\frac{8}{5} \frac{2}{-4}\$. Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative	§ 1. The five Moods	AGE
USE OF THE TENSES.  \$ 8, 1. The seven Tenses	§§ 2-4. Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative	1, 2 2, 3
\$ 8, 1. The seven Tenses  2. Primary and Secondary Tenses  3 9. Relative and absolute Time  Present and Imperfect.  A. In the Indicative.  \$ 10, 1. Present Indicative	CHAPTER II.	
2. Primary and Secondary Tenses \$ 9. Relative and absolute Time	USE OF THE TENSES.	
A. In the Indicative.  § 10, 1. Present Indicative	2. Primary and Secondary Tenses	8 3 3
\$ 10, 1. Present Indicative	Present and Imperfect.	
2. Historic Present	A. In the Indicative.	
\$ 11. Imperfect	§ 10, 1. Present Indicative	<b>4</b> 6
REM.—Distinction between Present and Aorist		6
\$ 12. Present Subjunctive	B. Present in the Dependent Moods.	
\$ 13, 1. Present Optative, not in indirect discourse  2. Present Optative in indirect discourse:—  (a.) Representing a Present Indicative		
2. Present Optative in indirect discourse:—  (a.) Representing a Present Indicative	§ 12. Present Subjunctive	
(a.) Representing a Present Indicative	§ 13, 1. Present Optative, not in indirect discourse 2. Present Optative in indirect discourse:—	10
(b.) Representing Pres. Subj. (in questions of doubt) 11  § 14. Present Imperative	(a.) Representing a Present Indicative	11
\$ 14. Present Imperative	(b.) Representing Pres. Subj. (in questions of doubt)	11
§ 15. Present Infinitive:—  1. In its ordinary use (indefinite in time)  2. In indirect discourse  3. As Imperfect Infinitive  § 16. Present Participle:—  1. As Present  1. As Present  1. As Present  1. As Present	§ 14. Present Imperative	12
1. In its ordinary use (indefinite in time)	§ 15. Present Infinitive:—	
2. In indirect discourse		12
3. As Imperfect Infinitive	2. In indirect discourse	13
1. As Present	3. As Imperfect Infinitive	15
1. 11b 11cbcm		16



viii

#### Perfect and Pluperfect.

CONTENTS.

		A. In the Indicative.	
8	17.	1 Perfect Indicative	18
٥	,	2. Pluperfect Indicative	18
		N. 2. Compound forms ( $\epsilon i\mu i$ and $\hat{\eta}\nu$ with Perf. Part.)	18
		B. Perfect in the Dependent Moods.	
8	18.	Relations of the Perfect to the Present	9
٥		1. Perfect Subjunctive and Optative 2	20
			21
		2. Perfect Imperative	23
		4. Perfect Participle	23
		Aorist.	
		A. In the Indicative.	
8	19.	Aorist Indicative	24
v			24
		B. Aorist in the Dependent Moods.	
ε	20.	Aorist Subjunctive	26
υ		N. 1. Aorist Subjunctive as Future Perfect 26, 2	
S	21,		8
·		2. Aorist Optative in indirect discourse:—	
		(a.) Representing an Aorist Indicative 2	29
			29
ş	22.	Aorist Imperative	30
ş	23.	Aorist Infinitive: —	
			30
			32
e.	0.4		32
3	Z4.		34
			34 35
		11. 2. 1716π περιείουν, επείουν, &c	Ü
		Future.	
ş	25,	1. Future Indicative	36
		N. 5. Future with force of Imperative	7
			37
			8
\$	26.		8
o	0.7	N. I. After $\sigma\pi\omega_s$ and $\mu\eta$	9
3	zí.	Tubule Infilitive	1
		N. 1. Distinction between Future and Present or Aorist	1
		N. 2. Future used for Present or Aorist	
8	28	N. 3. Future after verbs of hoping, promising, &c 4	3
Š	29.		3
·			3



