CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Index

Aarnio, Eero, 334
Advisory Council on Intellectual Property (ACIP), 261, 265–8
Agnew, Spiro, 378
Alexander, Isabella, 226–68
Arco lamps, 224
Art Nouveau, 58, 141
Artistic Copyright Society, 194, 197
artistic property, industrial property or, 434–7
artistic value
  assessment of criterion, 440–1
  Australia, 244
  Benelux Convention on Individual Property, 47
  France, 13, 16, 17, 25, 440
  Germany, 143, 144
  Greece, 100
  Italy, 269, 275–8, 281, 283, 285, 290–1, 294
  Netherlands, 43
  United Kingdom, 432, 440
  United States, 303, 374, 441
Arts and Crafts Movement, 140
Arts Law Centre of Australia, 266
Association Internationale pour la Protection de la Propriété Intellectuelle (AIPPI), 423
Association Littéraire et Artistique Internationale (ALAI), 422–3, 425–8
Australia
  1852–1906 colonial period, 230–6
    New South Wales, 230–1, 234
    Queensland, 233, 234
    South Australia, 232, 234
    Tasmania/Van Diemen's Land, 230–1, 234
    Victoria, 232, 233–4
    Western Australia, 234
  1901 Commonwealth, 236
  1906 Designs Act, 236–9
    cumulation, 238–9
    definition of design, 237–8
  1912 Copyright Act
    1912–68 demarcation, 239–44
    British model, 239–44
    case law, 241–2
    Spicer Report (1959), 243, 244
  1968 Copyright Act, 244–5
    1968–80 demarcation, 244–8
    artistic value and, 244
    corresponding designs, 244–5, 251
    employee products, 258
    Franki Report (1973), 246–7, 248–9, 252
    non-expert defence, 248, 251
  1981 Designs Amendment Act
    1981–90 demarcation, 248–50
    definition of design, 249
    Firmagroup case, 253, 257
    Hart v. *Edwards*, 250
    Warman v. *Envirotech*, 252, 253
  1989 Copyright Amendment Act
    1989–2003 partial cumulation and demarcation, 250–5
    ALRC Report (1995), 254–60, 261
    corresponding designs, 251, 252–3
    definition of design, 253
    Lahore Report (1991), 252–4, 258, 259–60
  2003 Designs Act
    2003–present partial cumulation and demarcation, 255–60
    ACIP Report (2015), 261, 265–8
    Burge v. *Swarbrick*, 261–2, 440
    case law, 261–5
    corresponding designs, 259
    definition of design, 256–7
    definition of infringement, 257
    employee designs, 258
    increased registrations, 261
    novelty and originality, 257, 432

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Australia (cont.)
ownership, 258
Polo/Lauren, 262
Seafolly v. *Fewstone*, 262–5
spare part exclusion, 258
term of protection, 257–8
Berne Convention and, 247, 266
copyright/design interface
2D designs, 438
assessment, 260–8
author status, 261–2, 440
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table of legislation, 227–9
confusion, 226, 261
demarcation approach, 433
history, 230–60
intention, 432, 439
legislative approaches, 433
number of copies criterion, 442
originality, 257, 432
survey, 226–68
economic boom, 431
free traders, 237
Hague Convention and, 257
number of design applications, 453
photographs, 239, 258
UK legal model, 226, 230–1, 232–3
1906 Designs Act, 237, 238
1911 Copyright Act, 239–44
1956 Copyright Act, 244
unregistered designs, 255, 266
Australian Copyright Council, 266
Australian Law Reform Commission Report (1995), 254–60, 261
Auteri, Paolo, 283
author status
assessment of criterion, 440
Australia, 261–2, 440
France, 12, 440

ball chairs, 334
Bauhaus, 58, 146–50
Belgium
See also Benelux
Berne Convention and, 199
number of design applications, 453
Benelux
See also Belgium; Netherlands
copyright/design interface
choice of focus on, 3, 4
development, 428
term of protection, 74–6, 433, 449
EUIPO applications, 429
number of design applications, 431, 454
Benelux Convention on Intellectual Property (2006), 37, 40, 42–3
Bently, Lionel, 51, 171–225, 235
Bentwich, Herbert, 193
Berne Convention (1886)
1908 Berlin revision, 38, 194
1928 Rome revision, 152, 199, 424
1948 Brussels revision, 44, 88, 152, 199, 404, 424
1971 Paris revision, 85–7, 102, 418
Australia and, 247, 266
copyright/design interface, 449–51
France and, 194, 424
Greece and, 85–7, 88, 92, 94, 102
Italy and, 424
Japan and, 387, 402, 404, 424
model, 451
negotiation debates, 424–5
Netherlands and, 38, 44
number of copies criterion and, 442
term of protection, 447, 450, 451
UK and, 194–6, 210, 424
Best, Robert, 240
BIRPI (United International Bureaux for the Protection of Intellectual Property), 424
Bonasi Benucci, E., 442
Bowen, Nigel, 245
Breuer's Wassily chair, 282–8
Britain, William, 188
Bronx furniture, 211
butterfly stools, 332–3

