TOWARDS A RULES-BASED COMMUNITY:
AN ASEAN LEGAL SERVICE

In 2007, ASEAN adopted the ASEAN Charter, which stated its ambition to become a ‘rules-based’ community respecting the rule of law. In order to fulfil this objective, it is vital that the necessary legal infrastructure has effective legal support. This book helps readers to understand the need for and role of such a legal service. To begin with, it explores the way ASEAN and its various institutions have evolved. The current situation with respect to the making of rules and settlement of disputes is then analysed, drawing not only on published primary and secondary materials, but also on the experience of diplomats, officials and legal officers. Finally, the authors draw on their practical experiences, as former attorney-general of an ASEAN member state and former head of the European Council legal service, to make recommendations on how an ASEAN Legal Service might be organised.

Jean-Claude Piris is President of Piris Consulting (European and Public International Law), a retired French Conseiller d’Etat, a former diplomat to the UN and former Director of Legal Affairs at the OECD, and was Director General of the Legal Service of the Council of the European Union for over 20 years. He has written several books with Cambridge University Press, most recently The Future of Europe: Towards a Two-Speed EU? (2012).

Walter Woon is currently David Marshall Professor of Law at the National University of Singapore, the Dean of the Singapore Institute of Legal Education and President of the Goethe Institute Singapore.
He was formerly Attorney-General of Singapore, ambassador to the European Communities and several European countries, a member of the High Level Task Force to draft the ASEAN Charter and a nominated Member of Parliament. Professor Woon specialises in company law, criminal law and international law.
INTEGRATION THROUGH LAW

The Role of Law and the Rule of Law in ASEAN Integration

General Editors

J. H. H. Weiler, European University Institute
Tan Hsien-Li, National University of Singapore
Michael Ewing-Chow, National University of Singapore

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), comprising the ten member states of Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam, has undertaken intensified integration into the ASEAN Community through the Rule of Law and Institutions in its 2007 Charter. This innovative book series evaluates the community-building processes of ASEAN to date and offers a conceptual and policy toolkit for broader Asian thinking and planning of different legal and institutional models of economic and political regional integration in the region. Participating scholars have been divided up into six separate thematic strands. The books combine a mix of Asian and Western scholars.

Centre for International Law, National University of Singapore (CIL-NUS)

The Centre for International Law (CIL) was established in 2009 at the National University of Singapore’s Bukit Timah Campus in response to the growing need for international law expertise and capacity building in the Asia-Pacific region. CIL is a university-wide research centre that focuses on multidisciplinary research and works with other NUS or external centres of research and academic excellence. In particular, CIL collaborates very closely with the NUS Faculty of Law.
INTEGRATION THROUGH LAW
The Role of Law and the Rule of Law in ASEAN Integration
General Editors: J. H. H. Weiler, Tan Hsien-Li and Michael Ewing-Chow

TOWARDS A RULES-BASED COMMUNITY: AN ASEAN LEGAL SERVICE

JEAN-CLAUDE PIRIS
AND
WALTER WOON
CONTENTS

List of boxes page ix
Note on the authors x
General editors' preface xvii
Preface xxiii
List of abbreviations xxix

1. ASEAN as an organisation 1
   1.1 Chronology of the development of ASEAN 1
   1.2 ASEAN from its founding until the adoption of the ASEAN
       Charter 6
       1.2.1 A short history of ASEAN 6
       1.2.2 The institutional framework 16
   1.3 The ASEAN Charter and beyond 40
       1.3.1 The road to the Charter 40
       1.3.2 The ASEAN Charter and after 48

2. Towards an ASEAN community 65
   2.1 The current reality 66
       2.1.1 The making of rules 66
       2.1.2 The settlement of disputes 71
       2.1.3 Dispute settlement in practice 93
   2.2 Building the ASEAN Community 108
       2.2.1 The Community Blueprints 108
       2.2.2 Bali Concord III and the ASEAN Human Rights
           Declaration 111

3. The ASEAN Legal Service 113
   3.1 The European Union 113
CONTENTS

3.1.1 The EU legal order 113
3.1.2 The Legal Services of the Commission and of the Council of the EU 122
3.2 Other international organisations 132
  3.2.1 Functions of a legal service in an international organisation 133
  3.2.2 Lessons drawn from the experiences of other international organisations 140
3.3 Functions of an ASEAN Legal Service 145
  3.3.1 The necessity for a separate Legal Service 147
  3.3.2 Functions of the Legal Service 151
3.4 Structure of an ASEAN Legal Service 167
  3.4.1 The choice of a single Legal Service 168
  3.4.2 Essential characteristics of the Legal Service 170
  3.4.3 Structure of the Legal Service 172
  3.4.4 Mission Statement and Terms of Reference 180
    Mission Statement 180
    Terms of Reference 180

