

## THE REINVENTION OF MAGNA CARTA 1216–1616

This new account of the influence of Magna Carta on the development of English public law is based largely on unpublished manuscripts. The story was discontinuous. Between the fourteenth century and the sixteenth the charter was practically a spent force. Late-medieval law lectures gave no hint of its later importance, and even in the 1550s a commentary on Magna Carta by William Fleetwood was still cast in the late-medieval mould. Constitutional issues rarely surfaced in the courts. But a new impetus was given to chapter 29 in 1581 by the ‘Puritan’ barrister Robert Snagge, and by the speeches and tracts of his colleagues, and by 1587 it was being exploited by lawyers in a variety of contexts. Edward Coke seized on the new learning at once. He made extensive claims for chapter 29 while at the bar, linking it with *habeas corpus*, and then as a judge (1606–16) he deployed it with effect in challenging encroachments on the common law. The book ends in 1616 with the lectures of Francis Ashley, summarising the new learning, and (a few weeks later) Coke’s dismissal for defending too vigorously the liberty of the subject under the common law.

SIR JOHN BAKER taught at the University of Cambridge from 1971 to 2011, latterly as Downing Professor of the Laws of England. He also served for thirty years as Literary Director of the Selden Society and was knighted for services to legal history in 2003. He is the author of *Collected Papers on English Legal History* (2013) and *Introduction to English Legal History* (4th edn, 2002).

## CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN ENGLISH LEGAL HISTORY

*Edited by*  
 Sir John Baker  
*Fellow of St Catharine's College, Cambridge*

**Recent series titles include**

- The Reinvention of Magna Carta 1216–1616*  
 John Baker
- Insurance in Elizabethan England: The London Code*  
 Guido Rossi
- The Law of Contract 1670–1870*  
 Warren Swain
- A History of English Tort Law 1900–1950*  
 Paul Mitchell
- Sir Edward Coke and the Reformation of the Laws Religion, Politics and Jurisprudence, 1578–1616*  
 David Chan Smith
- Medieval English Conveyances*  
 John M. Kaye
- Marriage Law and Practices in the Long Eighteenth Century: A Reassessment*  
 Rebecca Probert
- The Rise and Fall of the English Ecclesiastical Courts, 1500–1860*  
 R. B. Outhwaite
- Law Courts and Lawyers in the City of London, 1300–1550*  
 Penny Tucker
- Legal Foundations of Tribunals in Nineteenth-century England*  
 Chantal Stebbings
- Pettyfoggers and Vipers of the Commonwealth The 'Lower Branch' of the Legal Profession in Early Modern England*  
 C. W. Brooks
- Roman Canon Law in Reformation England*  
 R. H. Helmholz
- Sir Henry Maine: A Study in Victorian Jurisprudence*  
 R. C. J. Cocks
- Sir William Scott, Lord Stowell Judge of the High Court of Admiralty, 1798–1828*  
 Henry J. Bourguignon
- The Early History of the Law of Bills and Notes: A Study of the Origins of Anglo-American Commercial Law*  
 James Steven Rogers
- The Law of Treason in England in the Later Middle Ages*  
 J. G. Bellamy
- William Sheppard, Cromwell's Law Reformer*  
 Nancy L. Matthews

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-18705-4 — The Reinvention of Magna Carta 1216–1616  
John Baker  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

---

THE REINVENTION OF  
MAGNA CARTA 1216–1616

SIR JOHN BAKER



CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge University Press  
978-1-107-18705-4 — The Reinvention of Magna Carta 1216–1616  
John Baker  
Frontmatter  
[More Information](#)

CAMBRIDGE  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
4843/24, 2nd Floor, Ansari Road, Daryaganj, Delhi – 110002, India  
79 Anson Road, #06–04/06, Singapore 079906

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning, and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781107187054](http://www.cambridge.org/9781107187054)

10.1017/9781316940990

© John Baker 2017

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2017

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.*

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Baker, John H. (John Hamilton), author.

Title: The reinvention of Magna Carta 1216-1616 / Sir John Baker.

Description: Cambridge, United Kingdom ; New York, NY, USA : Cambridge University Press, 2017. | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016034384 | ISBN 9781107187054 (hardback : alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Magna Carta–Influence. | Constitutional history–England–To 1500. |

Constitutional history–England–16th century. | Constitutional history–England–17th century.

Classification: LCC KD3946 .B35 2017 | DDC 342.4202/9–dc23 LC record available at

<https://lccn.loc.gov/2016034384>

ISBN 978-1-107-18705-4 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

## CONTENTS

	<i>Preface</i>	ix	
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiv	
	<i>Table of Statutes and General Charters</i>		xv
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix	
	<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxix	
<b>1</b>	<b>The Legal Character of Magna Carta</b>		<b>1</b>
	Magna Carta as a Statute	2	
	Magna Carta as a Grant	12	
	Magna Carta as Common Law	13	
	Magna Carta as Fundamental Law	17	
	Magna Carta as the Paramount Statute	24	
	The Exegesis of Chapter 29	32	
	Due Process	40	
	Remedies	42	
<b>2</b>	<b>Chapter 29 in the Fourteenth Century</b>		<b>47</b>
	Parliament and Council	48	
	Reported Cases in the 1360s	58	
	The Deposition of King Richard II	63	
	General Awareness of Magna Carta	65	
<b>3</b>	<b>Magna Carta in the Inns of Court 1340–1540</b>		<b>69</b>
	Readings in the Fifteenth Century	71	
	Morgan Kidwelly's Reading	75	
	Thomas Harlakenden's Reading	78	
	The Obsolescence of Magna Carta	81	
	The Role of History in Legal Education	85	
	The Dearth of Constitutional Learning	86	
	Stirrings of Change	95	
	A Reading on Constitutional Law in about 1529		101

<b>4</b>	<b>Personal Liberty and the Church</b>	<b>110</b>
	Heresy	114
	The Defence of the Faith and Sir Thomas More	121
	Religious Change and Treason	125
	The High Commission	133
<b>5</b>	<b>Royal Prerogative and Common Law under Elizabeth I</b>	<b>144</b>
	Legislation by Proclamation	151
	Imprisonment and <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	155
	Torture	170
	Prerogative Protections	174
	Other Stays of Justice	179
	Revenue and the Prerogative	184
	Monopolies	187
	Urban Oligarchies Created by Charter	201
	Prerogative Jurisdictions	206
	The Prolonged Latency of Magna Carta	214
<b>6</b>	<b>William Fleetwood and Magna Carta</b>	<b>216</b>
	The Beginnings of English Legal History	220
	Treatise on the Origins of English Law	225
	Commentary on Magna Carta	226
	Discourse on the Interpretation of Statutes	232
	Fleetwood's Use of Readings	237
	Fleetwood's Notes for Arguments	238
	Opinion on the Bridewell Charter	241
	Fleetwood's Approach to Magna Carta	243
<b>7</b>	<b>The Resurgence of Chapter 29 after 1580</b>	<b>249</b>
	Robert Snagge's Reading	251
	Puritans and the Law	255
	Magna Carta in Legal Argument	261
	Case of the Sheriffs of London	266
	James Morice and the High Commission	270
<b>8</b>	<b>Magna Carta and the Rule of Law 1592–1606</b>	<b>276</b>
	The Court of Requests	277
	The Court of Chancery	284
	The High Commission	289
	The Borough of Berwick	299
	The Council in the Marches	302

