

CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>page xi</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xix</i>
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Vigilance and Restraint: the Variation of the Depth of Scrutiny	1
1.2 Doctrinal Manifestation: Organisational Schemata and Trends	5
1.3 Conceptual Underpinnings: Constitutional Foundations and Methodology	20
1.4 Normative Assessment: Principles of Efficacy and Virtue	23
1.5 Summary	32
2 Scope of Review	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Doctrinal Manifestation	33
2.2.1 De Smith Derivation	34
2.2.2 Australia: Abstract Formalism	37
2.2.3 England: (Historic) Classic Model	47
2.2.4 Conclusion	56
2.3 Conceptual Foundations	57
2.3.1 Christopher Forsyth: Passionate Formalism and Ultra Vires	57
2.3.2 Mark Aronson: (Reluctant) Bottom-up Formalism	60
2.3.3 Conclusion	62
2.4 Normative Assessment	63
2.5 Conclusion	73
3 Grounds of Review	75
3.1 Introduction	75
3.2 Doctrinal Manifestation	76
3.2.1 De Smith Derivation	76
3.2.2 England: Lord Diplock's CCSU grounds	79
3.2.3 New Zealand: Lord Cooke's Simple Trio of Grounds	102
3.2.4 Australia: Multifarious and Formalistic Grounds Only	111

3.2.5	Canada: Partial and Overshadowed Grounds of Review for Abuse of Discretion	113
3.2.6	Conclusion	115
3.3	Conceptual Underpinnings	115
3.3.1	Paul Craig: Generalised but Conceptually Precise Categorical Grounds	117
3.3.2	Michael Taggart: (Grudgingly Bifurcated) Suite of Common Law Grounds	122
3.3.3	Tom Hickman (I): Evolving but Discrete Standards of Legality	125
3.3.4	Conclusion	129
3.4	Normative Assessment	129
3.5	Conclusion	145
4	Intensity of Review	147
4.1	Introduction	147
4.2	Doctrinal Manifestation	148
4.2.1	De Smith Derivation	148
4.2.2	Canada: Standards of Review	154
4.2.3	England: Heightened Scrutiny, Light-touch Unreasonableness and Doctrinal Deference	158
4.2.4	New Zealand: Variegated Unreasonableness	165
4.2.5	Conclusion	166
4.3	Conceptual Underpinnings	166
4.3.1	Paul Daly: Tripartite Standards Informed by Legislative Intent	167
4.3.2	Mark Elliott: Calibration of Intrinsic and Adjudicative Deference	171
4.3.3	Murray Hunt: Due Deference Elaborated Across-the-Board	176
4.3.4	David Mullan: Effective and Practical Deference	179
4.3.5	Conclusion	182
4.4	Normative Assessment	183
4.5	Conclusion	198
5	Contextual Review	199
5.1	Introduction	199
5.2	Doctrinal Manifestation	200
5.2.1	De Smith Derivation	200
5.2.2	New Zealand: Cookeian Simplicity and Instinctive Review	201
5.2.3	Canada: Broad Church Unreasonableness	204
5.2.4	England: Review in the Round and Non-doctrinal Deference	207
5.2.5	Conclusion	209

CONTENTS

ix

5.3	Conceptual Underpinnings	209
5.3.1	Trevor Allan: Unstructured and Normative Contextualism	210
5.3.2	Philip Joseph: Common Law-Inspired Instinctive Judgement	218
5.3.3	Tom Hickman (II): Non-doctrinal Deference in Human Rights Adjudication	221
5.3.4	David Dyzenhaus: Respectful, Deferential and Non-doctrinal Appraisal	224
5.3.5	Conclusion	229
5.4	Normative Assessment	230
5.5	Conclusion	242
6	Conclusion	243
6.1	Introduction	243
6.2	Doctrinal Manifestation	244
6.3	Conceptual Underpinnings	247
6.4	Normative Assessment	249
6.5	Conclusion	257
	<i>Bibliography</i>	259
	<i>Index</i>	277