Executive summary 183
Annex: major ASEAN agreements and declarations 191
Index 194
BOXES

Box 3.1 The infringement procedure 116

Box 3.2 The preliminary ruling procedure 119
NOTE ON THE AUTHORS

Jean-Claude Piris

President of Piris Consulting SPRL, a consulting firm on international issues, especially in European and public international law. Member of the Board of Trustees of the Academy of European Law, Trier, of the Advisory Board of the Centre for European Legal Studies, University of Cambridge, of the Scientific Board of the Robert Schuman Foundation, Paris and of the Governing Board of the European Institute for Public Administration, Maastricht. Member of the Audit Committee of the EU’s Council.

(ENA), postgraduate in Public Law (Paris), graduate in Political Science (Bordeaux).

Books

2013: *Il Trattato di Lisbona*; foreword by Giorgio Napolitano, President of the Italian Republic.
2007: *Il Processo di riforma dell’UE – Il trattato costituzionale nella prospettiva del trattato di riforma*; foreword by Giuliano Amato, Minister of Home Affairs, former Prime Minister of Italy.
2006: *El tratado Constitucional para Europa: un análisis jurídico*; foreword by Alberto Navarro, Secretary of State of Spain for the EU.

Articles

Note on the Authors

‘Is It Time for the Euro Area to Develop Further Closer Cooperation among its Members?’ (J. Monnet Papers, New York University, December 2011).


Lectures


Berlin, Humboldt University, 2012: ‘The Euro Crisis, Democratic Legitimacy and the Future Two Speed Europe’.

Oxford University, 2012: ‘The Future of Europe: Towards a Two Speed EU?’

National University of Singapore, Centre for International Law, 2012: ‘The Euro Crisis, Democratic Legitimacy and a Future Two Speed Europe’.

Ditchley, 2011: ‘Who Holds the Power in Europe?’

xii
NOTE ON THE AUTHORS

Singapore, 2011: ‘The EU Foreign Policy after the Lisbon Treaty’. 

Conferences

At universities: Barcelona, Berlin (Humboldt), Brussels, Helsinki, Istanbul (Yeditepe), London, Cambridge, Oxford, Milan, Reykjavik, ENA (Strasbourg), Sciences-Po Paris, Collège d’Europe (Bruges), European University Institute (Florence), Fordham (New York), Columbia (New York), Harvard (Massachusetts), New York University, Victoria (British Columbia, Canada), National University of Singapore, University of International Business and Economics of Beijing.

To political authorities and senior officials: in Frankfurt (European Central Bank), Athens, Barcelona, Brussels, Bucharest, Copenhagen, Ditchley, Dublin, Helsinki, Linz, Madrid, Paris, Prague, Reykjavik, Rome, Vienna, Vilnius, Warsaw, etc. Three written submissions to the United Kingdom’s House of Lords and House of Commons, at their request.

Decorations

Católica (Spain, 1997), Ridder af 1 grad Dannebrogorden (Denmark, 1995).

Walter Woon

Professor Walter Woon read law at the National University of Singapore on a DBS Scholarship from 1977 to 1981. He graduated with an LLB (First Class Hons) in 1981 at the top of the class, winning the Adrian Clark Memorial Gold Medal. He was also awarded the Law Society of Singapore Book Prize (three times, in 1978, 1979 and 1980), the Leow Chia Heng Prize (1981) and the External Examiners’ Special Cash Prize (1981). The same year he also topped the Postgraduate Practice Law Course (PLC), winning the Aw Boon Haw & Aw Boon Par Memorial Prize and the Tan Ah Tah Book Prize. He attended St John’s College, Cambridge on a Commonwealth Scholarship from 1982 to 1983, graduating with an LLM (First Class Hons), and was awarded the Wright Prize and Whytehead Scholarship.

Professor Woon was called to the Singapore Bar in 1984 and was appointed Senior Counsel (equivalent of Queen’s Counsel) in 2007. He has appeared in several cases before the Court of Appeal and in 1995 represented the Presidency in the only case to have come before the Constitutional Tribunal.