## CONTENTS

vii

Restrictive Bye-laws and Trading Monopolies	311
Purveyance	324
Impositions	328
<b>9 Sir Edward Coke and Magna Carta 1606–1615</b>	<b>335</b>
The Accession of King James	339
Chief Justice of the Common Pleas	351
The High Commission	353
The Court of Requests	376
The Provincial Councils	379
Proclamations	390
<i>Mandamus</i>	396
Imprisonment by the Privy Council	398
The Star Chamber	402
Benevolences	407
<b>10 A Year ‘Consecrate to Justice’: 1616</b>	<b>410</b>
Ellesmere and the Court of Chancery	410
<i>Non Procedendo Rege Inconsulto</i>	422
Francis Ashley’s Reading	427
Coke’s Dismissal	435
<b>11 Myth and Reality</b>	<b>442</b>
Appendices	
1 <i>Two Fifteenth-century Readings on Chapter 29</i>	452
2 <i>Actions Founded on Chapter 29 (1501–32)</i>	456
3 <i>William Fleetwood on Chapter 29 (c. 1558)</i>	463
4 <i>Fleetwood’s Tracts on Magna Carta and on Statutes:     A Concordance of Parallel Passages</i>	466
5 <i>Six Elizabethan Cases (1582–1600)</i>	468
6 <i>The Judges’ Resolutions on Habeas Corpus (1592)</i>	495
7 <i>Coke’s Memorandum on Chapter 29 (1604)</i>	500
8 <i>Whetherly v. Whetherly (1605)</i>	511
9 <i>Maunsell’s Case (1607)</i>	517
10 <i>Bulthorpe v. Ladbrook (1607)</i>	531
<i>Bibliography of Secondary Sources</i>	534
<i>Index</i>	542

## PREFACE

The year 2015 witnessed one of the most remarkable historical anniversaries ever celebrated, stretching across continents and civilisations. Every scholar with an interest in Magna Carta was expected to say or write something about it, and much was learned about the events in and around 1215. It was right, of course, to concentrate on 1215, since that was the occasion for the anniversary and it was the beginning of all that followed. But the Charter of Runnymede itself had a short life. The timelessness and ubiquity of what it came to represent can only be explained over the longer term. Its enduring spiritual force was nowhere more clearly demonstrated last year than by the decision of the Chinese authorities to ban the general public display of the 1217 charter sent on loan from Hereford Cathedral. There are few people with the ability to read such a charter, even in England, and yet its physical presence alone was enough to alarm an authoritarian regime on the other side of the world. Magna Carta has evidently acquired a symbolic power which transcends language and culture and even history. Such a remarkable phenomenon cannot be accounted for merely by the turbulent events of 1215. Indeed, were it not for its reinvention in the early-modern period, the charter would be known today only to a few medieval specialists. This obvious fact may be widely acknowledged, and yet the details of the later story have become lost in a shimmering haze, with flashes of sunlight in 1628 and 1787. Even the meticulous book by Faith Thompson, published in 1948 after decades of research, a work which opened up many of the topics pursued in the present book, did not fully bring out the legal context of the reinvention, or its chronology.

The reason why the story has not been fully unravelled before is that it is primarily a legal story. Political and constitutional historians have not generally cared to consult legal sources other than statutes, while most English legal historians in the century after Maitland have ignored public law completely. There has in consequence been a considerable lack of clarity about the story of the charter in the three centuries between



Edward III and the Petition of Right. Some have assumed that its incandescence remained undimmed through the centuries, others that it sank into obscurity until it was rediscovered by Sir Edward Coke in the 1620s. Neither view is correct, but much of the story has been hidden away in legal manuscripts which no one reads.

The manuscripts are not written in English, and their substantive content is often embedded in procedural contexts which to the uninitiated can seem unfathomable. Leaving aside, however, the abbreviated hieroglyphs in which it was written, law French is not a particularly difficult language, compared with Latin, and in fact the law reports when deciphered make more sense and contain far more information than the garbled and often unintelligible English reports of what the same lawyers were saying in the House of Commons. The barrier in scholarship is professional rather than linguistic. Lawyer historians who grapple with the thoughts and arguments of lawyers in the past are liable to be dismissed by their non-legal colleagues as ‘internalists’ who accord too much weight to the doctrinal mysteries and arcane procedures of the legal world. There is no doubt that contingent remainders and *indebitatus assumpsit* will never compete on equal terms with kings and queens, parliaments and battles. But the history of the common law belongs on a different plane from the history of events because it is, at least in part, an intellectual history, and it is impossible to understand legal thinking, or indeed its practical effects in the real world, without understanding the procedures and technical concepts which set the terms of reference and often evolved over long periods of time.

This book is necessarily internalist, in that it is based heavily on sources which few people outside the law school have ever thought worth reading. Yet the story of Magna Carta cannot be reconstructed without them. Surprisingly little reference was made to the charter in popular literature or drama, or in philosophical writing. The core of the story – before, that is, the historians took it over in the seventeenth century – is the way lawyers thought about it and deployed it for forensic purposes in the courts, for political purposes in the House of Commons, and for educational purposes in the inns of court. The law which they found in the charter was far from static. In reality, the Magna Carta of 1616 was completely different from that of 1216, despite the fact that the words which still mattered were identical. This has sometimes led to misunderstanding. Heavy criticism has been heaped upon the lawyers who cultivated new perceptions of Magna Carta, represented first and foremost by Coke, for being bad historians. But that is to miss the point.

Although the common lawyer's relationship with history is central to the present account, it was not the same as that of the historian. Lawyers were, in fact, more interested in history than almost anyone else was, and they were duly critical in evaluating historical evidence. But when they used old books for forensic purposes, they were looking for useful law rather than indulging in antiquarian curiosity for its own sake. Moreover, the thinking of lawyers has its own history, especially when it involves the development of traditional learning to meet the exigencies of the moment. It turns out that, when the legal sources are brought into play, more significant changes of thought can be discerned over time than the statute-book alone might lead the casual observer to suppose.

The book is based on a number of lectures given in 2014–15, as enumerated in the acknowledgements. Something of the tone of the lectures has been preserved, but the details have been augmented from further research in the huge corpus of early-modern legal manuscripts. Underlying both the lectures and the book were the four new discoveries revealed in the Selden Society volume for 2015, *Readings and Commentaries on Magna Carta 1400–1604*. The first, a negative discovery but a surprising one, was the absence of any coherent constitutional learning in the many lectures on Magna Carta which survive from the late-medieval and early-Tudor inns of court. The second was the legal and historical treatise on Magna Carta by William Fleetwood, which, though written as late as the 1550s, was still closer to the medieval learning than to the mentality of Coke. The third was the almost complete absence of Magna Carta from constitutional discussions in reported cases before the 1580s, when all of a sudden chapter 29 became common currency in forensic argument. This chronology suggested a possible link with the first known reading in an inn of court devoted entirely to chapter 29, that given by Robert Snagge in 1581. And the fourth discovery was the treatise on chapter 29 written by Sir Edward Coke, not when he was leading the opposition in the House of Commons in the 1620s, but when he was the king's principal law officer in 1604. These discoveries, especially in conjunction, pointed to a very different history of Magna Carta from that which has prevailed before now.

Instead of printing the original papers as a collection of separate essays, their content has been reworked into a chronological arrangement, ending with the dismissal of Coke from the office of lord chief justice in 1616. The story is preceded by a more general chapter straddling the centuries, the purpose of which is to examine different views about the legal character of Magna Carta and its special place in the

common-law tradition. After further chapters exploring the legal profession's awareness and understanding of the great charter from the late-medieval period up to the sixteenth century, the central chapters show how most of the constitutional issues which came eventually to be linked with Magna Carta were initially addressed without drawing upon its assistance at all. The process of reinvention is then recounted, with some attempt at an explanation, in the later chapters. Religion played a crucial part in that story, because of the struggle for freedom of thought and the campaign by 'Puritan' members of Parliament against the ecclesiastical High Commission. Another preliminary chapter therefore surveys briefly the treatment of heresy in England, an unsavoury story of religious intolerance which continued to inform debates about the High Commission and due process. There were concurrent concerns also about secular liberty and the rule of law, concerns which within a single generation united conservative and progressive lawyers in a newfound devotion to the great charter. Magna Carta rapidly became a symbol of national glory and stability in the later years of Queen Elizabeth, and in the next reign a bulwark against the disturbing constitutional ideas which the new king brought with him from Scotland.

The main purpose of the book is to investigate how, when and why English lawyers began to think about connections between personal liberty, constitutional monarchy, the rule of law and Magna Carta, and the story is related from their point of view. The process of reinvention largely concerned a single provision, the world-famous chapter 29, the rest of the statute (with a few exceptions) having lost its practical relevance before Tudor times. The fine words had always been known to lawyers, but they were of little or no forensic consequence until they were resurrected for practical purposes in the 1580s and rapidly turned into holy scripture. Their apotheosis came in Francis Ashley's reading in the Middle Temple, delivered a few weeks before Coke's dismissal in 1616. Within this short period of under forty years, the exegesis of chapter 29 had stretched its reach almost to the limits. The present account therefore ends in 1616, rather than in 1628. There remained one high hurdle to overcome, the availability of *habeas corpus* to challenge an imprisonment by the king's immediate command. That would require a change of mind by Coke, and a great deal of debate. In other respects, however, the new implications of chapter 29 had all been settled before the end of Coke's chief justiceship. Coke played such a prominent role in the story that it has been related at some length, though it will be shown that he was responsible neither for the initial

revival of Magna Carta nor for the myths which posterity has attributed to him.

Many of the sources used here have never been printed, and are not written in English, and so translations of a small selection of them are provided in the appendices. Quotations from English texts have throughout the book been rendered into modern orthography, with adjusted punctuation, even when taken from printed editions, since the language is that still in use. There is no merit in preserving exactly the immaterial features of transcripts of lost autograph texts, and no obvious merit even in the case of autograph material. Latin spelling has also been standardised, and one consequence of this is that Charta is rendered throughout as Carta. Quotations which have been translated from French or Latin are marked ‘tr.’.

John Baker  
April 2016

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The chapters in this book enlarge upon the following lectures and essays by the author:

- 1 ‘The Legal Force and Effect of Magna Carta’ in *Magna Carta: Muse & Mentor*, ed. R. J. Holland ([Washington, DC], 2014), pp. 65–84, (notes) 258–61.
- 2 ‘Chapter 29 of Magna Carta in the Fourteenth Century’ in *Texts and Contexts in Legal History: Essays in Honor of Charles Donahue*, ed. J. Witte, S. McDougall and A. de Robilant (Berkeley, 2016), ch. 15, pp. 223–44.
- 3–4 *Selected Readings and Commentaries on Magna Carta 1400–1604* (132 SS, 2015), introduction, pp. xxxix–lxxxv.
- 5 ‘Magna Carta and Personal Liberty’ in *Magna Carta, Religion and the Rule of Law*, ed. R. Griffith-Jones and M. Hill (Cambridge, 2015), pp. 81–108; *Reports from the Lost Notebooks of Sir James Dyer*, vol. i (109 SS, 1994), introduction, pp. lxii–lxxvi.
- 6 *Reports from the Lost Notebooks of Sir James Dyer*, vol. i (109 SS, 1994), introduction, pp. li–liv, lxxvi–lxxxv; ‘Personal Liberty under the Common Law 1200–1600’ (1991), reprinted in *Collected Papers on English Legal History* (Cambridge, 2013), ii. 871–900.
- 7–8 ‘Magna Carta: The Emergence of the Myth’, lecture at the British Legal History Conference (on a boat starting from Runnymede), 8 July 2015, and in a revised form at a meeting of the American Inns of Court Foundation, Washington, DC, 23 October 2015; ‘Magna Carta and the Templars 1215–1628’, lecture in the Inner Temple, 23 November 2015.
- 9–10 *Selected Readings and Commentaries on Magna Carta 1400–1604* (132 SS, 2015), introduction, pp. lxxxviii–xci; ‘Sir Edward Coke and Magna Carta’, lecture delivered to the Stoke Poges Historical Society, 14 July 2015; ‘The Common Lawyers and the Chancery: 1616’ (1969) reprinted in *Collected Papers on English Legal History* (Cambridge, 2013), i. 481–512.

## TABLE OF STATUTES AND GENERAL CHARTERS

- Coronation Charter of Henry I (1100) 5, 14, 532–5  
 Magna Carta (1215) 1–5, 12, 243, 442–4, 532  
   cl. 27 3 n. 16  
   cl. 39–40 3  
   cl. 45 39  
   cl. 55 16 n. 85  
   cl. 61 5 n. 30, 42  
*Carta de Foresta* (1215, and later) 5 n. 27, 26 n. 137, 74 n. 25, 96  
 1 Hen. III, Magna Carta (1216) 5–6  
 9 Hen. III, Magna Carta (1225) 3, 4, 6–11, 12, 463, 531–3  
   c. 1 29–31, 64, 65–6, 81, 82, 82–3, 110–11, 119, 466  
   c. 2 8 n. 48, 466  
   c. 3 230 n. 98, 232 n. 112, 245 n. 190, 466, 482  
   c. 4 466  
   c. 5 466  
   c. 6 42, 83, 89, 230 n. 98, 466  
   c. 7 42, 71, 81, 88, 89, 237 n. 140, 466  
   c. 8 233 n. 117, 244 n. 183, 466  
   c. 9 24–9, 52 n. 26, 74 n. 25, 493  
   c. 11 76–8, 81–2, 86, 225, 244, 283, 376, 466, 482  
   c. 12 236 n. 130, 240 n. 156, 283, 466  
   c. 13 223 n. 51  
   c. 14 65, 88, 89, 92–3, 95 n. 133, 244 n. 183, 339, 398, 466  
   c. 15 247 n. 205, 466  
   c. 18 88, 120, 466  
   c. 19 186, 244 n. 187, 466  
   c. 21 326 n. 243  
   c. 22 466  
   c. 25 87 n. 84  
   c. 26 11 n. 61, 89, 509  
   c. 27 236 n. 130, 244 n. 189, 246 n. 201, 466  
   c. 29 16, 26–9, 32–40, 47–68, 92–5, 97–101, 246–7, 427–35, 463–5, 500–10 and *passim*

- c. 30 34, 191 n. 276, 329–32, 466
- c. 31 244 n. 185, 466
- c. 32 87 n. 84
- c. 33 466
- c. 34 466
- c. 35 25, 74 n. 25, 78–80, 466
- c. 36 466
- 20 Hen. III, Provisions of Merton (1236) 7, 11, 42, 89, 478
- 20 Hen. III, *Statutum Hiberniae de Coheredibus* 1236 454
- 37 Hen. III, *Sentencia Lata in Transgressores Cartarum* (1253) 18–19
- 52 Hen. III, Statute of Marlborough (1267)
  - c. 5 7–8, 43 n. 246, 49, 94, 353 n. 98, 531
- 3 Edw. I, Statute of Westminster I (1275)
  - c. 1 73, 80, 227 n. 69, 465
  - c. 11 22 n. 116, 506
  - c. 12 172 n. 159
  - c. 15 257, 503
- 4 Edw. I, *De Bigamis*, stat. 3 181
- 6 Edw. I, Statute of Gloucester (1278)
  - c. 9 22 n. 116, 509
- 7 Edw. I, Statute of Mortmain (1279) 24–5, 27, 30, 491
- 12 Edw. I, Statute of Rhuddlan (1284) 7 n. 43
- 13 Edw. I, Statute of Westminster II (1285)
  - c. 11 164
  - c. 22 237 n. 137
  - c. 25 492
  - c. 29 509
  - c. 39 74 n. 25
  - c. 49 477
- 18 Edw. I, *Quia Emptores* (1290) 22, 72, 87 n. 84, 189 n. 264
- 18 Edw. I, *Quo Warranto* (1290) 72, 74 n. 25
- 25 Edw. I, Confirmation of Magna Carta (1297) 4 n. 19, 8–12, 18
- 25 Edw. I, stat. 1, *Confirmatio Cartarum* (1297) 13–14, 21
  - c. 5 257
- 28 Edw. I, *Articuli super Cartas* (1300) 6, 16 n. 88, 22 n. 116, 27, 326 n. 243
  - c. 1 19 n. 103
  - c. 3 389
  - c. 7 43
- 35 Edw. I, Statute of Carlisle (*De Apportis Religiosorum*) (1307) 224 n. 56
- 5 Edw. II, The New Ordinances (1311) 2, 27, 391
- 9 Edw. II, *Articuli Cleri* (1315) 292 n. 99, 530
- 1 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 7 514
- 1 Edw. III, stat. 2, c. 5 169 n. 144
- 1 Edw. III, stat. 2, c. 16 482, 483

