

Contents

| | |
|--|----------------|
| <i>Introduction to the Series: Trialogical International Law</i> <i>Anne Peters</i> | <i>page xi</i> |
| I. The Pluralistic Structure and Self-Contradictory Substance of International Law | xii |
| II. Multiperspectivism | xiv |
| III. The Timing of the Trialogues: Pressure on International Law's Universality | xvi |
| IV. Problematising National Perspectives on Questions of the Law <i>Contra Bellum</i> and <i>In Bello</i> | xviii |
| V. Bottom-Up Universalisation | xx |
| VI. Contributing to the Self-Reflexivity of International Legal Scholarship | xxiv |
| Introduction: Dilution of Self-Defence and its Discontents <i>Christian Marxsen and Anne Peters</i> | 1 |
| I. The Controversy Around Self-Defence against Non-State Actors | 2 |
| II. Revival of the Debate since 2014 | 7 |
| III. Three Perspectives in a Trialogue | 10 |
| 1. The Use of Force in Self-Defence against Non-State Actors, Decline of Collective Security and the Rise of Unilateralism: Whither International Law? <i>Dire Tladi</i> | 14 |
| I. Introduction | 14 |
| A. Importance and Controversy of the Law on the Use of Force | 14 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| | B. The Role of Policy Considerations | 17 |
| | C. The Purpose of the Chapter | 19 |
| II. | Prohibition on the Use of Force | 22 |
| | A. A Brief Historical Context | 22 |
| | B. The Content and Status of the Prohibition | 23 |
| III. | International Peace and Security Architecture | 28 |
| | A. The Charter as an Instrument for Collective Security | 28 |
| | B. Institutional Framework for Peace and Security under the Charter | 30 |
| IV. | The Law on Self-Defence | 36 |
| | A. General Framework | 36 |
| | B. The Permissibility of Unilateral Use of Force against Non-State Actors | 37 |
| | C. The Proposition that Unilateral Force can be used Extraterritorially in Self-Defence against Non-State Actors | 42 |
| | D. The Rules for Interpreting and Identifying the Scope of Self-Defence in Respect of Non-State Actors | 48 |
| V. | Evaluation of the Scope of the Right of Self-Defence | 52 |
| | A. The 'Inherent Right': Pre-Existing Rules of Customary International Law | 52 |
| | B. Armed Attack does not mean Armed Attack by a State | 54 |
| VI. | Unilateral or Collective Security: The Intersection of Law and Policy | 81 |
| | A. Scope and Limits of the Law of Self-Defence against Non-State Actors | 81 |
| | B. Do Current Circumstances Call for a New Approach? | 82 |
| VII. | Summary and Conclusions | 87 |
| 2. | Self-Defence against Non-State Actors: Making Sense of the 'Armed Attack' Requirement | 90 |
| | <i>Christian J. Tams</i> | |
| | I. Introduction | 90 |
| | II. Setting the Stage | 94 |
| | A. A Problem of Force in International Relations | 95 |
| | B. A Question of Self-Defence | 98 |
| | C. A Question of Treaty Law | 104 |
| III. | The 'Armed Attack' Requirement: Making Sense of the Treaty Text | 112 |

Contents

ix

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| A. | ‘... the ordinary meaning to be given to the terms of the treaty ...’ | 112 |
| B. | ‘... in their context ...’ | 114 |
| C. | ‘... and in the light of its object and purpose’ | 120 |
| D. | The Preparatory Work of the Treaty and the Circumstances of its Conclusion | 123 |
| E. | The Text of Article 51: Where Do We Stand? | 124 |
| IV. | ‘Meaning Through Deeds’: Subsequent Practice in Application of the ‘Armed Attack’ Requirement | 125 |
| A. | Subsequent Practice in Treaty Interpretation | 125 |
| B. | The General Framework: An Inter-State Reading of Self-Defence | 129 |
| C. | Particular Instances of Self-Defence (1946–Late 1980s): A Plea for Nuance | 136 |
| D. | Post-Cold War Practice: Gradual, Palpable Change | 142 |
| E. | Subsequent Practice: Where Do We Stand? | 158 |
| V. | Assessment and Concluding Thoughts | 164 |
| A. | The Case for Asymmetrical Self-Defence | 164 |
| B. | Implications | 169 |
| 3. | Self-Defence, Pernicious Doctrines, Peremptory Norms | 174 |
| | <i>Mary Ellen O'Connell</i> | |
| I. | Introduction | 174 |
| II. | Evidence of the Durable Meaning of Self-Defence | 179 |
| A. | The Terms of the Charter | 180 |
| B. | The Drafting History of the Charter | 183 |
| C. | The Understanding in UN Organs | 186 |
| III. | Three Pernicious Doctrines of Expansive Self-Defence | 212 |
| A. | Inherent/Imminent | 214 |
| B. | Terrorism/War | 218 |
| C. | Unable/Unwilling | 224 |
| IV. | The Prohibition on the Use of Force as <i>Ius Cogens</i> | 228 |
| A. | The Methodology of <i>Ius Cogens</i> | 229 |
| B. | History, Morality, Natural Law | 236 |
| C. | The Implications of <i>Ius Cogens</i> Status for Self-Defence | 244 |
| V. | Conclusion | 253 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Conclusion: Self-Defence against Non-State Actors – The Way Ahead | 258 |
| <i>Christian Marxsen and Anne Peters</i> | |
| I. Different Modes of Engaging with the International Law on Self-Defence | 258 |
| II. Handling the Sources of Self-Defence | 260 |
| III. Moral Values and <i>Ius Cogens</i> | 262 |
| IV. The Indeterminacy of the Law on Self-Defence | 264 |
| V. How Does the Law of Self-Defence Change? | 269 |
| A. Change of the Charter Law | 270 |
| B. Change of the Customary International Law on Self-Defence | 274 |
| C. The Law in Transition | 276 |
| VI. Conclusion | 277 |
| <i>Index</i> | 282 |