

Index

- accident prevention, 193, 242, 243, 248, 250
 Ackner, Lord, 190
 administrative law, 50–3
 agency, 131, 132, 176
 and motor vehicles, 110–16
 conceptual difficulties of, 114, 141, 253
 common law, in, 12, 13, 47, 102, 144
 definition, 109
 scope of the agent's authority, 110
 Atiyah, P.S., 4, 42, 98, 159, 228
 compensation, 253
 control test, 59
 dual liability of employers, 81, 89–90, 93
 inclusion of all workers in vicarious liability, 140
 independent contractors, 125
 insurance, 237
 risk, 194
 Australia, 71, 123
 agency, 102, 129–31
 course of employment test, 172–4, 191
 Hollis v Vabu, 74–5, 76, 249
 control, 75, 127–9, 143
 policy concerns, 249, 251
 Insurance Contracts Act 1984, 33
 Ipp Report, 117
 legislation for vicarious liability, 105
 motor vehicle legislation, 115
 New South Wales v Lepore; *Samin v Queensland*; *Rich v Queensland*, 161, 249, 251, 262
 parental responsibility, 200–1
 policy factors, 249, 251
 prohibited conduct, 155
 right to an indemnity, 34
 authority
 ostensible, 176, 178, 179, 181
 parental, 220
 Baty, Thomas, 228, 230
Bazley v Curry, 2, 19, 76, 92, 161, 174, 194, 249
 McLachlin J, 162–6, 189, 191, 194, 238, 241–2, 246, 247
 Blackburn, Lord, 116–17
 Blackstone, Sir William, 57
Blieck case, 20, 30, 133–40, 186, 223
 borrowed servant problem, 56, 83–93, 142
 Brüggemeier, G., 10, 103

 Callinan J, 115, 173, 174
 Canada, 42, 72, 92–3, 132, 260
 671122 *Ontario Ltd v Sagaz Industries Canada Inc.*, 69, 71, 72, 79
 Bazley v Curry, 2, 19, 76, 92, 161, 162–6, 174, 189, 193–4, 241–2, 246, 247
 McLachlin J, 162–6, 189, 191, 194, 238, 241–2, 246
 control test, 162
 course of employment test, 155, 191
 enterprise risk, 169
 extension of liability, 247
 Jacobi v Griffiths, 161, 163
 legislation for vicarious liability, 105
 motor vehicle legislation, 115
 right to an indemnity, 35
 risk, 194, 251
 Cane, P., 89, 112, 179, 237
 Chabas, François, 233
 children, capacities of, 212
 civil law systems, 2, 229; *see also* French Civil Code, German Civil Code and common law systems, 5
 control, 86
 employer/employee relationship, 65, 78
 extension of vicarious liability outside the employment context, 106–8, 263
 independent contractors, 125

