CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

UNITED STATES - MEASURES AFFECTING THE IMPORTATION OF ANIMALS, MEAT AND OTHER ANIMAL PRODUCTS FROM ARGENTINA

Report of the Panel
WT/DS447/R

Adopted by the Dispute Settlement Body
on 31 August 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1. INTRODUCTION 4116
   - 1.1 Complaint by Argentina 4116
   - 1.2 Panel Establishment and Composition 4116
   - 1.3 Panel Proceedings 4117
     - 1.3.1 General 4117
     - 1.3.2 Consultation of experts 4118
       - 1.3.2.1 Expert selection 4119
2. FACTUAL ASPECTS 4122
   - 2.1 The Relevant Disease: Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) 4122
   - 2.2 The Measures at Issue 4123
     - 2.2.1 Prohibition on importation of fresh (chilled or frozen) beef from Northern Argentina and animals, meat and other animal products from the Patagonia region 4124
       - 2.2.1.1 Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 94 4124
       - 2.2.1.2 APHIS' 2001 Interim and Final Rules amending 9 CFR 94 4126
     - 2.2.2 The United States' alleged undue delay in the application of the procedures set forth in 9 CFR 92.2 to Argentina's requests for imports of fresh (chilled or frozen) beef from Northern Argentina and for recognition of Patagonia as free from FMD 4127
       - 2.2.2.1 Section 737 of the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act 4130

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

2.3 Products at Issue 4131
2.4 Relevant International Standards, Guidelines, and Recommendations 4131
2.4.1 The OIE and its mandate 4131
2.4.2 The Terrestrial Code 4132
2.4.2.1 Objectives and structure of the Terrestrial Code 4133
2.4.2.2 Official recognition of disease status 4134
2.4.3 Relevant standards, guidelines or recommendations invoked by the parties 4136
2.5 The Parties' Domestic FMD Situations 4137
2.5.1 Argentina 4137
2.5.1.1 Northern Argentina 4139
2.5.1.2 Patagonia 4139
2.5.2 United States 4140
3. PARTIES' REQUESTS FOR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 4140
4. ARGUMENTS OF THE PARTIES 4142
5. ARGUMENTS OF THE THIRD PARTIES 4142
6. INTERIM REVIEW 4142
6.1 Whether APHIS' Review Processes of Argentina's Requests Were Undertaken and Completed without Undue Delay 4143
6.2 The United States' Appropriate Level of Protection for Foot-and-Mouth Disease 4146
6.3 Whether the United States Took Into Account the Objective of Minimizing Negative Trade Effects When Determining its Appropriate Level of Sanitary Protection 4148
6.4 Whether the United States' Measures Are More Trade-Restrictive Than Required to Achieve the United States' ALOP 4149
6.5 Special and Differential Treatment 4150
6.6 Argentina's Claims under the GATT 1994 4152
7. FINDINGS 4153
7.1 Order of Analysis 4153
7.1.1 Main arguments of the parties 4153
7.1.2 Analysis by the Panel 4154
7.2 Whether the United States' Measures Are SPS Measures 4158
7.2.1 Relevant legal provisions 4158
7.2.2 Main arguments of the parties 4159

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

7.2.2.1 Argentina 4159
7.2.2.2 United States 4160
7.2.3 Analysis by the Panel 4160
7.2.3.1 Whether the United States' measures are SPS measures within the meaning of Annex A(1) 4161
7.2.3.2 Whether the United States' measures directly or indirectly affect international trade 4165
7.2.4 Conclusion 4165
7.3 Control, Inspection and Approval Procedures 4166
7.3.1 Relevant legal provisions 4166
7.3.2 Whether the application of the procedures set forth in 9 CFR 92.2 to Argentina's requests falls within the scope of Article 8 and Annex C(1) of the SPS Agreement 4167
7.3.2.1 Main arguments of the parties 4167
7.3.2.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4169
7.3.2.3 Analysis by the Panel 4170
7.3.3 Whether APHIS' review processes of Argentina's requests were undertaken and completed without undue delay 4174
7.3.3.1 General arguments of the parties 4175
7.3.3.2 APHIS' review of Argentina's request for imports of fresh (chilled or frozen) beef from Northern Argentina 4177
7.3.3.3 APHIS' review of Argentina's request for recognition of Patagonia as FMD-free 4181
7.3.3.4 Main arguments of the third parties 4185
7.3.3.5 Analysis by the Panel 4186
7.3.4 Whether APHIS' review processes of Argentina's requests met the procedural requirements set forth in Annex C(1)(b) of the SPS Agreement 4220
7.3.4.1 Arguments of the parties 4220
7.3.4.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4222
7.3.4.3 Analysis by the Panel 4222
7.4 Harmonization 4227
7.4.1 Relevant legal provisions 4227

