

Table of contents

<i>List of diagrams</i>	<i>page xv</i>
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xxxiv
<i>Preface</i>	xli
1 What is vicarious liability?	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Vicarious liability: an historical overview	6
1.3 The legal basis for vicarious liability	13
1.3.1 Distinguishing primary from vicarious liability	16
1.4 Conclusion	18
2 Establishing a general framework for liability	21
2.1 Introduction	21
2.2 Identifying a general framework for liability	21
2.2.1 Key terminology and codal provisions in English, French and German law	22
2.2.2 The requirement of a wrongful act	27
2.2.3 The right to an indemnity	30
2.2.4 A common law problem: vicarious liability for exemplary damages?	39
2.3 Liability for the acts of others in other areas of law	43
2.3.1 Contract law	44
2.3.2 Company law	46
2.3.3 Public law	50
2.3.4 The relevance of French criminal law	53
2.4 Conclusion	54

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-62748-2 - Vicarious Liability in Tort: A Comparative Perspective

Paula Giliker

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

xii	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
3	The employer/employee relationship: identifying the contract of employment	55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	The control test	57
3.3	Doubts as to the control test	60
3.3.1	Control and doctors: who is liable for the negligent surgeon?	61
3.3.2	Response	65
3.4	Alternative approaches to the control test	66
3.4.1	A more flexible interpretation of the control test	66
3.4.2	The organisation and composite tests	69
3.5	Application of the 'totality of the relationship' test: owner-drivers and bicycle couriers	73
3.5.1	The owner-driver	73
3.5.2	The bicycle courier	74
3.5.3	Distinguishing factors	75
3.6	Conclusion	77
4	Special difficulties: borrowed employees and temporary workers	81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Lending employees: the 'borrowed servant' problem	83
4.2.1	Dual liability?	89
4.3	Temporary workers: vicarious liability for casual or agency staff?	93
4.3.1	Finding liability	95
4.3.2	A less technical approach?	97
4.4	Conclusion	98
5	Other relationships giving rise to liability	101
5.1	Introduction	101
5.2	Relationships giving rise to vicarious liability beyond the contract of employment: statute	103
5.3	Relationships giving rise to vicarious liability beyond the contract of employment: case law	106
5.3.1	Civil law systems	106
5.3.2	Common law 'relationships': using agency and non-delegable duties to extend strict liability for the torts of others	108

TABLE OF CONTENTS xiii

5.4	A new model to meet contemporary needs: representative agents or liability arising out of the ability to direct, control and manage the activities of another?	127
5.4.1	The 'representative agent'	127
5.4.2	The power to organise, direct and control the activities of another: the development of <i>Blicek</i> and Article 1384(1) of the French Civil Code	133
5.5	An appraisal: a new model to meet contemporary needs?	140
5.6	Conclusion	143
6	Acting in the course of one's employment/functions/assigned tasks: determining the scope of vicarious liability	145
6.1	Introduction	145
6.2	Limiting the scope of liability: acting in the course of employment/one's functions/assigned tasks	147
6.3	Determining the test for 'course of employment', 'les fonctions auxquelles ils les ont employés', 'in Ausführung der Verrichtung'	150
6.3.1	The significance of policy	150
6.3.2	Prohibited conduct	153
6.3.3	The common law test: 'course of employment'	157
6.3.4	Deliberate wrongdoing and the search for a new 'course of employment' test in the common law world	160
6.3.5	A different test for fraud?	175
6.3.6	The civil law test: the French example of 'les fonctions auxquelles ils les ont employés'	181
6.4	Appraisal: what does 'close connection' or 'dans les fonctions' mean? Can a workable definition be found?	188
7	Parental liability for the torts of their children: a new form of vicarious liability?	196
7.1	Introduction	196
7.2	Parental responsibility at common law	197
7.2.1	Parental liability to their child	198
7.2.2	Parental liability to third parties injured by their child	200
7.3	Finding a framework for parental responsibility in tort law	203

xiv	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
	7.3.1 Model One: fault-based liability – English and German law	206
	7.3.2 Model Two: vicarious or strict liability – English, Spanish and French law	210
	7.3.3 Strict liability – French law after 1997	213
7.4	Which model should a legal system utilise?	217
7.5	Conclusion: a common law doctrine of strict parental liability?	223
8	Understanding vicarious liability: reconciling policy and principle	227
8.1	Introduction	227
8.2	Theoretical justifications for vicarious liability in common and civil law	228
	8.2.1 Fault: <i>culpa in eligendo/in vigilando</i> and the theory of identification	231
	8.2.2 Victim compensation and loss distribution	234
	8.2.3 Risk and deterrence	237
8.3	Balancing policy objectives: the modern approach to justifying vicarious liability	243
	8.3.1 Prioritising risk: the fair allocation of the consequences of the risk and deterrence	245
	8.3.2 A proportionate response: what is ‘fair and just’?	247
	8.3.3 Conclusions	251
8.4	General conclusion	252
9	A postscript: a harmonised European law of vicarious liability?	255
9.1	Two frameworks for liability: Article 6:102, PETL (liability for auxiliaries) and Book VI, Article 3:201, DCFR (accountability for damage caused by employees and representatives)	258
	9.1.1 The relationship giving rise to liability: for whom is the employer liable?	259
	9.1.2 ‘Within the scope of their functions’ and ‘course of employment or engagement’: to what extent is an employer liable?	262
9.2	Conclusion: practicality and principle	263
	<i>Appendix: Key provisions of the French and German Civil Codes</i>	267
	<i>Index</i>	273