He was Sub-Dean and Vice-Dean of the Law Faculty of the National University of Singapore from 1988 to 1991. From 1992 to 1996 he was a Nominated Member of Parliament, during which time he introduced the Maintenance of Parents Act as a private member’s bill, the only one to have been passed into law. He was Legal Adviser to the President and the Council of Presidential Advisers from 1995 to 1997.
NOTE ON THE AUTHORS

Between 1989 and 2001 he was a director of two companies listed on the Stock Exchange of Singapore, Intraco Ltd and Natsteel Ltd.

Between 1998 and 2006 Professor Woon was Singapore’s Ambassador to Germany, Greece, the EU, Belgium, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the Vatican. He was awarded the Grand Cross of the Order of St Gregory the Great in 2006.

Professor Woon was appointed second Solicitor-General in 2006, subsequently becoming Solicitor-General and then serving as Attorney-General of Singapore from 2008 to 2010. He also served as Judge Advocate General (2007–10), Member of the Board of Directors of the Monetary Authority of Singapore (2008–10) and Member of the Presidential Council for Minority Rights (2008–10).

In 2007 Professor Woon was an alternate member of the High Level Task Force to draft the ASEAN Charter. He functioned as delegation leader of the Singapore delegation in the latter half of the process and presented the completed Charter to the ASEAN leaders at the Thirteenth ASEAN Summit in Singapore (November 2007).

Professor Woon is currently David Marshall Professor at the Faculty of Law, National University of Singapore. He is concurrently Dean of the Singapore Institute of Legal Education, which oversees the Bar Examination and Foreign Practitioners Examination for persons seeking to practise law in Singapore. Professor Woon is also the Deputy Chairman of the Centre for International Law (CIL) National University of Singapore; the President of the Society for International Law Singapore (SILS); an Executive Committee
NOTE ON THE AUTHORS

Member of the Asian Society of International Law (Asian SIL); President of the Goethe Institute Society of Singapore; and an Honorary Fellow of the Singapore Institute of Directors (SID).

This monograph is published within the context of a wide-ranging research project entitled, Integration Through Law: The Role of Law and the Rule of Law in ASEAN Integration (ITL), undertaken by the Centre for International Law at the National University of Singapore and directed by J. H. H. Weiler, Michael Ewing-Chow and Tan Hsien-Li.

The Preamble to the ASEAN Charter concludes with a single decision: “We, the Peoples of the Member States of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations ... [h]ereby decide to establish, through this Charter, the legal and institutional framework for ASEAN.” For the first time in its history of over four decades, the Legal and the Institutional were brought to the forefront of ASEAN discourse.

The gravitas of the medium, a Charter: the substantive ambition of its content, the creation of three interlocking Communities, and the turn to law and institutions as instruments for realization provide ample justification for this wide-ranging project, to which this monograph is one contribution, examining ASEAN in a comparative context.

That same substantive and, indeed, political ambition means that any single study, illuminating as it may be, will cover but a fraction of the phenomena. Our modus operandi in this project was to create teams of researchers from Asia and elsewhere who would contribute individual monographs
within an overall framework which we had designed. The project framework, involving several thematic clusters within each monograph, is thus determined by the framework and the place of each monograph within it.

As regards the specific content, however, the authors were free, indeed encouraged, to define their own understanding of the problem and their own methodology and reach their own conclusions. The thematic structure of the entire project may be found at the end of this Preface.

The project as a whole, and each monograph within it, display several methodological sensibilities. First, law, in our view, can only be understood and evaluated when situated in its political and economic context. Thus, the first studies in the overall project design are intended to provide the political, economic, cultural and historical context against which one must understand ASEAN and are written by specialists in these respective disciplines. This context, to a greater or lesser degree, also informs the sensibility of each monograph. There are no “black letter law” studies to be found in this project and, indeed, even in the most technical of areas we encouraged our authors to make their writing accessible to readers of diverse disciplines.

Comparative experience suggests that the success of achieving some of the more ambitious objectives outlined in Article 1 of the Charter will depend in no small measure on the effectiveness of legal principles, legal rules and legal institutions. This is particularly true as regards the success of establishing “an ASEAN Community comprising the ASEAN Security Community, the ASEAN Economic Community and the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community as provided for in the
Bali Declaration of ASEAN Concord II”. Article 2(2)(n) stipulates the commitment of ASEAN Member States to act in accordance with the principle of “adherence to multilateral trade rules and ASEAN’s rules-based regimes for effective implementation of economic commitments and progressive reduction towards elimination of all barriers to regional economic integration.” The ASEAN Member States therefore envisage that rules of law and the Rule of Law will become a major feature in the future of ASEAN.

Although, as seen, the Charter understands itself as providing an institutional and legal framework for ASEAN, the question of the “role of law and the rule of law” is not advocacy but a genuine enquiry in the various substantive areas of the project as to:

- the substantive legal principles and substantive rules of the various ASEAN communities;
- the procedural legal principles and rules governing institutional structures and decision-making processes;
- implementation, enforcement and dispute settlement.

One should not expect a mechanical application of this scheme in each study; rather, a sensibility that refuses to content itself with legal enactments as such and looks to a “living” notion of law and institutions is ubiquitous in all the studies. Likewise, the project is sensitive to “non Law.” It variously attempts to locate the appropriate province of the law in this experience. That is, not only the role of law, but also the areas that are and should remain outside the reach of legal institutionalization with due sensitivity to ASEAN and Asian particularism and political and cultural identities.
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The project, and the monographs of which it is made, are not normatively thick. They do not advocate. They are designed, for the most part, to offer reflection, discuss the pros and cons, and in this way enrich public awareness, deepen understanding of different options and in that respect contribute indirectly to policymaking.

This decisive development of ASEAN has been accompanied by a growing Asian interest in various legal and institutional forms of transnational economic and political cooperation, notably the various voices discussing and showing an interest in an East Asia Integration project. The number of Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) and Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs) has increased from six in 1991 to 166 in 2013, with a further 62 in various stages of negotiations.

Methodologically, the project and many of the monographs are comparative in their orientation. Comparative law is one of the few real-life laboratories that we have in which to assess and understand the operation of different legal and institutional models designed to tackle similar objectives and problems. One should not need to put one’s own hand in the fire to learn that it scorches. With that in mind a couple of monographs offer both conceptual reflection and pragmatic “tool boxing” on some of the key elements featuring in all regional integration systems.

Comparative law is in part about divergence: it is a potent tool and means to understand one’s own uniqueness. One understands better the uniqueness of Apples by comparing them to Oranges. You understand better the specialness of a Toyota by comparing it to a Ford.

xx
Comparative law is also about convergence: it is a potent tool and means to understand how what are seemingly different phenomena are part of a broader trend, an insight which may enhance both self-understanding and policy potentialities.

Although many studies in the project could have almost immediate policy implications, as would the project as a whole, this is not its only or even principal purpose. There is a rich theory of federalism which covers many countries around the world. There is an equally rich theory of European integration, which has been associated with the advent Union. There is also considerable learning on Free Trade Areas and the like.

To date, the study of the legal aspects of ASEAN specifically and other forms of Asian legal integration has been derivative of, and dependent on, theoretical and conceptual insight which were developed in different contexts.

One principal objective of ITL and these monographs will be to put in place the building blocks for an authentic body of ASEAN and Asian integration theory developed in, and with sensitivity to, the particularities and peculiarities of the region and continent. A theory and conceptual framework of Asian legal integration will signal the coming of age of research of and in the region itself.

Although the monographs form part of an overarching project, we asked our authors to write each as a “standalone” – not assuming that their readers would have consulted any of the other titles. Indeed, the project is rich and few will read all monographs. We encourage readers to pick and choose from the various monographs and design their own menu.
GENERAL EDITORS’ PREFACE

There is, on occasion, some overlap in providing, for example, background information on ASEAN in different studies. That is not only inevitable but desirable in a project of this amplitude.

The world is increasingly witnessing a phenomenon of interlocking regional organization where the experience of one feeds on the others. In some way, the intellectual, disciplinary and comparative sensibility of this project is a micro-cosm of the world it describes.

The range of topics covered in this series comprises:

The General Architecture and Aspirations of ASEAN
The Governance and Management of ASEAN: Instruments, Institutions, Monitoring, Compliance and Dispute Resolution
Legal Regimes in ASEAN
The ASEAN Economic Community
ASEAN and the World
The Substantive Law of ASEAN
ASEAN is an organisation propelled by a mixture of idealism and hot air. From its inception as a confidence-building association in 1967 to the ambitious adoption in the 2011 Bali Concord III of an ASEAN common platform on global issues, there have been many idealistic statements and declarations. The great failing of ASEAN, repeatedly identified by successive Secretaries-General and the Eminent Persons’ Group on the ASEAN Charter, has been the failure to follow up on the grand designs with concrete measures.

Idealism was the primary driver of the ASEAN Charter, which came into force in December 2008. Hitherto, ASEAN had functioned without a formal legal structure. There was in fact no treaty governing the structure and administration of the organisation. Business was done on an ad hoc basis. It was felt that after four decades some formal legal structure had to be given to the organisation if it was to be fit to meet the challenges of the twenty-first century.

The level of ambition is high. The declared goal is to create by 2015 an ASEAN Community comprising three pillars: the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC), the ASEAN Political-Security Community (APSC) and the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC). None of this will work unless there is an infrastructure of law. Indeed, in the ASEAN Charter and subsequent declarations by the
leaders of the ASEAN member states, the rule of law is repeatedly emphasised, as is the desire to make ASEAN a rules-based organisation. Good governance and human rights are also underlined. All of this requires a firm legal basis, which ASEAN has hitherto lacked.

There is a dearth of material on the legal history of ASEAN and the ASEAN Charter. In order to understand the necessity for a proper legal service, it is essential to appreciate how ASEAN came into being and what its present shape is. This monograph is not meant to be a theoretical exposition of what a perfect ASEAN should be, much less is it a comparative study of other regional and international organisations. In writing this monograph, the authors have drawn on their own personal experiences rather than scholarly material written by academics. The theory is one thing; reality is quite another. Secondary literature (with few exceptions) comes from people who are not participants but merely observers from afar; for this reason there has been little citation of academic writings. When drafting the Charter, the High Level Task Force did not refer to academic sources at all. Much of what is contained in the first two chapters is a distillation of the source material (viz. the numerous ASEAN declarations, road maps, plans of action and other documents) in the light of the authors’ experience and discussions with the actual participants.¹

¹ Much of the material in these chapters is the result of research done by one of the authors for a Commentary on the ASEAN Charter. This material will appear again in the Commentary when it is published.
Nor is this monograph aimed primarily at the academic community. Rather, the audience is the practitioners: the diplomats, politicians and officials that make ASEAN run. The object of the authors is to provide an overview of how ASEAN came to be what it is, what the organisation is like now and why the creation of a proper legal service is crucial to its development into a rules-based law-abiding ASEAN Community.

The first chapter of this monograph sketches the history of ASEAN. Without this basic understanding of the history of the organisation it is impossible to understand the deeply held aversion to formal structures and procedures. ASEAN’s origin as an association of non-Communist countries in Southeast Asia seeking to build trust among themselves in a dangerous world is described for the convenience of those unfamiliar with this history (which unfortunately includes most citizens of ASEAN member states, who often are taught more in school about the history of Europe than that of Southeast Asia). It is important to understand the origins of ASEAN in order to appreciate why the ‘ASEAN way’ is what it is. The first part of Chapter 1 also traces the development of the various organs of ASEAN – the ASEAN Summit, the ASEAN Ministerial Meeting, the ASEAN Economic Ministers’ Meeting, the Secretary-General, the ASEAN Secretariat and the multifarious ministerial meetings that have been established over the years. Much of the practice in ASEAN is not documented. It is necessary to plough through the many ASEAN documents and put them in some order so that this background can be appreciated. Without knowledge of how ASEAN has evolved over the last four and
a half decades there is no context for the legal service. This brief overview will, it is hoped, give the reader some idea of that evolution. An understanding of the alphabet soup of acronyms representing the various subgroups and organs of ASEAN is essential to a proper appreciation of the future development of the ASEAN Community.

The second half of Chapter 1 describes and analyses the evolution of the ASEAN Charter, which now forms the legal foundation of the ASEAN Community. The Charter is not quite a constitution, but it provides the legal basis for the development of the ASEAN Community. It is not the object of this monograph to explain every facet of the Charter. Rather, four significant aspects are dealt with in particular: dispute settlement, the Community Councils, the Secretary-General and Secretariat and the Committee of Permanent Representatives. These were innovations designed to ensure the proper working of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC), the ASEAN Political-Security Community (APSC) and the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC). These provide the basis for the future evolution of ASEAN from an association into a rules-based, people-centred community.

