MARKETS AND MORALS

Considering efficiency, equality, and morality, this book argues for qualified market expansion, particularly in legalizing kidney sales and prostitution. Legalizing prostitution will benefit both men and women, as argued in a chapter jointly written with Yan Wang. Blood donation without monetary compensation can still result in adequate blood supply if schools educate children that blood donation can actually benefit a donors' health. As a society becomes more advanced, with higher incomes and a better educated populace, more activities can be subject to market exchange, with gradual popular acceptance. Without serious misinformation and irrationality, inequality/fairness as such cannot be a valid reason for limiting the scope of the market. The book supports the use of markets to increase efficiency while also increasing the effort to promote equality, making all income groups better off, as was previously argued by the author in the *American Economic Review*.

Yew-Kwang Ng is Professor in Economics, Nanyang Technological University; Emeritus Professor, Monash University; Fellow of the Academy of the Social Sciences in Australia; and member of the Advisory Board, Global Priorities Institute, University of Oxford. He will be Special Visiting Professor at the School of Economics, Fudan University, from mid-2019. In 2007, he received the highest award (Distinguished Fellow) of the Economic Society of Australia. He delivered the inaugural Atkinson Memorial Lecture at the University of Oxford in 2018. He has 11.5 papers (joint papers counted fractionally) in the top five journals in economics, including one published when an undergraduate. He has also published more than 30 books and more than 250 refereed journal papers in economics, biology, cosmology, informetrics, mathematics, philosophy, psychology, and sociology, including the American Economic Review, The Economic Journal, Journal of Political Economy, and The Review of Economic Studies.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-19494-6 — Markets and Morals Yew-Kwang Ng Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Markets and Morals

JUSTIFYING KIDNEY SALES AND LEGALIZING PROSTITUTION

YEW-KWANG NG

Nanyang Technological University, Singapore School of Economics, Fudan University, Shanghai.



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107194946 DOI: 10.1017/9781108163828

© Yew-Kwang Ng 2019

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2019

Printed and bound in Great Britain by Clays Ltd, Elcograf S.p.A.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data NAMES: Ng, Yew-Kwang, author.

TITLE: Markets and morals : justifying kidney sales and legalizing prostitution / Yew-Kwang Ng, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. DESCRIPTION: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY : Cambridge University Press, 2019. | Includes bibliographical references. IDENTIFIERS: LCCN 2018038855| ISBN 9781107194946 (hardback) | ISBN 9781316646571 (paperback) SUBJECTS: LCSH: Economics – Moral and ethical aspects. | Capitalism – Moral and ethical aspects. CLASSIFICATION: LCC HB72 .N4896 2019 | DDC 174/.4–dc23 LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018038855

ISBN 978-1-107-19494-6 Hardback ISBN 978-1-316-64657-1 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-19494-6 — Markets and Morals Yew-Kwang Ng Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Contents

face	<i>page</i> vii
rnowledgements	xi
Introduction	
	1
	6
· ·	11
	14
The Inequality/Exploitation Case against	
Commodification Is Invalid	24
Repugnance? Similar to 'Honour' Killing	41
Crowding Out or Crowding In?	46
Market Expansion Is a Mark of Progress	53
The Case for Legalizing Kidney Sales	60
Making Presumed Consent the Default Option	68
Blood Donation	73
Prostitution	75
Ms Yan Wang and Prof. Yew-Kwang Ng	
Conscription	86
Profiteering	93
Water: A Typical Case of Under-Pricing	99
Fines, Imprisonment, or Whipping?	103
Some Specific Areas	109
17.1 From Slavery to Surrogate Pregnancy	109
17.2 From Vote Trading to Corruption	111
17.3 From Friendship to Nobel Prizes	113
17.4 Paying Others for Line Standing/Sitting	115
17.5 Selling Permits for Hunting Rhinos	116
	Introduction The Well-Known Case of Lateness Fees Extending Economic Analysis The Anti-Market Sentiment The Inequality/Exploitation Case against Commodification Is Invalid Repugnance? Similar to 'Honour' Killing Crowding Out or Crowding In? Market Expansion Is a Mark of Progress The Case for Legalizing Kidney Sales Making Presumed Consent the Default Option Blood Donation Prostitution Ms Yan Wang and Prof. Yew-Kwang Ng Conscription Profiteering Water: A Typical Case of Under-Pricing Fines, Imprisonment, or Whipping? Some Specific Areas 17.1 From Slavery to Surrogate Pregnancy 17.2 From Vote Trading to Corruption 17.3 From Friendship to Nobel Prizes 17.4 Paying Others for Line Standing/Sitting