- civil law systems (cont.)
 intermediate category of employee, 131
 principles of fault, 2
 professionals, 156
 terminology used in, 26
- Clyde, Lord, 189
- common employment, doctrine of, 119
- common law systems
 administrative law, 50–1
 agency, 108–16, 144
 civil law systems and, 5
 close connection test, 262
 company law and, 47
 concurrent liability, 44
 connection between the tort and the parties' relationship, 145
 contract of employment, 56
 course of employment test, 157–81, 193
 close connection test, 189
 deliberate wrongdoing, 160–2
 development of vicarious liability in, 12–13
 employer/employee relationship, 65, 79, 143
 equality before the law, 44
 exemplary damages, 17, 22, 39–43
 extension of vicarious liability outside the employment context, 26, 108–26, 116–26
 functional limit on liability, 148
 legislation for vicarious liability, 103–5
 master's responsibility for actions of servants, 232
 motor vehicle legislation, 141
 parental liability, 196, 223–6
 parental responsibility in, 197–203, 254
 duty of care owed to children, 199
 fault-based liability, 206–10
 framework for in tort law, 203–17
 models of, 205–13, 223
 preventing children from causing injury to another, 198, 200–3
 proof of fault, 202
 protection of children, 197, 198–200
 standards expected, 201, 206
 standards of care, 226
 vicarious or strict liability, 210–13
 professionals and, 62–3
 responsibility in, 1
 rigidity of, 122
 strict liability, 6, 223–6
 system of precedent, 166
 tort law and social change, 226
- company law, 46–50
- compensation, 209, 230, 234–7, 246, 251, 252, 253
 and the course of employment test, 146, 153
- contract law, 43–54, 44–6, 97
 and doctors, 63
 liability in, 5, 67
- contract of employment, 23, 55–79, 82, 101, 128, 142
 and temporary workers, 96
 contract for services, 23, 58, 71
 definition, 79
- control, 55, 87, 99, 128, 140, 244, 252
- Cooke J, 70–1
- corporations, 7, 47, 252
- course of employment test, 150–88, 251, 253
 appraisal of the situation, 188–95
 authority of the employee, 178, 179
 case law, 192
 close connection test, 162–3, 165–6, 167, 169, 172–4, 178, 180–1, 188–95, 262–3
 common law system, in the, 160–2
 connection between the risk and the wrong that results, 162–6
 composite test, 70–3, 75, 131, 133, 193
 strong connection test, 164
 European law in, 258
 factors in, 191, 195
 Salmond test: *see* separate entry
 significance of policy in, 150–3
- courts, discretion given to, 2, 73, 76, 106, 107, 195
- craftsmen liability, 24
- criminal law, 53–4, 160, 202
- culpa in eligendo/in vigilando*, 231–4
- damages, exemplary, 17, 22, 39–43
- de Greuille, Bertrand, 25, 59, 229
- Denning Lord, 14, 69, 113, 118, 155
Cassidy v Ministry of Health, 61, 62, 69, 118, 122
Denham v Midland Employers' Mutual Assurance Ltd, 98
- dependent contractors, 127, 143, 144, 253
- deterrence, 42, 43, 76, 163, 172, 241–3, 245–7
Hollis v Vabu, 129, 251
- doctors, 13; *see also* professionals
 contract law and, 63
 control and negligence, 61–5
- Draft Common Frame of Reference (Europe), 255, 256, 257
 Book VI, Article 3:201, 258–63
 course of employment test, 262
 terminology used in, 260, 264
- employees, 140; *see also* independent contractors, temporary workers
 accountability of, 39, 243
 intermediate category of, 131

- employer/employee relationship, 7, 23, 31, 55–79, 120, 230, 253
 agency relationships, 56, 82, 94, 143, 253
 borrowed servant problem, 83–93, 142
 dual liability, 89–93
 triangular relationship, 83
 changes in, 60, 65, 76, 77, 93, 142
 composite test, 70–3, 75, 131, 133
 considering the totality of the relationship, 70–3, 78, 97
 application of the test, 73–7
 bicycle couriers, 74–5, 79
 distinguishing factors, 75–7
 owner-drivers, 73–4, 79
 contracting-out of core tasks, 99, 126, 143
 control, 55, 87, 99, 128, 244, 252
 control test, 57–9, 75, 78, 244
 alternative approaches to, 66–73, 69–73, 78
 composite test, 70–3, 75, 131, 133
 doubts about, 60–5
 interpretation of, 66–8
 limitations of, 74
 response to doubts about, 65
 dual liability of employers, 98
 employer as bearer of risk, 37
 employer as guarantor, 37
 entrepreneur test, 70–3
 flexible interpretation of, 128, 131, 141, 143, 144
 independent contractors, 23, 58, 62, 72, 126, 140
 organisation (integration) test, 69–70, 69–73, 141
 the parent/child relationship and, 196, 211
 partners in a firm, 56, 104
 power to organise, direct and control the activities of another, 133–40
 professionals and, 60, 61–5, 78, 85, 118
 reasonable care towards employees, 119
 right to an indemnity, 38, 241, 247
 shared control, 91
 special cases, 81
 temporary workers 56, 81, 93–8, 143, 253
 alternative approaches to, 97–8
 casual workers, 95
 finding liability, 95–6
 triangular relationship, 94
 triangular relationship, 150, 234
 vertical integration of, 82, 93
 England and Wales
 motor vehicle legislation, 115–16
 parental liability, fault-based, 206–10
 parental responsibility in, 210–13, 218–19, 224
 parenting orders, 203, 204, 219
 risk, 251
 English Law Commission, 40
 enterprise risk, 163, 164, 165, 169, 238, 247, 249
 Eörsi, G., 21
 Europe, 5
 differences in legal systems, 265
 Draft Common Frame of Reference, 255, 256, 257
 Book VI, Article 3:201, 258–63
 course of employment test, 262
 terminology used in, 260, 264
 European Group on Tort Law, 260
 harmonised law of vicarious liability, 255–65
 control test, 261
 course of employment test, 258, 262–3
 fault-based principles, 258
 independent contractors, 261
 interpretation of liability, 258
 relationship giving rise to liability, 259–62
 scope of an employer's liability, 262–3
 terminological questions, 259
 parental liability, 196
 presumption of fault, 254
 Principles of European Tort Law
 Article 6:102, 258–63
 terminology used in, 264
 Research Group on EC Private Law, 256
 Study Group on a European Civil Code, 256
 European Centre of Tort and Insurance Law, 208–9
 European Commission, 255, 256
 European Group on Tort Law, 260
 European Parliament, 255
 exemplary damages, 17, 22, 39–43
 Fabre-Magnan, M., 139
 fairness, 243, 252; *see also* justice
 Fedke, J., 209
 Feliu, J.S., 211
 Flannigan, R., 126, 250
 Fleming, J.G., 122, 150, 238, 244
 France
 administrative courts, 134
 Assemblée plénière decisions, 181–8, 216–17
 Bertrand case, 215–16, 219, 221, 223
 Blieck case, 20, 30, 133–40, 186, 223
 case law on *dans les fonctions auxquelles ils les ont employés* test, 181–8, 188–9
 Code of Criminal Procedure, 53
 command and control, 232
 company law, 44, 47, 48–9
 contract law, 44, 63, 64
 Costedoat case, 36–8, 241, 246, 247
 course of employment test, 181–8