Chapter 2 examines how the theory (as set out in the numerous declarations, road maps, plans of action, etc. issued after ASEAN meetings) squares with practice, focusing in particular on how rules are made and disputes settled. An organisation which aims to be rules-based needs to have a coherent method for making those rules. The first part of the chapter describes how the process has hitherto been carried out, which can only be described as extremely ad hoc. Crucially, the rule of law requires a proper means to settle
disputes in a legally binding manner. The next part of the chapter therefore describes and analyses in detail the theoretical framework of agreements governing dispute settlement. The chapter goes on to examine how this actually works in reality, focusing on the few cases involving ASEAN member states that have reached the stage of international adjudication. The aim is to provide the reader with a better appreciation of what ‘the ASEAN way’ means in practice. The chapter concludes with a survey of the blueprints for the establishment of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC), the ASEAN Political-Security Community (APSC) and the ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community (ASCC).

Chapter 3 makes the case for the establishment of a proper ASEAN Legal Service. The first part of the chapter describes the experience of other intergovernmental and supranational organisations. However, it must be appreciated that ASEAN has no ambition to become a supranational entity; there is no political desire for an ever-closer union. During the drafting of the Charter it was clearly understood that the EU is not a model for ASEAN. Nonetheless, the legal services of the EU are described in order to provide a backdrop against which to consider the role of such a legal service in the development of ASEAN as a rules-based, law-abiding organisation. The object of the exercise is to provide some pointers on how the proposed ASEAN Legal Service might be structured. It is always wise to learn from others and adapt rather than attempt to rebuild from scratch what others have done before.

The role of an ASEAN Legal Service would include: drafting rules and regulations, providing the institutional legal
memory of ASEAN, giving legal advice, assisting in monitoring the implementation of and compliance with ASEAN instruments, representing the organisation in legal proceedings and providing support for the dispute settlement process. The second part of the chapter is devoted to setting out the possible structure of such a legal service, drawing on the authors’ respective experiences as heads of the legal service of an ASEAN member state and the EU.

The object of this monograph is to provoke thought about the legal underpinnings of the ASEAN Community. This is absolutely essential if ASEAN is to achieve its ambitions. It is easy to proclaim that ASEAN shall become a rules-based community in which the rule of law, human rights and good governance are basic principles. Achieving that aim is the difficult part. Sceptics might dismiss all of this as mere hot air. But, as the Montgolfier brothers demonstrated, hot air, properly harnessed, can lift a man to the heavens.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AANZFTA</td>
<td>ASEAN–Australia–New Zealand Free Trade Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACB</td>
<td>ASEAN Compliance Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>ASEAN Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACIA</td>
<td>ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACMB</td>
<td>ASEAN Compliance Monitoring Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>ASEAN Consultation to Solve Trade and Investment Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADMM</td>
<td>ASEAN Defence Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>ASEAN Economic Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AECC</td>
<td>ASEAN Economic Community Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEM</td>
<td>ASEAN Economic Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFMM</td>
<td>ASEAN Finance Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFTA</td>
<td>ASEAN Free Trade Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHMM</td>
<td>ASEAN Health Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRD</td>
<td>ASEAN Human Rights Declaration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIA</td>
<td>ASEAN Investment Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICHR</td>
<td>ASEAN Intergovernmental Commission on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIELN</td>
<td>Asian International Economic Law Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIPO</td>
<td>ASEAN Inter-Parliamentary Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALMM</td>
<td>ASEAN Labour Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMAF</td>
<td>Meeting of the ASEAN Ministers of Agriculture and Forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMCA</td>
<td>Meeting of ASEAN Ministers Responsible for Culture and the Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMEM</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministers on Energy Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMM</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministerial Meeting (meeting of the Foreign Ministers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMME</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministerial Meeting on the Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMMin</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministerial Meeting on Minerals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMMST</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministerial Meeting on Science and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMMTC</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministerial Meeting on Transnational Crime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMRDPE</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministers on Rural Development and Poverty Eradication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMRI</td>
<td>ASEAN Ministers Responsible for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APSC</td>
<td>ASEAN Political-Security Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>ASEAN Standing Committee (consisting of foreign ministry officials)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCC</td>
<td>ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN-ISIS</td>
<td>ASEAN Institutes of Strategic and International Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEC</td>
<td>ASEAN Secretariat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASED</td>
<td>ASEAN Education Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASLOM</td>
<td>ASEAN Senior Law Officials’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASOD</td>
<td>ASEAN Senior Officials on Drug Matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATIGA</td>
<td>ASEAN Trade in Goods Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>ASEAN Transport Ministers’ Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCI</td>
<td>Coordinating Committee on Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPT</td>
<td>Common Effective Preferential Tariff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XXX