

Scientific Method in Brief

The general principles of the scientific method, which are applicable across all of the sciences, are essential for perspective, productivity, and innovation. These principles include deductive and inductive logic, probability, parsimony, and hypothesis testing, as well as science's presuppositions, limitations, ethics, and bold claims of rationality and truth. The implicit contrast is with specialized techniques confined to a given discipline, such as DNA sequencing in biology. Neither general principles nor specialized techniques can substitute for one another, but rather the winning combination for scientists is mastery of both.

The purposes of this book are to enhance perspective on science by drawing insights from the humanities and to increase productivity by fostering a deep understanding of the general principles of scientific method. The examples and case studies span the physical, biological, and social sciences; include applications in agriculture, engineering, and medicine; and also explore science's interrelationships with disciplines in the humanities such as philosophy and law.

This book engages a great diversity of viewpoints on science, both historical and contemporary, and responds by affirming science's rationality. Informed by position papers on science from the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the National Academy of Sciences, and National Science Foundation, this book aligns with a distinctively mainstream vision of science. It is an ideal resource for anyone undertaking a systematic study of scientific method for the first time, from undergraduates to professionals in both the sciences and the humanities.

Hugh G. Gauch, Jr., is a Senior Research Specialist in the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Cornell University, New York. He teaches Cornell's course on scientific method, and for the last four decades his research has focused on the statistical analysis of ecological and agricultural data. He is author of *Scientific Method in Practice* (Cambridge, 2002), which is the basis for this more concise and student-focused text.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-66672-6 — Scientific Method in Brief
Hugh G. Gauch, Jr
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

Scientific Method in Brief

Hugh G. Gauch, Jr.

Cornell University, New York



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press
 978-1-107-66672-6 — Scientific Method in Brief
 Hugh G. Gauch, Jr
 Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom
 One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA
 477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
 314-321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi - 110025, India
 103 Penang Road, #05-06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107666726

© Hugh G. Gauch, Jr. 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

3rd printing 2014

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Gauch, Jr., Hugh G., 1942–

Scientific method in brief / Hugh G. Gauch, Jr.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-1-107-66672-6 (pbk.)

1. Science – Methodology. I. Title.

Q175.G3368 2012

001.4'2 – dc23 2012016520

ISBN 978-1-107-66672-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-66672-6 — Scientific Method in Brief
Hugh G. Gauch, Jr
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

**This book is dedicated to my esteemed Cornell colleagues,
Gary Warren Fick, Charles Christopher Fick, and
Justin David McGeary.**

Contents

<i>Foreword by James R. Miller</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>xiii</i>
1 Introduction	1
The gateway into science	2
A controversial idea	5
The AAAS position on method	6
Science as a liberal art	7
Benefits and challenges	10
Personal experience	12
Seven streams	15
Historical and future outlook	17
Summary	18
Study questions	19
2 Four bold claims	21
Rationality	21
Truth	23
Objectivity	26
Realism	28
Science and common sense	29
Summary	32
Study questions	33
3 A brief history of truth	34
The most elemental question	34
Aristotle	36
Augustine	38
Medieval scholars	39

viii Contents

	Modern scholars	42
	Water	49
	Summary	51
	Study questions	51
4	Science's contested rationality	53
	Science's auditors	54
	Elusive truth	56
	Theory-laden data	57
	Incommensurable paradigms	58
	Empty consensus	60
	Reactions from scientists	61
	The AAAS posture	66
	Clear targets	69
	Summary	71
	Study questions	72
5	Science's presuppositions	73
	Historical perspective on presuppositions	74
	The PEL model of full disclosure	78
	Implementation of presuppositions	84
	Science's worldview forum	89
	Justification of knowledge claims	91
	Review of functions	93
	Summary	94
	Study questions	95
6	Science's powers and limits	96
	Rather obvious limitations	96
	The sciences and worldviews	97
	Empirical method in the humanities	100
	Individual experience and worldviews	105
	Logical roles and diagnoses	107
	Review of boundaries	108
	Personal rewards from science	108
	Summary	110
	Study questions	110
7	Deductive logic	112
	Deduction and induction	113
	Historical perspective on deduction	115
	Propositional logic	118
	Predicate logic	121