Carroll, John W., 357
Christmas cards, 189
CJEU. *See* European Union
Coates & Co., 178
Cohen Jehoram, T., 65
Cole, Henry, 185
Colombo, Joe, 333
Confederation of British Industry, 217
Conran, 204
Copinger on Copyright, 190, 201
Copinger, W.A., 201
copyright/design interface
See also individual countries
EU. *See* European Union
legal history, 1
legislative effect, 433
model, 166–70, 421–52
national experiences compared, 428–33
Paris Convention, 434
procedural law, 3–4

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

terminology, 5–6
unfair competition law and, 4, 428, 430, 445
Coren Jeroham, H., 442
corkscrews, 52–3
Cornish, William, 221
corresponding designs, 244–5, 251, 252–3, 259
Council on Industrial Design, 209
Court of Justice of the European Union. *See* European Union
crash dummy, 314–16
Croal-Thomson, David, 190
cubism, 58
Cutler, Edmund, 193

dancer statuettes, 303–7, 321
databases
European Union, 96, 160
Germany, 138, 155
impact on national experiences, 428
protection regimes, 449, 450
Day, Robin, 204
Debonair Upholstery, 211
demarcation, meaning, 6, 110
Denmark
1905 Design Act, 112–13
1961 Copyright Act, 116–18
1970 Design Act, 108, 114–16
copyright and, 116–18
full cumulation, 120
object of protection, 114
registration, 114–16
replacement, 120
1970–2001 full cumulation, 114–20
2001–15 period, 121, 122–3
2009-present, 123–5
copyright/design interface
assessment, 125–7
choice of focus on, 3
creativity, 124–5
history, 110–25
originality, 432
raised-bar approach, 126
designers, 126
EU harmonisation, 120
number of design applications, 457
pre-1970 period, 110–13
registration practice, 429–30
Derclaye, Estelle, 1–6, 269–96, 421–52
Desbois, H., 14, 18
Design magazine, 209
design, meaning, 1
See also individual countries
destination
assessment of criterion, 439
France, 12, 13, 17, 439
Italy, 275–8, 286, 439
Dixon, Owen, 226
Doolittle, Commissioner William, 366–7
Du Mont, Jason, 341–81
duration of design right. *See* term of protection

Eames chairs, 224
Eckenbrecher, Themistokles von, 141
Ellsworth, Commissioner Henry, 345, 346, 351
employment relationships
Australia, 258
French copyright, 29–30, 35
Greece, 90, 94
Italy, 279, 281
Japan, 385, 394
Netherlands, 71–3
United Kingdom, 448
Entsch, Warren, 256
Ercol, 204
European Union
CJEU case law and Germany, 158, 159–60
commissioning parties, 74
Community registered designs (RCDs), 427
Copyright Directive, 51
copyright/design interface
adequacy of model, 428, 446–7
assessment, 425–8
cumulation approach, 437
development, 425–8
EU/national cumulation, 447
harmonisation, 451–2
databases, 96, 160
Design Directive
Article 17, 427–8
creativity, 160
Dutch implementation, 40, 49–51
French implementation, 19, 24
German implementation, 131, 156, 160, 164
Greek implementation, 94, 106–7
impact, 1–2
implementation, 5
individual character, 169
Italian implementation, 290–1
originality, 49–51, 106, 107
overall impression criterion, 70
partial cumulation, 106–7

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

European Union (cont.)
Scandinavian implementation, 120, 123–5, 126–7
style and trend exclusion, 61
technical exclusion, 57–8
term of protection, 75, 295, 450
UK implementation, 220–5
Design Regulation (2001), 2, 74, 427
registered and unregistered designs, 164
UK and, 220–1, 223
Donner case, 2
EUIPO application numbers, 429, 456
exclusion of technical features, 158
Flos case, 2, 3, 50–1, 124, 223, 427, 451
German model, 166–70
Infopaq, 123–4, 126, 166, 167, 451
informed user standard, 161
InfoSoc Directive, 159, 167, 169
number of design applications, 456
originality criterion, 96
Painer, 57, 125, 126
photographs, 96, 125, 160
plant variety rights, 447
software, 96, 160
unfair competition law, 4
United Kingdom and, 177
unregistered designs, 33, 34–5, 50–1, 164, 220, 427, 449
Ewart Report (1835), 180–1
Ewart, William, 182