France (cont.)

- criminal law, 53–4, 156
- division between civil and criminal chambers, 182, 183
- dual liability of employers, 91
- faute de service*, 36, 52
- faute personnelle*, 36, 38
- faute séparable ou détachable*, 49
- Fullenworth decision, 214, 240
- insurance, 33, 35, 103, 220, 250
- loi Badinter*, 183
- parental liability, 135
 - objective standard of care for, 212
 - strict liability, 213–17
 - vicarious or strict liability, 210–13
- public sector employees, 24, 36, 44, 52
- right to an indemnity, 34, 35–8
- right to give instructions, 58–9, 63, 102
- risk, 246, 251
- temporary workers, 97
- fraud, test for, 175–81
- French Civil Code, 2, 8–9, 23, 103, 267–9
 - § 1384 (5), 25–6, 27–8, 52, 103, 107, 145, 213, 232, 238
 - extension of, 138–40, 247
 - foundations of, 147
 - functions of the *commettant* and *préposé*, 35, 180
 - interpretation of, 45, 53–4, 108, 138–40
 - limitations of, 139, 141, 180
 - parental authority, 216
- abus de fonctions*, 181, 182, 185–8, 246
- administrative courts, 44, 52–3
- Assemblée plénière* decisions, 184
- borrowed servant problem, and the, 86
- breadth of vicarious liability in, 3
- categories of liability, 9
- commettant* and *préposé*, 25, 57, 139
- connection between the risk and the wrong that results, 262
- contrat de travail*, 107
- contrat d'entreprise*, 107
- control test, subordination and authority, 261
- doctrine of *apparence*, 180
- employer as guarantor, 236
- extension of vicarious liability outside the employment context, 106, 139
- fairness and social justice, 234
- fault, 8, 9, 264
- force majeure*, 215, 216, 221
- les fonctions auxquelles ils les ont employés*, 150–8, 181–8, 188–95, 262
- connection between the employer and the employee's duties, 186
- limiting the scope of liability, 183–4

liability

- for the acts of others, conditions for, 185
 - of physicians, 67
 - no-fault liability, 186
 - presumed liability, 23–4
 - strict liability, 6, 23, 25
 - parental liability, 24, 29, 135, 219–20, 222–3, 240
 - and domicile of the children, 217
 - faute de surveillance or d'éducation*, 213, 214
 - limitations of the system, 223–4
 - presumption of liability, 214
 - strict liability, 218
 - policy considerations, 152
 - reform of, 29–30, 37–8, 78, 138–40, 217, 241, 246
 - requirement for a wrongful act, 29
 - right to give instructions, 66
 - risk, 194, 239, 251
 - serious misconduct, 36
 - standard of care, 264
 - subordination and authority, 68, 137
- Galand-Carval, S., 196, 221
- Gaudu, F., 88, 91
- German Civil Code, 2, 269–72
 - §§ 276–278, 63
 - § 278, 45
 - § 823(1), 11, 12, 28, 46, 49, 232, 264
 - § 831, 9–10, 11, 26, 103, 148, 231, 232
 - fault, 28–9
 - reform of, 46
 - § 832, 206–7
 - § 839 (liability for officials), 51–2
 - § 1626(1), 207
 - § 1631(1), 207
 - in Ausführung der Verrichtung*, 150–88
 - borrowed servant problem, 88
 - contract law and company law, 43, 45, 47
 - contract of employment, 57
 - control test, right to give instructions, 261
 - course of employment test, substantive connection, 153
 - doctrine of decentralised exoneration, 11
 - fault-based principles, 4, 5, 19, 146, 259
 - Geschäftsherr* and *Verrichtungsgehilfe*, 26, 64–5, 261
- parental liability
 - emphasis on the facts of the case, 208
 - fault-based, 206–10
 - reverse burden of proof, 206–7
 - policy considerations, 152–3
 - presumption of fault, 29, 103, 231