	Contents	ix
Arithmetic	121	
Common fallacies	123	
Summary	129	
Study questions	130	
8 Probability	131	
Probability concepts	132	
Four requirements	134	
Probability axioms	136	
Bayes's theorem	138	
Probability distributions	142	
Permutations and combinations	143	
Probability fallacies	144	
Summary	147	
Study questions	148	
9 Inductive logic and statistics	150	
Historical perspective on induction	150	
Bayesian inference	154	
Frequentist inference	160	
Bayesian decision	164	
Induction lost and regained	168	
Summary	171	
Study questions	172	
10 Parsimony and efficiency	174	
Historical perspective on parsimony	175	
Preview of basic principles	178	
Curve fitting	183	
Crop yields	187	
Crime rates	189	
Explanation of accuracy gain	191	
Philosophical reflection	193	
Summary	196	
Study questions	197	
11 Case studies	199	
Philosophy	199	
Electronic engineering	200	
Biochemistry and pharmacology	202	
Medicine	207	
Sociology	209	
Economics	212	

x Contents

Law	213
Discussion	217
Study questions	218
12 Ethics and responsibilities	220
Philosophical ethics	220
Professional ethics	224
Discussion	232
Study questions	233
13 Science education	234
Typical NOS concepts	234
Better comprehension	238
Greater adaptability	240
Greater interest	242
More realism	244
Better researchers	247
Better teachers	249
Academic NOS concepts	250
Summary	254
Study questions	256
14 Conclusions	257
Motion and rationality	257
Summary of scientific method	267
Exit questions	270
<i>References</i>	272
<i>Index</i>	285

Foreword

Approximately halfway through her Ph.D. program in the biological sciences, my first doctoral student requested that we meet to review progress toward the degree. Knowing that both the courses and research of this student were progressing nicely, I entered the appointment confident of a glowing report both for graduate student and major professor. However, the conversation took an unexpected twist as we finished discussing the items on my agenda.

When and how, the student asked, do we get to the more philosophical part of this Doctorate of Philosophy degree in science? Was it true that this program that I and the guidance committee had designed would not include even one course in science philosophy or no structured examination of the logical underpinnings of science? The final question had an unintended sting for me as major professor – something to the effect of “Will I graduate feeling worthy of more than a technical degree?”

Stunned and befuddled, I sent the student on her way with lame excuses: there simply wasn’t sufficient time in modern science training for students to become renaissance scholars and well-published researchers capable of competing successfully for grant dollars. Moreover, to venture into science philosophy required an unhealthy tolerance for time wasted in silly, perfectionistic arguments over whether or not the sun will rise tomorrow. The better path to becoming a successful scientist, I argued, was to function as an apprentice to successful researchers and get on generating data from real-world experiments. After all, I concluded, the quality of your Ph.D. program will be at least equal to my own. Had not my Ph.D. landed me a great postdoctoral experience at Cornell University and an enviable tenure-stream Assistant Professorship at Michigan State University?

To this day, my former student does not realize how that conversation awakened my conscience to the awesome responsibility that educators shoulder in passing the scientific torch across intellectual generations. Although it came too late for my first Ph.D. student, that conversation whetted my sustained appetite and commitment for seeking suitable teaching materials to put some Ph. back

xii Foreword

into the Ph.D. degree in science. For three decades now, I have taught a graduate seminar course entitled “The Nature and Practice of Science.” This course seeks to leaven the minds of graduate students from across our university with sufficient science philosophy, logic, and best practices for high-impact careers as scientists and educators. Finding no suitable text for such a course, we rely on diverse readings, including John Platt, Karl Popper, Thomas Kuhn, Ronald Giere, and others. Unfortunately, these scholars use differing terminologies that can be confusing, and large gaps are left that cause students and instructors to struggle mightily when attempting to build a unified whole. Due to the limited time graduate students are typically given to pursue this type of interest, our coverage is merely introductory. We lacked an appropriate resource to which interested students could be pointed for further self-study.

It was with relief and enthusiasm that I served as a reviewer for *Scientific Method in Practice* by Hugh G. Gauch, Jr., the predecessor to *Scientific Method in Brief*. Here at last was a comprehensive and up-to-date treatise on the fundamentals of science philosophy and method between the covers of one book and written from the pragmatic perspective of a credible science practitioner with whom researchers could identify. Here would have been the book I should have handed to my first Ph.D. student at the time of her request.

Scientific Method in Brief is more precisely targeted to the needs of both developing scientists and those who have long been doing research but remain open to lifetime learning and improvement. Here is the distilled yet complete version of Gauch’s lifetime search for a coherent and practical version of science philosophy for practitioners. Moreover, the current book will be a boon to science educators because it brings into focus the core issues of how and with what degree of confidence we can know scientific truths. Here is a boat to escape from that tiny and intellectually impoverished island of the “classical scientific method” that has held sway for far too long.

Scientific Method in Brief is an eminently readable, understandable, and well-grounded philosophical book in its own right. This book belongs on the shelf of scholars across the intellectual spectrum. Gauch’s books represent contributions on a par with the great philosophers of science, many of whom left the field in as much disarray as they found it. As a science practitioner and educator, *Scientific Method in Brief* restores my confidence and commitment in keeping Ph. in front of the D. in Ph.D. Finally, this knowledge is now accessible to anyone who can read and reason.

Dr. James R. Miller
Distinguished Professor
Former Director of the Division of Science and
Mathematics Education
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan