

The Emergence of Public Opinion

Nineteenth-century Ottoman politics was filled with casual references to public opinion. Having been popularised as a term in the 1860s, the following decades witnessed a deluge of issues being brought into 'the tribune of public opinion'. Murat R. Şiviloğlu explains how this concept emerged, and how such an abstract phenomenon embedded itself so deeply into the political discourse that even sultans had to consider its power. Through looking at the bureaucratic and educational institutions of the time, this book offers an analysis of the society and culture of the Ottomans, as well as providing an interesting application of theoretical ideas concerning common political identity and public opinion. The result is a more balanced and nuanced understanding of public opinion as a whole.

MURAT R. ŞIVILOĞLU is an assistant professor at Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. He has published several articles in books and journals and his main research interests focus on the social and intellectual history of the Ottoman Empire during the nineteenth century.



The Emergence of Public Opinion

State and Society in the Late Ottoman Empire

MURAT R. ŞIVILOĞLU Trinity College, Dublin





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia

314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India

79 Anson Road, #06-04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107190924

DOI: 10.1017/9781108120371

© Murat R. Şiviloğlu, 2018

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2018

Printed in the United Kingdom by TJ International Ltd. Padstow Cornwall

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Şiviloğlu, Murat R., author.

Title: The emergence of public opinion: state and society in the late Ottoman empire / Murat R. Şiviloğlu, University of Cambridge.

Description: Cambridge; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Identifiers: LCCN 2018009840 | ISBN 9781107190924 (hardback)

Subjects: LCSH: Turkey–History–Ottoman Empire, 1288-1918. | Turkey–Intellectual life–19th century. | Turkey–Social life and customs–19th century. | Turkey–Social conditions–1288-1918. | Turkey–Politics and government–1829-1878.

| Public opinion-Turkey | State, The. | BISAC: HISTORY / Middle East / General. Classification: LCC DR568 .S58 2018 | DDC 956/.015-dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2018009840

ISBN 978-1-107-19092-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Front cover image reproduction: Bahadır Taşkın.



Canım annem Nesrin Deniz Acar'ın aziz hatırasına.



It is evident in history that rulers can assert themselves at will against any individual and only public opinion can restrain them. In some countries, public opinion is always open and declared, but in others it is latent, and emerges only when provoked to the extreme. In every state, consequently, rulers are acutely apprehensive of its power.

— Cevdet Pasha (From a report written to Sultan Abdülhamid in 1892)



Contents

List of Figures		page viii
N	ote on Transliteration, Place Names, and Dates	X
A_{ϵ}	cknowledgements	xi
	Introduction	1
1	Historical Background	23
2	A Bureaucratic Public Sphere	72
3	The World of İsmail Ferruh Efendi	110
4	The Schooling of the Public	134
5	The Emergence of a Reading Public after c. 1860	174
6	'The Turkish Revolution'	222
	Conclusion	250
Bibliography		255
Index		313

vii



Figures

I. 1	An Ottoman Newspaper titled Efkâr-i Umumiye	
	(Public Opinion) from the late nineteenth century.	page 16
1.1	A hatt of Abdülhamid I on the disturbance of peace	
	with Russia.	32
1.2	Mustafa IV's hatt warning about the government	
	bluster taking place in different coffeehouses of	
	Istanbul and demanding the restraint and detention of	
	those responsible.	34
1.3	The cover of <i>Eşek</i> (Donkey), a humour	
	magazine.	36
1.4	Mahmud II's hatt demanding punishment for	
	janissary supporters.	52
2.1	A photo of Scaliari Bey (Kleanti Skalyeri) in his full	
	masonic costume in 1878.	94
3.1	A 1853 depiction of Keçecizade Mehmet İzzet Molla,	
	one of the pioneers of Ottoman realism.	117
3.2	The inventory of books left by İsmail Ferruh	
	Efendi.	121
4.1	A view of the Ottoman Darülfünun positioned	
	between Hagia Sophia and Blue Mosque.	145
4.2	Ahmed Vefik Pasha, one of the lecturers of Darülfünun	
	and the first translator of Molière to Ottoman	
	Turkish.	150
5.1	A page from a statistics register prepared by Mehmed	
	Behiç Bey.	176
5.2	The private secretary of Midhat Pasha, reading him	
	daily newspapers.	189
5.3	An image of Bihruz Bey, the hero of <i>Araba</i>	
	Sevdası.	206
5.4	Namık Kemal when he was the editor-in-chief of <i>İbret</i> ,	
	c. 1872–1873.	211

