

## THE EMERGENCE OF NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION

Richardson's Dream

In the early twentieth century, Lewis Fry Richardson dreamt that scientific weather prediction would one day become a practical reality. The method of computing changes in the state of the atmosphere that he mapped out in great detail is essentially the method used today. Before his ideas could bear fruit several advances were needed: better understanding of the dynamics of the atmosphere; stable computational algorithms to integrate the equations of motion; regular observations of the free atmosphere; and powerful automatic computer equipment.

By 1950, advances on all these fronts were sufficient to permit the first computer weather forecast to be made. Over the ensuing 50 years progress in numerical weather prediction has been dramatic, allowing Richardson's dream to become a reality. Weather prediction and climate modelling have now reached a high level of sophistication.

This book tells the story of Richardson's trial forecast, and the fulfilment of his dream of practical weather forecasting and climate modelling. It has a complete reconstruction of Richardson's forecast, and analyses in detail the causes of the failure of this forecast. It also includes a description of current practice, with particular emphasis on the work of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. This book will appeal to everyone involved in numerical weather forecasting, from researchers and graduate students to professionals.

PETER LYNCH is Met Éireann Professor of Meteorology at University College Dublin (UCD) and Director of the UCD Meteorology and Climate Centre. Prior to this he was Deputy Director of Met Éireann, the Irish Meteorological Service. He is a Fellow of the Royal Meteorological Society, the Royal Astronomical Society, the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications, and the Institute of Physics.

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-41483-9 - The Emergence of Numerical Weather Prediction: Richardson's Dream

Peter Lynch

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

---

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-41483-9 - The Emergence of Numerical Weather Prediction: Richardson's Dream

Peter Lynch

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

# THE EMERGENCE OF NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION

Richardson's Dream

PETER LYNCH

*University College Dublin*



**CAMBRIDGE**  
**UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-41483-9 - The Emergence of Numerical Weather Prediction: Richardson's Dream

Peter Lynch

Frontmatter

[More information](#)

**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

32 Avenue of the Americas, New York NY 10013-2473, USA

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781107414839](http://www.cambridge.org/9781107414839)

© P. Lynch 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

First paperback edition 2014

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

ISBN 978-0-521-85729-1 Hardback

ISBN 978-1-107-41483-9 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## Contents

<i>Guiding signs</i>	page viii
<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<b>1 Weather Prediction by Numerical Process</b>	1
1.1 The problem	1
1.2 Vilhelm Bjerknes and scientific forecasting	4
1.3 Outline of Richardson's life and work	10
1.4 The origin of <i>Weather Prediction by Numerical Process</i>	14
1.5 Outline of the contents of <i>WPNP</i>	18
1.6 Preview of remaining chapters	25
<b>2 The fundamental equations</b>	29
2.1 Richardson's general circulation model	30
2.2 The basic equations	31
2.3 The vertical velocity equation	39
2.4 Temperature in the stratosphere	42
2.5 Pressure co-ordinates	44
<b>3 The oscillations of the atmosphere</b>	47
3.1 The Laplace tidal equations	48
3.2 Normal modes of the atmosphere	49
3.3 Atmospheric tides	55
3.4 Numerical solution of the Laplace tidal equations	56
<b>4 The barotropic forecast</b>	63
4.1 Richardson's model and data	63
4.2 The finite difference scheme	65

vi	<i>Contents</i>	
4.3	Richardson's conclusions	68
4.4	The global numerical model	70
4.5	Extending the forecast	72
4.6	Non-divergent and balanced initial conditions	75
4.7	Reflections on the single layer model	77
<b>5</b>	<b>The solution algorithm</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	The finite difference method	79
5.2	Integration in time	81
5.3	The Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy stability criterion	85
5.4	The Richardson grid	87
5.5	The equations for the strata	89
5.6	The computational algorithm	92
<b>6</b>	<b>Observations and initial fields</b>	<b>97</b>
6.1	Aerological observations	97
6.2	Dines' meteorograph	100
6.3	The Leipzig charts	104
6.4	Preparation of the initial fields	109
<b>7</b>	<b>Richardson's forecast</b>	<b>117</b>
7.1	What Richardson actually predicted: 20 numbers	117
7.2	Scaling the equations of motion	120
7.3	Analysis of the initial tendencies	125
7.4	The causes of the forecast failure	131
7.5	Max Margules and the 'impossibility' of forecasting	133
<b>8</b>	<b>Balance and initialisation</b>	<b>137</b>
8.1	Balance in the atmosphere	137
8.2	The slow manifold	140
8.3	Techniques of initialisation	142
8.4	The swinging spring	146
8.5	Digital filter initialisation	152
<b>9</b>	<b>Smoothing the forecast</b>	<b>159</b>
9.1	Reconstruction of the forecast	159
9.2	Richardson's five smoothing methods	162
9.3	Digital filtering of the initial data	164
9.4	Extension of the forecast	175

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-41483-9 - The Emergence of Numerical Weather Prediction: Richardson's Dream

Peter Lynch

Frontmatter

[More information](#)*Contents*

vii

<b>10 The ENIAC integrations</b>	181
10.1 The 'Meteorology Project'	182
10.2 The filtered equations	187
10.3 The first computer forecast	190
10.4 The barotropic model	196
10.5 Multi-level models	199
10.6 Primitive equation models	202
10.7 General circulation models and climate modelling	206
<b>11 Numerical weather prediction today</b>	209
11.1 Observational data	209
11.2 Objective analysis	213
11.3 Progress in computing	219
11.4 The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts	221
11.5 Meso-scale modelling	228
11.6 Chaos, predictability and ensemble forecasting	231
<b>12 Fulfilment of the dream</b>	243
12.1 Richardson's explanation of his <i>glaring error</i>	243
12.2 The 'forecast factory'	246
12.3 Richardson's dream	248
<b>Appendix 1 Table of notation</b>	251
<b>Appendix 2 Milestones in Richardson's life and career</b>	254
<b>Appendix 3 Laplace tidal equations: separation of variables</b>	256
<b>Appendix 4 Richardson's forecast factory: the \$64 000 question</b>	259
<i>References</i>	262
<i>Index</i>	274

## Guiding signs

Richardson was considerate in providing 'guiding signs' to assist readers in navigating his book, *Weather Prediction by Numerical Process*. We follow his example.

- (i) *Weather Prediction by Numerical Process* is denoted *WPNP* throughout.
- (ii) In general, quantities are given in SI units. However, Richardson used the older CGS system and, where appropriate, values of quantities are given in this system, with due indication.
- (iii) Equations are numbered sequentially within each Chapter. Thus, the reference (2.20) denotes equation number 20 in Chapter 2.
- (iv) The generic term 'gravity waves' is used for pure gravity waves, gravity-inertia waves and acoustic-inertia waves.
- (v) Historians of meteorology may find Chapters 1, 3, 6, 7 and 10 of primary interest.
- (vi) Chapters 2 and 3 are the most mathematically involved. On first reading they may be skimmed over, as the bulk of the remaining material should be accessible without detailed knowledge of them.
- (vii) The current state of the science of numerical weather prediction is presented in Chapter 11.
- (viii) A list of the main symbols is given in Appendix 1 (p. 251).



## Preface

Accurate weather forecasts based on computer simulation of the atmosphere are now available routinely throughout the world. Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) has developed rapidly over the past fifty years and the power of computer models to forecast the weather has grown impressively with the power of computers themselves. Earth System Models are capable of simulating climates of past millennia and are our best means of predicting future climate change, the major environmental threat facing humankind today.