> vi Contents Educational Equality, University Admission, Hospital 17.6 Priority, Jury Service 116 **Concluding Remarks** 18 119 Appendices: Welfare versus Preference Appendix A 126 Appendix B Happiness as the Only Ultimate Value: A Moral Philosophical Perspective 130 B.1 What Is Happiness? 130 B.2 Happiness as the Only Intrinsic Value 135 **B.3** Answering Some Objections 141 B.4 Rejecting Kant's Categorical Imperatives 145 B.5 A Critique of Rawls 148 B.6 Further Opposing Arguments Considered 152 Appendix C Extending Economic Analysis to Analyze Policy Issues More Broadly 156 Appendix D Immigration Typically Makes Existing Residents Better Off 170 Appendix E A Democratic Decision on COEs: Striking a Balance between Elitism and Populism 175 References 178 Index 200

Preface

This book addresses a very important problem of general interest, the appropriate scope of using the market. After the collapse of the USSR and the transition of many countries from non-market to market economies, most people accept the use of the market in the traditional sphere of 'normal' goods and services. However, whether the use of market exchanges, especially those involving monetary payment, should be expanded to cover such areas as kidney sales and prostitution is much more controversial. This book tackles this fundamental problem, in both general and specific cases.

Since this book deals with the desirability of allowing or banning certain market exchanges, it needs to have some normative foundation. I am fully open on my stance in this matter. I am a 100% welfarist, believing that, ultimately speaking, only welfare or happiness is of intrinsic value and that public policies should be for the promotion of social welfare in the long run, although we should not rule out the consideration of animal welfare. The moral philosophical argument justifying this position is provided in Appendix B. However, even Kantians (those believing in some intrinsic deontic rules not justified by welfare considerations) may find the book useful, as something useful is still possible within the constraints of not violating those rules.

In my usage, welfare and happiness differ only in two trivial senses. First, we tend to use happiness to describe the current situation and welfare for the longer term. Given the time period that this book deals with, this difference disappears. Strictly speaking, we are using an a-temporal analysis, largely ignoring the complication of multi-periods, but referring to 'the future' where necessary. Second, welfare is more formal and happiness less so. Ignoring this formality, we use the two terms, and also 'subjective well-being', synonymously.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-19494-6 — Markets and Morals Yew-Kwang Ng Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

viii

Preface

I use happiness in its subjective, affective, or feeling sense, and not the cognitive sense which, in my view, should be called life satisfaction. I prefer using happiness instead of life satisfaction since the latter is related to how one contributes to the happiness of others, and may thus constitute double counting. For any given period, say from the time you wake up until now, your net happiness is all (including the sensuous or bodily and the spiritual) your affective feelings (those feelings that matter to you, that make you feel either positively or negatively) over this period, counting the happy feelings positively and the unhappy feelings negatively, with intensities taken into account. Other non-affective or non-subjective definitions of happiness tend to confuse morality with fact, and/or is confused by the effects on the happiness in the future or on others. Please see Appendix B for details.

Given my welfarist position, many apparently unsolvable issues are all resolved at the level of costs and benefits in terms of welfare. For example, consider: 'the reasons that matter most to each side [of the pro and anti-market debate] come in with different weights: market advocates weigh autonomy and the negative liberty/anti-paternalism reasons as the heaviest, most important reasons, whereas nonmarket advocates weigh promoting an attitude of dignity and social civic community as heaviest, most important reasons' (Gillespie 2017, pp. 12–13). With different weights used, it seems impossible to reach any agreement. However, if we agree that the ultimate value is welfare, the correct weights for different elements should ultimately be based on their contributions to welfare in the long run, taking all relevant effects into account. One may still differ on the likely magnitudes, but the ultimate basic value could be the same.

From time immemorial and without record, through Mencius' compassion (恻隐之心) and 'being ashamed of evil deeds' (羞恶之心), Adam Smith's moral sentiments, to recent evolutionary theory of morality for cooperation (e.g., Frank 1987, Stanford 2018), morality as an important element of human nature has been much discussed. Our inborn moral sentiments help us to survive by having better interpersonal relationships, including cooperating better, for example, in market exchanges. They are further shaped by our experience, education, and other social influences. Our higher material achievements facilitated by markets also enhance our moral capability. Markets and morals are thus mutually reinforcing. However, they also have some negative or limiting effects on the each other. As ably put by Friedman and McNeill:

Markets need morals.... Though few realize it, markets have also made us more moral. They not only encourage cooperation, but since they've