Fahnestock, John, 356
Finland
1971 Design Act, 108
registration, 115
choice of focus on, 3
number of design applications, 457
Fisher, Commissioner Samuel, 358, 363
flying carpet sofas, 335
Ford, 216
France
1789 Revolution, 10
1793 Act, 9–10, 12
1793–1902 period, 8
1825 Royal Order, 11
destination theory, 12
uncertain cumulation, 9–12
1806 Act, 10–12, 272
legal model, 434
1902–57 period
1902 Act, 13–14
1909 Act, 13, 14–15
1952 Act, 15
artistic value, 13
case law, 15–16
counterfeiting, 15
destination, 13
legislation v case law, 12–16
moral rights, 14
originality, 14, 16
1957–2001 period, 16–19
1957 Act, 16–19, 30
artistic value, 17
case law, 18–19
destination, 17
individual character, 19
moral rights, 17
originality, 17–19
2001 Ordinance, 19–24
case law, 21–4
implementation of Design Directive, 19, 24
individual character, 20, 21–8
originality, 8, 22–8
public policy/morality exception, 20
Berne Convention and, 194, 424
collective works, 30
copyright/design interface
artistic value, 13, 16, 25, 440
author status, 12, 440
changes, 451
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table of legislation, 7–8
destination criterion, 12, 13, 17, 439
development, 9–28, 428
individual character, 8, 19, 24–8
influences, 12, 433–45
judicial resolution of conflicts, 433, 434
originality, 34–5
presumption of ownership, 30–1
prior art, 14, 24–8
recent case law, 24–8
reproduction method, 440
scholarly literature, 436–7
survey, 7–35
counterfeiting, 30–1, 32–3
cumulation
1793–1902 period, 9–12
practical application, 28–34
scholarly debate, 436–7
scope, 8–9
total cumulation, 5, 8–9, 34, 436–7
employee designs, 29–30, 35
Franco-Prussian War, 131
history of design protection, 9–28
1793–1902, 9–12
1902–57, 12–16
1957–2001, 16–19

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

2001–present, 19–24
recent developments, 19–28
legal model
1806 Act, 434
for Italy, 272
Lyon silk industry, 9, 10
moral rights, 30, 32
number of design applications, 454
operating agreements, 30
tracksuits, 26
transfer of copyright, 31–2
unity of art theory, 8, 13, 17, 21, 28, 195, 198, 433, 436
unregistered designs, 34–5
Franki Report (1973), 246–7, 248–9, 252
full cumulation, meaning, 6, 110

Garbeille, Philip, 349, 351
George Nelson clocks, 224
German Association for the Protection of Intellectual Property (GRUR), 142
Germany
1837 Prussian Copyright Act, 129
1876 Artistic Copyright Act
art pour l'art, 139, 142
demarcation, 139–40, 165
Hans Thoma chairs, 139
industrial reproductions, 139, 140
1876 Copyright Package, 131
1876 Designs Act, 131–4
application statistics, 134
individuality, 133
novelty, 132–3
object of protection, 132
registration, 133–4
repeal, 156
term of protection, 132
1907 Artistic Copyright Act, 142
1950s case law, 151–2
artistic surplus test, 146
artistic value and, 143, 144
Bauhaus challenge, 146–50
Berne Convention and, 152
experts, 146, 150
Gropius door handles (1933), 149–51, 161, 165
industrial reproductions, 142
informed observer test, 146
Nazi ideology and, 150–1
partial cumulation, 140–3
School Typeface case (1911), 143–6, 149
Stam chairs, 148, 150, 152
WMF cutlery, 147
1965 Artistic Copyright Act, 152–4
'small coin' creativity, 154–5, 156, 168
Birthday Train, 158–62, 167, 169
case law, 153–63
exclusion of technical features, 156–8
informed observer test, 153, 154, 155, 160–1
recent cases, 161–3
Silver Thistle, 154–6
Spacenet, 156–8, 167, 169
Tripp Trapp, 154, 166, 419
two-tier theory, 155–6, 158–61, 166
2004 Designs Act, 131, 164
advertising poster, 141
CJEU case law and, 158, 159–60
copyright law
1876 Act, 139–40
1901 Act, 142
1907 Act, 140–3
1965 Act, 152–4
assessment, 163–70
history, 139–63
copyright/design interface
artistic value criterion, 440
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table, 341
originality, 432
databases, 138, 155
Franco-Prussian War, 131
German Confederation (1815), 129
history of copyright/design interface
1876 Designs Act, 131–4
copyright law, 139–63
origins to 1876, 128–31
unfair competition law, 134–9
implementation of Design Directive, 131, 156, 160, 164
lacemaking, 129
model for EU
assessment, 166–70
consistency with EU law, 166–8
middle course model, 168–70
relativity of scope, 169
neighbouring rights, 138, 155
number of design applications, 454
parallel imports, 2
partial cumulation
advantages, 163–5
assessment, 163–70
asymmetric convergence, 164
drawbacks, 165–6
history, 139–63
industrial reproductions, 165
models, 163–4
survey, 128–70
photographs, 131, 142, 155, 156