- public sector employees, 51–2
- reform of, 11, 46, 232
- requirement for a wrongful act, 29
- right to give instructions, 66–7
- tort law, 45
- Germany
 - company law, 47, 49–50
 - contract law, 43, 45
 - criminal courts, 156
 - employer/employee relationship, tests for, 97
 - fault-based principles, 103
 - Imperial Law of Liability, 232
 - presumption of fault, 244
 - right to give instructions, 59, 63
- Gummow J, 114–15
- Haldane LC, Viscount, 47–8
- Hallet LJ, 85
- Hesselink, M.W., 263
- Heuston, R.F.V., 157
- Hobhouse, Lord, 166, 175–81
- Hollis v Vabu*, 74–8, 143, 249–56
 - control, 75, 127–9, 143
 - policy concerns, 249–51
- Holt CJ, 12–13
- hospitals, liability of, 62, 118–21, 236
- Ibbetson, D.J., 12, 229
- identification, theory of, 228, 231–4
- indemnity, right to, 30–9
 - limiting the application of, 32
- independent contractors, 58, 62, 74, 79, 81, 93
 - case law on, 107
 - in European law, 261
 - justifications for vicarious liability, 125
 - non-independent, 127, 143, 144, 253
- industrial revolution, 7, 55
- insurance, 20, 188, 235, 237, 239, 242, 252
 - employer insurance, 236
 - in England, 152
 - in France, 33, 221, 250
 - motor insurance, 112, 115, 141
- Ipp JA, 174
- Ipp Report, 117
- Irish Law Reform Commission, 224
- Johnston, David, 147
- Jourdain, P., 219, 238, 247
 - Bertrand* case, 219
 - control test, 68, 88
 - risk, 107, 137, 238, 247
- justice, 8, 153, 163, 166–72, 247–50, 252
 - corrective, 1, 16–17, 31, 233, 239
 - distributive, 16–17, 212, 239
 - parental liability and, 212, 220
 - responsibility and, 39, 136, 230
 - right of indemnity, and the, 31, 38
 - risk and, 247
 - social, 234, 252
- Kahn-Freund, O., 60
- Keith, Lord, 177–8
- La Forest J, 35
- Larouche, P., 67
- Laski, Harold, 238
- Latin maxims, 14, 19, 228, 232, 233
- Lawson, F.H., 7
- Legeais, R., 67
- Lever, J., 66
- liability, 21–54, 138
 - authority, 58
 - company law in, 43–54
 - concurrent, 44
 - conditions for, 58
 - contract law in, 43–54, 67
 - control test for, 57–9
 - craftsman liability, 24
 - delictual liability, 57, 223
 - direction, 58
 - dual liability, 81, 89–93, 98
 - fault-based principles, 22, 59, 198, 230, 244
 - joint and several, 49, 89–93
 - primary, 16–18, 41, 43, 132, 253
 - public law in, 50–3
 - rationale for imposition of, 16
 - strict liability, 22, 230
- Lister v Hesley Hall Ltd*, 3, 160–2, 169, 189–90, 193, 242, 263
- Lord Hobhouse, 175, 233, 250
- Lord Millett, 158, 159–60, 166–72, 248
- Lord Steyn, 132, 166–72, 191
- scope of vicarious liability, 19–20
- loss distribution, 107, 113, 172, 193, 234–7
 - and risk, 36, 220, 239, 252
- Lunney, M., 89, 112, 132, 179, 191
- Luntz, H., 91
- MacGuigan JA, 60
- MacKenna J, 73–4
- Magnus, U., 209
- Markesinis, B.S., 11, 45, 208
- Martin-Casals, M., 211
- Mason J, 120
- master's responsibility for actions
 - of servants, 12, 22, 109
- master's tort theory, 13–15, 232
- Mazeaud, H.L., 233
- McCarthy, L., 132

- McHugh J
Hollis v Vabu, 76, 128, 131–2, 133, 143
Northern Sandblasting Pty Ltd v Harris, 140
McKee v Dumas, 84
 McKendrick, E., 120, 126, 141
 McLachlin J., 162–6, 189, 191, 194, 245, 252
Bazley v Curry, 238, 241–2
Jacobi v Griffiths, 163
 Millett, Lord, 158
 Morton, Lord, 15
 motor vehicle legislation, 115–16, 252
 Mouly, J., 141
- negligence, 9, 179–80, 216, 232, 254, 264
New South Wales v Lepore, 2, 19, 120, 124, 161, 172–4, 249, 250, 251, 262
 New Zealand, 102, 129–31
 Accident Compensation Scheme, 252
 Newark, F.H., 14
 Neyers, J.W., 92
 Nicholls, Lord, 2
Dubai Aluminium Co Ltd v Salaam, 3, 167, 171–2, 194, 248
 non-delegable duties, 13, 17, 102, 116–26, 141, 144, 253
 and hospitals, 62, 118–21
 categories of, 118
 collateral negligence, 122–6
 limitations on, 122–6
 other duties, 121–2
 policy considerations, 121
 schools of, 124, 173
- Oliphant, K., 191, 219, 224
 organisational fault, 46
- parent/child relationship
 and the employer/employee relationship, 196, 211
 standard of care, 217
 parental autonomy, 205, 218, 219, 222, 225
 parental liability, 24, 135, 196–225, 240, 254
 and schools, 204
 and tort law, 218
 for the torts of the child or the acts of the child, 210
 in common law systems, 206–10, 223–6
 objective standard of care for, 208
 parent–child–victim triangular relationship, 225
 parental responsibility
 at common law, 197–203, 208, 210–13, 218–19, 224
 liability to parties injured by the child, 200–3
 liability to the child, 198
 in tort law
 choice of model, 217–23
 fault-based, 206–10
 framework for, 203–17
 models of, 205–13
 vicarious or strict liability, 210–13
 parenting orders, 203, 204, 219
 Phegan J., 94–5
 Phillips MR, Lord, 119
 police officers, liability for actions of, 42, 103, 170–1
 Pollock, F., 12, 229
 Pothier, R.J., 147, 231
 primary liability and vicarious liability, 16–18, 41, 43, 132, 175, 193
 Principles of European Tort Law, 255
 Article 6:102, 258–63
 terminology used in, 264
 professionals, 78, 118, 252
 autonomy and discretion of, 61, 78, 85
 public bodies, claims against, 53
 public law, 50–3
 public safety, 121
 public sector employees, 24, 36, 44, 52
 punishment, 40; *see also* justice
qui facit per alium facit per se, 14, 228, 232
- Rebut, D., 237
 reform on vicarious liability law, 4, 224
 in England and Wales, 32, 33
 in France, 29–30, 37–8, 78, 138–40, 217, 241, 246
 in Germany, 11, 46, 232
 Reid, Lord, 14, 198
 representative agents, 127–33, 140
res ipsa loquitur, 234
 Research Group on EC Private Law, 256
respondet superior, 228, 233
 responsibility, 1, 15, 243, 249
 and tort law, 1
 apportionment of, 92, 131
 individual, 136, 224, 230
 of parents, 204
 Ribot, J., 211
 risk, 29, 34–6, 121, 237–41, 242–3, 245–7, 249–50
 and justice, 239, 243, 247
 and uncertainty, 243
 enterprise risk, 9, 37, 163, 164, 165, 169, 238, 247, 249
 social risk, 223
 Roman law, 6–7, 147, 149
 paterfamilias' liability, 7, 147
- Sachs LJ, 123
 Salmond test, 157, 159, 160, 161, 162, 169, 181