## TABLE OF STATUTES AND GENERAL CHARTERS

xvii

- 2 Edw. III, c. 2 188  
 2 Edw. III, c. 8 52, 98, 179, 181–2  
 5 Edw. III, c. 1 465  
 5 Edw. III, c. 5 357 n. 116  
 5 Edw. III, c. 9 36, 51, 57, 271, 463, 475, 492, 493, 517  
 14 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 10 171  
 14 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 14 52, 102, 181  
 15 Edw. III, stat. 2, c. 3 59  
 18 Edw. III, stat. 4 52  
 20 Edw. III, c. 1 52, 485  
 25 Edw. III, stat. 5, c. 2 (Statute of Treasons 1351) 11, 37 n. 210  
 25 Edw. III, stat. 5, c. 4 50, 272–3, 357 n. 116, 463, 475, 492–4, 500, 517 n. 4, 518  
 25 Edw. III, stat. 5, c. 19 175  
 27 Edw. III, c. 1 214, 357 n. 116  
 28 Edw. III, c. 3 33–4, 51, 92, 430, 463, 475, 492–4, 500, 517 n. 4, 518  
 28 Edw. III, c. 9 21 n. 115  
 36 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 13 44  
 37 Edw. III, c. 18 50, 59, 463, 492, 494, 500, 502, 518  
 38 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 2 185, 357 n. 116  
 38 Edw. III, stat. 1, c. 9 518  
 42 Edw. III, c. 1 21, 24 n. 128, 87, 244, 396  
 42 Edw. III, c. 3 50, 59, 98, 99 n. 155, 272, 376, 460 n. 17, 463, 475, 482, 484, 500–1, 517 n. 4  
 45 Edw. III, c. 3 432  
 2 Ric. II, stat. 1, c. 6 61  
 2 Ric. II, stat. 2, c. 2 61  
 5 Ric. II, c. 2 185  
 1 Hen. IV, c. 1 465  
 2 Hen. IV, c. 1 465  
 2 Hen. IV, c. 14 326  
 2 Hen. IV, c. 15 114, 518, 520–2, 527  
 4 Hen. IV, c. 23 213, 214, 303, 381, 384, 388, 411, 414–17  
 7 Hen. IV, c. 17 25 n. 133  
 4 Hen. V, c. 1 13, 25  
 2 Hen. VI, c. 1 17 n. 91  
 8 Hen. VI, c. 11 25 n. 133  
 15 Hen. VI, c. 4 378  
 20 Hen. VI, c. 9 34, 455, 463, 510  
 23 Hen. VI, c. 7 188 n. 255  
 23 Hen. VI, c. 9 135, 279  
 1 Ric. III, c. 2 185, 407–9  
 11 Hen. VII, c. 3 99  
 11 Hen. VII, c. 10 185  
 1 Hen. VIII, c. 6 104 n. 186  
 1 Hen. VIII, c. 8 100, 242 n. 174



xviii TABLE OF STATUTES AND GENERAL CHARTERS

- 21 Hen. VIII, c. 6 104 n. 186  
 21 Hen. VIII, c. 13 189 n. 265  
 21 Hen. VIII, c. 25 185  
 23 Hen. VIII, c. 9 135 n. 137  
 24 Hen. VIII, c. 12 111  
 25 Hen. VIII, c. 14 124, 355, 518–19, 522, 527–8  
 25 Hen. VIII, c. 19 521, 523  
 26 Hen. VIII, c. 13 31  
 27 Hen. VIII, c. 10 81  
 27 Hen. VIII, c. 16 25 n. 131  
 27 Hen. VIII, c. 24 219  
 27 Hen. VIII, c. 26 105 n. 191  
 28 Hen. VIII, c. 15 171 n. 152  
 34 Hen. VIII, c. 26 515  
 35 Hen. VIII, c. 12 185  
 37 Hen. VIII, c. 17 110 n. 2  
 2 & 3 Edw. VI, c. 13 81  
 1 & 2 Phil. & Mar., c. 3 464  
 2 & 3 Phil. & Mar., c. 16 169  
 4 & 5 Phil. & Mar., c. 3 169  
 1 Eliz. I, c. 1 133, 138–9, 142–3, 207, 274, 290, 291, 293–4, 296, 355, 357–8, 360–1, 363,  
 365, 371, 373, 430, 519–29  
 5 Eliz. I, c. 1 126 n. 83  
 5 Eliz. I, c. 4 25 n. 133  
 5 Eliz. I, c. 5 169  
 5 Eliz. I, c. 23 114  
 13 Eliz. I, c. 2 129  
 13 Eliz. I, c. 12 134  
 13 Eliz. I, c. 29 385 n. 270  
 18 Eliz. I, c. 5 199  
 23 Eliz. I, c. 1 128, 129, 131, 134  
 23 Eliz. I, c. 2 128  
 27 Eliz. I, c. 2 130  
 39 Eliz. I, c. 8 133 n. 125  
 39 Eliz. I, c. 22 200  
 3 Jac. I, c. 1 132  
 3 Jac. I, c. 5 128, 294  
 16 Car. I, c. 11 375  
 9 Geo. IV, c. 31 12 n. 61, 22 n. 116  
 26 & 27 Vict., c. 125 11  
 10 & 11 Geo. VI, c. 44 (Crown Proceedings Act 1947) 43  
 Interpretation Act 1978 (c. 30) 7 n. 44, 11

## TABLE OF CASES

## Year-book Cases

- 20 & 21 Edw. I (RS), 355 14  
 30 & 31 Edw. I (RS), 529 37  
 Trin. 31 Edw. I, Fitz. Abr., *Cui in Vita*, pl. 31 509  
 Temp. Edw. I, Fitz. Abr., *Prescription*, pl. 65 263, 471–2, 478  
 Mich. 5 Edw. II (63 SS), p. 6, pl. 4 47  
 Hil. 8 Edw. II (41 SS), 73, pl. 33 86  
 Eyre of London 1321, 85 SS 67 47  
 Pas. 3 Edw. III, fo. 18, pl. 32 503  
 3 Edw. III, Fitz. Abr., *Assise*, pl. 445 468  
 Trin. 6 Edw. III, fo. 33, pl. 19 477, 480  
 Mich. 6 Edw. III, fo. 50, pl. 49 6 n. 34, 533  
 Mich. 10 Edw. III, fo. 53, pl. 37 56, 509  
 Hil. 13 Edw. III (RS), p. 97, pl. 12 54  
 Trin. 13 Edw. III (RS), p. 283, pl. 1 40  
 13 Edw. III, Fitz. Abr., *Prohibition*, pl. 11 376  
 Pas. 14 Edw. III (RS), p. 127, pl. 54 54  
 Mich. 14 Edw. III (RS), p. 258, pl. 109 57  
 Mich. 17 Edw. III (RS), p. 263, pl. 58 45  
 Hil. 22 Edw. III, fo. 3, pl. 25 48  
 Pas. 22 Edw. III, fo. 5, pl. 12 44  
 22 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 56 476  
 24 Edw. III, Bro. Abr., *Commissions*, pl. 3 58, 479, 483  
 26 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 54 6 n. 34  
 Pas. 29 Edw. III, fo. 24 24  
 38 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 18 24  
 Hil. 40 Edw. III, fo. 17, pl. 8 60, 208, 469  
 42 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 5 58–60, 472, 475, 479, 486, 501, 519; *and see* p. xxx, Lee  
 (Sir John atte)  
 42 Lib. Ass., pl. 12 60, 519  
 43 Lib. Ass. pl. 21 61  
 43 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 37 and pl. 38 469