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Germany (cont.)
plant varieties, 138
prevalence theory, 139, 143, 151
slavish imitation, 166
term of protection, 449
trade secrets, 129
two-level theory, 412–13, 415
unfair competition law
1896 Act, 134–5
1909 Act, 135, 138
2004 Act, 136
2015 Act, 166
case law, 137–9
Fashion Novelty, 138
flexibility, 138
history, 134–9
role, 166
unfair product imitation, 135–7
unregistered designs, 2, 134, 138, 168, 169
Werkbund, 142
Ginsburg, Jane, 297–340, 445, 451
Glynn, Patrick, 237
Goldstein, Paul, 445
Gorell Report (1910), 194–6
Grant, Gordon, 209, 210
Greco, Paolo, 283
Greece
1920–75 copyright, 83–5
industrial designs, 84–5
originality, 83
rights, 83–4
term of protection, 83, 102
1920–96 copyright protection only, 83–92
disadvantages, 101–2
1975–93 copyright, 85–8
case law, 86–8
creativity, 86
1993–96 copyright, 88–92
authors-employees, 90
case law, 91–2
collaborative works, 90
composite works, 90
moral rights, 91
originality, 89–90
rights, 90–1
transfer of ownership, 91
1996 Industrial Design and Copyright Law
aesthetical requirement, 100
assessment, 102–7
authors-employees, 94
creativity, 100–1, 105
individual character, 97–8, 100, 101, 103–6
joint owners, 93–4
licensing, 94
novelty, 97–9, 104
originality, 103–6
originality case law, 95–101
overall impression, 98, 104
partial cumulation, 92–101
registration of designs, 93
transfer of ownership, 94
Berne Convention and, 85–7, 88, 92, 94, 102
copyright protection
1920–75, 83–5
1920–96, 83–92
1975–93, 85–8
1993–96, 88–92
copyright/design interface
assessment, 101–7
changes, 451
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table of legislation, 81
phases, 80
survey, 80–107
Hague Agreement and, 92
implementation of Design Directive, 94, 106–7
number of design applications, 431, 455
Paris Convention (1883) and, 88
unfair competition law, 4, 80, 91
1920–75 period, 84–5
1975–93 period, 87
Green, Alan, 205, 208
Gregory Report (1951), 202–3, 242–3, 439
Grima, Andrew, 204
Gropius, Walter, 149–51

Hague Convention on Industrial Design (1960), 38, 41, 92, 209, 210, 257
Hamlin, John and Lysander, 357
Hargreaves & Co., 178
Hays, Margaret, 356
Henningsen, Poul, 126
Hensher, George, 211
high chairs. *See* Tripp-Trapp chairs
Hille, 204
Hitler, Adolf, 150
Holloway, Commissioner David, 355
Hugo, Victor, 195
Huydecoper, J.L.R.A., 65

industrial property, artistic property or, 434–7
intention
assessment of criterion, 439
Australia, 432, 439

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Italy, 286
Netherlands, 432
United Kingdom, 432, 439
United States, 439, 444
International Chamber of Commerce, 199
Italy
1859 Patent Act, 272
1865–1925 period
1865 Copyright Act, 269–72
assessment, 292
full cumulation, 269–74
term of protection, 295
1868 Design Act
case law, 274
defects, 273–4
novelty, 272–3
ownership, 273
term of protection, 273, 294
1925–40 period, 274–8
1925 Copyright Act, 274–6
artistic value, 275–8
destination, 275–8
separability doctrine, 276–8
theories, 275–8
1940 Design Act, 275, 278, 279–81
commissioned works, 281
defects, 287–8
employee designs, 281
infringement, 281
novelty, 280–1
overall impression, 281, 282
ownership, 281
term of protection, 281, 294
1941 Copyright Act, 278–9
assessment, 294–5
commissioned works, 279
employee products, 279
moral rights, 279
ownership, 278
protection requirements, 278
reproduction, 279
term of protection, 279
1941–96 period
1970s insecurity, 292
artistic value, 278, 281, 283, 285
assessment, 293
destination, 286
employee products, 279
intention, 286
partial cumulation and demarcation, 278–88
separability doctrine, 282–8, 294–6
1996–97 period, 289
separability doctrine, 289
term of protection, 289
1997–2001 period, 290
2001–present
artistic value test, 269, 290–1, 294
end of separability, 290–1
implementation of Design Directive, 290–1, 295
judgment of history criterion, 291
litigation volume, 293–4
term of protection, 295
Berne Convention and, 424
Breuer's Wassily chair, 282–8
chronological table of legislation, 270–1
copyright/design interface
2D designs, 438
artistic value criterion, 290–1, 440, 441
assessment, 291–6
choice of focus on, 3
demarcation approach, 436
destination, 439
history, 269–91
influences, 433–45
originality, 432
secondary character, 440
separability criterion, 269, 276–8, 282–8, 289, 290–1, 294–6, 432, 444–5
survey, 269–96
design innovation, 295
late industrial revolution, 292
Nesso lamp, 284
number of design applications, 430, 431, 455
parallel imports, 2
term of protection, 295, 449
unregistered designs, 2

Jacob, Robin, 217
Jacobsen, Arne, 121, 126, 165
Janis, Mark, 341–81
Japan
1888 Design Bylaws
amendments, 386
appearance, 390
definition of design, 388
influences, 383
purpose, 386
registration numbers, 387
subject matter, 386
1899 Design Act
definition of design, 388
registered designs, 387
1909 Design Act, definition of design, 388
1921 Design Act, inseparability from products, 388–9, 392, 402