CONTENTS.	ix
N. 3. Expressed by Perfect Participle and coopal N. 6. In the dependent moods	44 44
Gnomic and Iterative Tenses.	
<ul> <li>N. 5. Gnomic Aorist in Infinitive and Participle</li> <li>N. 6. Gnomic Perfect in Infinitive</li> <li>Iterative Imperfect and Aorist with ""</li> </ul>	45 6, 47 47 47 7, 48
Dependence of Moods and Tenses.	
§ 31, 1-2. General Rules	48
§ 33. In the Subjunctive and Imperative § 34. In the Optative	9, 50 50 1-53 3, 54
CHAPTER III.	
THE PARTICLE "AN.	
1. Present	54 • 55 • 56 • 56 • 57 • 57 • 57 • 61 • 59 • 60 • 1 – 64
CHAPTER IV.	
USE OF THE MOODS.	
SECTION I.	
Final and Object Clauses after "Iva, ' $\Omega$ s, " $O\pi\omega$ s, " $O\phi\rho a$ , and M $\dot{\eta}$ .	
	5-67 67



X CONTENTS.	
A. Pure Final Clauses.	
<ul> <li>§ 44, 1. Sabjunctive and Optative after ἴνα, ὁς, ὅπως, μή, &amp;c. N. 1. Future Indicative after ὅπως, &amp;c</li> <li>N. 2 an l 3. "Aν in Final Clauses</li></ul>	- 70 70
B. Clauses with "Oπωs and "Oπωs μή after Verbs of Striving,	&с.
§ 45. Future Indicative, &c. after ὅπως	73 77 79
C. Clauses with M'n after Verbs of Fearing, &c.	
§ 46. Subjunctive and Optative after $\mu\dot{\eta}$ N. 1. Future Indicative after $\mu\dot{\eta}$	83
SECTION II.	
CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.	
<ul> <li>§ 47, 1. Protasis and Apodosis explained</li> <li>2. "Αν οτ κέ in Protasis and Apodosis</li> <li>3 (and Note). Negative particles</li> <li>§ 48. Classification of Conditional Sentences</li> <li>88-</li> </ul>	
I. Particular Suppositions.	
A. Present and Past Conditions.	
§ 49, 1. Simple Indicative in Protasis and Apodosis	93 93 96 100
B. Future Conditions.	
<ul> <li>§ 50, 1. Subjunctive with ἐάν in Protasis.</li> <li>N. 1. Future Indicative in Protasis.</li> <li>N. 2. Homeric peculiarities.</li> <li>N. 3. Εἰ for ἐάν with Subjunctive in Attic (rare)</li> <li>2. Optative in both Protasis and Apodosis</li> <li>N. 1. Omission of ἄν in Apodosis</li> <li>N. 2. "Αν with Optative in Protasis</li> </ul>	102 103 104 105 106 106
II. General Suppositions.	
§ 51. Subjunctive and Optative in Protasis 107, 1 N. 3. Indicative after $\epsilon i \tau \iota s$	108 109



CONTENTS.	XI	l
Ellipsis and Substitution in Protasis or Apo	odosis.	
<ul> <li>\$ 52, 1. Protasis implied in another clause, or expressed Participle or other word</li> <li>N. 1 and 2. Ellipsis of Verb of the Protasis</li> <li>2. Protasis suppressed</li> <li>N. Optative with äv like Imperat. or Fut. Ind.</li> <li>\$ 53. Apodosis expressed in Infinitive or Participle Notes.—Ellipsis of the Apodosis</li> </ul>	110	
Mixed Constructions. — Irregularities		
<ul> <li>§ 54, 1-3. Protasis and Apodosis differing in form.</li> <li>§ 55, 1. Two or more Protases with the same Apodosis 2. Apodosis in a dependent construction.</li> <li>§ 56. El after verbs expressing wonder, indignation, &amp;c.</li> <li>§ 57. Aé in Apodosis</li></ul>	116-119 119 120 121	)))))
SECTION III.		
RELATIVE AND TEMPORAL SENTENCES.		
§ 58, 1. Relative and Temporal Words	121 121, 125 125	1 2 2
A. Relative with a Definite Antecedent.		
§ 59. Indicative after Relative with Definite Anteceden N. 1. Other constructions	t . 122	2 3
B. Relative with an Indefinite Antecedent.		
§ 60, 1, 2. Conditional Relative explained		
ticular suppositions  1. Simple Indicative (like § 49, 1)  2. Secondary tenses of the Indicative (like § 49, 2)  3. Subjunctive (like § 50, 1)  4. Optative (like § 50, 2)  Conditional Polytics clauses with general suppositions	125 - 129	9
1. Simple Indicative (like § 49, 1)	126	o 6
2. Secondary tenses of the indicative (like § 40, 2)	12	7
4. Optative (like § 50, 2)	. 128	8
§ 62. Conditional Relative clauses with general suppositi	ons:	_
Subjunctive and Optative (like § 51)	123	7 1
N. 1. Indicative in these sentences (after outis)	13	2
8 63 1 Relative without an with the Subjunctive .	. 13	3
<ul> <li>§ 62. Conditional Relative clauses with general supposts Subjunctive and Optative (like § 51)</li> <li>N. 1. Indicative in these sentences (after δστιs)</li> <li>N. 3. Subjunctive in Homeric similes</li> <li>§ 63, 1. Relative without ἄν, with the Subjunctive</li> <li>2, 3, 4. Peculiarities in Cond. Relative clauses</li> <li>5. Parenthetical Relative clauses (ὅ τι ποτ' ἐστίν)</li> </ul>	. 133 – 13	5 5
Assimilation in Conditional Relative Cla		
§ 64, 1. Assimilation after Subjunctive or Optative 2. Assimilation after secondary tenses of Indicative	e . 13	5
Relative Clauses expressing a Purpose or		
8 65 1. Future Indicative after Relatives	13	7