- in Australia, 172, 174
 - risk-based reasoning, 36, 39, 173, 188, 238, 250
- Scott, Lord, 40
- servant's tort theory, 13, 15
- sexual abuse, 124, 161, 164, 173, 242
- Slynn, Lord, 51
- Smith, Y.B., 235
- Spain, 210–13, 244
- Stevens, G.M., 77
- Stevens, Robert, 233, 247
- Steyn, Lord, 168, 194
 - Lister v Hesley Hall Ltd*, 166–72, 191
- strict liability, 22, 230
- Study Group on a European Civil Code, 256
- temporary workers, 56, 81, 93–8, 143, 253
 - alternative approaches to, 97–8
 - casual workers, 95
 - finding liability, 95–6
 - triangular relationship, 94
- Terré, F., 185
- tort law
 - and changing social and economic needs, 254
 - and responsibility, 1
 - Principles of European Tort Law, 255
 - role of in society, 239
 - vagueness of, 264
- Trindade, F., 89, 112, 132, 179
- Unberath, H., 11, 45
- uncertainty about the law, 18, 20, 79, 171, 243, 247
 - and risk, 243
 - and the close connection test, 171, 263
 - in common law, 4, 13, 89
- United States
 - doctrine of the 'family car', 113
 - economic reality test, 70–3, 74
 - employer/employee relationship, economic reality test, 74
 - parental liability, 225
 - Restatement of Agency*, 58, 70, 71, 98, 108, 157, 192
 - right to an indemnity, 35
- van Gerven, W., 66, 68
- vicarious liability, 22, 26, 101, 230, 247–51
 - agency and motor vehicles, 110–16
 - civil law systems in, 229
 - common factors in, 22
 - common law in, 12–13
 - course of employment test, 12, 23, 150–88
 - common law systems in, 157–81
 - deliberate wrongdoing, 160–2
 - economic reasoning, 166
 - fraud and, 175–81
 - significance of policy in, 150–3
 - tests for, 162–81
 - courts' considerations of, 2
 - criteria for, 227
 - definition, 1–9
 - exemplary damages and, 17
 - extension of, 2, 3, 26, 101, 140–3, 146, 240
 - case law, 106–26
 - in civil law systems, 106–8
 - in common law systems, 108–26
 - rational for, 229
 - representative agents, 127–40
 - statute by, 103–6
 - harmonised law of, 255–65
 - consensus on, 263
 - policy considerations, 264
 - practicality and principle, 263–5
 - social, political, economic and cultural factors, 264
 - historical overview, 6–13
 - Latin maxims and, 14, 19, 228, 232, 233
 - legal basis for, 13–18
 - master's tort theory, 13–15, 232
 - parental liability, 218
 - policy and principle, 227
 - policy considerations, 81, 93, 97, 102, 129, 150–3, 264
 - balancing, 243–52, 253, 265
 - case law examples, 151–3
 - deterrence, 76, 172
 - fairness and justice, 247–50
 - loss distribution, 172
 - primary policy factors, 248
 - prohibited conduct, 153
 - public safety and risk, 121
 - risk: allocation of consequences, 245–7
 - secondary policy factors, 248
 - primary liability and, 16–18, 41, 43, 132, 193, 233–4, 253
 - rationale for imposition of, 36, 98, 107, 126, 194
 - changing perceptions of, 252
 - deterrence, 43
 - relationship giving rise to, 26, 101–44
 - case law, 106–26
 - statute, 103–6
 - requirement for a wrongful act, 27–30
 - right to an indemnity, 30–9
 - role in post-industrialised States, 5, 247
 - Salmond test, 157–81
 - in common law systems, 159
 - weakness of, 161
 - wrongful act authorised by the employer, 158

- vicarious liability (cont.)
 - wrongful and unauthorised mode of doing an authorised act, 158–62
- scope of, 1, 15, 20, 145–95, 251
 - limiting the scope, 147–9
 - role of policy in, 162
- servant's tort theory, 13
- specific legislation for, 101, 103–6
- terminology, 22–7
- theoretical coherence of, 13, 19, 113
- theoretical justifications, 228
 - deterrence, 241–3
 - fault, 231–4
 - risk, 237–41
 - victim compensation and loss distribution, 234–7
- triangular relationship, 150, 192
- uncertainty about: *see separate entry*
- victims, 177
 - and parental liability, 202
 - protection of, 99, 107, 193, 205, 242
 - in French law, 37, 38, 180, 182, 219, 221
 - in German law, 45
 - reliance by, 177, 178, 179–80, 181
- Vines, P., 124, 174
- Viney, G., 45, 107, 186, 219
 - relationship of subordination and authority, 68, 88, 137
 - risk, 219, 238, 247
- Voer, Johannes, 147
- Wagner, Gerhard, 11, 46, 207
- Waller, P.L., 224
- Weekes, R., 169
- Weinrib, Ernest, 233
- Whittaker, S.J., 265
- Williams, Glanville, 13, 16, 32, 126, 140, 229, 234
- Wright, Lord, 72
- Zimmermann, R., 11, 147
- Zweigert and Kötz, 10