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

7.4.2 Whether the United States' measures are based on relevant international standards, guidelines or recommendations 4228
7.4.2.1 Main arguments of the parties 4228
7.4.2.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4234
7.4.2.3 Analysis by the Panel 4237
7.4.3 Whether the United States' measures are introduced or maintained consistently with Article 3.3 4251
7.5 Whether the United States' Measures Are Based on Scientific Principles and Maintained with Sufficient Scientific Evidence 4253
7.5.1 Relevant legal provisions 4253
7.5.2 Whether the United States' measures fall within the scope of the exemption in Article 5.7 4255
7.5.2.1 Main arguments of the parties 4255
7.5.2.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4261
7.5.2.3 European Union 4262
7.5.2.4 Analysis by the Panel 4264
7.5.2.5 Conclusion 4269
7.5.3 Whether the United States' measures are based on a risk assessment 4270
7.5.3.1 Main arguments of the parties 4270
7.5.3.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4272
7.5.3.3 Analysis by the Panel 4274
7.5.4 Article 2.2 of the SPS Agreement 4283
7.5.4.1 Main arguments of the parties 4283
7.5.4.2 Main arguments of the third parties 4286
7.5.4.3 Analysis by the Panel 4286
7.6 Appropriate Level of Protection 4287
7.6.1 Relevant legal provisions 4287
7.6.2 The United States' appropriate level of protection for foot-and-mouth disease 4289
7.6.2.1 Main arguments of the parties 4289
7.6.2.2 Analysis by the Panel 4291
7.6.3 Whether the United States took into account the objective of minimizing negative trade effects when determining its appropriate level of sanitary protection 4295
7.6.4 Main arguments of the parties 4295

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

7.6.4.1 Argentina 4295
7.6.4.2 United States 4296
7.6.5 Main arguments of the third parties 4297
7.6.5.1 Australia 4297
7.6.5.2 European Union 4297
7.6.6 Analysis by the Panel 4297
7.6.6.1 Conclusion 4301
7.6.7 Whether the United States' measures are more trade-restrictive than required to achieve the United States' ALOP 4301
7.6.7.1 Relevant legal provisions 4301
7.6.7.2 Main arguments of the parties 4302
7.6.7.3 Main arguments of the third parties 4308
7.6.7.4 Analysis by the Panel 4309
7.7 Whether the United States' Measures Arbitrarily or Unjustifiably Discriminate Between Members Where Identical or Similar Conditions Prevail or Are Applied in a Manner Which Constitutes a Disguised Restriction on International Trade 4360
7.7.1 Relevant legal provisions 4360
7.7.2 Main arguments of the parties 4360
7.7.2.1 Argentina 4360
7.7.2.2 United States 4363
7.7.3 Main arguments of the third parties 4367
7.7.3.1 China 4367
7.7.3.2 European Union 4368
7.7.4 Analysis by the Panel 4368
7.7.4.1 Discrimination between Northern Argentina and Uruguay 4372
7.7.4.2 Discrimination between Patagonia, Santa Catarina, and Chile 4378
7.7.4.3 Discrimination between Northern Argentina, Patagonia, Japan, and the United Kingdom 4383
7.8 Adaptation to Regional Conditions 4386
7.8.1 Relevant legal provisions 4386
7.8.2 Main arguments of the parties 4387
7.8.2.1 Argentina 4387
7.8.2.2 United States 4389

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

7.8.3 Main arguments of the third parties 4391
7.8.3.1 Brazil 4391
7.8.3.2 European Union 4391
7.8.4 Analysis by the Panel 4392
7.8.4.1 The obligations under Article 6.1 4392
7.8.4.2 The obligations under Article 6.2 4394
7.8.4.3 The obligations under Article 6.3 4395
7.8.4.4 The relationship between the obligations contained in the three paragraphs of Article 6 4396
7.8.4.5 Whether the United States recognized the concept of FMD-free areas and adapted its measure to the SPS characteristics of Patagonia 4402
7.9 Special and Differential Treatment 4404
7.9.1 Relevant legal provisions 4404
7.9.2 Main arguments of the parties 4405
7.9.2.1 Argentina 4405
7.9.2.2 United States 4406
7.9.3 Main arguments of the third parties 4407
7.9.3.1 China 4407
7.9.3.2 European Union 4407
7.9.4 Analysis by the Panel 4408
7.9.4.1 Whether Article 10.1 is a positive obligation 4408
7.9.4.2 Shall take account of special needs of developing country Members 4409
7.9.4.3 Burden of proof 4410
7.9.4.4 Whether the United States took account of Argentina's special needs 4413
7.9.4.5 Conclusion 4416
7.10 Consequential Violations 4417
7.10.1 Argentina's claims under Article 1.1 of the SPS Agreement 4417
7.10.1.1 Relevant legal provision 4417
7.10.1.2 Main arguments of the parties 4417
7.10.1.3 Main arguments of the third parties 4417
7.10.1.4 Analysis by the Panel 4418

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org

CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-19162-4 — Dispute Settlement Reports 2015
Corporate Author World Trade Organization
Table of Contents
More Information

7.10.2 Argentina's claims under Article 3.3 of the SPS Agreement 4418
7.11 Argentina's Claims under the GATT 1994 4419
7.11.1 Main arguments of the parties 4419
7.11.1.1 Argentina 4419
7.11.1.2 United States 4421
7.11.2 Analysis by the Panel 4421
8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION(S) 4422

APPENDIX 1 4427

© in this web service Cambridge University Press www.cambridge.org