- Mich. 44 Edw. III, fo. 35, pl. 24 61–2  
 Trin. 45 Edw. III, fo. 26, pl. 39 24  
 Hil. 49 Edw. III, fo. 1, pl. 1 520  
 49 Edw. III, Lib. Ass., pl. 8 45  
 Hil. 8 Ric. II, Fitz. Abr., *Graunte*, pl. 105; (Ames Fdn), p. 217, pl. 18 488  
 Mich. 1 Hen. IV, fo. 1, pl. 1 464  
 Mich. 1 Hen. IV, fo. 1, pl. 2 509  
 Mich. 2 Hen. IV, fo. 7, pl. 26 509  
 Pas. 2 Hen. IV, fo. 19, pl. 16 26  
 Mich. 7 Hen. IV, Fitz. Abr., *Protection*, pl. 100 169  
 Mich. 8 Hen. IV, fo. 3, pl. 5 509  
 Mich. 8 Hen. IV, fo. 7, pl. 26 509  
 Mich. 8 Hen. IV, fo. 9, pl. 12 86  
 Hil. 8 Hen. IV, fo. 18, pl. 3 291  
 Mich. 9 Hen. IV, fo. 4, pl. 17 45  
 Hil. 10 Hen. IV, fo. 7, pl. 3 470, 476  
 Hil. 12 Hen. IV, fo. 12, pl. 3 44  
 Pas. 2 Hen. V, fo. 5, pl. 26 312–13, 321–2, 471, 475  
 Mich. 7 Hen. VI, Statham *Custume*, pl. [3] 24  
 Mich. 8 Hen. IV, fo. 9, pl. 12 86  
 Hil. 8 Hen. VI, fo. 19, pl. 6 45  
 Mich. 9 Hen. VI, fo. 44, pl. 24 507  
 14 Hen. VI, fo. 11, pl. 43 44  
 Pas. 19 Hen. VI, fo. 62, pl. 1 188, 343  
 Mich. 33 Hen. VI, fo. 28, pl. 1 400  
 Mich. 35 Hen. VI, Fitz. Abr., *Suggestion*, pl. 9 507–8  
 [Mich.] 35 Hen. VI, 51 SS 118 86  
 Hil. 35 Hen. VI, fo. 44, pl. 6 476  
 36 Hen. VI, fo. 32, pl. 34 467  
 Hil. 37 Hen. VI, fo. 13, pl. 3 287  
 Mich. 37 Hen. VI, fo. 26, pl. 3 44  
 Mich. 38 Hen. VI, fo. 9, pl. 20 188  
 Hil. 39 Hen. VI, fo. 38, pl. 3 107  
 Mich. 2 Edw. IV, fo. 23, pl. 21 155  
 Trin. 3 Edw. IV, fo. 1, pl. 1 91  
 Mich. 4 Edw. IV, fo. 25, pl. 3 45  
 Pas. 5 Edw. IV, *Long Quinto*, fo. 30 28  
 Mich. 7 Edw. IV, fo. 16, pl. 11 45  
 Trin. 9 Edw. IV, fo. 14, pl. 9 411  
 Pas. 10 Edw. IV, fo. 4, pl. 9 86  
 Pas. 10 Edw. IV, fo. 6, pl. 17 464, 510  
 Pas. 10 Edw. IV (47 SS), p. 63 92

## CASES CITED BY NAME

xxi

- Hil. 19 Edw. IV, fo. 10, pl. 18 359  
 Mich. 21 Edw. IV, fo. 12, pl. 4 533  
 Mich. 22 Edw. IV, fo. 35, pl. 16 476  
 Mich. 22 Edw. IV, fo. 37, pl. 21 288  
 Hil. 22 Edw. IV, fo. 43, pl. 4 476, 477  
 Hil. 22 Edw. IV, fo. 45, pl. 9 470, 472, 476  
 Trin. 1 Edw. V, fo. 8, pl. 13 45  
 Mich. 1 Ric. III, fo. 1, pl. 1 478  
 Mich. 2 Ric. III, fo. 9, pl. 22 367  
 Mich. 2 Ric. III, fo. 22, pl. 51 116  
 Mich. 1 Hen. VII, fo. 4, pl. 5 46, 68  
 Hil. 1 Hen. VII, fo. 12, pl. 18 467  
 Trin. 1 Hen. VII, fo. 26, pl. 1 426  
 Mich. 2 Hen. VII, fo. 6, pl. 6 288  
 Pas. 2 Hen. VII, fo. 15, pl. 1 464  
 Mich. 5 Hen. VII, fo. 6, pl. 10 464  
 Trin. 6 Hen. VII, fo. 4, pl. 4 44  
 Trin. 8 Hen. VII, fo. 1, pl. 1 24, 491  
 Hil. 10 Hen. VII, fo. 17, pl. 17 123, 518  
 Mich. 11 Hen. VII, fo. 11, pl. 35 246  
 Mich. 14 Hen. VII, fo. 7, pl. 19 482  
 Hil. 14 Hen. VII, fo. 14, pl. 3 467  
 Trin. 14 Hen. VII, Fitz. Abr., *Trespas*, pl. 246 433  
 Mich. 12 Hen. VIII, 119 SS 33 83  
 Pas. 13 Hen. VIII, fo. 11, pl. 1 464  
 Trin. 19 Hen. VIII, fo. 9, Pl. 4. 102

## Cases Cited by Name

- Abbot v. Hunt* (1616) 432  
*Abdie's Case* (1596) 178  
*Addis's Case* (1609) 412, 498  
*Agmondesham's Case* (1590) 166, 495–6  
*Alcock d. Moyle v. Hitchcock* (1572) 176  
*Aldworth's Case* (1605) 325  
*Alton Woods, Case of* (1600) 328  
*Anable's Case* (?1416) 204  
*Anderson v. Warde* (1554) 250, 428  
*Andrew v. Webb* (1607) 380, 387  
*Andrewes (Euseby) his Case* (1650) 23  
*Anne (Queen) her Case* (1603/5) 305  
*Apprentices, Case pur Prender de* (c. 1585/90) 6, 25  
*Apryse's Case* (1518) 157–8, 505, 523

- Apsley's Case* (1615) 413  
*Arderne v. Darcy* (1595) 182–3  
*Armiger v. Holland* (1597) 424 n. 79  
*Articuli Cleri* (1605) 295, 298  
*Arundel's Case* (1587) 264–5, 355, 509 n. 64  
*Astwick's Case* (1567) 162, 265, 413  
*Atkinson v. Readhead* (1596) 303  
*Atkinson v. Hobbs* (1616) 389  
*Atmere's Case* (1599) 290  
*Att.-Gen. ex rel. Egerton v. Brereton and Townshend* (1614) 403–6, 426  
*Att.-Gen. ex rel. Merrike v. Pye* (1602) 402  
*Att.-Gen. v. Arundel, Tresham and others* (1585) 154  
*Att.-Gen. v. Askewe* (1569) 199  
*Att.-Gen. v. Babthorpe and Launder* (1577) 138  
*Att.-Gen. v. Bate* (1606) 90, 145–7, 235 n. 126, 328–31, 347 n. 68, 350  
*Att.-Gen. v. Boothe* (1596) 365  
*Att.-Gen. v. Carewe* (1603) 130  
*Att.-Gen. v. Cioll* (1559) 151  
*Att.-Gen. v. Cooke and others* (1569) 126  
*Att.-Gen. v. Crapnell* (1587) 199  
*Att.-Gen. v. Darcy* (1609) 323  
*Att.-Gen. v. Donatt* (1561) 155, 190–1, 250, 313, 472, 474  
*Att.-Gen. v. Evans* (1601/2) 170  
*Att.-Gen. v. Eversides* (1562) 190  
*Att.-Gen. v. Fuller, Maunsell and others* (1608) 363  
*Att.-Gen. v. Glanville and Allen* (c. 1616) 173, 418–20  
*Att.-Gen. v. Glover* (1606) 204, 294  
*Att.-Gen. v. Graves* (1606) 345, 350  
*Att.-Gen. v. Gresham (Lady)* (1596) 154, 169, 260  
*Att.-Gen. v. Henton* (1608) 211  
*Att.-Gen. v. Joiners' Company of London* (1582) 68, 147, 191, 192, 196, 241, 245, 250, 261, 312–13, 338, 468–76  
*Att.-Gen. v. Kinge* (1582) 189  
*Att.-Gen. v. Kinge* (1596) 362  
*Att.-Gen. v. Milward* (1605) 338  
*Att.-Gen. v. Northumberland (Earl of)* (1568) 193  
*Att.-Gen. v. O'Parsons* (1605) 199  
*Att.-Gen. v. Parker and others* (1597) 154  
*Att.-Gen. v. Partriche* (1589) 25  
*Att.-Gen. v. Pounce* (1603) 127, 131  
*Att.-Gen. v. Richards* (1542) 189  
*Att.-Gen. v. Skynner and Catcher* (1588) 173, 212, 266–9, 520

