

JUDICIAL ACTS AND INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION

Judicial acts of states are becoming increasingly subjected to international investment claims. This book focuses on distinctive particularities of these claims. Although there are no special responsibility regimes for different functions of the state, the application of investment treaty standards and the threshold for their breach may vary depending on the function involved. Accordingly, in order for the state to incur responsibility for a wrongful act committed in the exercise of its judicial function, some specific conditions should be met: the investor must establish that the state is responsible for a breach attributable to the state, the investment tribunal has jurisdiction over the particular dispute, and the damage that the investor has suffered is a result of the particular breach. Berk Demirkol addresses questions in relation to the substance, jurisdiction, admissibility and remedies in cases where state responsibility arises from a wrongful judicial act.

BERK DEMIRKOL is a lecturer at the University of Galatasaray, Istanbul, and founder of Demirkol Consultancy in London. He is also the author of the book *Law Applicable to Contracts under Turkish Private International Law* (in Turkish). He is registered to the Istanbul Bar. He was named as the Laureate of the 2017 edition of the ICC Institute Prize for his book *Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration*.

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC LAW

Series editors

Dr Lorand Bartels,
University of Cambridge
Professor Thomas Cottier,
University of Berne
Professor William Davey,
University of Illinois

As the processes of regionalisation and globalisation have intensified, there have been accompanying increases in the regulations of international trade and economic law at the levels of international, regional and national laws.

The subject matter of this series is international economic law. Its core is the regulation of international trade, investment and cognate areas such as intellectual property and competition policy. The series publishes books on related regulatory areas, in particular human rights, labour, environment and culture, as well as sustainable development. These areas are vertically linked at the international, regional and national level, and the series extends to the implementation of these rules at these different levels. The series also includes works on governance, dealing with the structure and operation of related international organisations in the field of international economic law, and the way they interact with other subjects of international and national law.

Books in the series

Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration

Berk Demirkol

Distributive Justice and World Trade Law: A Political Theory of International Trade Regulation

Oisín Suttle

Freedom of Transit and Access to Gas Pipeline Networks Under WTO Law

Vitalily Pogoretskyy

Reclaiming Development in the World Trading System

Yong-Shik Lee

Developing Countries and Preferential Services Trade

Charlotte Sieber-Gasser

WTO Dispute Settlement and the TRIPS Agreement: Applying Intellectual Property Standards in a Trade Law Framework

Matthew Kennedy

Establishing Judicial Authority in International Economic Law

Edited by Joanna Jemielniak, Laura Nielsen and Henrik Palmer Olsen

Trade, Investment, Innovation and their Impact on Access to Medicines: An Asian Perspective

Locknie Hsu

The Law, Economics and Politics of International Standardisation

Panagiotis Delimatsis

The WTO and International Investment Law: Converging Systems

Jürgen Kurtz

Export Restrictions on Critical Minerals and Metals: Testing the Adequacy of WTO Disciplines

Ilaria Espa

Optimal Regulation and the Law of International Trade: The Interface between Societal Values and WTO Law

Boris Rigod

The Social Foundations of World Trade: Norms, Community and Constitution

Sungjoon Cho

Public Participation and Legitimacy in the WTO

Yves Bonzon

The Challenge of Safeguards in the WTO

Fernando Piérola

General Interests of Host States in International Investment Law

Edited by Giorgio Sacerdoti, Pia Acconci, Mara Valenti and Anna De Luca

The Law of Development Cooperation: A Comparative Analysis of the World Bank, the EU and Germany

Philipp Dann

WTO Disciplines on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures: Balancing Policy Space and Legal Constraints

Dominic Coppens

Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Müslüm Yılmaz

International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity?

Marina Foltea

Public Services and International Trade Liberalization: Human Rights and Gender Implications

Barnali Choudhury

The Law and Politics of WTO Waivers: Stability and Flexibility in Public International Law

Isabel Feichtner

African Regional Trade Agreements as Legal Regimes

James Thuo Gathii

Liberalizing International Trade after Doha

David Gantz

Processes and Production Methods (PPMs) in WTO Law: Interfacing Trade and Social Goals

Christiane R. Conrad

Non-Discrimination in International Trade in Services: 'Likeness' in WTO/GATS

Nicolas Diebold

The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement

Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn

The Multilateralization of International Investment Law

Stephan W. Schill

Trade Policy Flexibility and Enforcement in the WTO: A Law and Economics Analysis

Simon A.B. Schropp

JUDICIAL ACTS AND
INVESTMENT TREATY
ARBITRATION

BERK DEMIRKOL
University of Galatasaray



Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19846-3 — Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration
Berk Demirkol
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre,
New Delhi - 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of
education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107198463

DOI: 10.1017/9781108182515

© Berk Demirkol 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without the written
permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-1-107-19846-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy
of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication,
and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain,
accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19846-3 — Judicial Acts and Investment Treaty Arbitration
Berk Demirkol
Frontmatter
[More Information](#)