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Japan (cont.)
1959 Design Act
aesthetic impression, 391
definition of design, 387
legislative purpose, 395
novelty, 385
protection requirement, 385–6
1970 Copyright Act
applied art, 403–4
Article 2(2), 404–6
Berne Convention and, 387, 402, 404, 424
copyright
1899 Act, 401–2
applied art and, 401–4
creativity requirement, 403–4
copyright/design interface
2D designs, 438
artistic value criterion, 440
Buddhist Altar Carvings, 407, 411, 412–13
case law assessment, 410–13
case law v legislative intent, 404–10
Chateau Katsunuma, 408, 412
chocolate toy, 407, 411, 412
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table of legislation, 384
commercial advertisement case, 407, 411
Customised Dolls' Body Parts, 408, 412
decorative street lamp, 407, 412
decorative window lattice, 407
demarcation approach, 433, 437
Design v Copyright Act, 404–20
Double Woven *Obi*, 407, 412
Fashion Show case, 414–15
functional designs, 433
Furby case, 407, 412
Hakata Doll, 407, 411
interpretative approach, 417–18
judicial theories, 412–13
legislative approach, 418–20
NY Chair, 407, 412
originality, 432
partial cumulation, 407–13
Petite Holder, 408, 412
Pot Handle Design, 408, 412
recent cases, 414–17
registration requirements, 430
Shiro Sendai, 408, 412
T-Shirt Pattern Original Drawing, 407, 410
Tripp-Trapp chairs, 408, 412, 415–17, 418
two-level theory, 412–13, 415
typefaces, 409–10
unchanging position, 451
wood-grain decorative paper, 407, 412
writing paper picture, 407, 411, 412
Design Examination Standards, 397
design protection
aesthetic impression, 391–3
appearances constituting designs, 390–1
case law, 390–1, 393, 400–1
colours, 391
confusion theory, 400–1, 413
creation theory, 400–1
creative difficulty, 385, 396–7
creators as owners, 393–4
definition of design, 387–93
development, 383–404
employee designs, 385, 394
infringement, 397–9
inseparability from products, 388–9, 392
mass-productibility, 385, 395
novelty, 385, 396
ownership, 393–4
partial modifications, 389–90
patterns, 390–1
public order/morals exception, 397
registration fees, 399
registration requirement, 385, 395–7
rights, 397–9
shapes, 390
similar designs, 397, 398–401
term of protection, 399
economic boom, 431
ishō, 386
number of design applications, 430, 431–2, 455
UK model, 383, 405
unfair competition law, 411
unregistered designs, 385
unregistrable designs, 397
Jarves, James Jackson, 372
Johnston Report (1960), 205–8, 209
Jugendstil, 141
Juhl, Finn, 126

Kahn, Anne-Emmanuelle, 7–35, 436
Keating, John, 236
Kilburn, William, 178
Klos, Sven, 51
Knight, Jill, 210, 211
Kohler, J., 135, 143

label designs, 355, 356, 362, 365, 368
Lahore Report (1991), 252–4
lamp bases, 303–7, 321

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Law Council of Australia, 266
Le Corbusier, 154, 284, 291, 332, 335, 434, 441
Leistner, M., 167
Locke, John, 348
London Exhibition (1862), 130
Long, F.B., 181
Luck, Janice, 266–7

Magritte, René, 300
Maillard, George, 195, 197–8
Malaysia, 3
Manchester Chamber of Commerce, 196, 200
Marzano, Paolo, 276
Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property, 426–7, 435
merchandising, 176, 205, 242, 300
Mills, Clark, 349
model copyright/design interface
  boundary-fixing criteria
    acceptable criteria, 442–5
    artistic value, 440–1
    author status, 440
    destination, 439
    inadequate criteria, 439–42
    intention, 439
    number of copies made, 442–3
    possible models, 437–45
    reproduction method, 440
    secondary character, 440
    separability criterion, 443–5
  design right requirements, 445–51
    adequate duration, 449–51
    EU model, 446–7
    partial cumulation, 447–9
    sui generis right, 445–7
    UK model, 447–9
  desirability of harmonisation, 421–2
  international efforts
    AIPPI design right, 423
    ALAI design right, 422–3
    copyright protection of designs, 424–8
    EU. *See* European Union
    sui generis design right, 422–4
  international history, 422–8
  national experiences compared, 428–33
  possible models, 433–4
    3D designs, 438
    artistic or industrial property, 434–7
    boundary-fixing criteria, 437–45
    copyright or *sui generis* design right, 434–7
    Germany, 166–70
    scholarly debate, 435–7
  survey, 421–52
  universal suitability, 422
Monkswell, Lord, 193, 194
Moore, Commissioner Edward Bruce, 377
Motoyama, Masahiro, 383–420

National Association of Visual Artists (NAVA), 266
natural law, 348
Nazism, 150–1
neighbouring rights, 138, 155
Nelson, George, 224
Nesso lamp, 284
Netherlands
  1881 Copyright Act, 37
  1912 Copyright Act, 37–8, 44
  1912–53 period, only copyright protection, 37–8
  1953–75 period
    lack of industrial property protection, 44–5
    slavish imitation, 38, 39, 40, 77
  1975 UBLDM, 37, 39–40
    assignment, 73
    clearly artistic character, 45–6
    copyright/design interface, 76
    disappointment, 39–40
    effect on copyright, 76
    infringement, 66–7
    novelty, 41, 47
    ownership, 70–1
    prior use rights, 76
    rights, 65, 76
    Screenoprints, 46–7, 53
    technical exclusion, 51–4
    term of protection, 74–6
    unregistered designs, 73–4
    utility function, 41
    Van Dale v. *Romme*, 47
    works for hire, 72–3
  2003 to present, 40–1
    creativity, 47, 48
    Endstra, 47
    implementation of Design Directive, 40, 49–51
    novelty, 42–3
    recent developments, 47–51
    term of protection, 75
    Tripp Trapp, 48, 54, 55–7, 64
  2006 Benelux Convention, 37, 40–1
    novelty, 42–3
  assessment
    excluded designs, 77–8
    object of protection, 76–7