## CASES CITED BY NAME

xxiii

- Att.-Gen. v. Smyth* (1600) 328  
*Att.-Gen. v. Stokes* (1605) 350  
*Att.-Gen. v. Talbot* (1614) 132  
*Att.-Gen. v. Vaux (Lord) and others* (1581) 153, 211  
*Badlesmere (Lord) his Case* (1327) 53–4  
*Bagg's Case* (1615) 204, 310 n. 172, 347, 350, 396–8, 439  
*Baines v. Wharton* (1609) 384  
*Baker v. Dickenson* (1611) 384  
*Baker v. Rogers* (1601) 292  
*Baker's Case* (1609) 206  
*Ball's Case* (1608) 354, 365  
*Bamburgh v. Shepherd* (1575) 165  
*Bangor (Dean and Chapter of) v. Beckanshawe* (1616) 388  
*Bankes v. Wharton* (1609) 354  
*Barham v. Dennis* (1599) 280, 290  
*Barlam's Case* (1597) 143  
*Barneham v. Blake* (1614) 388  
*Barrow (Henry) his Case* (1586–7) 259  
*Bartholomew v. Deighton* see *Dighton v. Bartholomew*  
*Bartram v. Barowe* (1507) 459  
*Barton's Case* (1348) 62  
*Basset's Case* (1234) 39  
*Bate's Case* (1606) see *Att.-Gen. v. Bate*  
*Bateman (Edward) his Case* (1598) 170  
*Beale v. Clarke* (1589) 39  
*Beanes v. Lloyd* (1579) 209, 210  
*Beauchamp v. Trayly* (c. 1275/8) 44  
*Beaumont v. Wigston Hospital* (1614) 386  
*Beconshawe's Case* (1584) 161  
*Beethill's Case* (1609) 384  
*Belknap's Case* (1399) 509  
*Belton v. Dregge* (1509) 459  
*Belyngham (William) his Case* (1536) 505  
*Benevolence, Exaction of* (1614) 408  
*Benington v. Hunt* (1590–91) 138–9  
*Berry v. Newman* (1605) 297  
*Bethell (Sir Hugh) his Case* (1609) 384  
*Bircot's Case* (1573) 195  
*Bird v. Davyes* (1580) 165  
*Bird v. Smith* (1606) 363  
*Birry's Case* (1605) 297  
*Blacksmith's Case* (1587) 313

- Blofield v. Havers* (1592) 182  
*Bond's Case* (1565) 505  
*Bonham's Case* (1610) 90, 439  
*Bonner (Edmund) his Case* (1565) 160  
*Botery's Case* (1616) 386  
*Bothe (Humphrey) his Case* (1494) 157, 506  
*Boughton v. Bishop of Coventry* (1583–4) 222, 239 n. 150  
*Boulton's Case* (1616) 414  
*Bowes (Sir William) his Case* (c. 1596) 179  
*Bowler v. Songer and Croke* (1614) 386  
*Boyer (Sir William) his Case* (1614) 355, 359, 366  
*Bradshaw's Case* (1614) 372  
*Bradstone's Case* (1614) 121, 372  
*Brandelyng v. Prior of Tynemouth* (1510) 97, 503  
*Brandon v. Jenney* (1515) 461  
*Brandon v. Morist, or The Chamberlain of London's Case* (1590–1) 28, 29, 192, 202, 203, 226, 239, 244, 248, 264  
*Brearley's Case* (alias *Breerley*) (1601) 299–301, 338  
*Brewers' Company, Case of the* (1615) 401  
*Bride's Case* (1612) 414  
*Brockhouse's Case* (1588) 214  
*Brograve and Heveningham v. Watts* (1599) 280  
*Brommage v. Jennings and Jennings* (1616) 388, 389  
*Brooke v. Brooke* (1615–16) 375  
*Browne (Serjeant) his Case* (1532) 46, 100–1, 399  
*Browne v. Heath* (1613) 414  
*Brownlow v. Michell and Cox* (1615) 266 n. 92, 422–4  
*Brystowe's Case* (1585) 162  
*Buckingham Town, Case of* (1587) 202, 317  
*Bulbrook v. Roper* (1607) 354, 371  
*Bullock's Case* (1570) 237  
*Bullock's Case* (1607) 376  
*Bulthorpe v. Ladbrook* (1607) 5, 6, 9, 16, 29, 46, 352–3, 456 n. 1, 531–3  
*Bunting v. Leppingwell* (1585) 141, 142 n. 171  
*Bunye v. Stuble* see *Duchy of Lancaster, Case of*  
*Burrowes, Cox, Dyton and others v. High Commission* (1615–16) 373–5  
*Burwall v. Baylie* (1609) 379  
*Bury St Edmunds (Abbot of) v. Adams* (1514–17) 94, 461  
*Butler v. Fuller* (1523–5) 97, 461  
*Buttell v. Wilford* (c. 1580) 233, 239 n. 150, 240–1, 246  
*Buttle v. Harecourt* (1577) 173  
*Caesar (Thomas) his Case* (1611) 399

## CASES CITED BY NAME

XXV

- Calvin's Case* (1608) 6, 253, 245, 366 n. 158  
*Cardinal v. De la Broche* (1605/6) 284, 338  
*Carew (Dame Martha) her Case* (1562–8) 160 n. 94  
*Carew (Thomas) his Case* (1584) 259  
*Car-Men of London, Case of the* (1601) 202  
*Cartwright's Case* (1569) 35  
*Cartwright's Case* (1590) 271  
*Caudrey's Case* see *Cawdray's Case*  
*Cavendish's Case* (1587) 266, 422–3  
*Cawdray's Case (Cawdray v. Acton)* (1594–5) 127, 140–3, 289, 292, 293, 295–6, 370  
*Cawdry's Case (an attorney)* (1595) 210  
*Chambers's Case* (1605) 297  
*Chapman v. Boyers* (1605) 281, 354  
*Chauncy (Sir William) his Case* (1611) 121, 355, 371, 372–3  
*Cheekitt's Case* (1611) 372  
*Cheney v. Frankwell* (1584–8) 139–41, 142 n. 171, 143, 259  
*Chibborne's Case* (1564) 25  
*Christmas's Case* (1576) 177  
*Chune v. Piott* (1615) 353  
*Chute's Case* (1614) 323  
*Cinque Ports, Case of* (1612) 26  
*Clagge v. Batchiler* (1603) 313  
*Clarke v. Gape (or Gappe)* (1596) 264, 300, 314–15, 317, 350, 428, 487–9  
*Clerke's Case* (1584) 162  
*Cleygate (alias Claggett) v. Batchelor* (1601–3) 155, 313  
*Clifton (Gervaise) his Case* (1348) 44  
*Clifton's Case* (1610) 292  
*Cobb v. Nore* (1465) 288, 381, 415  
*Cobham (Lord) his Case* (1413) 73, 118  
*Cocks's Case* (1615) 373  
*Codd v. Turback* (1615) 355, 372, 375  
*Coke v. Old* (1601) 144, 183  
*Coken v. Curteys* (1609) 383, 384  
*Colgate v. Bachelor* (1602) 303  
*Collett v. Webbe* (1587) 56, 58, 221, 247, 248, 263, 476–81  
*Collier v. Collier* (1590) 138  
*Colt and Glover v. Bishop of Coventry and Lichfield* (1615–17) 424–6  
*Combe (Abbot of) his Case* (1370) 469  
*Commendams, Case of*, see *Colt and Glover v. Bishop of Coventry and Lichfield*  
*Constable's Case* (1567) 498  
*Conway's Case* (1610) 372  
*Cooke (Robert) his Case* (1562) 158