To my grandparents, Nurhayat and Haydar Pakter,
and to my wife, Eda Coşar Demirkol,
for their love and support

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	page xiii
<i>List of Authorities</i>	xv
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxx

1	International State Responsibility for Wrongful Judicial Acts	1
I	Introduction	1
A	Scope and Thesis of the Study	2
B	Structure of the Book	3
II	Invoking State Responsibility for Judicial Acts under General International Law	5
A	The Term ‘Judicial Act’	5
B	Historical Evolution of the Modalities of Reparation under International Law	7
C	Contemporary Understanding of State Responsibility for Judicial Acts	12
D	Current Dynamics of Invoking State Responsibility	17
E	Does International Law Distinguish Judicial Acts from Acts of Other State Organs for State Responsibility Purposes?	21
III	Differentiation of Wrongful Judicial Acts: Denial of Justice and Breaches of Other International Obligations in the Exercise of Judicial Function	24
2	International Protection with Respect to Wrongful Judicial Acts in Investment Treaty Arbitration	30
I	Investment Treaty Undertakings Relating to Judicial Acts	30
A	Fair and Equitable Treatment	31
B	Effective Means of Asserting Claims and Enforcing Rights	39
C	Prohibition of Unlawful Expropriation	50
II	International Causes of Action Relating to Judicial Acts Other Than Investment Treaty Undertakings	57
A	Scope of Host State’s Offer to Settle Investment Disputes through Arbitration in the Dispute Settlement Provision of Investment Treaties	60

- B Exclusivity of the Forum Designated in the Dispute Settlement Provision of the (Non-investment) Treaty 65
 - C Investor's Standing to Invoke the Particular Right Stipulated under the (Non-investment) Treaty 71
- 3 Completeness of the Breach and Exhaustion of Local Remedies as a Substantive Requirement 75**
- I Meaning of the 'Completeness' of the Breach 75
 - II Completeness of the Breach as an Issue of Substance and Distinction from the Procedural Rule of Local Remedies 77
 - III Exhaustion of Local Remedies as a Substantive Requirement in Denial of Justice Claims 82
 - IV Absence of Further Effective Remedies in Cases of Denial of Justice 86
 - A Principle: Exhaustion of Local Remedies in the Whole System of Justice 86
 - B Exception: Circumstances Not Requiring the Exhaustion of the Whole System of Justice 88
 - C Ineffectiveness of Further Remedies 92
 - D Economic and Financial Effectiveness of the Remedies and the *Loewen v USA* Case 97
 - V Maturity of Investment Treaty Claims 102
 - A Completeness of an Expropriation 103
 - B Completeness of a Breach of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard 106
 - C Completeness of a Breach of the Effective Means Standard 110
- 4 Redressing Wrongful Judicial Acts in Investment Treaty Arbitration 114**
- I Investor's Legal Interest to Invoke State Responsibility for Wrongful Judicial Act 114
 - A Lack of Legal Interest as a Ground for the Inadmissibility of the Claim 114
 - B Determination of the Loss Incurred in the Course of Domestic Judicial Process as a Justification for Legal Interest in the Investment Claim 116
 - C Incurrence of Loss Prior to the Finalisation of the Judicial Process: *Loewen v USA* as a Case Study 118
 - II Causality between the Wrongful Judicial Act and the Damage 121
 - A Causal Link between the Breach and the Damage in Cases Involving State Responsibility for Judicial Acts 121
 - B Causal Link in the *White Industries v India* Case 124
 - III Valuation of the Claim in Domestic Proceedings 126

CONTENTS

xi

A	Black Method: No Analysis of the Merits of the Domestic Claim, No Damage	127
B	White Method: No Analysis of the Merits of the Domestic Claim, Full Recovery for the Breach	128
C	Probability Method: Loss of a Chance	129
D	True Loss Method: Stepping into the Shoes of the Domestic Judge	131
IV	Availability of Non-pecuniary Remedies in Investment Arbitration for Redressing Wrongful Judicial Acts	134
A	Declaration of Wrongfulness of a Judicial Act	136
B	Juridical Restitution of Rights Involved in Domestic Court Proceedings	139
C	Injunctive Remedies against Wrongful Judicial Acts in the Form of Specific Performance of the Primary Obligation and Cessation of the Wrongful Act	145
D	First Case Study: <i>Chevron v Ecuador II</i>	147
E	Second Case Study: <i>ATA v Jordan</i>	152
5	Denial of Justice and Violation of Due Process	156
I	Concept of ‘Denial of Justice’	156
A	Problem of Terminology	156
B	Controversy over the Scope of State Responsibility for Denial of Justice	158
C	Definition of the Term ‘Denial of Justice’	159
II	Scope of the International Protection against Denial of Justice	161
III	Threshold of Wrongfulness in Cases of Denial of Justice	166
IV	Typical Cases of Denial of Justice	171
A	Denial of Access to Justice	171
B	Interference of the Executive in Judicial Proceedings	174
C	Non-execution of Judgments	176
D	Undue Delay	179
E	Manifest Injustice or Substantive Denial of Justice	184
V	Violation of Due Process and Denial of Justice: Two Sides of the Same Coin or Two Separate Causes of Action?	192
6	Supervisory Function of Domestic Courts over International Commercial Arbitration	199
I	Scope of Investment Claims Relating to Supervisory Function of Domestic Courts over International Commercial Arbitration	199
II	Qualification of the Rights Involved in Commercial Arbitration as ‘Investment’	202
III	Intertemporal Principle with Respect to Substantive Protection in Relation to Commercial Arbitration Agreements and Awards	205