XII	CONTENTS.	
	<ul> <li>N. 2. Subjunctive and Optative in Homer</li> <li>N. 5. Relative clauses expressing a result</li> <li>2. Future Indicative after ἐφ' φ̂, ἐφ' φ̂τε</li> <li>3. Indicative after ἄστε</li> <li>4. Causal Relative Sentences</li> </ul>	138 140 140 140 141
T	emporal Particles signifying Until and Befor that.	е
§ 66,	<ul> <li>A. "Έως, "Εστε, "Αχρις, Μέχρις, Εἰσόκε, "Οφρα, Until.</li> <li>1. "Εως, &amp;c. with past tenses of Indicative</li> <li>2. "Εως ἄν, &amp;c. with Subj. "Εως, &amp;c. with Optative 142,</li> <li>3. "Εως, &amp;c. with Subj. and Opt. after general statements</li> </ul>	143
§ 67.	<ul> <li>B. Πρίν, Until, Before that.</li> <li>Finite Moods and Infinitive after πρίν</li> <li>1. Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative after πρίν</li> <li>2. Infinitive after πρίν (see § 106)</li> <li>N. 3. Πρὶν ἤ, πρότερον ἤ, πρόσθεν ἤ</li> </ul>	144 145 145 146
	SECTION IV.	
	Indirect Discourse.	
§ 68,	, 1. Direct and Indirect Quotations distinguished 2. Manner of introducing Indirect Quotations	147 147 147
	General Principles.	
§ 69,	<ol> <li>Secondary tenses of the Indicative</li> <li>Infinitive and Participle</li> <li>"Av in Indirect Discourse</li> </ol>	148 148 148 149 149
	Indirect Quotation of Simple Sentences.	
§ 70.	. Indicative and Optative after ὅτι or ὡς, and in Indirect Questions:—	
	<ol> <li>Indicative after primary tenses</li> <li>Optative or Indicative after secondary tenses</li> <li>Rem. 1 and 2. Both Moods in the same quotation. 151,</li> <li>N. 1. (a.) Imperfect and Pluperfect retained</li> <li>(b.) Present Optative as Imperfect</li> </ol>	$\frac{152}{153}$
§ 71. § 72. § 73.	<ul> <li>N. 3. Independent Optative (often with γάρ)</li> <li>Interrogative Subjunctive in Indirect Questions</li> <li>154,</li> <li>Indicative or Optative with ἄν in Indirect Discourse</li> <li>1. Infinitive, with or without ἄν, in Indirect Discourse</li> <li>2. Participle, with or without ἄν, in Indirect Discourse</li> </ul>	154 155 156 157 159



		CONTENTS.	xiii
80.60	75. 76.	<ol> <li>Quotation of dependent clauses with Imperfect, Pluperfect, or Aorist Indicative</li> <li>Dependent clauses with secondary tenses of Indicative</li> <li>Dependent clauses with Optative</li> <li>Single Dependent Clauses in Indirect Quotation.</li> <li>(a.) Clauses depending on an Infinitive after verbs of commanding, &amp;c. — (b.) Causal sentences stating a cause assigned by others. — (c.) Clauses after \(\epsilon\) or \(\epsilon\) and temporal clauses expressing a past intention. — (e.) Relative sentences containing the thought of another</li> </ol>	
			169
§	78,		170 170
§	79.	"Ou before Direct Quotations	171
		SECTION V.	
		CAUSAL SENTENCES.	
8000	80. 81,	<ol> <li>Indicative in Causal sentences.</li> <li>Optative after secondary tenses, to express a cause assigned by others</li> </ol>	171 171 172 173
		SECTION VI.	
		Expression of a Wish.	
es es	82. 83,	Optative in Wishes	176
		SECTION VII.	
	In	PERATIVE AND SUBJUNCTIVE IN COMMANDS, EXHOR- TATIONS, AND PROHIBITIONS.	
80000	84. 85. 86.	First person of Subjunctive in exhortations	178 179 180