viii



List	of Figures	ix
6.1	A contemporary picture showing the demonstrations	
	of madrasa students.	231
6.2	The cover of Vakit a day after the deposition of Sultan	
	Abdülaziz.	234
6.3	A contemporary image of Murad V.	236
6.4	A rather disturbing image of ex-Sultan Abdülaziz just	
	before he committed 'suicide' on 4 June 1876.	238
6.5	The Deposition Fetva of Sultan Abdülaziz.	239
6.6	A scene from the unsuccessful raid organised by a	
	secret society under the leadership of Ali Suavi.	249



Note on Transliteration, Place Names, and Dates

Terms in Ottoman Turkish rendered in the Arabic script are transliterated according to the orthographical conventions. Modern conventions in the transliteration of personal and place names are retained. Gregorian equivalents of both Muslim *Hicrî* and the *Rumî* dates are provided either in parentheses or after a solidus.



Acknowledgements

I do not know if it is the same with all authors. Long before the completion of this book, I thought about the title, cover, and various other, and what one might call, tangential aspects of this publication. Of course, they all changed dramatically over the time and now looking back, I see that I was naïve in supposing many things I supposed back then. For one, I was sure that writing acknowledgements would be the most entertaining part of this process. How little I knew.

My supervisor, Sir Chris Bayly, was unfortunately not able to see this book in print. He was not only one of the kindest people I have ever known but also a wonderful supervisor, and needless to say a great historian, whose comments and observations tremendously contributed to the formulation of this book. He was a constant source of guidance and encouragement throughout my years in Cambridge and I will remain indebted to him for the rest of my life. In the same vein, I cannot possibly thank Norman Stone properly for his mentorship throughout all these years. Without him, it would have been impossible to write this book. Edhem Eldem has been an inspiration to me since my years as an undergraduate, and I am deeply grateful for his unceasing and unstinting support. Cemal Kafadar, with his publications and through our meetings, enriched my whole understanding of history. Kemal Beydilli kept an open-door policy with me and whenever I felt baffled with an intricate source, I was sure of his sympathetic interest and generous erudition. In the most vexing problems I came across, I was lucky to benefit from Sahin Uçar's great understanding and unique perspective. During the writing of this book, Derin Terzioğlu's teachings were always at the back of my mind, as it were, and kept me in line and focused.

I would also like to thank the Gates Cambridge Trust for funding my doctoral research. My college, Peterhouse, has provided me with support in the way of grants, as well as a happy home. After the

хi



xii

Acknowledgements

completion of my dissertation, Ömer Koç generously subsidised the final research of this book. I thank the directorates and staff of the ISAM library and the Archives of Prime Ministry for their assistance in my years of research in Istanbul. I have also had the good fortune to work with Maria Marsh, my editor at Cambridge University Press. She was reassuring, patient, and always very generous with her time.

My friends Fatmanil Döner, Paula Haas, Kutluhan Soyubol, Amrita Dhar, Astrid Norén-Nilsson, David Motadel, Amanda Dennis, and Cumhur Bekar have all helped in countless ways throughout the process of writing. Elisabeth Leake proofread the entire manuscript and spared me some embarrassing mistakes. Yavuz Sezer was a constant intellectual companion and always a great friend with whom I could talk for hours on my little findings. I thank my wife, Gülfem Pamuk, not just for her love and inspiration but for how much good advice she has given me along the way. Finally, I would like to express my endless gratitude to my parents for their constant support. My mother, like Sir Chris, will not be able to read this book. I know that she would have been very proud and I dedicate this book to her memory. Without her love and confidence in me, I would never have the courage to follow my heart's desire.