- Corbet's Case (1599) 415  
*Cordell v. Leake* (1584) 252  
*Cotle's Case* (1615) 372  
*Cottes and Suckerman v. Warner* (1615) 386, 387, 388  
*Cotton v. Cooke* (1616) 388  
*Council in the Marches, Case of the* (1592) 303  
*Courtney (Sir Thomas) his Case* (1456) 506  
*Courtney v. Glanvill* (1614) 286, 415  
*Cowdry's Case* (1595) 210  
*Crews v. Draper* (1610) 379  
*Crispe v. Verral* (1602) 489  
*Cromwell (Lord) his Case* (c. 1581/2) 91  
*Cromwell (Lord) v. Andrewes* (1589) 240  
*Croyland (Abbot of) his Case* (1311) 47  
*Curtis v. Scarlett* (1615) 388  
*Customs, Subsidies and Impositions* (1607) 330  
*Dagnall and Edmond's Case* (1526) 505  
*Dale v. Broune* (1496) 24  
*Dansey v. Pasloe* (1615) 388  
*Darcy v. Allen* (1602) 90, 150, 188, 191 n. 271, 196, 249 n. 3, 319–23, 330, 337, 343, 368  
 n. 170, 432 n. 123  
*Darnell's Case* (1628) 158 n. 78  
*Darrington's Case* (1609) 355, 359  
*Davenant v. Hurdys* (1599–1600) 155, 202, 264, 280, 314, 315–18, 350, 432 n. 123, 487  
 n. 80  
*Davies v. Cornelius* (1607) 155, 263, 318  
*Dawborne v. Garbrand* (1595) 265  
*Dawson's Case* (1565) 209, 506  
*Day v. Savadge* (1615) 90  
*Deane v. Jarret* (1599) 201  
*Deane's Case* (1599) 28, 168  
*Dellahay's Case* (1614) 388  
*Demetrius's Case* (1614) 400  
*Derby (Countess of) her Case* (1581) 177  
*Dethick (Sir William) his Case* (c. 1604) 204  
*Devykke v. Halman* (1495) 47, 175  
*Dewse's Case* (1585) 214  
*Dickenson v. Ploughman* (1610) 384  
*Dighton v. Bartholomew* (1602) 200  
*Doggerell v. Powkes* (or *Pooks*) (1595) 203  
*Dolman v. Bishop of Salisbury and Pickaver* (1583) 105, 221, 239 n. 150  
*Downing* (alias *Dearing*) *v. Hadlocke* (1614) 377

## CASES CITED BY NAME

xxvii

- Downing v. Dean and Chapter of Norwich* (1599) 200  
*Doyle's Case* (1605) 90, 230  
*Draper v. Claver* (1502) 34, 458  
*Dred Scott v. Sandford* (1857) 35  
*Duchy of Lancaster, Case of* (1562) 6  
*Dudley v. Knighton* (1596) 279  
*Dyer v. Fuller* (1612) 379  
*Dyers of London, Case of the* (1599) 280  
*Ecclesiastical Persons* (1601) 328  
*Edgar's (or Eager's) Case* (1610) 371  
*Edington (Rector of) his Case* (1441) 188, 343  
*Edwardes v. Wootton* (1607) 365  
*Egerton v. Earl of Derby and Kelly* (1613) 387  
*Elliott's Case* (1568) 176  
*Essex (Earl of) his Case* (1600) 145, 147 n. 23  
*Evans (alias Foster) (Lewis) his Case* (1571) 507  
*Evans v. Evans* (1612) 379  
*Evans v. Jeffreys (alias Jefferies)* (1575) 209, 354  
*Exeter (Bishop of) v. Specott* (1587–8) 83, 239; see also *Specott v. Bishop of Exeter*  
*Fachina v. Sabine* (1738) 112  
*Farley v. Holder* (1604) 307  
*Farndon's Case* (1608) 205  
*Fawne's Case* (1607) 364  
*Fermour v. Brooke* (1589–90) 192  
*Finch v. Throckmorton* (1589–90) 285; see also *Throckmorton v. Finch*  
*Fines v. Spencer* (1571–2) 260  
*Fitzherbert v. Alman* (1500) 175  
*Five Knights' Case* (1627) 37, 167  
*Fortescue's Case* (1586) 150  
*Foster (Edward) his Case* (1541) 161, 505  
*Foster's Case* (1614) 406  
*Fowler v. Wright* (1614) 411; see also *Wright v. Fowler*  
*Fowler's Case* (1599) 291  
*Fox's Case* (undated) 307 n. 166  
*Foxe v. Clifford (alias Prickwood)* (1614) 388  
*Foxley v. Annesley* (1599–1601) 182  
*Franklin v. Green* (1610) 318  
*Frevill v. Ewbank* (1614) 378  
*Fuller (Nicholas) his Case* (1607) 354, 359–63  
*Fuller's Case* (1612) 379  
*Fulwood v. Ward* (1597) 287 n. 57  
*Furse v. Milman* (1615) 378

<i>Futter v. Bozoun</i> (1585)	190
<i>Gateward's Case</i> (1607)	471
<i>Gawdy's Case</i> (1568)	164
<i>Gawin's Case</i> (1598)	143
<i>Gay</i> (alias <i>Gray</i> ) <i>v. Sedgwick</i> (1612)	385
<i>Gibbins v. Bailiffs of Shrewsbury</i> (1606)	202
<i>Gilman's Case</i> (1616)	388
<i>Glanvill's Case</i> (1615)	414–16, 417 n. 46
<i>Glascok v. Rowley</i> (1613)	388
<i>Glastonbury (Abbot of) his Case</i> (1411)	44
<i>Goby</i> (alias <i>Gowby</i> ) <i>v. Knight</i> (1602)	318, 515
<i>Gold and Silver Mines, Case of</i> (1555)	193
<i>Gooch's Case</i> (1615)	416–18
<i>Grandison (Sir Otes), Re</i> (1364)	24
<i>Gray's Case</i> (1609)	358
<i>Gregory v. Blashfield</i> (1596)	22
<i>Grene v. Buskyn</i> (1596)	22
<i>Grey (Lord) v. Earl of Arundel</i> (1562)	162
<i>Grey of Codnor (Lord) his Case</i> (1442)	464, 510
<i>Grey v. Mautravers</i> (c. 1330)	181
<i>Grey v. Poole</i> (1602)	292, 296 n. 119
<i>Grymmesby v. Eyre</i> (1456)	86
<i>Hadelow's Case</i> (1348)	48
<i>Hales's Case</i> (1564)	360
<i>Hall v. Haward</i> (1609)	386
<i>Hall v. Stanley</i>	see <i>Marshalsea, Case of</i>
<i>Hampton and Whitacres's Case</i> (1569)	470
<i>Harcourt (Edward) his Case</i> (1598)	168, 401, 498
<i>Harryson (Robert) his Case</i> (1509)	506
<i>Hastings's Case</i> (c. 1582)	196
<i>Hawes's Case</i> (1609)	354
<i>Heath v. Ridley</i> (or <i>Heath</i> ) (1614)	415
<i>Hele's Case</i> (1588–9)	214, 419
<i>Hellyard's Case</i> (1587)	166
<i>Helyar v. Withers</i> (1610)	365
<i>Helygan v. Bishop of Exeter</i> (1340)	40
<i>Herlakenden's Case</i> (1604)	281
<i>Hersey's Case</i> (1612)	404
<i>Hertford (Earl of) v. Earl of Oxford</i> (1355)	57, 288
<i>Hetley v. Boyer, Mildmay and others</i> (1614)	338, 419
<i>Heydon v. Meast</i> (1602)	304
<i>Heydon's Case</i> (1566)	214