xiv

#### CONTENTS.

#### SECTION VIII.

SECTION VIII.
Subjunctive (like Fut. Ind.) in Independent Sentences. — Interrogative Subjunctive. — 0 $\mathring{v}$ $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\eta}$ with Subjunctive and Future Indicative.
<ul> <li>§ 87. Independent Subjunctive in Homer</li></ul>
<ol> <li>With Subj. (rarely Fut. Ind.), as emphatic Future</li> <li>With Future Indicative in prohibitions</li> <li>185</li> </ol>
CHAPTER V.
THE INFINITIVE.
§ 90. Infinitive as a Verbal Noun
§ 91. Infinitive as Subject
2. In indirect discourse
§ 93, 1 and 2. Infin. after Adjectives and Adverbs 193-195
§ 94. Infinitive (with Article) after Prepositions
<ol> <li>Two constructions after verbs of hindrance, &amp;c.</li> <li>N. 1. Negative particles. Double Negative, μη οὐ</li> <li>200</li> </ol>
3. Infinitive with $\tau \delta \mu \eta$ , after expressions implying prevention, omission, or denial
<ul> <li>§ 96. Infinitive and its adjuncts preceded by τό</li> <li>§ 97. Infinitive expressing a purpose</li> <li>202</li> <li>203</li> </ul>
N. 4. Infin. after Comparative and $\ddot{\eta}$ , than 204
<ul> <li>§ 98, 1. Infinitive after ὅστε (result)</li> <li>2. Infin. after ὅστε (condition or purpose)</li> <li>205</li> </ul>
N. 1. ' $\Omega$ s for $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , with Infinitive
§ 100. Absolute Infinitive (generally with ωs or ὄσον)
N. 1. 'Ολίγου (δεῖν), almost, little short of
\$ 102. Infinitive in wishes (like the Optative)
\$ 104. Infinitive expressing surprise or indignation
§ 105. Infinitive in narration

Νοτες. — Πρὶν ἤ, πρότερον ἤ, πρόσθεν ἤ, πάρος. Rem. — Tenses of the Infinitive

211, 212



CONTENTS.	XV
CHAPTER VI.	
THE PARTICIPLE.	
§ 107. Three uses of the Participle	213
3 108, 1. Participle as Adjective	213
	213
§ 109. Partic. defining the circumstances of an action:	
1-4. Time, means, manner, cause, &c	216
5-7. Purpose, condition, opposition, &c	217
8. Attendant circumstances	218
Notes 1-5. Adverbs, &c. with Part. of § 109 . 218-	
N. 7. (a.) Partic in Rel or Interrog sentences .	221
	222
§ 110, 1. Genitive Absolute	222
2. Accusative Absolute	224
§ 111. Gen. or Accus. Absol. and ordinary Partic. combined	225
§ 112. Participle with verbs (like Infinitive):—	000
1. With werbs signifying to begin, to cease, &c	$\frac{226}{227}$
<ol> <li>With διατελέω, λανθάνω, τυγχάνω, φθάνω, &amp;c</li> <li>\$ 113. Partic. in indirect discourse (after verbs signifying to see,</li> </ol>	
to perceive, to know, &c.)	229
N. 1. Participle with δηλός (φανερός) είμι	230
N. 6. Participle with σύνοιδα and συγγιγνώσκω	230
	232
11. 10. 425 With these I armorphes 202	
CHAPTER VII.	
CHAFIER VII.	
VERBAL ADJECTIVES IN -τέος.	
§ 114. Two constructions of the Verbal in -réos: -	
1. Personal construction	233
	234
2. Importonial Collection accounts	
	005
Appendix I	235
Appendix II	240
Index to Examples	243
English Index	257
	261
Greek Index	201