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-19494-6 — Markets and Morals Yew-Kwang Ng Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>

Preface

increased wealth, they've enabled boons like police and public health systems. As we brought morals and markets into alignment a few hundred years ago, warfare dropped off and so did crime. Markets bred the world of peace we live in today. ... But if the benefits run both ways, so do the hazards.... Morals sabotage markets.... Markets can also corrupt morals. (2013, p. 6)

Could we use markets more without too much corrupting effects on morals? Could we keep the high benefits of morality while expanding the scope of markets? Hopefully, this book will contribute a little towards answering such questions. The important points made in this book include:

- The basic framework of economic analysis may be extended to include such effects as the possible crowding out of intrinsic motivation and morality in using the market. This may help answer the questions posed in this book and other questions involving factors beyond narrow economic effects more adequately (Chapter 3 and Appendix C).
- Such an extended analysis, although providing some useful insights, does not give a general answer as to the desirability of extending the scope of markets; we have to look at each specific case closely.
- Most people (the present author included) have anti-market sentiments, partly, if not largely, based on mistaken views (Chapter 4).
- One mistake is to regard the wider scope for markets as being unfair to the poor, allowing the rich to acquire, say, their kidneys when they are desperate for money.
- In the absence of serious misinformation and irrationality, inequality/ fairness as such cannot be a valid reason for limiting the scope of the market. We may use markets more to increase efficiency while also increasing the effort to promote equality, making all income groups better off (Chapter 5).
- As a society progresses in achieving higher degrees of division of labour, higher incomes, better education, more liberalism, and more understanding of economics, it will typically allow a wider scope for using markets (Chapter 8).
- In most cases, it is almost certain that kidney sales should be legalized, with adequate counselling. Instead of feeling repugnant against kidney trade, we should feel sympathy towards those desperate enough to buy and sell kidneys, and be glad that more lives are saved (Chapter 9).
- We may keep blood donation free of monetary compensation and yet have enough blood supply if we simply have a lesson in schools on the

ix

Х

Preface

point that blood donation is actually good also for the donors (Chapter 11).

- Partly because of our biological nature and partly because of the much higher costs of prohibition, the legalization of prostitution will most certainly promote welfare not only for men, but also for women (Chapter 12).
- A strong case is made for having presumed consent as the default option for using organs of the dead (Chapter 10).
- The case (made in Appendix B) for using welfare (happiness) as the ultimate objective, as it is the only thing of intrinsic value, is both the normative foundation for the position of this book and a contribution to moral philosophy in itself.

Other issues, including conscription, profiteering, slavery, fines versus imprisonment, inadequate pricing of some goods like car park space and water, among others, are also addressed briefly. While not pretending to have covered all relevant aspects of these complicated issues, at least some useful arguments, some quite novel, have been advanced. I understand that government has to take into account not just economic efficiency, but also the perception of fairness by the public, even if not based on valid grounds, making many of my proposals to improve both efficiency and equality possibly politically infeasible. However, as an academic and economist, I have the duty to explain the real issues involved, even if this proves to be very unpopular. For example, my case against some popular fallacies on immigration following the Population White Paper in Singapore in 2013 attracted 9–1 responses against me; a similar negative response was evoked for my case in favour of increasing water prices towards the full costs in 2017. Hopefully, over time, with better learning and education, the public opinion may gradually shift.

I hope to make the book readable by general readers. Thus, the main text is written to be largely understandable even without any training in economics. The more technical parts are put into appendices. Throughout the whole book, no equation is used, except a few in a small part of Appendix C. There are not even any graphs/diagrams used. Specific scholarly references and points are put in footnotes. However, references to publications (papers or books) may be made in the text by just citing the surnames of the relevant authors and the years of publication (e.g., Arrow 1972 which refers to the publication in 1972 written by Arrow). The full list of publications referred to appears at the end, listed alphabetically according to the surnames of the authors. Happy reading!

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Nanyang Technological University for research funding [M4081118.100] and to Ms Jingying Bi, Mr Zhang Chen, Mr Leon Sim, Ms Yan Wang, Dr. Shuying Wang, Mr. Nicholas Yeo, and Ms Zhou Zhou for research assistantship and to Ms Yan Wang for co-authoring Chapter 12 on prostitution. Minor parts (Appendix B, in particular) of the book are based on some previously published papers but are revised or improved/extended, and the whole manuscript has largely been freshly written.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-19494-6 — Markets and Morals Yew-Kwang Ng Frontmatter <u>More Information</u>