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Netherlands (cont.)
ownership, 78–9
rights and infringement, 78
Berne Convention and, 38, 44
copyright law
artistic value, 43
constructive ownership, 71–2
exclusive rights, 76
exploitation rights, 65–6
ideas exclusion, 61–4
infringement, 67
monopolistic effects, 58–9
originality, 43–5
overall impression criterion, 68
ownership, 71
primacy, 37–8, 76, 79
style and trend exclusion, 58–9
technical exclusion, 54–8
copyright/design interface, 36–79
assessment, 76–9
choice of focus on, 3, 4
chronological table, 36–7
intention, 432
UBLDM, 76
employee products, 71–3
constructive ownership of copyright, 71–2
design rights, 72–3
excluded designs, 51–65
assessment, 77–8
ideas, 61–5, 78
style and trend, 58–61
technical and functional forms, 51–8, 77–8
Hague Agreement and, 38
history of design protection, 37–41
ideas exclusion, 61–5
aesthetic inventions, 63–4
assessment, 78
chairs, 61–2
copyright law, 61–4
design law, 64–5
infringement, 78
Copyright Act, 67
UBLDM, 66–7
moral rights, 38
object of protection, 41–51, 76–7
originality
assessment, 77
compliance with EU requirement, 49–51
copyright law, 43–5
Fire Stoves, 50, 74
overall impression criterion, 68–70, 78
aims, 68
arguments for, 70
combination rule and, 69
constructive nature, 68–9
criticisms, 69
Design Directive, 70
ownership, 70–4
assessment, 78–9
assignment, 73
commissioning parties, 73–4
copyright law, 71
employee products, 71–3
prior use rights, 76
UBLDM, 70–1
unregistered designs, 73–4
rights, 65–6, 78
Copyright Act, 65–6, 76
UBLDM, 65, 76
specialty, 41–2
style and trend exclusion, 58–61
copyright law, 58–9
Decaux v. *Mediamax*, 59, 61, 63–4
design law, 61
technical exclusion, 51–8, 77–8
aspect-oriented exclusion, 52–4
CJEU case law, 57–8
copyright law, 54–8
corkscrews, 52–3
effect-oriented exclusion, 51–4
functionality and vision, 55
high chairs, 55–7
originality as effect, 55–7
Technip, 55
Tripp Trapp, 54, 55–7
UBLDM, 51–4
unfair competition law, 4, 37, 79
unregistered designs, 73–4, 77–8
water treatment machine, 49
New Zealand, 243
Nicholson Report (1984), 215
non-expert defence, 203, 248, 251
Norway
1910 Design Act, 113
1970 Design Act, 108, 114–16
copyright and, 118
object of protection, 114
partial cumulation, 120
registration, 114–16
copyright/design interface
1970–2001 partial cumulation, 114–20
2001–15 period, 122–3
2009-present, 123–5
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table, 108
functional designs, 433, 448
history, 110–25

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

pre-1970, 110–13
raised-bar approach, 125–6
implementation of Design Directive, 120
number of design applications, 458

Ohly, Ansgar, 128–70
Oldenburg, Claes, 299
Opsvik, Peter, 56
Osterrieth, A., 143

Paine, Commissioner Halbert, 373–5
Papadopoulou, Maria-Daphne, 80–107
parallel imports, 2
Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (1883), 41, 88, 198, 199, 434
partial cumulation
See also individual countries
meaning, 6, 110
model design right, 447–9
Perot-Model, M.A., 442, 444
Peto Report (1935), 199–200
photographs
Australia, 239, 258
EU, 125, 160, 343–4
Germany, 131, 142, 155, 156
United Kingdom, 193, 197
United States, 343–4, 355
Piola-Caselli, E., 273, 274, 276, 433
plant varieties, 138, 447
Popeye, 200–1, 242
Pouillet, Eugène, 12, 433
Putnam, Herbert, 374–5

Quaedvlieg, Antoon, 36–79
Quant, Mary, 205

Reichman, Jerome, 444
Reynolds-Stephen, William Ernst, 195
Ricketson, Sam, 451
Rogers, John, 370–2
Rognstad, Ole-Andreas, 122
Roland, Conrad, 157
Roscioni, Marcello, 426–7
Rosenmeier, Morten, 108–27

