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PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

It is both pleasing and encouraging to be publishing a second edition of this book in response to the feedback (and faith) of the publishers, teachers and students who have explored our first edition. This new edition includes a new chapter on confidentiality, substantially revised chapters, and new case studies, all based on our own research and our own ‘four approaches’ process for legal ethical decision-making which we introduced in the first edition.

At the time of writing, the national legal profession project is stalled in a political quagmire and may or may not lead to further great changes in the regulatory and ethical environment for lawyering in Australia. We await the resolution (or whimpering end) to this experiment with interest and some trepidation.

Meantime we remain convinced that the best hope for ethical behaviour among legal professionals is for law students to learn early about the appropriate and essential connection between their humanity and their engagement in passionate and reasoned lawyering. Ethics is the bridge between the two, not just another technical legal skill to be learned at law school. Continual ethical engagement on both a personal and professional level by individual lawyers, law firms and the profession as a whole is crucial to the wellbeing of law students and lawyers, the organisations in which they study and work, our justice systems, and democratic and public policy decision-making more generally. We hope this new edition again contributes in some small way to equipping and provoking your moral engagement with the Rule of Law.

Christine Parker and Adrian Evans
Melbourne, May 2013
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