It is remarkable that the basic techniques of numerical forecasting and climate modelling in use today were developed long before the first electronic computer was constructed. Lewis Fry Richardson first considered the problem of weather forecasting in 1911. He had developed a versatile technique for calculating approximate solutions of complicated mathematical equations – nonlinear partial differential equations – and he realised that it could be applied to the equations that govern the evolution of atmospheric flows. Recognising that a practical implementation of his method would involve a phenomenal amount of numerical calculation, Richardson imagined a fantastic forecast factory with a staff of thousands of human computers busily calculating the terms in the fundamental equations and combining their results in an ingeniously organised way to produce a weather forecast.

Richardson did much more than set down the principles of scientific weather prediction: he constructed, in complete detail, a systematic procedure or algorithm for generating the numerical solution of the governing equations. And he went further still: he applied the procedure to a real-life case and calculated the initial changes in pressure and wind. Although the resulting 'forecast' was unrealistic, Richardson's numerical experiment demonstrated that his procedure was self-consistent and, in principle, feasible. However, there were several major practical obstacles to be overcome before numerical prediction could be put into practice.

In this book we discuss Richardson's method in detail, showing how his numerical procedure is constructed by application of his finite differencing technique to the

atmospheric equations. The resulting algorithm is ideally suited for programming on a modern computer. We describe the implementation of Richardson's algorithm as a computer program, and show that his forecast results can be replicated accurately. Richardson was meticulous and methodical; the consistency between the original and reconstructed predictions is more a demonstration of the validity of the computer program than a confirmation of the correctness of Richardson's calculations.

Although mathematically correct, Richardson's prediction was physically unrealistic. The reasons for this are considered in depth. The core of the problem is that a delicate dynamic balance that prevails in the atmosphere was not reflected in the initial data used by Richardson. The consequence of the imbalance was the contamination of the forecast by spurious noise. Balance may be restored by small but critical adjustments to the data. We show that after such modification, called initialisation, a physically realistic forecast is obtained. This further demonstrates the integrity of Richardson's process. We examine his discussion on smoothing the initial data, relating it to the initialisation procedure and showing how close he came to overcoming the difficulties in his forecast.

The true significance of Richardson's work was not immediately evident; the computational complexity of the process and the disastrous results of the single trial forecast tended to deter others from following the trail mapped out by him. But his work was of key importance to the pioneers who carried out the first automatic forecast on an electronic computer, in 1950. One of them, Jule Charney, addressing the Royal Meteorological Society some years later, said 'to the extent that my work in weather prediction has been of value, it has been a vindication of the vision of my distinguished predecessor, Lewis F. Richardson'.

Richardson expressed a dream that, 'some day in the dim future', numerical weather prediction would become a practical reality. Progress was required on several fronts before this dream could be realised. A fuller understanding of atmospheric dynamics allowed the development of simplified systems of equations; regular radiosonde observations of the free atmosphere and, later, satellite data, provided the initial conditions; stable finite difference schemes were developed; and powerful electronic computers provided a practical means of carrying out the prodigious calculations required to predict the changes in the weather.

Progress in weather forecasting and in climate modelling over the past 50 years has been dramatic. We review the current status of numerical prediction, both deterministic and probabilistic, with particular emphasis on the work of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. Richardson's remarkable prescience and the abiding value of his work are illustrated by the wide range of ideas, central to modern weather and climate models, that originated with him. Thus, it may be reasonably claimed that his work is the basis of modern weather and climate forecasting.

## Acknowledgements

It has taken many years to complete this project and, in the process, it has been my privilege to work with a wide range of great people. To those not mentioned below, and to 'the unknown meteorologist', I offer my profound gratitude.

My interest in Richardson's forecast stems from discussions with my doctoral adviser and colleague, Ray Bates. Ray has provided advice and assistance on a multitude of meteorological matters. The idea of initialising Richardson's data and repeating his forecast occurred to me when I first read George Platzman's learned and comprehensive overview of *Weather Prediction by Numerical Process*. Written after the appearance of the Dover edition, this article has been a continuing source of inspiration. I greatly enjoyed visiting Professor Platzman in Chicago and have derived much assistance from correspondence with him, particularly relating to the introductory chapter and the section on the ENIAC integrations.