Scandinavia
See also individual countries
copyright/design interface, 108–27
1970–2001, 114–20
2001–15 period, 120–3
2009-present, 123–5
assessment, 125–7
choice of focus on, 3, 4
chronological table, 108
development, 110–25, 428
pre-1970, 110–13
raised-bar approach, 125–6
EU harmonisation, 120, 123–5
Nordic Copyright Acts, 109
Nordic Design Acts, 108, 114–16
copyright and, 116–19
failure, 120
object of protection, 114
registration, 114–16
term of protection, 449
Scarratt Rigby, John, 195–6
Schanze, O., 143
Schinkel, Karl Friedrich, 130
Schovsbo, Jens, 108–27, 429–30
Scrutton, Thomas, 193
Sena, Giuseppe, 283
separability
assessment of criterion, 443–5
Italy, 269, 276–8, 282–8, 289, 290–1, 294–6, 432, 444–5
Japan, 388–9, 392
US. *See* United States
Sheriff & Co., 178
Sherman, Brad, 235
Simonds, Commissioner William, 350–1, 368–9
Singapore, 3
Spicer Report (1959), 243, 244
Spofford, Ainsworth, 364
Spoor, J.H., 47
Stam, Mart, 148, 165
Stamatoudi, Irini, 80–107
Stein, Gertrude, 125, 332
Suppanen, Ilkka, 335
Swan Report (1947), 201–2, 203
Sweden
1899 Design Act, 113
1970 Design Act, 108, 114–16
copyright and, 118–19
object of protection, 114
partial cumulation, 120
registration, 114–16
copyright/design interface
1970–2001 partial cumulation, 114–20
2001–15 period, 121–3
2009-present, 123–5
assessment, 125–7
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table, 108
functional designs, 433, 448
history, 110–25
pre-1970, 110–13
raised-bar approach, 125–6

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Sweden (cont.)
implementation of EU Design Directive, 120
number of design applications, 430, 458
originality, 121–2, 430
Symon, Josiah, 237

Takahashi, Korekiyo, 383
Taylor, Zachary, 349, 351
Teilmann-Lock, S., 429–30
Tennant, James Emerson, 182
term of protection
See also individual countries
adequate duration, 449–51
Australia, 257–8
Benelux, 74–6, 433, 449
Berne Convention, 447, 450, 451
EU Design Directive, 75, 295, 450
Germany, 449
Greece, 83, 102
Hague Convention (1960), 257
Italy, 273, 279, 281, 289, 294, 295, 449
Japan, 399
Netherlands, 74–6
Scandinavia, 449
United Kingdom, 207, 449
terminology, 5–6
Theaker, Commissioner Thomas Clarke, 358
Thomson, Charles Poullett, 181
Thring, Lord, 193
total cumulation, meaning, 5
tracksuits, 26
Tripp-Trapp chairs, 48, 54, 55–7, 64, 154, 166, 408, 412, 415–17, 418, 419, 434
TRIPS, 258, 422, 423, 424, 434, 451
tube chairs, 332–3

Ulmer, E., 138, 154–5
unfair competition law
copyright/design interface and, 4, 428, 430, 445
European Union, 4
Germany. *See* Germany
Greece, 4, 80, 84–5, 87, 91
Japan, 411
Netherlands, 4, 37, 79
United States, 359, 380, 391
United Kingdom
1787–1911 period
1787–1839 origins, 177–83
1839 Registration Act, 183–5, 190
1839–1911 overlap, 183–91
1842 Registration Act, 184, 185
1862 Copyright Act, 188–90
1883 definition of design, 237, 238
Calico Acts, 177–9, 181
Christmas cards, 189
Ewart Report (1835), 180–1
Gorell Report (1910), 194–6
late nineteenth century, 191–6
new logic, 235
quiet co-existence, 171, 177–96
sculptures, 184–8, 239
tables of legislation, 179, 186–7
1911 Copyright Act
implementation in Australia, 239–44
intention, 439
s.22, 200, 201, 202–3, 224
1911–68 period
1911–40 demarcation policy, 196–200
1941–68 demarcation challenges, 200–10
definition of design, 249
demarcation period, 171, 196–210
design copyright concept, 206–7, 209
Dorling v Honnor, 212, 247, 251
Gregory Report (1951), 202–3, 242–3, 439
industrial change, 204–5
Johnston Report (1960), 205–8, 209
King Features case, 200–1, 242, 243, 439
non-expert defence, 203
Peto Report (1935), 199–200
registration statistics, 204
Swan Report (1947), 201–2, 203
towards 1968 Act, 204–10
1956 Copyright Act
Australian legislation and, 244
industrial reproductions, 140
reforming, 207
s.10, 140, 203, 211, 212
term of protection, 207
1968–present
1968 Design Copyright Act, 210–16, 246
1968–89 industrial copyright, 210–16
1988 Act, 216–20, 443
1988–2015 design registrations, 222
1995–2015 registrations, 223
Amp v Utilux, 247, 249
car spare parts, 215–16, 218, 249
cumulation, 171, 210–25, 246
EU impact, 220–5
Hensher v Restawhile, 211–12, 440
Nicholson Report (1984), 215
registration statistics, 220
Whitford Report (1977), 214–15