IV	Violation of International Obligations in Relation to Commercial Arbitration Agreements and Awards	209
V	Ordering Cessation of Court Proceedings to Protect the Integrity of the Arbitration Agreement	214
7	Coercive Measures of the Judiciary against the Investor	216
	I Criminal Proceedings	216
	II Bankruptcy/Liquidation Proceedings	225
	III Provisional Measures and Freezing Orders	230
8	Conclusion	234
	<i>Bibliography</i>	236
	<i>Index</i>	245

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This book is mainly the product of doctoral research that I undertook at the University of Cambridge under the supervision of Professor Zachary Douglas and Professor James Crawford. Professor Douglas's critical approach, which often challenged the validity of widely accepted statements, and Professor Crawford's invaluable comments provided me with perspicacious guidance throughout my PhD project. Any words of gratitude to both my supervisors would remain insufficient.

I defended the main arguments in this book before the viva committee comprising Dr Martins Paparinskis and Dr Michael Waibel. Their feedback on the controversial aspects of the views expressed in this book has been highly influential, enabling me to reshape and strengthen the way I present my approach. I am deeply indebted to Dr Paparinskis and Dr Waibel for their constructive examination of the thesis defended in this book.

I am extremely privileged to have worked as an intern in the international arbitration department at the Paris office of Shearman & Sterling, LLP in the summer of 2012. I am grateful to Dr Yas Banifatemi for giving me this opportunity; to the then associates Dr Veronika Korom, Dr Arno Gildemeister and Mr Benjamin Sinno, with whom I had the chance to have challenging practice-related, as well as theoretical, discussions; and to my colleagues Ms Mina Morova, Mr Pierre Viguier, Mr Ali Adamjee, Mr Jeremy Record, Mr Martin Guermonprez, Mr Ricardo Alarcon, Mr Rayan Keyrouz, Mr Michal Swarabowicz, Mr Yehia Shahine, Ms Marija Sobat, Dr Ryan Manton and Ms Brittany Pulford, among others, for making the working environment the most collaborative and pleasant place.

A special tribute is due to the examiners of my first year report, Dr Lorand Bartels and Professor Guglielmo Verdirame; my friends Mr Besim Hatinoğlu, Dr Michail Dekastros, Dr Michail Risvas, Dr Valentin Jeutner, Dr Henri Decoeur, Dr Jonathan Ketcherson, Dr Rumiana Yotova, Dr Govert Coppens, Dr Boshko Stankovski, Dr Cecily Rose, Dr Caroline Henckels, Dr Vladyslav Lanovoy, Dr Maria de la Colina,

Dr Josef Ostransky, Mr Cesar Coronel Ortega, Dr Mehmet Karlı, Ms Hande Bengisu, Mr Selim Can Bilgin, Mr Alptuğ Tokeşer and Ms Ürün Tekin, with whom I occasionally exchanged ideas related to my thesis; and Dr Emma Bickerstaffe, who kindly accepted to read my thesis before submission.

I am thankful to Mrs Alison Hirst of Cambridge University Law Faculty for always being ready to help during every stage of my PhD studies (from admission to submission) and to the Squire Law Librarian Mr David Wills. I owe special gratitude to Robinson College and its staff for making me feel at home during my studies in Cambridge, especially to the then Senior Tutor's Assistant Mrs Karen Kempton, as well as my friends in the MCR community. I should also thank the staff of the Robinson College Red Brick Café and Grad Café in the University Centre for endowing these places with a friendly and cheerful soul.

The revision of the manuscript, a process that turned my PhD thesis into a structured book, would not have been possible without the insightful comments of the reviewers and the support provided by Ms Kim Hughes, Ms Gemma Smith and Mr David Morris of Cambridge University Press and by Mr Abdus Salam Mazumder and Ms Holly T Monteith.

Above all, I am most grateful to my partner in life, Ms Eda Coşar Demirkol, who has not only read and commented on my thesis and book manuscript with her critical approach and engaged in stimulating discussions but also encouraged, inspired and led me in my journey from PhD studentship to becoming an academic and a better man.