I first read Oliver Ashford's biography of Richardson while a visiting scientist at the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) in 1985, and I recall several fruitful and fascinating conversations about it with my Dutch colleagues. Following the appearance of my article on Richardson's barotropic forecast, in 1992, Oliver invited me to contact him. I have since enjoyed several visits to his home and have benefited from many conversations with him about Richardson and his work. Thanks also to Oliver for providing a photograph of Richardson.

Serious work on the reconstruction of the forecast began in Spring 1991 during a visit to the International Meteorological Institute (IMI) in Stockholm. I am grateful to Erland Källén for inviting me to visit IMI. While there, I analysed the initial mass fields for the forecast while Éliás Hólm analysed the winds. Work on digital filtering initialisation, which I began with Xiang-Yu Huang in Stockholm, was also crucial for the success of the project.

A number of colleagues were kind enough to read and comment on chapters of the book. I am especially grateful to Akira Kasahara for his extensive review of an early draft. I also enjoyed and benefited greatly from discussions with Akira during

a visit to the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR); the visit was facilitated by Joe Tribbia. Sections of the chapter on operations at the European Centre were reviewed by Tim Palmer, Adrian Simmons and Sakari Uppala, and I thank them for their comments.

Support from the Director of Met Éireann, Declan Murphy, and from my many colleagues at Met Éireann, is acknowledged with warm gratitude. Jim Hamilton provided willing and substantial assistance in the preparation of graphics. Aidan McDonald provided a copy of his spherical shallow water code. Jim Logue reviewed early drafts of some chapters. Lisa Shields, Librarian at Met Éireann, and her successor Jane Burns, assisted with translations and literature searches. Eoin Moran and Jackie O Sullivan provided welcome hospitality during my stay at Valentia Observatory. Warmest thanks to them and to all my other colleagues in Met Éireann.

An office at the School of Cosmic Physics in Dublin was provided during the Summer of 2003 by the Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, allowing me to work undisturbed by quotidian chores. I thank Peter Readman for arranging this accommodation and all the staff at 'Number 5' for their hospitality.

I enjoyed visiting a number of libraries and archives, and was received with un-failing courtesy and enthusiasm. I am particularly grateful to: Graham Bartlett (Met Office Archives), Elisabeth Kaplan (Charles Babbage Institute, Minnesota), Nora Murphy (MIT Archives), Jenny O'Neill (MIT Museum), Diane Rabson (NCAR Archives) and Godfrey Waller (Cambridge University Library).

I have been fortunate to have received assistance from many people in ways that they may have forgotten but that I remember with gratitude. Their help ranged from mild interest in the project to the provision of substantial assistance. With apologies to others whom I may have omitted, I thank: Michael Börngen, Huw Davies, Terry Davies, Dezsó Devenyi, Jean-François Geleyn, Sigbjørn Grønås, Tony Hollingsworth, Brian Hoskins, Jean-Pierre Javelle, Eugenia Kalnay, Leif Laursen, Andrew Lorenc, Ray McGrath, Michael McIntyre, Detlev Majewski, Brendan McWilliams, Fedor Mesinger, Anders Persson, Norman Phillips, Ian Roulstone, Richard Swinbank, Per Undén, Hans Volkert, Bruce Webster, Andy White and Dave Williamson.

My students Michael Clark and Paul Nolan provided assistance in proofreading and preparation of figures. I am grateful to Joy Davies, Haldo Vedin and Christer Kiselman for assistance in translation for the Table of notation in Appendix 1. The staff of Cambridge University Press, especially Matt Lloyd and Emma Pearce, have been very helpful.

I am grateful to my sons, Owen and Andrew, for help in overcoming a number of perverse problems with computers and for scanning images, and to my wife, Cabrini, for her unwavering love and support.