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

Artistic Copyright Society, 194, 197
Berne Convention and, 194–6, 210, 424
copyright/design interface
2D designs, 438
3D designs, 438
artistic value, 432, 440
choice of focus on, 3
chronological table of legislation, 172–5
commissioned works, 448
conflict, 171–225
copyright protection of design, 434
demarcation approach, 433
employee designs, 448
intention, 432, 439
legislative approaches, 433
model, 447–9
number of copies criterion, 442–3, 447–8
ownership, 448
partial cumulation, 6, 447–9
reproduction method, 440
cotton industry
19th century, 171, 177–9, 181, 183
20th century, 196, 204
European Union and, 177
Flos case and, 2, 223
full cumulation, 220–5
Hague Convention (1960) and, 209, 210
industrial history, 171–7
legal model for, 3, 191
Australia, 226, 230–1, 232–3, 237, 238, 239–44
Japan, 383, 405
US design patents, 345, 346–8
number of design applications, 456
patent numbers, 204
Patent Office, 191, 208, 209, 210
photographs, 193, 197
term of protection, 207, 449
unregistered designs, 1, 2, 6
1968 Act, 210–16
1988 Act, 216–20, 221
definition criteria, 438
design copyright concept, 206–7, 209
industrial copyright, 210–16
United States
1842–70 design patent/copyright interface
1842 Act, 345–54
1861 Act, 354, 360
designs for trademarks, 355–9
isolationism, 345–59
label designs, 355, 356
statutes and busts, 346–7, 348–53
subject matter, 345–8
useful patterns, prints, pictures for articles of manufacture, 353–9
1870–1952 design patent/copyright interface
1870 IP Act, 359–72
1902 Act, 373–5
1909 Act, 375–7
Bleistein v Donaldson, 374, 376, 441
case law, 365–9
commercial prints, 362–9
copyright expansionism, 377
election era, 372–7
Ex parte Parker, 366–7
fine art works, 369–72
Gorham case, 369–70, 372
Higgins case, 367–9
label designs, 362, 365, 368
Louis de Jonge case, 376–7
originality, 374
registration costs, 363–4
statues and busts, 360, 373
subject matter, 360–2
1952–present design patent/copyright interface
copyright expansionism, 378
cumulation, 377–81
Mazer, 45, 303–7, 321, 327, 378
Sears, 380
watch faces, 378–81
Yardley, 378–81
1976 Copyright Act
Congress reform proposals, 307–9, 336
high threshold, 298
PGS works, 299–302
photographs, 343
protection of original designs (Ch 13), 336–9
boat-building industries, 337
Civil War, 360
design patent/copyright interface
1842–70 isolationism, 345–59
1870–1952, 377
1952–present, 377–81
artistic value, 303, 374, 441
assessment, 382
choice of focus on, 3, 4
chronological table of legislation, 341–1
concurrence, 343
development, 341–81, 428
merger doctrine, *Mazer*, 45
Legal Realism, 138

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19867-8 — The Copyright/Design Interface
Edited by Estelle Derclaye
Index
More Information

United States (cont.)
number of design applications, 431–2, 456
PGS works
1909 Copyright Act, 302
1910 Copyright Office regulations, 302
1949 Copyright Office regulations, 302–3
bear paw slippers, 325–6
chair inside trunk, 329–31
cheerleader uniforms, 318–28
crash dummy, 314–16
crocodile example, 301–2, 323
dancer statuettes, 303–7, 321, 327
fish pitchers, 327
lamp bases, 303–7, 321, 327
registrability, 310–16
separability test, 297–8, 432
sheep-shaped stool seat, 326
statutory definitions, 299–302
three-dimensional works, 300–2
two-step approach, 300, 330
useful articles, 318–21
photographs, 343–4, 355
separability rule for PGS works, 297–8, 432
acceptability, 443–5
birth order problem, 300–2, 328–34
case law, 316–34
Copyright Office interpretation, 306–7, 310–16, 323, 338
designer's intention, 439, 444
genesis, 302–10
Heptagon v Core, 329–31
interchangeability, 320
legislative reform proposals, 307–9, 336
legislative solution, 298, 336–9
Mazer case, 45, 303–7, 321, 327
meaning, 297–8
mirror image approach, 321–8
useful article threshold, 318–21, 324–5, 338–9
Star Athletica
birth order issue, 328
mirror image approach, 321–8
principles, 332–4
separability test, 297, 298, 340, 444
solely artistic features, 327
useful article threshold, 318–21, 324–5, 330
unfair competition law, 359, 380, 391
unregistered designs
Australia, 255, 266
demarcation, 6, 437
EU, 33, 34–5, 50–1, 164, 220, 427, 449
France, 34–5
Germany, 2, 134, 138, 168, 169
industrial preferences, 435
Italy, 2
Japan, 385
model interface, 444, 446–7
Netherlands, 73–4, 77–8
UK, 1, 2, 6, 206–7, 209, 210–20, 221, 438

Van Nispen, C.J.J.C., 65
Vercellone, Paolo, 283, 286
Vienna Exhibition (1873), 130
Vienna, Congress of (1815), 129
Visser, D.J.G., 51

Wagenfeld, Wilhelm, 154
Wallace, William, 209, 210
Walt Disney Organisation, 246
watchfaces, 378–81
Wegner, Hans, 126
Werkbund, 142
Whitford Report (1977), 214–15
Wiechmann, Heike, 158
WIPO
data, 5
model design right, 424

Yanagi, Sori, 332–3

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org