## CASES CITED BY NAME

xxix

- High Commission* (1611) 373  
*Hill v. Grange* (1556) 236  
*Hill v. Hunt* (1613) 379  
*Hodd (Samuel) his Case* (1615) 355  
*Hodges v. Humkin* (1612–13) 398  
*Hodkinson's Case* (1605–6) 205, 298  
*Hogges and Heyth's Case* (1546) 158, 505  
*Hollinshead v. Kinge* (1587) 239 n. 150  
*Holt and Dighton's Case* (1615) 355, 373–5  
*Hopkins (Daisy), ex parte* (1891) 268  
*Horslye's Case* (1588) 181  
*Howell's Case* (1587) 166  
*Howkyns's Case* (1573) 163  
*Humfreys' Case* (1572) 162  
*Hunne's Case* (1515) 104, 123  
*Hunt v. Woodcock* (1583) 177  
*Huntingdon (Prior of) v. Stanley* (1520) 83  
*Huntley v. Clifford* (1611) 354, 355, 366, 371, 372  
*Hyde's Case* (1585) 162  
*Hynde's Case* (1576–7) 143 n. 174, 161–2, 355, 372, 430, 524  
*Ilderton's Case* (1581) 89  
*Impositions on Cloth, Case of* (1559) 185  
*Ingle v. Busbye* (1607) 377  
*Ipswich (Taylors of) v. Sheninge* (1614) 323  
*Ireland v. Proctor* (1612) 126  
*Isaac v. Camden* (1495) 172  
*Jenour v. Alexander* (1613) 412  
*Jenour's Case* (1610) 359, 365  
*Jerome v. Neale and Pleere* (1588) 201, 239, 262, 481–4  
*Jewell (Melancthon) his Case* (1588) 138  
*Jewkes v. Smith and Gardener* (1600) 281, 319 n. 212  
*Jolly's Case* (1611) 379  
*Jones v. Davies* (1615) 388  
*Jones v. Muscovy Merchants* (1609) 192–3  
*Jurdan's Case* (1375) 44  
*Kainesham v. Wilkes* (1565) 175–6  
*Kalewartes v. Town of Sandwich* (1415) 61  
*Kayser's Case* (1465) 115, 120–1, 131, 160, 265, 309 n. 169, 372 n. 197, 506, 513, 519, 523  
*Keble's Case* (1590) 166  
*Kennell v. Gawen* (1606) 130  
*Kent (Countess of) v. Abbot of Ramsey* (1340) 54

- Kete v. Fish* (1610) 323  
*Killio v. Taverner* (1595) 288  
*Knighton v. Hall* (1605) 126  
*Knotting's Case* (1407) 45  
*Knowles v. Chantour* (?1582) 239  
*Lacy's Case* (1568) 176  
*Lamburne* alias *Lambert (John) his Case* (1565) 158–9, 209, 506  
*Lancaster (Duchy of)* see *Duchy of Lancaster*  
*Lancaster (Earl of) his Case* (1327) 2, 54–5  
*Lane's Case* (1608) 363, 364, 371  
*Langdale's* (alias *Langdon's*) *Case* (1608) 350, 354, 364, 371  
*Langley v. Heneage* (1592) 167, 432 n. 123  
*Latimer (Lord) v. Gascoigne* (1565) 39, 40  
*Laton v. Yerbery* (1590) 202  
*Le Despenser (Hugh) his Case* (1322) 52–4  
*Leathersellers' Company, Case of* (1595) 168, 196  
*Lee (Sir John atte) his Case* (1368) 58–60, 242, 245, 261, 278, 282, 305, 331, 381, 470, 472, 475, 479, 486, 492 n. 97, 493 n. 101, 501, 515  
*Lee* alias *Leigh (Thomas) his Case* (1568) 160, 265, 355, 358 n. 122, 372, 430, 503, 506, 524, 529 n. 46  
*Leech (Solomon) his Case* (1614) 388  
*Legatt's Case* (1612) 200  
*Ley's Case* (1549) 162  
*Lincoln (Mayor of) his Case* (1401) 26  
*Lisle (John de) his Case* (1355) 62  
*Locke's Case* (1578) 177  
*Lombard (The) his Case* (1370) 469  
*London (Chamberlain of) his Case* (1590) see *Brandon v. Morist*  
*London (Chamberlain of) his Case* (1628) 28  
*London (City) v. Bernardiston* (1661) 25  
*Loveday's Case* (1609) 206  
*Lovegrove v. Prime* (1600) 291  
*Lowe's Case* (1605) 318  
*Ludgate Prison, Case of* (1568) 164  
*Ludgate Prison (Gaoler of), his Case* (1579) 164, 165  
*Lumbard v. Holland* (1598) 202  
*Luson's Case* (1596) 280  
*Mackwilliams v. Sheriffs of London* (1580–2) 164  
*Malcome v. Morris* (1616) 289  
*Maltravers (Lord) his Case* (1339–52) 56–7  
*Man's Case* (1591) 290  
*Manser v. Annesley* (1574–5) 150, 164, 165, 179–81, 250

## CASES CITED BY NAME

xxxii

- March (Earl of) his Case* (1327) 56–7  
*March (Earl of) v. Earl of Salisbury* (1352) 6  
*Mareschal v. Bamburgh* (1340) 54  
*Marshall's Case* (1572) 163, 250  
*Marshall's Case* (1600) 213  
*Marshalsea, Case of* (1612) 16, 90, 207, 389–90  
*Martin v. Marshall* (1615) 211, 305, 385, 387, 411  
*Mason v. Mason* (1616) 388, 389  
*Massye v. Taylor* (1602) 297  
*Mathill's Case* (1616) 388  
*Maunsell's Case* (1607) 38, 121, 137, 155, 157, 168–9, 268, 309, 355–9, 517–29  
*Maunsell's Case (No. 2)* (1609) 358, 359, 529–30  
*Maye's Case* (1615) 378  
*Melton (George) his Case* (1610) 371  
*Melton v. Earl of Northumberland* (1535) 181  
*Merifeilde v. Banister* (1588) 222, 239  
*Merton College, Oxford v. Woodlark* (1463) 91  
*Metham (Thomas) Case* (1578) 161  
*Michelborne v. Michelborne* (1610) 112  
*Middlecote's Case* (1615) 206, 342  
*Middleton's Case* (1574) 204, 488  
*Mineral and Battery Works (Company) v. Brode* (1597) 194  
*Modus Decimandi, Case of* (1609) 364, 369, 371  
*Moore (Serjeant) his Case* (1616) 418  
*Morgan (Thomas ap) his Case* (1570) 209, 507  
*Morley v. Tripp* (1506) 459  
*Morley's Case* (1600) 282  
*Mortimer v. Tony* (1290) 4  
*Mostyn v. Pierce* (1616) 415  
*Moumby v. Hardyngham* (1319) 49  
*Mullins v. Dawes (c. 1582/4)* 223  
*Munck v. Philips (The Serjeants' Case)* (1590) 263  
*Mychell's Case* (1577) 162, 413  
*Mylde v. Sympson* (1514) 461  
*Mytton's Case* (1565) 159–60, 162  
*Nash's Case* (1607) 365 n. 153  
*Needham v. Price* (1605) 135, 296, 338  
*Nicolls v. Chaffe* (1585) 384  
*Nonconformist Ministers, Case of the* (1605) 293–4  
*Norris v. Alsop* (1586) 233  
*Norris v. Staps* (1616) 323  
*North (Lord) his Case* (1555) 189